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PREFACE

Prestressed concrete is a widely used material in construction. Hence, graduates of every civil engineering program must have, as a minimum requirement, a basic understanding of the fundamentals of linear and circular prestressed concrete. The high-technology advancements in the science of materials have made it possible to construct and assemble large-span systems such as cable-stayed bridges, segmental bridges, nuclear reactor vessels, and offshore oil drilling platforms—work hitherto impossible to undertake.

Reinforced concrete’s tensile strength is limited, while its compressive strength is extensive. Consequently, prestressing becomes essential in many applications in order to fully utilize that compressive strength and, through proper design, to eliminate or control cracking and deflection. Additionally, design of members of a total structure is achieved only by trial and adjustment: assuming a section and then analyzing it. Hence, design and analysis are combined in this work in order to make it simpler for the student first introduced to the subject of prestressed concrete design.

This fourth edition of the book extensively revises the previous text so as to conform to the new ACI 318-02 Code and the International Building Code, IBC 2000-2003, for seismic design. The revisions have been necessitated due to the major changes in the ACI 318 Code where the load factors and the strength reduction factors have been changed to comply with the IBC International Code and the ANSI/ASCE-7 Standards. Another drastic ACI 318 Code change that necessitated reworking of design examples in several chapters of the book is the elimination from the body of the code an ultimate load design method that has been in effect over the past 30 years, and replacing it by the strain limits approach, termed as the “unified method.”

The text is the outgrowth of the author’s lecture notes developed in teaching the subject at Rutgers University over the past 42 years and the experience accumulated over the years in teaching and research in the areas of reinforced and prestressed concrete inclusive of the Ph.D. level, and the consulting engineering and forensic work that the author has been engaged in over the years. The material is presented in such a manner that the student can become familiarized with the properties of plain concrete, both normal and high strength, and its components prior to embarking on the study of structural behavior. The book is uniquely different from other textbooks on the subject in that the major topics of material behavior, prestress loss, flexure, shear, and torsion are self-contained and can be covered in one semester at the senior level and the graduate level. The in-depth discussions of these topics permit the advanced undergraduate and graduate student, as well as the design engineer to develop with minimum effort a profound understanding of fundamentals of prestressed concrete structural behavior and performance.

The concise discussion presented in Chapters 1 through 3 on basic principles, the historical development of prestressed concrete, the properties of constituent materials, the long-term basic behavior of such materials, and the evaluation of prestress losses should give an adequate introduction to the subject of prestressed concrete. They should also aid in developing fundamental knowledge regarding the reliability of performance
of prestressed concrete structures, a concept to which every engineering student should be exposed today.

Chapters 4 and 5 on flexure, shear, and torsion, with the step-by-step logic of trial and adjustment as well as the flowcharts shown, give the student and the engineer a basic understanding of both the service load and the limit state of load at failure, using the new ACI 318-02 Code requirements for ultimate load design, thereby producing a good feel for the reserve strength and safety factors inherent in the design expressions. Chapter 4 in this edition contains the latest design procedure with numerical examples for the design of end anchorages of post-tensioned members as required by the latest ACI and AASHTO codes. Chapter 5 presents, with design examples, the provisions on torsion combined with shear and bending, which include a unified approach to the topic of torsion in reinforced and prestressed concrete members. SI unit examples are included in the text in addition to having equivalent SI conversions for the major steps of examples throughout the book. Additionally, a detailed theoretical discussion is presented on the mechanisms of shear and torsion, the various approaches to the torsional problem and the plastic concepts of the shear equilibrium and torsional equilibrium theories and their interaction.

Furthermore, inclusion in this edition of new design examples in SI Units and a listing of the relevant equations in SI format extends the scope of the text to cover wider applications by the profession. In this manner, the student as well as the practicing engineer can avail themselves with the tools for using either the pound-inch (PI) system or the international system of units [Système International d’unités (SI)].

Chapter 6 on indeterminate prestressed concrete structures covers in detail continuous prestressed beams as well as portal frames, consistent with the increased use of continuous members in bridge structures. Numerous detailed examples illustrate the use of the basic concepts method, the C-line method and the balancing method presented in Chapter 1. Chapter 7 discusses in detail the design for camber, deflection, and crack control, considering both short- and long-term effects using three different approaches: the PCI multipliers method, the detailed incremental time steps method, and the approximate time steps method. A state-of-the-art discussion is presented, based on the author’s work, of the evaluation and control of flexural cracking in partially prestressed beams. Several design examples are included in the discussion. Chapter 8 covers the proportioning of prestressed compression and tension members, including the buckling behavior and design of prestressed columns and piles and the P-Δ effect in the design of slender columns.

Chapter 9 presents a thorough analysis of the service load behavior and yield-line behavior of two-way action prestressed slabs and plates. The service load behavior utilizes, with extensive examples, the equivalent frame method of flexural design (analysis) and deflection evaluation. A detailed discussion is presented on the shear-moment transfer at column support section in two-way action prestressed concrete plates, and on deflection of two-way plates. Extensive coverage is presented of the yield-line failure mechanisms of all the usual combinations of loads on floor slabs and boundary conditions, including the design expressions for these various conditions. Chapter 10 on connections for prestressed concrete elements covers the design of connections for dapped-end beams, ledge beams, and bearing, in addition to the design of the beams and corbels presented in Chapter 5 on shear and torsion. It is revised to accommodate the new load and strength reduction factors required in the ACI 318-02 Code.

This book is also unique in that Chapter 11 gives a detailed account of the analysis and design of prestressed concrete tanks and their shell roofs. Presented are the basics of the membrane and bending theories of cylindrical shells for use in the design of prestressed concrete tanks for the various wall boundary conditions of fixed, semi-fixed, hinged, and sliding wall bases, as well as the incorporation of vertical prestressing, using
wrapped wires as well as tendons. Chapter 11 also discusses the theory of axisymmetrical shells and domes that are used in the design of domed roofs for circular tanks. It also presents the new ACI Committee 350 provisions for design of tanks in seismic zones.

The extensive Chapter 12, added to the previous edition, has been updated to accommodate the latest LRFD and Standard AASHTO 2002 specifications for the design of prestressed bridge deck girders for flexure, shear, torsion and serviceability, including the design of anchorage blocks. Extensive several examples are given using bulb-tees and box girder sections. It also includes the AASHTO requirements for truck and lane loadings and load combinations as stipulated both by the LRFD and the Standard specifications.

Chapter 13, dealing with the seismic design of prestressed precast structures in high seismicity zones has been updated based on the new ACI 318-02 and the International Building Code, IBC 2000-2003, on seismic design of reinforced and prestressed concrete structures. It contains several design examples and a detailed discussion of ductile moment-resistant connections in high-rise buildings and parking garages in high seismicity zones. A unique approach for the design of such ductile connections in precast beam-column joints in high-rise building structures was extended and updated to conform to the new load and strength reduction factors. It also contains examples of the design of shear walls and hybrid connections—all based on the state of the art in this field.

It is important to emphasize that in this field, the use of computers is essential. Access to personal and handheld computers has made it possible for almost every student and engineer to be equipped with such a tool. Accordingly, Appendix A-1 presents a typical computer program in Q-BASIC for personal computers for the evaluation of time-dependant losses in prestress. Other programs as described in the appendix can be purchased from N.C.SOFTWARE, Box 161, East Brunswick, New Jersey, 08816. The inclusion of extensive flowcharts throughout the book and the discussion of the logic involved in them makes it possible for the reader to develop or use such programs without difficulty.

Selected photographs involving various areas of the structural behavior of concrete elements at failure are included in all the chapters. They are taken from research work conducted and published by the author with many of his M.S. and Ph.D. students at Rutgers University over the past four decades. Additionally, photographs of some major prestressed concrete "landmark" structures, are included throughout the book to illustrate the versatility of design in pretensioned and post-tensioned prestressed concrete. Appendices have also been included, with monograms and tables on standard properties, sections and charts of flexural and shear evaluation of sections, as well as representative tables for selecting sections such as PCI double-tees, PCI/AASHTO bulb tees, box girder and AASHTO standard sections for bridge decks. Conversion to SI metric units are included in the examples throughout most chapters of the book.

The topics of the book have been presented in as concise a manner as possible without sacrificing the need for instructional details. The major portions of the text can be used without difficulty in an advanced senior-level course as well as at the graduate level for any student who has had a prior course in reinforced concrete. The contents should also serve as a valuable guideline for the practicing engineer who has to keep abreast of the state of the art in prestressed concrete and the latest provisions of the ACI 318-02 Building Code, AASHTO 2002 Standards, and the International Building Code (IBC 2000-2003), as well as the designer who seeks a concise treatment of the fundamentals of linear and circular prestressing.
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1.1 INTRODUCTION

Concrete is strong in compression, but weak in tension: its tensile strength varies from 8 to 14 percent of its compressive strength. Due to such a low tensile capacity, flexural cracks develop at early stages of loading. In order to reduce or prevent such cracks from developing, a concentric or eccentric force is imposed in the longitudinal direction of the structural element. This force prevents the cracks from developing by eliminating or considerably reducing the tensile stresses at the critical midspan and support sections at service load, thereby raising the bending, shear, and torsional capacities of the sections. The sections are then able to behave elastically, and almost the full capacity of the concrete in compression can be efficiently utilized across the entire depth of the concrete sections when all loads act on the structure.

Such an imposed longitudinal force is called a prestressing force, i.e., a compressive force that prestresses the sections along the span of the structural element prior to the application of the transverse gravity dead and live loads or transient horizontal live loads. The type of prestressing force involved, together with its magnitude, are determined mainly on the basis of the type of system to be constructed and the span length and slenderness desired. Since the prestressing force is applied longitudinally along or parallel to

The Diamond Baseball Stadium, Richmond, Virginia. Situ cast and precast post-tensioned prestressed structure. (Courtesy, Prestressed Concrete Institute.)
where \( c_t \) and \( c_b \) are the distances from the center of gravity of the section (the cg line) to the extreme top and bottom fibers, respectively.

(b) Prestressing Plus Self-weight

If the beam self-weight causes a moment \( M_D \) at the section under consideration, Equations 1.4a and b, respectively, become

\[
f' = \frac{-P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{c_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'} \quad (1.5a)
\]

and

\[
f_b = \frac{-P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \quad (1.5b)
\]
where $S'$ and $S_b$ are the moduli of the sections for the top and bottom fibers, respectively.

The change in eccentricity from the midspan to the support section is obtained by raising the prestressing tendon either abruptly from the midspan to the support, a process called harping, or gradually in a parabolic form, a process called draping. Figure 1.3(a) shows a harped profile usually used for pretensioned beams and for concentrated transverse loads. Figure 1.3(b) shows a draped tendon usually used in post-tensioning.

Subsequent to erection and installation of the floor or deck, live loads act on the structure, causing a superimposed moment $M_l$. The full intensity of such loads normally occurs after the building is completed and some time-dependent losses in prestress have already taken place. Hence, the prestressing force used in the stress equations would have to be the effective prestressing force $P_e$. If the total moment due to gravity loads is $M_T$, then

$$M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)

where $M_D =$ moment due to self-weight

$M_{SD} =$ moment due to superimposed dead load, such as flooring

$M_L =$ moment due to live load, including impact and seismic loads if any

Equations 1.5 then become

$$f' = -\frac{P_e}{A_e}\left(1 - \frac{ec_i}{r^2}\right) - \frac{M_T}{S'}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.7a)

$$f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_e}\left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.7b)

Some typical elastic concrete stress distributions at the critical section of a prestressed flanged section are shown in Figure 1.4. The tensile stress in the concrete in part (e) permitted at the extreme fibers of the section cannot exceed the maximum permissible in the code, e.g., $f_f = \sqrt{f'_f}$ in the ACI code. If it is exceeded, bonded non-prestressed reinforcement proportioned to resist the total tensile force has to be provided to control cracking at service loads.

1.3.3 C-Line Method

In this line-of-pressure or thrust concept, the beam is analyzed as if it were a plain concrete elastic beam using the basic principles of statics. The prestressing force is considered as an ex-
ternal compressive force, with a constant tensile force $T$ in the tendon throughout the span. In this manner, the effects of external gravity loads are disregarded. Equilibrium equations $\Sigma H = 0$ and $\Sigma M = 0$ are applied to maintain equilibrium in the section.

Figure 1.5 shows the relative line of action of the compressive force $C$ and the tensile force $T$ in a reinforced concrete beam as compared to that in a prestressed concrete beam. It is plain that in a reinforced concrete beam, $T$ can have a finite value only when transverse and other external loads act. The moment arm $a$ remains basically constant throughout the elastic loading history of the reinforced concrete beam while it changes from a value $a = 0$ at prestressing to a maximum at full superimposed load.

Taking a free-body diagram of a segment of a beam as in Figure 1.6, it is evident that the C-line, or center-of-pressure line, is at a varying distance $a$ from the T-line. The moment is given by
Figure 1.5 Comparative free-body diagrams of a reinforced concrete (R.C.) beam and a prestressed concrete (P.C.) beam. (a) R.C. beam with no load. (b) P.C. beam with no load. (c) R.C. beam with load \( w \). (d) P.C. beam with load \( w \). (e) R.C. beam with typical load \( w \). (f) P.C. beam with typical load \( w \).

\[
M = Ca = Ta \tag{1.8}
\]

and the eccentricity \( e \) is known or predetermined, so that in Figure 1.6,

\[
e' = a - e \tag{1.9a}
\]

Since \( C = T \), \( a = M/T \), giving

\[
e' = \frac{M}{T} - e \tag{1.9b}
\]

From the figure,

\[
f' = -\frac{C}{A} - \frac{Ce'c_i}{I_c} \tag{1.10a}
\]

\[
f_b = -\frac{C}{A} + \frac{Ce'c_b}{I_c} \tag{1.10b}
\]
1.3 Basic Concepts of Prestressing

![Free-body diagram for the C-line (center of pressure)](image)

**Figure 1.6** Free-body diagram for the C-line (center of pressure).

But in the tendon the force $T$ equals the prestressing force $P_{e}$; so

\[
T = \frac{P_{e}}{A_{e}} - \frac{P_{e}^{-c}c_{i}}{I_{e}}
\]  

(1.11a)

\[
T = \frac{P_{e}}{A_{e}} + \frac{P_{e}^{-c}c_{b}}{I_{e}}
\]  

(1.11b)

Since $I_{e} = A_{e}r^{2}$, Equations 1.11a and b can be rewritten as

\[
f' = -\frac{P_{e}}{A_{e}} \left( 1 + \frac{e^{'c_{i}}}{r^{2}} \right)
\]  

(1.12a)

\[
f_{b} = -\frac{P_{e}}{A_{e}} \left( 1 - \frac{e^{'c_{b}}}{r^{2}} \right)
\]  

(1.12b)

Equations 1.12a and b and Equations 1.7a and b should yield identical values for the fiber stresses.

1.3.4 Load-Balancing Method

A third useful approach in the design (analysis) of continuous prestressed beams is the load-balancing method developed by Lin and mentioned earlier. This technique is based on utilizing the vertical force of the draped or harped prestressing tendon to counteract or balance the imposed gravity loading to which a beam is subjected. Hence, it is applicable to nonstraight prestressing tendons.

![East Huntington Bridge over Ohio River](image)

**Photo 1.10** East Huntington Bridge over Ohio River. A segmentally assembled precast prestressed concrete cable-stayed bridge spanning 200–900–608 ft. (Courtesy, Arvid Grant and Associates, Inc.)
Figure 1.7 Load-balancing forces. (a) Harped tendon. (b) Draped tendon.

Figure 1.7 demonstrates the balancing forces for both harped- and draped-tendon prestressed beams. The load balancing reaction \( R \) is equal to the vertical component of the prestressing force \( P \). The horizontal component of \( P \), as an approximation in long-span beams, is taken to be equal to the full force \( P \) in computing the concrete fiber stresses at midspan of the simply supported beam. At other sections, the actual horizontal component of \( P \) is used.

1.3.4.1 Load-Balancing Distributed Loads and Parabolic Tendon Profile. Consider a parabolic tendon as shown in Figure 1.8. Let the parabolic function

\[
Ax^2 + Bx + C = y
\]

(1.13)

represent the tendon drape; the force \( T \) denotes the pull to which the tendon is subjected. Then for \( x = 0 \), we have

\[
y = 0 \quad C = 0 \\
\frac{dy}{dx} = 0 \quad B = 0
\]

and for \( x = l/2 \),

\[
y = a \quad A = \frac{4a}{l^2}
\]

But from calculus, the load intensity is

\[
q = T \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}
\]

(1.14)

Finding \( \partial^2 y/\partial x^2 \) in Equation 1.13 and substituting into Equation 1.14 yields

\[
q = T \frac{4a}{l^2} \times 2 = \frac{8Ta}{l^2}
\]

(1.15a)

Figure 1.8 Sketched tendon subjected to transverse load intensity \( q \).
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or

\[ T = \frac{qI^2}{8a} \]  

(1.15b)

\[ Ta = \frac{qI^2}{8} \]  

(1.15c)

Hence, if the tendon has a parabolic profile in the prestressed beam and the prestressing force is denoted by \( P \), the balanced-load intensity, from Equation 1.15a, is

\[ w_b = \frac{8Pa}{I^2} \]  

(1.16)

Figure 1.9 gives a free-body diagram of the forces acting on a prestressed beam with a parabolic tendon profile. Clearly, the two sets of equal and opposite transverse loads \( w_b \) cancel each other, and no bending stress is produced. This is reasonable to expect in the load-balancing method, since it is always the case that \( T = C \), and \( C \) has to cancel \( T \) to satisfy the equilibrium requirement that \( \Sigma H = 0 \). As there is no bending, the beam remains straight, without having a convex shape, or camber, at the top face.

The concrete fiber stress across the depth of the section at midspan becomes

\[ f_b = \frac{P'}{A} = \frac{C}{A} \]  

(1.17)

This stress, which is constant, is due to the force \( P' = P \cos \theta \). Figure 1.10 shows the superposition of stresses to yield the net stress. Note that the prestressing force in the load-balancing method has to act at the center of gravity (cgc) of the support section in simply supported beams and at the cg of the free end in the case of a cantilever beam. This condition is necessary in order to prevent any eccentric unbalanced moments.

When the imposed load exceeds the balancing load \( w_b \) such that an additional unbalanced load \( w_{ub} \) is applied, a moment \( M_{ub} = w_{ub}I^2/8 \) results at midspan. The corresponding fiber stresses at midspan become

\[ f_b' = \frac{P'}{A_c} + \frac{M_{ub}c}{I_c} \]  

(1.18)

Equation 1.18 can be rewritten as the two equations

\[ f' = \frac{P'}{A_c} - \frac{M_{ub}}{S^t} \]  

(1.19a)

![Figure 1.9 Load-balancing force on free-body diagram.](image)
and

$$f_b = \frac{P'}{A_e} + \frac{M_{ub}}{S_b} \quad (1.19b)$$

Equations 1.19 will yield the same values of fiber stresses as Equations 1.7 and 1.12. Keep in mind that $P'$ is taken to be equal to $P$ at the midspan section because the prestressing force is horizontal at this section, i.e., $\theta = 0$.

Figure 1.10 Load-balancing stresses. (a) Prestress stresses. (b) Imposed-load stresses. (c) Balanced-load stresses. (d) Net stress.
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1.4 COMPUTATION OF FIBER STRESSES IN A PRESTRESSED BEAM BY THE BASIC METHOD

Example 1.1

A pretensioned simply supported 10LDT24 double T-beam without topping has a span of 64 ft (19.51 m) and the geometry shown in Figure 1.11. It is subjected to a uniform superimposed gravity dead-load intensity \( W_{sd} \) and live-load intensity \( W_{l} \) summing to 420 plf (6.13 kN/m). The initial prestress before losses is \( f_p = 0.70 f_{pu} = 189,000 \text{ psi} (1,303 \text{ MPa}) \), and the effective prestress after losses is \( f_{pe} = 150,000 \text{ psi} (1,034 \text{ MPa}) \). Compute the extreme fiber stresses at the midspan due to

(a) the initial full prestress and no external gravity load

(b) the final service load conditions when prestress losses have taken place.

Allowable stress data are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  f'_t &= 6,000 \text{ psi}, \text{ lightweight (41.4 MPa)} \\
  f_{pu} &= 270,000 \text{ psi}, \text{ stress relieved (1.862 MPa)} = \text{specified tensile strength of the tendons} \\
  f_{py} &= 220,000 \text{ psi (1.517 MPa)} = \text{specified yield strength of the tendons} \\
  f_{pe} &= 150,000 \text{ psi (1.034 MPa)} \\ 
  f_i &= 12 \sqrt{f''_c} = 930 \text{ psi (6.4 MPa)} = \text{maximum allowable tensile stress in concrete} \\
  f''_{c} &= 4,800 \text{ psi (33.1 MPa)} = \text{concrete compressive strength at time of initial prestress} \\
  f'_{d} &= 0.6 f''_{d} = 2,880 \text{ psi (19.9 MPa)} = \text{maximum allowable stress in concrete at initial prestress} \\
  f'_{c} &= 0.45 f''_{c} = \text{maximum allowable compressive stress in concrete at service}
\end{align*}
\]

Assume that ten \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in.-dia. Seven-wire-strand (ten 12.7-mm-dia strand) tendons with a 108-D1 strand pattern are used to prestress the beam.

\[
\begin{align*}
  A_c &= 449 \text{ in.}^2 (2,915 \text{ cm}^2) \\
  I_c &= 22,469 \text{ in.}^4 (935,347 \text{ cm}^4) \\
  r^2 &= I_c / A_c = 50.04 \text{ in.}^2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
W_{sd} + W_{l} = 420 \text{ plf (6.13 kN/m)}
\]

---

**Figure 1.11 Example 1.1**
Photo 1.12 Prestressed lightweight concrete mid-body for Arctic offshore drilling platform. Global Marine Development. (Courtesy, Ben C. Gerwick.)

\[ c_b = 17.77 \text{ in. (452 mm)} \]
\[ c_r = 6.23 \text{ in. (158 mm)} \]
\[ e_c = 14.77 \text{ in. (375 mm)} \]
\[ e_e = 7.77 \text{ in. (197 mm)} \]
\[ S_b = 1,264 \text{ in.}^2 (20,714 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ S_r = 3,607 \text{ in.}^2 (59,108 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ W_D = 359 \text{ plf (4.45 kN/m)} \]

Solution:

(i) Initial Conditions at Prestressing

\[ A_{ps} = 10 \times 0.153 = 1.53 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ P_i = A_{ps} f_{ps} = 1.53 \times 189,000 = 289,170 \text{ lb (1,287 kN)} \]
\[ P_e = 1.53 \times 150,000 = 229,500 \text{ lb (1,020 kN)} \]

The midspan self-weight dead-load moment is

\[ M_D = \frac{wf^2}{8} = \frac{359 (64)^2}{8} \times 12 = 2,205,696 \text{ in.-lb. (249 kN-m)} \]

From Equations 1.5 and 1.7,

\[ f' = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S_r} \]
\[ = -\frac{289,170}{449} \left( 1 - \frac{14.77 \times 6.23}{50.04} \right) + \frac{2,205,696}{3,607} \]
\[ = +540.3 - 611.5 \equiv -70 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \]
\[ = -\frac{289,170}{449} \left( 1 + \frac{14.77 \times 17.77}{50.04} \right) + \frac{2,205,696}{1,264} \]
1.5 C-Line Computation of Fiber Stresses

\[ f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e' c_i}{r^2} \right) = \frac{420 (64)^2}{8} \times 12 = 2,580,480 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Total Moment \( M_T = 2,205,696 + 2,580,480 = 4,786,176 \text{ in.-lb. (541 kN-m)} \)

\[ f' = -429 - 1,327 = -898 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ \frac{f_c}{f_b} = 0.45 \times 6,000 = 2,700 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e' c_i}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \]

\[ = -3,192 + 3,786 = +594 \text{ psi (T) (5.2 MPa)} \]

\[ \frac{f_c}{f_b} = 12 \sqrt{f_c} = 930 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

1.5 C-LINE COMPUTATION OF FIBER STRESSES

Example 1.2

Solve example 1.1 for the final service-load condition by the line-of-thrust, C-line method.

Solution:

\[ P_e = 229,500 \text{ lb} \]

\[ M_T = 4,786,176 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ a = \frac{M_T}{P_e} = \frac{4,786,176}{229,500} = 20.85 \text{ in.} \]

\[ e' = a - e = 20.85 - 14.77 = 6.08 \text{ in.} \]

From Equations 1.12,

\[ f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e' c_i}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ = -429 \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e' c_i}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ = -3,192 + 3,786 = +594 \text{ psi (T)} \]

Notice how the C-line method is shorter than the basic method used in Example 1.1.
1.6 LOAD-BALANCING COMPUTATION OF FIBER STRESSES

Example 1.3
Solve Example 1.1 for the final service load condition after losses using the load-balancing method.

Solution:

\[ P' = P_s = 229,500 \text{ lb at midspan} \]

At midspan, \( a = e_c = 14.77'' = 1.231 \text{ ft} \)

For the balancing load, we have

\[ W_b = 8 \frac{P_a}{P} = 8 \times \frac{229,500 \times 1.231}{(64)^2} \]

\[ = 552 \text{ plf (8.1 kN/m)} \]

Thus, if the total gravity load would have been 552 plf, only the axial load \( P' / A \) would act if the beam had a parabolically draped tendon with no eccentricity at the supports. This is because the gravity load is balanced by the tendon at the midspan. Hence,

Total load to which the beam is subjected = \( W_D + W_{x0} + W_L \)

\[ = 359 + 420 = 779 \text{ plf} \]

Unbalanced load \( W_{ab} = 779 - 552 = 227 \text{ plf} \)

Unbalanced moment \( M_{ab} = \frac{W_{ab}(l)^2}{8} = \frac{227(64)^2}{8} \times 12 \)

\[ = 1,394,688 \text{ in.-lb} \]

From Equations 1.19,

\[ f' = \frac{P'}{A_c} \frac{M_{ab}}{S'} = \frac{229,500}{449} - \frac{1,394,688}{3,607} \]
1.6 Load-Balancing Computation of Fiber Stresses

![Photo 1.14](image)

Heidrun Offshore Oil Drilling Platform in the North Sea weighing 2.9 million Kg. It measures 110 m on each side; has four slip-form constructed hulls and module-support 50-ft. span beams (Courtesy, C. E. Morrison, CONOCO Inc., Houston, Texas.)

\[
\begin{align*}
&= -511 - 387 = -898 \text{ psi (C)} \\
\sigma_b &= \frac{P'}{A_c} + \frac{M_{ab}}{S_b} = \frac{229,500}{449} + \frac{1,394,688}{1,264} \\
&= -511 + 1,104 = 594 \text{ psi (T)} \\
&\leq f_t = 930 \text{ psi allowed, O.K.}
\end{align*}
\]

1.7 SI WORKING LOAD STRESS CONCEPTS

Example 1.4

Solve Example 1.1 using SI units

**Given**

**Stress Data**

\[
\begin{align*}
f' &= 41.4 \text{ MPa} \\
f_{pu} &= 1.860 \text{ MPa} \\
f_{pi} &= 0.70 \times f_{pu} = 0.70 \times 1.860 = 1.300 \text{ MPa} \\
f_{pr} &= 1.520 \text{ MPa}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ f_{pu} = 1.034 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_t = \sqrt{f_{pc}} \] is deflection check O.K. = 6.4 MPa, use
\[ f_t = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f_{pc}} \] if no check made on deflection
\[ f_{pc} \approx 0.8 f_t = 33.1 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_{ct} = 0.6 f_{pc} = 19.9 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_c = 0.45 f_{pc} = 18.6 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ A_{pc} = 10 \text{ tendons } 17.7 \text{ mm diameter } = 10 \times 99 \text{mm}^2 = 990 \text{ mm}^2 \]

**Section Geometry**

Try 108-D1 Strand Pattern

\[ A_e = 2897 \text{ cm}^2 \]
\[ I_e = 935,346 \text{ cm}^2 \]
\[ r^2 = I_e/A_e = 323 \text{ cm}^2 \]
1.7 SI Working Load Stress Concepts

Photo 1.16  Pier 37 Rebuild, Seattle Washington: a 1200-ft-long by 40-ft wide pier consisting of 20-ft-long prestressed concrete deck panel supported by situ-cast concrete pile caps and prestressed concrete piles (Designed by BERGER/ABAM Engineers, Federal Way, Washington, courtesy Robert Mast, Senior Principal)

\[ c_b = 45.1 \text{ cm} \quad c_t = 15.8 \text{ cm} \]
\[ e_c = 37.5 \text{ cm} \quad e_s = 19.74 \text{ cm} \]
\[ S' = 59,108 \text{ cm}^3 \]
\[ S_b = 20,713 \text{ cm}^3 \]
\[ W_D = 5.24 \text{ kN/m} \]
\[ W_{SD} + W_L = 6.13 \text{ kN/m} \]
\[ l = 19.51 \text{ m} \]

Solution:

1. Initial conditions at prestressing

\[ A_{pe} = 990 \text{ mm}^2 \]
\[ P_t = A_{pd} \mu_t = 990 \times 1,303 = 1,290 \text{ kN} \]
\[ P_s = A_{pd} \mu_s = 900 \times 1034 = 1,024 \text{ kN} \]

Midspan self-weight dead-load moment

\[ M_D = \frac{w l^2}{8} = \frac{5.24 (19.51)^2}{8} = 249 \text{ kN-m} \]
From Equation 1.1a,

\[
f' = \frac{P_t}{A_t} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_d}{S'}
\]

\[
= \frac{1290}{2897} \left( 1 - \frac{37.5 \times 15.8}{323} \right) - \frac{249 \times 10^3 \text{kN-cm}}{59,108 \text{cm}^2}
\]

\[
= +0.37 - 0.42 \text{kN/cm}^2 = -0.5 \times 10^6 \text{N/m}^2
\]

\[
= 0.5 \text{MPa} \leq f' \text{ in tension} < f_{ci} \text{ O.K.}
\]

From Equation 1.1b,

\[
f_b = \frac{P_t}{A_t} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b}
\]

\[
= \frac{1290}{2897} \left( 1 + \frac{37.5 \times 45.1}{323} \right) + \frac{249 \times 10^3 \text{kN-cm}}{20,713 \text{cm}^2}
\]

Photo 1.18  Rendering of the New Maumee River Bridge, Toledo. The design includes a unique single pylon clad with glass emitting LED arrays at night, single plane of stays, and a main span of 612 feet in both directions. Courtesy of the designer, The Figg Engineering Group of Tallahassee, Florida.

\[ -2.33 + 1.23 \text{ kN/cm}^2 = -11.0 \times 10^3 \text{ N/m}^2 \]

\[ = 11.0 \text{ MPa (C)} < \text{ allowable } f_{cd} = 19.9 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]

2. Final condition at service load

\[ W_{SD+L} = 6.13 \text{ kN/m} \]

\[ M_{SD+L} = \frac{6.13(19.51)}{8} = 292 \text{ kN-m} \]

Total Moment \( M_T = 343 + 292 = 635 \text{ kN - m} \) From Eq. 1.7a,

\[ f_{ct'} = -\frac{P_T}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{ec_T}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_T}{S'} \]

\[ = \frac{1024}{2897} \left( 1 - \frac{37.5 \times 15.8}{323} \right) - \frac{541 \times 10^3 \text{ kN-cm}}{59,108 \text{ cm}^3} \]

\[ = +0.29 - 0.92 \text{ kN/cm}^2 = -6.3 \times 10^6 \text{ N/m}^2 \]

\[ = -6.3 \text{ MPa (C)} < \text{ allow } f_c = 18.6 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]

From Equation 1.7b,

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_T}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \]

\[ = \frac{1024}{2897} \left( 1 + \frac{37.5 \times 45.1}{323} \right) + \frac{541 \times 10^3 \text{ kN-cm}}{20,713 \text{ cm}^3} \]

\[ = (-2.20 + 2.16) \times 10^7 \text{ N/m}^2 = +4.1 \times 10^6 \text{ N/m}^2 \]

\[ = 4.1 \text{ MPa (T)} < \text{ allow } f_t = \sqrt{f_T} = 6.4 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]
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PROBLEMS

1.1 An AASHTO prestressed simply supported I-beam has a span of 34 ft (10.4 m) and is 36 in. (91.4 cm) deep. Its cross section is shown in Figure P1.1. It is subjected to a live-load intensity \( W_L = 3,600 \text{ plf} \) (52.6 kN/m). Determine the required \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in.-dia stress-relieved seven-wire strands to resist the applied gravity load and the self-weight of the beam, assuming that the tendon eccentricity at midspan is \( e_e = 13.12 \text{ in.} \) (333 mm). Maximum permissible stresses are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
& f'_c = 6,000 \text{ psi} \ (41.4 \text{ MPa}) \\
& f_e = 0.45 f'_c 
\end{align*}
\]

![Figure P1.1](image-url)
= 2,700 psi (19.7 MPa)
\( f_c = 12 \sqrt{f_e c} = 930 \) psi (6.4 MPa)
\( f_{pu} = 270,000 \) psi (1,862 MPa)
\( f_{ps} = 189,000 \) psi (1,303 MPa)
\( f_{pe} = 145,000 \) psi (1,000 MPa)

The section properties are:

\[ A_c = 369 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ I_g = 50,979 \text{ in}^4 \]
\[ r^2 = I_g / A_c = 138 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ c_b = 15.83 \text{ in.} \]
\[ S_b = 3,220 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ S' = 2,527 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ W_D = 384 \text{ pfl} \]
\[ W_L = 3,600 \text{ pfl} \]

Solve the problem by each of the following methods:

(a) Basic concept
(b) C-line
(c) Load balancing

1.2 Solve problem 1.1 for a 45 ft (13.7 m) span and a superimposed live load \( W_L = 2,000 \) pfl (29.2 kN/m).

1.3 A simply supported pretensioned pretopped double T-beam for a floor has a span of 70 ft (21.3 m) and the geometrical dimensions shown in Figure P1.3. It is subjected to a gravity live-load intensity \( W_L = 480 \) pfl (7 kN/m), and the prestressing tendon has an eccentricity at midspan of \( e_c = 19.96 \) in. (494 mm). Compute the concrete extreme fiber stresses in this beam at transfer and at service load, and verify whether they are within the permissible limits. Assume that all permissible stresses and materials used are the same as in example 1.1. The section properties are:

**Section Properties**

Untopped

\[ A_c = 1185 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ I_g = 109,621 \text{ in}^4 \]
\[ c_b = 25.65 \text{ in.} \]
\[ c_t = 8.35 \text{ in.} \]
\[ S_b = 4274 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ S' = 13,128 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ W_D = 1234 \text{ pfl} \]
\[ W_L = 82 \text{ psf} \]
\[ V/S = 2.45 \text{ in.} \]

![Figure P1.3](image-url)
Design the prestressing steel needed using \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in.-dia stress-relieved seven-wire strands. Use the three methods of analysis discussed in this chapter in your solution.

1.4 A T-shaped simply supported beam has the cross section shown in Figure P1.4. It has a span of 36 ft (11 m), is loaded with a gravity live-load unit intensity \( W_L = 2,500 \text{ plf} \) (36.5 kN/m), and is prestressed with twelve \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in.-dia (twelve 12.7-mm-dia) seven-wire stress-relieved strands. Compute the concrete fiber stresses at service load by each of the following methods:

(a) Basic concept

(b) C-line

(c) Load balancing

Assume that the tendon eccentricity at midspan is \( e_c = 9.6 \) in. (244 mm). Then given that

\[
\begin{align*}
f'_c &= 5,000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa)} \\
f_c &= 12\sqrt{f'_c} = 849 \text{ psi (5.9 MPa)} \\
f_{ps} &= 165,000 \text{ psi (1,138 MPa)}
\end{align*}
\]

the section properties are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_c &= 504 \text{ in}^2 \\
I_c &= 37,059 \text{ in.}^4 \\
p^2 &= I_c/A_c = 73.5 \text{ in.}^2 \\
d_b &= 12.43 \text{ in.} \\
S_b &= 2,981 \text{ in.}^3 \\
S' &= 2,109 \text{ in.}^3 \\
W_D &= 525 \text{ plf} \\
e_c &= 9.6 \text{ in.}
\end{align*}
\]

\( A_{ps} \) = twelve \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in.-dia, seven-wire stress-relieved strands

\[\text{Figure P1.4}\]

1.5 Solve problem 1.4 if \( f'_c = 7,000 \) psi (48.3 MPa) and \( f_{ps} = 160,000 \) psi (1,103 MPa).
2.1 CONCRETE

2.1.1 Introduction

Concrete, particularly high-strength concrete, is a major constituent of all prestressed concrete elements. Hence, its strength and long-term endurance have to be achieved through proper quality control and quality assurance at the production stage. Numerous texts are available on concrete production, quality control, and code requirements. The following discussion is intended to highlight the topics directly related to concrete in prestressed elements and systems; it is assumed that the reader is already familiar with the fundamentals of concrete and reinforced concrete.

2.1.2 Parameters Affecting the Quality of Concrete

Strength and endurance are two major qualities that are particularly important in prestressed concrete structures. Long-term detrimental effects can rapidly reduce the prestressing forces and could result in unexpected failure. Hence, measures have to be taken to ensure strict quality control and quality assurance at the various stages of production.

and construction as well as maintenance. Figure 2.1 shows the various factors that result in good-quality concrete.

2.1.3 Properties of Hardened Concrete

The mechanical properties of hardened concrete can be classified into two categories: short-term or instantaneous properties, and long-term properties. The short-term properties are strength in compression, tension, and shear; and stiffness, as measured by the modulus of elasticity. The long-term properties can be classified in terms of creep and shrinkage. The following subsections present some details on these properties.

2.1.3.1 Compressive Strength. Depending on the type of mix, the properties of aggregate, and the time and quality of the curing, compressive strengths of concrete can be obtained up to 20,000 psi or more. Commercial production of concrete with ordinary ag-
2.1 Concrete

Photo 2.1  Concrete cylinders tested to failure in compression. Specimen A, low-epoxy-cement content; specimen B, high-epoxy-cement content. (Tests by Nawy, Sun, and Sauer.)

Aggregate is usually in the range 4,000 to 12,000 psi, with the most common concrete strengths being in the 6,000 psi level.

The compressive strength $f'_{c}$ is based on standard 6 in. by 12 in. cylinders cured under standard laboratory conditions and tested at a specified rate of loading at 28 days of age. The standard specifications used in the United States are usually taken from ASTM C-39. The strength of concrete in the actual structure may not be the same as that of the cylinder because of the difference in compaction and curing conditions.

For a strength test, the ACI code specifies using the average of two cylinders from the same sample tested at the same age, which is usually 28 days. As for the frequency of testing, the code specifies that the strength of an individual class of concrete can be considered satisfactory if (1) the average of all sets of three consecutive strength tests equals or exceeds the required $f'_{c}$, and (2) no individual strength test (average of two cylinders) falls below the required $f'_{c}$ by more than 500 psi. The average concrete strength for which a concrete mixture must be designed should exceed $f'_{c}$ by an amount that depends on the uniformity of plant production.

Note that the design $f'_{c}$ should not be the average cylinder strength, but rather the minimum conceivable cylinder strength.

2.1.3.2 Tensile Strength. The tensile strength of concrete is relatively low. A good approximation for the tensile strength $f_{t}$ is $0.10f'_{c} < f_{t} < 0.20f'_{c}$. It is more difficult to measure tensile strength than compressive strength because of the gripping problems with testing machines. A number of methods are available for tension testing, the most commonly used method being the cylinder splitting, or Brazilian, test.

For members subjected to bending, the value of the modulus of rupture $f_{t}$, rather than the tensile splitting strength $f'_{c}$, is used in design. The modulus of rupture is measured by testing to failure plain concrete beams 6 in. square in cross section, having a
span of 18 in., and loaded at their third points (ASTM C-78). The modulus of rupture has a higher value than the tensile splitting strength. The ACI specifies a value of 7.5 $\sqrt{f_c}$ for the modulus of rupture of normal-weight concrete.

In most cases, lightweight concrete has a lower tensile strength than does normal-weight concrete. The following are the code stipulations for lightweight concrete:

Photo 2.2 Electron microscope photographs of concrete from specimens A and B in the preceding photograph. (Tests by Nawy et al.)
Photo 2.3 Fracture surfaces in tensile splitting tests of concretes with different w/c contents. Specimens CI and CIV have higher w/c content, hence more bond failures than specimen CVI. (Tests by Nawy et al.)

1. If the splitting tensile strength $f_{st}$ is specified,

   $$f_s = 1.09 f_{st} = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

2. If $f_{st}$ is not specified, use a factor of 0.75 for all-lightweight concrete and 0.85 for sand-lightweight concrete. Linear interpolation may be used for mixtures of natural sand and lightweight fine aggregate.

2.1.3.3 Shear Strength. Shear strength is more difficult to determine experimentally than the tests discussed previously because of the difficulty in isolating shear from other stresses. This is one of the reasons for the large variation in shear-strength values reported in the literature, varying from 20 percent of the compressive strength in normal loading to a considerably higher percentage of up to 85 percent of the compressive strength in cases where direct shear exists in combination with compression. Control of a structural design by shear strength is significant only in rare cases, since shear stresses must ordinarily be limited to continually lower values in order to protect the concrete from failure in diagonal tension.
2.2 STRESS-STRAIN CURVE OF CONCRETE

Knowledge of the stress-strain relationship of concrete is essential for developing all the analysis and design terms and procedures in concrete structures. Figure 2.2 shows a typical stress-strain curve obtained from tests using cylindrical concrete specimens loaded in uniaxial compression over several minutes. The first portion of the curve, to about 40 percent of the ultimate strength $f'_{cu}$, can essentially be considered linear for all practical purposes. After approximately 70 percent of the failure stress, the material loses a large portion of its stiffness, thereby increasing the curvilinearity of the diagram. At ultimate load, cracks parallel to the direction of loading become distinctly visible, and most concrete cylinders (except those with very low strengths) suddenly fail shortly thereafter. Figure 2.3 shows the stress-strain curves of concrete of various strengths reported by the Portland Cement Association. It can be observed that (1) the lower the strength of concrete, the higher the failure strain; (2) the length of the initial relatively linear portion increases with the increase in the compressive strength of concrete; and (3) there is an apparent reduction in ductility with increased strength.

2.3 MODULUS OF ELASTICITY AND CHANGE IN COMPRRESSIVE STRENGTH WITH TIME

Since the stress-strain curve shown in Figure 2.4 is curvilinear at a very early stage of its loading history, Young's modulus of elasticity can be applied only to the tangent of the curve at the origin. The initial slope of the tangent to the curve is defined as the initial tangent modulus, and it is also possible to construct a tangent modulus at any point of the curve. The slope of the straight line that connects the origin to a given stress (about 0.4 $f'_{cu}$) determines the secant modulus of elasticity of concrete. This value, termed in design calculation the modulus of elasticity, satisfies the practical assumption that strains occurring during loading can be considered basically elastic (completely recoverable on unloading), and that any subsequent strain due to the load is regarded as creep.

The ACI building code gives the following expressions for calculating the secant modulus of elasticity of concrete, $E_c$

$$E_c = 33w_e^{1.5} \sqrt{f'_{cu}} \quad \text{for} \quad 90 < w_e < 155 \text{ lb/ft}^3$$

(2.2a)

where $w_e$ is the density of concrete in pounds per cubic foot (1 lb/ft$^3 = 16.02$ kg/m$^3$) and $f'_{cu}$ is the compressive cylinder strength in psi. For normal-weight concrete,
Figure 2.3 Stress-strain curves for various concrete strengths.
Figure 2.4  Tangent and secant moduli of concrete.

\[ E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ psi} \ (4,700 \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ MPa}) \]

or

\[ E_c = 0.043w^{1.5} \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ MPa} \quad \text{(2.2.b)} \]

2.3.1 High-Strength Concrete

High-strength concrete is termed as such by the ACI 318 Code when the cylinder compressive strength exceeds 6,000 psi (41.4 MPa). For concrete having compressive strengths 6,000 to 12,000 psi (42–84 MPa), the expressions for the modulus of concrete (Refs. 2.11, 2.35, 2.38)

\[ E_c (\text{psi}) = [40,000 \sqrt{f'_c} + 10^6] \left( \frac{w_c}{145} \right)^{1.5} \quad \text{(2.3a)} \]

where \( f'_c \) = psi and \( w_c \) = lb/ft³

or

\[ E_c (\text{MPa}) = [3.32 \sqrt{f'_c} + 6,895] \left( \frac{w_c}{2320} \right)^{1.5} \quad \text{(2.3b)} \]

where \( f'_c \) = MPa and \( w_c \) = Kg/m³.

Today, concrete strength up to 20,000 psi (138 MPa) is easily achieved using a maximum stone aggregate size of \( \frac{3}{4} \) in. (9.5 mm) and pozzolanic cementitious partial replacements for the cement such as silica fume. Such strengths can be obtained in the field under strict quality control and quality assurance conditions. For strengths in the range of 20,000 to 30,000 (138–206 MPa), other constituents such as steel or carbon fibers have to be added to the mixture. In all these cases, mixture design has to be made by several field trial batches (five or more), modifying the mixture components for the workability needed in concrete placement. Steel cylinder molds size 4 in. (diameter) × 8 in. length have to be used, applying the appropriate dimensional correction. It is also necessary to
grind the cylinder ends, then cap them with high strength capping compound for load testing, or to apply the load directly to the ground ends of the cylinder or through a removable steel cap with a hard neoprene pad bearing directly on the ground specimen ends. Preparation of the cylinders should resemble as closely as possible the field conditions of concrete placement. Mock-up placement of the high-strength concrete is advisable in order to evaluate the construction procedures and performance of the concrete in field conditions and to identify potential problems with batching, placement, and testing of the concrete at early ages. Corrective measures should be taken immediately.

A good example of the use of high-strength concrete in the range 20,000 psi (138 MPa) at 56 days and a concrete modulus $E_c = 7.8 \times 106$ psi (53.8 $\times$ 103 MPa) is the Two Union Square Building, Seattle, Washington (Ref. 2.11, 2.38). Actual typical mixture obtained is listed in Table 2.1, with the design mixture values in parentheses.

A slump of 8 in. with $w/c = 0.22$ resulted from the mix proportions indicated. A typical compressive vs. age plot for the indicated mixture based on 4 in. $\times$ 8 in. cylinder tests is shown in Figure 2.5.

Recent work at Rutgers (Refs. 2.36, 2.37) on high-strength composite construction has resulted in considerable enhancement of the ductility of high-strength reinforced concrete beams. Prestressed concrete prisms of high-strength concrete were used in lieu of the normal mild steel bar reinforcement. The mixture proportions in lb/yd$^3$ were as shown in Table 2.2. The mixture was designed for a seven-day compressive strength of 12,000 psi (84 MPa). The ratio of the cementations/fine/coarse aggregate was 1:1.22:2.06 and the slump varied between 4 to 6 in. (100–150 mm). The prestressing strands were stress-relieved 270K (1900 MPa) 7 wire $\frac{3}{8}$ in. (9.5 mm) diameter strands. Figure 2.6 shows the cross section of the composite beams. Concrete achieved in some of the mixes as a 7 day strength of 13,250 psi (91.4 MPa). The tested specimens were instrumented with a fiber-optic system developed by the author using Bragg Grating sensors both internally and externally.

### 2.3.2 Initial Compressive Strength and Modulus

Since prestressing is performed in most cases prior to concrete's achieving its 28 days' strength, it is important to determine the concrete compressive strength $f'_c$ at the prestressing stage as well as the concrete modulus $E_c$ at the various stages in the loading history of the element. The general expression for the compressive strength as a function of time (Ref. 2.18) is

$$f'_c = \frac{t}{\alpha + \beta t} f'_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coarse aggregate (lb)</th>
<th>Fine aggregate (paving sand) (lb)</th>
<th>Cement (lb)</th>
<th>Water (lb)</th>
<th>Silica fume (gal)</th>
<th>Superplasticizer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1805)</td>
<td>(1100)</td>
<td>(950)</td>
<td>(w/c = 0.22)</td>
<td>(70 lb)$^a$</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Weight of solid silica fume only. Water contained as part of the emulsion must be subtracted from the total water allowed.
where \( f'_c \) = 28 days' compressive strength
\( t \) = time in days
\( \alpha \) = factor depending on type of cement and curing conditions
\( = 4.00 \) for moist-cured type-I cement and 2.30 for moist-cured type-III cement
\( = 1.00 \) for steam-cured type-I cement and 0.70 for steam-cured type-III cement
\( \beta \) = factor depending on the same parameters for \( \alpha \) giving corresponding values of 0.85, 0.92, 0.95, and 0.98, respectively

Hence, for a typical moist-cured type-I cement concrete,

\[
f'_{ci} = \frac{t}{4.00 + 0.85t} f'_c \tag{2.4b}
\]

**Table 2.2** Mixture Proportions in lb/yd³ For Composite Beams \( f'_c > 13,000 \) PSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coarse aggregate ( \frac{\text{in.}}{} )</th>
<th>Fine aggregate (natural sand) ( \frac{\text{lb/yd}^3}{\text{lb/yd}^3} )</th>
<th>Portland cement type III ( \frac{\text{lb/yd}^3}{\text{lb/yd}^3} )</th>
<th>Water ( \frac{\text{lb/yd}^3}{\text{lb/yd}^3} )</th>
<th>Powder silica fume force—10,000 ( \frac{\text{lb/yd}^3}{\text{lb/yd}^3} )</th>
<th>Liquid super plasticizer (Grace)</th>
<th>(( \frac{\text{lb/yd}^3}{\text{lb/yd}^3} ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(( \frac{\text{lb/yd}^3}{\text{lb/yd}^3} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1851</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>(( \frac{\text{lb/yd}^3}{\text{lb/yd}^3} ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 lb/yd³ = 0.59 Kg/m³
Figure 2.6 High-strength flanged sections reinforced with prestressed concrete prisms instrumented with fiber-optic sensors (Ref. 2.37).
The effective modulus of concrete, $E'_e$, is

$$E'_e = \frac{\text{stress}}{\text{elastic strain} + \text{creep strain}}$$

and the ultimate effective modulus is given by

$$E_{en} = \frac{E_e}{1 + \gamma_t}$$

where $\gamma_t$ is the creep ratio defined as

$$\gamma_t = \frac{\text{ultimate creep strain}}{\text{elastic strain}}$$

The creep ratio $\gamma_t$ has upper and lower limits as follows for prestressed quality concrete:

Upper: \hspace{1cm} $\gamma_t = 1.75 + 2.25 \left( \frac{100 - H}{65} \right)$

Lower: \hspace{1cm} $\gamma_t = 0.75 + 0.75 \left( \frac{100 - H}{50} \right)$

where $H$ is the mean humidity in percent.

It has to be pointed out that these expressions are valid only in general terms, since the value of the modulus of elasticity is affected by factors other than loads, such as moisture in the concrete specimen, the water/cement ratio, the age of the concrete, and temperature. Therefore, for special structures such as arches, tunnels, and tanks, the modulus of elasticity needs to be determined from test results.
2.4 Creep

Limited work exists on the determination of the modulus of elasticity in tension because the low-tensile strength of concrete is normally disregarded in calculations. It is, however, valid to assume within those limitations that the value of the modulus in tension is equal to that in compression.

2.4 CREEP

Creep, or lateral material flow, is the increase in strain with time due to a sustained load. The initial deformation due to load is the elastic strain, while the additional strain due to the same sustained load is the creep strain. This practical assumption is quite acceptable, since the initial recorded deformation includes few time-dependent effects.

Figure 2.7 illustrates the increase in creep strain with time, and as in the case of shrinkage, it can be seen that creep rate decreases with time. Creep cannot be observed directly and can be determined only by deducting elastic strain and shrinkage strain from the total deformation. Although shrinkage and creep are not independent phenomena, it can be assumed that superposition of strains is valid; hence,

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{total}} = \varepsilon_{\text{elastic}} + \varepsilon_{\text{creep}} + \varepsilon_{\text{shrinkage}} \]

An example of the relative numerical values of strain due to the foregoing three factors for a normal concrete specimen subjected to 900 psi in compression is as follows:

- Immediate elastic strain, \( \varepsilon_e = 250 \times 10^{-6} \) in./in.
- Shrinkage strain after 1 year, \( \varepsilon_{sh} = 500 \times 10^{-6} \) in./in.
- Creep strain after 1 year, \( \varepsilon_c = 750 \times 10^{-6} \) in./in.

\[ \varepsilon_t = 1,500 \times 10^{-6} \) in./in.\]

These relative values illustrate that stress-strain relationships for short-term loading lose their significance and long-term loadings become dominant in their effect on the behavior of a structure.

Figure 2.8 qualitatively shows, in a three-dimensional model, the three types of strain discussed that result from sustained compressive stress and shrinkage. Since creep is time dependent, this model has to be such that its orthogonal axes are deformation, stress, and time.

Numerous tests have indicated that creep deformation is proportional to applied stress, but the proportionality is valid only for low-stress levels. The upper limit of the relationship cannot be determined accurately, but can vary between 0.2 and 0.5 of the ultim...
mate strength $f'_c$. This range in the limit of the proportionality is due to the large extent of microcracks at about 40 percent of the ultimate load.

Figure 2.9a shows a section of the three-dimensional model in Figure 2.8 parallel to the plane containing the stress and deformation axes at time $t_1$. It indicates that both elastic and creep strains are linearly proportional to the applied stress. In a similar manner, Figure 2.9b illustrates a section parallel to the plane containing the time and strain axes at a stress $f'_c$; hence, it shows the familiar relationships of creep with time and shrinkage with time.

As in the case of shrinkage, creep is not completely reversible. If a specimen is unloaded after a period under a sustained load, an immediate elastic recovery is obtained which is less than the strain precipitated on loading. The instantaneous recovery is followed by a gradual decrease in strain, called creep recovery. The extent of the recovery
depends on the age of the concrete when loaded, with older concretes presenting higher creep recoveries, while residual strains or deformations become frozen in the structural element (see Figure 2.10).

Creep is closely related to shrinkage, and as a general rule, a concrete that resists shrinkage also presents a low creep tendency, as both phenomena are related to the hydrated cement paste. Hence, creep is influenced by the composition of the concrete, the environmental conditions, and the size of the specimen, but principally creep depends on loading as a function of time.

The composition of a concrete specimen can be essentially defined by the water/cement ratio and water/cementitious ratio when admixtures are used, aggregate and cement types, and aggregate and cement contents. Therefore, like shrinkage, an increase in the water/cement ratio and in the cement content increases creep. Also, as in shrinkage, the aggregate induces a restraining effect such that an increase in aggregate content reduces creep.

### 2.4.1 Effects of Creep

As in shrinkage, creep increases the deflection of beams and slabs and causes loss of pre-stress. In addition, the initial eccentricity of a reinforced concrete column increases with time due to creep, resulting in the transfer of the compressive load from the concrete to the steel in the section.

Once the steel yields, additional load has to be carried by the concrete. Consequently, the resisting capacity of the column is reduced and the curvature of the column increases further, resulting in overstress in the concrete, leading to failure.

### 2.4.2 Rheological Models

Rheological models are mechanical devices that portray the general deformation behavior and flow of materials under stress. A model is basically composed of elastic springs and ideal dashpots denoting stress, elastic strain, delayed elastic strain, irrecoverable strain, and time. The springs represent the proportionality between stress and strain, and the dashpots represent the proportionality of stress to the rate of strain. A spring and a dashpot in parallel form a Kelvin unit, and in series they form a Maxwell unit.

Two rheological models will be discussed: the Burgers model and the Ross model. The Burgers model in Figure 2.11 is shown since it can approximately simulate the stress-strain-time behavior of concrete at the limit of proportionality with some limitations. This model simulates the instantaneous recoverable strain, $a$; the delayed recoverable
elastic strain in the spring, \( b \); and the irrecovable time-dependent strains in the dashpots, \( c \) and \( d \). The weakness in the model is that it continues to deform at a uniform rate as long as the load is sustained by the Maxwell dashpot—a behavior not similar to concrete, where creep reaches a limiting value with time, as shown in Figure 2.7.

A modification in the form of the Ross rheological model in Figure 2.12 can eliminate this deficiency. \( A \) in this model represents the Hookian direct proportionality of stress-to-strain element, \( D \) is the dashpot, and \( B \) and \( C \) are the elastic springs that can transmit the applied load \( P(t) \) to the enclosing cylinder walls by direct friction. Since each coil has a defined frictional resistance, only those coils whose resistance equals the applied load \( P(t) \) are displaced; the others remain unstressed, symbolizing the irrecoverable deformation in concrete. As the load continues to increase, it overcomes the spring resistance of unit \( B \), pulling out the spring from the dashpot and signifying failure in a concrete element. More rigorous models, such as Roll's, have been used to assist in predicting the creep strains. Mathematical expressions for such predictions can be very rigorous. One convenient expression due to Ross defines the creep \( C \) under load after a time interval \( t \) as

\[
C = \frac{t}{a + bt}
\]  

(2.7)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants determinable from tests.

Work by Branson (Refs. 2.18 and 2.19) has simplified creep evaluation. The additional strain \( \varepsilon_{\text{cu}} \) due to creep can be defined as

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{cu}} = \rho_u f_{ci}
\]  

(2.8)

where \( \rho_u \) = unit creep coefficient, generally called specific creep

\( f_{ci} \) = stress intensity in the structural member corresponding to unit strain \( \varepsilon_{ci} \)

The ultimate creep coefficient, \( C_u \), is given by

\[
C_u = \rho_u E_c
\]  

(2.9)

or average \( C_u = 2.35 \).
Branson’s model, verified by extensive tests, relates the creep coefficient \( C_t \) at any time to the ultimate creep coefficient (for standard conditions) as

\[
C_t = \frac{t^{0.5}}{10 + t^{0.5}} C_u
\]  
(2.10)

or, alternatively,

\[
\rho_t = \frac{t^{0.6}}{10 + t^{0.6}}
\]  
(2.11)

where \( t \) is the time in days and \( \rho_t \) is the time multiplier. Standard conditions as defined by Branson pertain to concretes of slump 4 in. (10 cm) or less and a relative humidity of 40 percent.

When conditions are not standard, creep correction factors have to be applied to Equations 2.10 or 2.11 as follows:

(a) For moist-cured concrete loaded at an age of 7 days or more,

\[
k_a = 1.25 t^{-0.118}
\]  
(2.12)

(b) For steam-cured concrete loaded at an age of 1 to 3 days or more,

\[
k_a = 1.13 t^{-0.095}
\]  
(2.13)

For greater than 40 percent relative humidity, a further multiplier correction factor of

\[
k_{s1} = 1.27 - 0.0067H
\]  
(2.14)

Photo 2.5  Energy Center, New Orleans, Louisiana. (Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.)
has to be applied in addition to those of Equations 2.12 and 2.13, where \( H = \) relative humidity value in percent.

### 2.5 Shrinkage

Basically, there are two types of shrinkage: plastic shrinkage and drying shrinkage. Plastic shrinkage occurs during the first few hours after placing fresh concrete in the forms. Exposed surfaces such as floor slabs are more easily affected by exposure to dry air because of their large contact surface. In such cases, moisture evaporates faster from the concrete surface than it is replaced by the bleed water from the lower layers of the concrete elements. Drying shrinkage, on the other hand, occurs after the concrete has already attained its final set and a good portion of the chemical hydration process in the cement gel has been accomplished.

Drying shrinkage is the decrease in the volume of a concrete element when it loses moisture by evaporation. The opposite phenomenon, that is, volume increase through water absorption, is termed swelling. In other words, shrinkage and swelling represent water movement out of or into the gel structure of a concrete specimen due to the difference in humidity or saturation levels between the specimen and the surroundings irrespective of the external load.

Shrinkage is not a completely reversible process. If a concrete unit is saturated with water after having fully shrunk, it will not expand to its original volume. Figure 2.13 relates the increase in shrinkage strain \( \varepsilon_{sh} \) with time. The rate decreases with time since older concretes are more resistant to stress and consequently undergo less shrinkage, such that the shrinkage strain becomes almost asymptotic with time.

Several factors affect the magnitude of drying shrinkage:

1. **Aggregate.** The aggregate acts to restrain the shrinkage of the cement paste; hence, concretes with high aggregate content are less vulnerable to shrinkage. In addition, the degree of restraint of a given concrete is determined by the properties of aggregates: Those with a high modulus of elasticity or with rough surfaces are more resistant to the shrinkage process.

2. **Water/cement ratio.** The higher the water/cement ratio, the higher the shrinkage effects. Figure 2.14 is a typical plot relating aggregate content to water/cement ratio.

3. **Size of the concrete element.** Both the rate and the total magnitude of shrinkage decrease with an increase in the volume of the concrete element. However, the duration of shrinkage is longer for larger members since more time is needed for drying to reach the internal regions. It is possible that 1 year may be needed for the drying

![Shrinkage-time curve](image.png)
process to begin at a depth of 10 in. from the exposed surface, and 10 years to begin at 24 in. below the external surface.

4. **Medium ambient conditions.** The relative humidity of the medium greatly affects the magnitude of shrinkage; the rate of shrinkage is lower at high states of relative humidity. The environment temperature is another factor, in that shrinkage becomes stabilized at low temperatures.

5. **Amount of reinforcement.** Reinforced concrete shrinks less than plain concrete; the relative difference is a function of the reinforcement percentage.

6. **Admixtures.** This effect varies depending on the type of admixture. An accelerator such as calcium chloride, used to accelerate the hardening and setting of the concrete, increases the shrinkage. Pozzolans can also increase the drying shrinkage, whereas air-entraining agents have little effect.

7. **Type of cement.** Rapid-hardening cement shrinks somewhat more than other types, while shrinkage-compensating cement minimizes or eliminates shrinkage cracking if used with restraining reinforcement.

8. **Carbonation.** Carbonation shrinkage is caused by the reaction between the carbon dioxide (CO₂) present in the atmosphere and that present in the cement paste. The amount of the combined shrinkage varies according to the sequence of occurrence of carbonation and drying processes. If both phenomena take place simultaneously, less shrinkage develops. The process of carbonation, however, is dramatically reduced at relative humidities below 50 percent.

Branson (Ref. 2.18) recommends the following relationships for the shrinkage strain as a function of time for standard conditions of humidity (H = 40 percent):

(a) For moist-cured concrete any time \( t \) after 7 days,

\[
\varepsilon_{SH,t} = \frac{t}{35 + t} (\varepsilon_{SH,a})
\]  

(2.15)

where \( \varepsilon_{SH,a} = 800 \times 10^{-6} \) in./in. if local data are not available.
(b) For steam-cured concrete after the age of 1 to 3 days,

$$\varepsilon_{S,H} = \frac{t}{55 + t} \varepsilon_{S,H,u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

For other than standard humidity, a correction factor has to be applied to Equations 2.15 and 2.16 as follows:

(a) For $40 < H \leq 80$ percent,

$$k_{SH} = 1.40 - 0.010H$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.17a)

(b) For $80 < H \leq 100$ percent,

$$k_{SH} = 3.00 - 0.030H$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.17b)

2.6 NONPRESTRESSING REINFORCEMENT

Steel reinforcement for concrete consists of bars, wires, and welded wire fabric, all of which are manufactured in accordance with ASTM standards. The most important properties of reinforcing steel are:

1. Young’s modulus, $E_s$
2. Yield strength, $f_y$
3. Ultimate strength, $f_u$
4. Steel grade designation
5. Size or diameter of the bar or wire

To increase the bond between concrete and steel, projections called deformations are rolled onto the bar surface as shown in Figure 2.15, in accordance with ASTM specifications. The deformations shown must satisfy ASTM Specification A616-76 for the bars to be accepted as deformed. Deformed wire has indentations pressed into the wire or bar to serve as deformations. Except for wire used in spiral reinforcement in columns, only deformed bars, deformed wires, or wire fabric made from smooth or deformed wire may be used in reinforced concrete under approved practice.

![Figure 2.15 Various forms of ASTM-approved deformed bars.](image)
2.6 Nonprestressing Reinforcement

![Graph showing stress-strain curves for various steels.](image)

**Figure 2.16** Typical stress-strain diagrams for various nonprestressing steels.

Figure 2.16 shows typical stress-strain curves for grades 40, 60, and 75 steels. These have corresponding yield strengths of 40,000, 60,000, and 75,000 psi (276, 345, and 517 N/mm², respectively) and generally have well-defined yield points. For steels that lack a well-defined yield point, the yield-strength value is taken as the strength corresponding to a unit strain of 0.005 for grades 40 and 60 steels, and 0.0035 for grade 80 steel. The ultimate tensile strengths corresponding to the 40, 60, and 80 grade steels are 70,000, 90,000, and 100,000 psi (483, 621, and 690 N/mm²), respectively, and some steel types are given in Table 2.3. The percent elongation at fracture, which varies with the grade, bar diameter, and manufacturing source, ranges from 4.5 to 12 percent over an 8-in. (203.2-mm) gage length.

Welded wire fabric is increasingly used for slabs because of the ease of placing the fabric sheets, the control over reinforcement spacing, and the better bond. The fabric reinforcement is made of smooth or deformed wires which run in perpendicular directions.

**Table 2.3** Reinforcement Grades and Strengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1982 Standard type</th>
<th>Minimum yield point or yield strength, ( f_y ) (psi)</th>
<th>Ultimate strength, ( f_u ) (psi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billet steel (A615)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 40</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 60</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axle steel (A617)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 40</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 60</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-alloy steel (A706): Grade 60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformed wire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth wire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric</td>
<td>65,000, 56,000</td>
<td>75,000, 70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth</td>
<td>Deformed</td>
<td>Nominal diameter (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W31</td>
<td>D31</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W30</td>
<td>D30</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W28</td>
<td>D28</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W26</td>
<td>D26</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W24</td>
<td>D24</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W22</td>
<td>D22</td>
<td>0.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W20</td>
<td>D20</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W18</td>
<td>D18</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W16</td>
<td>D16</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W14</td>
<td>D14</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W12</td>
<td>D12</td>
<td>0.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11</td>
<td>D11</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W10.5</td>
<td>D10</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W10</td>
<td>D10</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W9.5</td>
<td>D9</td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W9</td>
<td>D9</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W8.5</td>
<td>D8</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W8</td>
<td>D8</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W7.5</td>
<td>D7</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W7</td>
<td>D7</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W6.5</td>
<td>D6</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W6</td>
<td>D6</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5.5</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4.5</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3.5</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2.9</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2.5</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1.4</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and are welded together at intersections. Table 2.4 presents geometrical properties for some standard wire reinforcement.

For most mild steels, the behavior is assumed to be elastoplastic and Young's modulus is taken as $29 \times 10^6$ psi ($200 \times 10^6$ MPa). Table 2.3 presents the reinforcement-grade strengths, and Table 2.5 presents geometrical properties of the various sizes of bars.

### 2.7 Prestressing Reinforcement

#### 2.7.1 Types of Reinforcement

Because of the high creep and shrinkage losses in concrete, effective prestressing can be achieved by using very high-strength steels in the range of 270,000 psi or more (1,862 MPa or higher). Such high-stressed steels are able to counterbalance these losses in the

---

**Table 2.5** Weight, Area, and Perimeter of Individual Bars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bar designation number</th>
<th>Weight per foot (lb)</th>
<th>Standard nominal dimensions</th>
<th>Diameter, $d_s$ [in. (mm)]</th>
<th>Cross-sectional area, $A_s$ (in.$^2$)</th>
<th>Perimeter (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.375 (10)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.500 (13)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>0.625 (16)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.502</td>
<td>0.750 (19)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.356</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.044</td>
<td>0.875 (22)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.749</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.670</td>
<td>1.000 (25)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>3.142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.400</td>
<td>1.128 (29)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.544</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.303</td>
<td>1.270 (32)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.313</td>
<td>1.410 (36)</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>4.430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>1.693 (43)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>2.257 (57)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Photo 2.6** Prestressed concrete Valdez floating dock. Designed by ABAM Engineers, built in two pieces in Tacoma, Washington, then towed to Alaska by deployment. (*Courtesy, ABAM Engineers, Tacoma, Washington.*)
surrounding concrete and have adequate leftover stress levels to sustain the required prestressing force. The magnitude of normal prestress losses can be expected to be in the range of 35,000 to 60,000 psi (241 to 414 MPa). The initial prestress would thus have to be very high, on the order of 180,000 to 220,000 psi (1,241 to 1,517 MPa). From the aforementioned magnitude of prestress losses, it can be inferred that normal steels with yield strengths \( f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi} \) (414 MPa) would have little prestressing stress left after losses, obviating the need for using very high-strength steels for prestressing concrete members.

Prestressing reinforcement can be in the form of single wires, strands composed of several wires twisted to form a single element, and high-strength bars. Three types commonly used in the United States are:

- Uncoated stress-relieved or low-relaxation wires.
- Uncoated stress-relieved strands and low-relaxation strands.
- Uncoated high-strength steel bars.

Wires or strands that are not stress-relieved, such as the straightened wires or oil-tempered wires often used in other countries, exhibit higher relaxation losses than stress-relieved wires or strands. Consequently, it is important to account for the appropriate magnitude of losses once a determination is made on the type of prestressing steel required.

### 2.7.2 Stress-Relieved and Low-Relaxation Wires and Strands

Stress-relieved wires are cold-drawn single wires conforming to ASTM standard A421; stress-relieved strands conform to ASTM standard A 416. The strands are made from seven wires by twisting six of them on a pitch of 12- to 16-wire diameter around a slightly larger, straight control wire. Stress-relieving is done after the wires are woven into the strand. The geometrical properties of the wires and strands as required by ASTM are given in Tables 2.6 and 2.7, respectively.

To maximize the steel area of the 7-wire strand for any nominal diameter, the standard wire can be drawn through a die to form a compacted strand as shown in Figure 2.17(b); this is opposed to the standard 7 wire strand in Figure 2.17(a). ASTM standard A 779 requires the minimum strengths and geometrical properties given in Table 2.8.

Figure 2.18(a) shows a typical stress-strain diagram for wire and strand prestressing steels, while Figure 2.18(b) shows values relative to those of mild steel.

### Table 2.6 Wire for Prestressed Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Min. tensile strength (psi)</th>
<th>Min. stress at 1% extension (psi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type BA</td>
<td>Type WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>235,000</td>
<td>235,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>235,000</td>
<td>235,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>235,000</td>
<td>235,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Post-Tensioning Institute
2.7 Prestressing Reinforcement

### Table 2.7 Seven-Wire Standard Strand for Prestressed Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal diameter of strand (in.)</th>
<th>Breaking strength of strand (min. lb)</th>
<th>Nominal steel area of strand (sq in.)</th>
<th>Nominal weight of strands (lb per 1000 ft)*</th>
<th>Minimum load at 1% extension (lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>¹/₁₆ (0.250)</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>7,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³/₈ (0.313)</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>12,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ (0.375)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ (0.438)</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ (0.500)</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>30,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ (0.600)</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>45,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GRADE 270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³/₈ (0.375)</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>19,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ (0.438)</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>26,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ (0.500)</td>
<td>41,300</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>35,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ (0.600)</td>
<td>58,600</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>49,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*100,000 psi = 689.5 MPa
0.1 in. = 2.54 mm; 1 in.² = 645 mm²
weight: mult. by 1.49 to obtain weight in kg per 1,000 m.
1,000 lb = 4,448 Newton

Source: Post-Tensioning Institute

2.7.3 High-Tensile-Strength Prestressing Bars

High-tensile-strength alloy steel bars for prestressing are either smooth or deformed, and are available in nominal diameters from ¹/₁₆ in. (19 mm) to 1⅛ in. (35 mm). They must conform to ASTM standard A 722. Cold drawn in order to raise their yield strength, these bars are stress relieved as well to increase their ductility. Stress relieving is achieved by heating the bar to an appropriate temperature, generally below 500°C. Though essentially the same stress-relieving process is employed for bars as for strands, the tensile strength of prestressing bars has to be a minimum of 150,000 psi (1,034 MPa), with a minimum yield strength of 85 percent of the ultimate strength for smooth bars and 80 percent for deformed bars.

![Figure 2.17](image-url) Standard and compacted 7-wire prestressing strands. (a) Standard strand section. (b) Compacted strand section.
Table 2.8  Seven-Wire Compacted Strand for Prestressed Concrete [ASTM A779]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Nominal Breaking strength of strand (min. lb)*</th>
<th>Nominal steel area (in.²)</th>
<th>Nominal weight of strand (per 1,000 ft-lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>47,000</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>67,440</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>85,430</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>1176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1000 lb = 4,448 Newton
Grade 270; \( f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi ult. strength (1,862 MPa)} \)
1 in. = 25.4 mm; 1 in.² = 645 mm²

Table 2.9 lists the geometrical properties of the prestressing bars as required by ASTM standard A 722, and Figure 2.18 shows a typical stress-strain diagram for such bars.

2.7.4 Steel Relaxation

Stress relaxation in prestressing steel is the loss of prestress when the wires or strands are subjected to essentially constant strain. It is identical to creep in concrete, except that creep is a change in strain whereas steel relaxation is a loss in steel stress. Where \( t = \text{time} \),

![Stress-strain diagram for prestressing steel.](image-url)
in hours, after prestressing, the loss of stress due to relaxation in stress-relieved wires and strands can be evaluated from the expression

$$\Delta f_R = f_{pi} \log \frac{t}{10} \left( \frac{f_{pi}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right)$$

(2.18)

**Table 2.9 Steel Bars for Prestressed Concrete**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bar type*</th>
<th>Nominal diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Nominal steel area (in.$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smooth Alloy</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Grade</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145 or 160</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ASTM A722)</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>1.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>1.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformed</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>1.295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Grade 145; $f_{ps} = 145,000$ psi (1,000 MPa)

Grade 160; $f_{ps} = 160,000$ psi (1,103 MPa)

1 in. = 25.4 mm; 1 in.$^2$ = 645 mm$^2$
provided that $f_p / f_{py} \geq 0.55$ and $f_{ps} = 0.85 f_{pu}$ for stress-relieved strands and 0.90 for low-relaxation strands. Also, $f_{pi} = 0.82 f_{py}$ immediately after transfer but $f_{pi} \leq 0.74 f_{pu}$ for pretensioned, and 0.70 $f_{pu}$ for post-tensioned, concrete. In general, $f_{pi} \geq 0.70 f_{pu}$.

It is possible to decrease stress relaxation loss by subjecting strands that are initially stressed to 70 percent of their ultimate strength $f_{pu}$ to temperatures of 20°C to 100°C for an extended time in order to produce a permanent elongation—a process called *stabilization*. The prestressing steel thus produced is termed low-relaxation steel and has a relaxation stress loss that is 25 percent of that of normal stress-relieved steel.

The expression for stress relaxation in low-relaxation prestressing steels is

$$\Delta f_R = f_{pi} \frac{\log t}{45} \left( \frac{f_{pi}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right)$$

(2.19)

Figure 2.19 shows the relative relaxation loss for stress-relieved and low-relaxation steels for 7-wire strands held at constant length at 29.5°C.

### 2.7.5 Corrosion and Deterioration of Strands

Protection against corrosion of prestressing steel is more critical than in the case of non-prestressed steel. Such precaution is necessary since the strength of the prestressed concrete element is a function of the prestressing force, which in turn is a function of the prestressing tendon area. Reduction of the prestressing steel area due to corrosion can drastically reduce the nominal moment strength of the prestressed section, which can lead to premature failure of the structural system. In pretensioned members, protection against corrosion is provided by the concrete surrounding the tendon, provided that adequate concrete cover is available. In post-tensioned members, protection can be obtained by full grouting of the ducts after prestressing is completed or by greasing.

Another form of wire or strand deterioration is *stress corrosion*, which is characterized by the formation of microscopic cracks in the steel which lead to brittleness and fail-
ure. This type of reduction in strength can occur only under very high stress and, though infrequent, is difficult to prevent.

2.8 ACI MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE STRESSES IN CONCRETE AND REINFORCEMENT

Following are definitions of some important mathematical terms used in this section:

- \( f_{py} \) = specified yield strength of prestressing tendons, in psi
- \( f_y \) = specified yield strength of nonprestressed reinforcement, in psi
- \( f_{pu} \) = specified tensile strength of prestressing tendons, in psi
- \( f'_c \) = specified compressive strength of concrete, in psi
- \( f'_{ca} \) = compressive strength of concrete at time of initial prestress

2.8.1 Concrete Stresses in Flexure

Stresses in concrete immediately after prestress transfer (before time-dependent prestress losses) shall not exceed the following:

(a) Extreme fiber stress in compression .......................... \( 0.60 f'_{ca} \)
(b) Extreme fiber stress in tension except as permitted in (c) .......................... \( 3 \sqrt{f'_{ca}} \)
(c) Extreme fiber stress in tension at ends of simply supported members .... \( 6 \sqrt{f'_{ca}} \)

Where computed tensile stresses exceed these values, bonded auxiliary reinforcement (nonprestressed or prestressed) shall be provided in the tensile zone to resist the total tensile force in concrete computed under the assumption of an uncracked section.

Stresses in concrete at service loads (after allowance for all prestress losses) shall not exceed the following:

(a) Extreme fiber stress in compression due to prestress plus sustained load, where sustained dead load and live load are a large part of the total service load .......................... \( 0.45 f'_c \)
(b) Extreme fiber stress in compression due to prestress plus total load, if the live load is transient .......................... \( 0.60 f'_c \)
(c) Extreme fiber stress in tension in precompressed tensile zone ................. \( 6 \sqrt{f'_c} \)
(d) Extreme fiber stress in tension in precompressed tensile zone of members (except two-way slab systems), where analysis based on transformed cracked sections and on bilinear moment-deflection relationships shows that immediate and long-time deflections comply with the ACI definition requirements and minimum concrete cover requirements .......................... \( 12 \sqrt{f'_c} \)

2.8.2 Prestressing Steel Stresses

Tensile stress in prestressing tendons shall not exceed the following:

(a) Due to tendon jacking force ........................................ \( 0.94 f_{py} \)
but not greater than the lesser of 0.80 \( f_{pu} \) and the maximum value recommended by the manufacturer of prestressing tendons or anchorages.

(b) Immediately after prestress transfer ................................ \( 0.82 f_{py} \)
but not greater than 0.74 \( f_{pu} \).

(c) Post-tensioning tendons, at anchorages and couplers, immediately after tendon anchorage .......................... \( 0.70 f_{pu} \)
2.9 AASHTO MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE STRESSES IN CONCRETE AND REINFORCEMENT

2.9.1 Concrete Stresses before Creep and Shrinkage Losses

Compression
Pretensioned members .............................................. 0.60 \( f'_c \)
Post-tensioned members ............................................. 0.55 \( f'_c \)

Tension
Precompressed tensile zone ........................................... No temporary allowable stresses are specified.
Other Areas
In tension areas with no bonded reinforcement ..................... 200 psi or \( 3\sqrt{f'_c} \)
Where the calculated tensile stress exceeds this value, bonded reinforcement shall be provided to resist the total tension force in the concrete computed on the assumption of an uncracked section. The maximum tensile stress shall not exceed .............................................. \( 7.5\sqrt{f'_c} \)

2.9.2 Concrete Stresses at Service Load after Losses

Compression .............................................................. 0.40 \( f'_c \)
Tension in the precompressed tensile zone
(a) For members with bonded reinforcement .......................... \( 6\sqrt{f'_c} \)
   For severe corrosive exposure conditions, such as coastal areas .... \( 3\sqrt{f'_c} \)
(b) For members without bonded reinforcement ........................ 0

Tension in other areas is limited by the allowable temporary stresses specified in Section 2.8.1.

2.9.2.1 Cracking Stresses. Modulus of rupture from tests or if not available.

For normal-weight concrete ............................................. \( 7.5\sqrt{f'_c} \)
For sand-lightweight concrete ......................................... \( 6.3\sqrt{f'_c} \)
For all other lightweight concrete .................................... \( 5.5\sqrt{f'_c} \)

2.9.2.2 Anchorage-Bearing Stresses

Post-tensioned anchorage at service load .............................. 3,000 psi
(but not to exceed 0.9 \( f'_c \))

2.9.3 Prestressing Steel Stresses

(a) Due to tendon jacking for ........................................ 0.94 \( f_{py} \) \( \leq 0.80 f_{pu} \)
(b) Immediately after prestress transfer .............................. 0.82 \( f_{py} \) \( \leq 0.74 f_{pu} \)
(c) Post-tensioning tendons at anchorage, immediately after tendon anchorage ...................................................... 0.70 \( f_{pu} \)
\[ f_{py} = 0.85 f_{pu} \text{ (for low-relaxation, } f_{py} = 0.90 f_{pu} \) \]

Hence for 270 K tendons used in the book, \( f_{pi} \) at transfer \( = 0.70 \times 270,000 = 189,000 \) psi (1300 MPa) is applied for uniformity.

2.9.4 Relative Humidity Values

Figure 2.20 gives the mean annual relative humidity values for all regions in the United States in percent, to be used for evaluating shrinkage losses in concrete.
2.10 Prestressing Systems and Anchorages

2.10.1 Pretensioning

Prestressing steel is pretensioned against independent anchorages prior to the placement of concrete around it. Such anchorages are supported by large and stable bulkheads to support the exceedingly high concentrated forces applied to the individual tendons. The term "pretensioning" means pretensioning of the prestressing steel, not the beam it serves. Consequently, a pretensioned beam is a prestressed beam in which the prestressing tendon is tensioned prior to casting the section, while a post-tensioned beam is one in which the prestressing tendon is tensioned after the beam has been cast and has achieved the major portion of its concrete strength. Pretensioning is normally performed at precasting plants, where a precasting stressing bed of a long reinforced concrete slab is cast on the ground with vertical anchor bulkheads or walls at its ends. The steel strands are stretched and anchored to the vertical walls, which are designed to resist the large eccentric prestressing forces. Prestressing can be accomplished by prestressing individual strands, or all the strands at one jacking operation.

For harped tendon profiles, the prestressing bed is provided with hold-down devices as shown in Figure 2.21. Since the bed can be several hundred feet long, several precast prestressed elements can be produced in one operation, and the exposed prestressing strands between them can be cut after the concrete hardens. Pretensioning
several elements in a prestressing bed is represented schematically in Figure 2.22, while harping of tendons in a prestressing bed system is shown in Figure 2.23.

In pretensioning, strands and single wires are anchored by several patented systems. One of these, a chuck system by Supreme Products, is used for anchoring tendons in post-tensioning. The gripping mechanism of this system is illustrated in Figure 2.24(c). Other anchorage systems and ductile connections are shown in Figure 2.24(d), (e), and (f). A prestressing bed for moderately sized pretensioned beams up to 24 ft (7.32 m) long was developed and used by the author in Ref. 2.31 for his continuing work on the behavior of pretensioned and post-tensioned structural systems. Supreme Products anchorage chucks have been used together with the Freyssinet jack, where applicable. Figures 2.25 and 2.26 give details of the prestressing bed system also used for post-tensioning developed by NAVY and Potyondy at Rutgers University, while Figure 2.27 shows the dimensional details of the system.

2.10.2 Post-Tensioning

In post-tensioning, the strands, wires, or bars are tensioned after hardening of the concrete. The strands are placed in the longitudinal ducts within the precast concrete ele-
2.10 Prestressing Systems and Anchorages

![Diagram of a prestressing system with labels for vertical bulkhead, harping hold-up point, harping hold-down point, precast concrete element, and prestressing bed slab.]

Figure 2.22 Schematic of pretensioning bed.

The prestressing force is transferred through end anchorages such as the Supreme Products chucks shown in Figure 2.24. The tendons of strands should not be bonded or grouted prior to full prestressing.

2.10.3 Jacking Systems

One of the fundamental components of a prestressing operation is the jacking system applied, i.e., the manner in which the prestressing force is transferred to the steel tendons. Such a force is applied through the use of hydraulic jacks of capacity 10 to 20 tons and a stroke from 6 to 48 in., depending on whether pretensioning or post-tensioning is used and whether individual tendons are being prestressed or all the tendons are being stressed simultaneously. In the latter case, large-capacity jacks are needed, with a stroke of at least 30 in. (762 mm). Of course, the cost will be higher than sequential tensioning. Figure 2.28 shows a 500-tonne multistrand jack for simultaneous jacking through a center hole.

![Image of a jacking system with tendons and hydraulic jacks.]

Figure 2.23 Harping of tendons in a prestressing bed system.
2.10.4 Grouting of Post-Tensioned Tendons

In order to provide permanent protection for the post-tensioned steel and to develop a bond between the prestressing steel and the surrounding concrete, the prestressing ducts have to be filled under pressure with the appropriate cement grout in an injection process.

2.10.4.1 Grouting materials

1. Portland Cement. Portland cement should conform to one of the following specifications: ASTM C150, Type I, II, or III.

![Strand anchor](image1)
(a) Strand anchor.

![Monostrand anchor](image2)
(b) Monostrand anchor.

![Supreme Products anchorage chuck](image3)
(c) Supreme Products anchorage chuck. (Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.)
Figure 2.24 (continued) Multiple anchorages, couplers and ductile connectors (Courtesy Dywidag Systems International): (d) Multiple anchorage, (e) Coupler, (f) Dywidag ductile connectors (DDC) for ductile precast beam-column connections in seismic zones. See also details in Figures 13.9 and 13.10.
Cement used for grouting should be fresh and should not contain any lumps or other indications of hydration or “pack set.”

2. Water. The water used in the grout should be potable, clean, and free of injurious quantities of substances known to be harmful to portland cement or prestressing steel.

3. Admixtures. Admixtures, if used, should impart the properties of low water content, good flow, minimum bleed, and expansion if desired. Their formulation should contain no chemicals in quantities that may have a harmful effect on the prestressing steel or cement. Admixtures containing chlorides (as Cl in excess of 0.5 percent by weight of admixture, assuming 1 lb of admixture per sack of cement), fluorides, sulphites, or nitrates should not be used. Aluminum powder of the proper fineness and quantity, or any other approved gas-evolving material which is well dispersed through the other admixture, may be used to obtain 5 to 10 percent unrestrained expansion of the grout (see Refs. 2.11, 2.38).

2.10.4.2 Ducts

1. Forming.
   (a) Formed Ducts. Ducts formed by sheath left in place should be of a type that does not permit the entrance of cement paste. They should transfer bond
Figure 2.27 Dimensioning details of the pretensioning or post-tensioning laboratory system used for research at Rutgers (Nawy et al.).

stresses as required and should retain their shape under the weight of the concrete. Metallic sheaths should be of a ferrous metal, and they may be galvanized.

(b) Cored Ducts. Cored ducts should be formed with no constrictions which would tend to block the passage of grout. All coring material should be removed.
2. **Grout Openings or Vents.** All ducts should have grout openings at both ends. For draped cables, all high points should have a grout vent except where the cable curvature is small, such as in continuous slabs. Grout vents or drain holes should be provided at low points if the tendon is to be placed, stressed, and grouted in a freezing climate. All grout openings or vents should include provisions for preventing grout leakage.

3. **Duct Size.** For tendons made up of a plurality of wires, bars, or strands, the duct area should be at least twice the net area of the prestressing steel. For tendons made up of a single wire, bar, or strand, the duct diameter should be at least \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. larger than the nominal diameter of the wire, bar, or strand.

4. **Placement of Ducts.** After the placement of ducts, reinforcement, and forming are complete, an inspection should be made to locate possible duct damage. Ducts should be securely fastened at close enough intervals to avoid displacement during concreting. All holes or openings in the duct must be repaired prior to placement of concrete. Grout openings and vents must be securely anchored to the duct and to
either the forms or the reinforcing steel, to prevent displacement during concrete-placing operations.

2.10.4.3 Grouting Process

1. Ducts with concrete walls (cored ducts) should be flushed to ensure that the concrete is thoroughly wetted.

2. All grout and high-point vent openings should be open when grouting starts. Grout should be allowed to flow from the first vent after the inlet pipe until any residual flushing water or entrapped air has been removed, at which time the vent should be capped or otherwise closed. Remaining vents should be closed in sequence in the same manner. The pumping pressure at the tendon inlet should not exceed 350 psi.
2.11 CIRCULAR PRESTRESSING

Circular prestressing involves the development of hoop or hugging compressive stresses on circular or cylindrical containment vessels, including prestressed water tanks and pipes. It is usually accomplished by a wire-wound technique, in which the concrete pipe or tank is wrapped with continuous high-tensile wire tensioned to prescribed design levels. Such tension results in uniform radial compression that prestresses the concrete cylinder or core and prevents tensile stresses from developing in the concrete wall section under internal fluid pressure. Figure 2.29 shows a preload circular tank being prestressed by the wire-wrapping process along its height.

2.12 TEN PRINCIPLES

The following ten principles are taken from Abeles (Ref. 2.32) and applicable not only to prestressing concrete but to any endeavor that the engineer is called upon to undertake:

1. You cannot have everything. (Each solution has advantages and disadvantages that have to be tallied and traded off against each other.)
2. You cannot have something for nothing. (One has to pay in one way or another for something which is offered as a “free gift” into the bargain, notwithstanding a solution’s being optimal for the problem.)
3. It is never too late (e.g., to alter a design, to strengthen a structure before it collapses, or to adjust or even change principles previously employed in the light of increased knowledge and experience).
4. There is no progress without considered risk. (While it is important to ensure sufficient safety, overconservatism can never lead to an understanding of novel structures.)
5. The proof of the pudding is in the eating. (This is in direct connection with the previous principle indicating the necessity of tests.)
6. Simplicity is always an advantage, but beware of oversimplification. (The latter may lead to theoretical calculations which are not always correct in practice, or to a failure to cover all conditions.)
7. Do not generalize, but rather qualify the specific circumstances. (Serious misunderstandings may be caused by unreserved generalizations.)
8. The important question is how good, not how cheap an item is. (A cheap price given by an inexperienced contractor usually results in bad work; similarly, cheap, unproved appliances may have to be replaced.)
9. We live and learn. (It is always possible to increase one’s knowledge and experience.)
10. There is nothing completely new. (Nothing is achieved instantaneously, but only by step-by-step development.)
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3.1 INTRODUCTION

It is a well-established fact that the initial prestressing force applied to the concrete element undergoes a progressive process of reduction over a period of approximately five years. Consequently, it is important to determine the level of the prestressing force at each loading stage, from the stage of transfer of the prestressing force to the concrete, to the various stages of prestressing available at service load, up to the ultimate. Essentially, the reduction in the prestressing force can be grouped into two categories:

- Immediate elastic loss during the fabrication or construction process, including elastic shortening of the concrete, anchorage losses, and frictional losses.
- Time-dependent losses such as creep, shrinkage, and those due to temperature effects and steel relaxation, all of which are determinable at the service-load limit state of stress in the prestressed concrete element.

An exact determination of the magnitude of these losses—particularly the time-dependent ones—is not feasible, since they depend on a multiplicity of interrelated factors. Empirical methods of estimating losses differ with the different codes of practice or

Executive Center, Honolulu, Hawaii. (Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.)
Table 3.1 AASHTO Lump-Sum Losses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of prestressing steel</th>
<th>$f'_c = 4,000 \text{ psi (27.6 N/mm}^2\text{)}$</th>
<th>$f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi (34.5 N/mm}^2\text{)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretensioning strand</td>
<td>45,000 psi (310 N/mm$^2$)</td>
<td>50,000 psi (345 N/mm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-tensioning wire or strand</td>
<td>32,000 psi (221 N/mm$^2$)</td>
<td>33,000 psi (228 N/mm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars</td>
<td>22,000 psi (152 N/mm$^2$)</td>
<td>23,000 psi (159 N/mm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Losses due to friction are excluded. Such losses should be computed according to Section 6.5 of the AASHTO specifications.

recommendations, such as those of the Prestressed Concrete Institute, the ACI-ASCE joint committee approach, the AASHTO lump-sum approach, the Comité Eurointernational du Béton (CEB), and the FIP (Fédération Internationale de la Précontrainte). The degree of rigor of these methods depends on the approach chosen and the accepted practice of record.

A very high degree of refinement of loss estimation is neither desirable nor warranted, because of the multiplicity of factors affecting the estimate. Consequently, lump-sum estimates of losses are more realistic, particularly in routine designs and under average conditions. Such lump-sum losses can be summarized in Table 3.1 of AASHTO and Table 3.2 of PTI. They include elastic shortening, relaxation in the prestressing steel, creep, and shrinkage, and they are applicable only to routine, standard conditions of loading; normal concrete, quality control, construction procedures, and environmental conditions; and the importance and magnitude of the system. Detailed analysis has to be performed if these standard conditions are not fulfilled.

A summary of the sources of the separate prestressing losses and the stages of their occurrence is given in Table 3.3, in which the subscript $i$ denotes “initial” and the subscript $j$ notes the loading stage after jacking. From this table, the total loss in prestrress can be calculated for pretensioned and post-tensioned members as follows:

(i) **Pretensioned Members**

$$
\Delta f'_{PT} = \Delta f'_{ES} + \Delta f'_{SR} + \Delta f'_{CR} + \Delta f'_{PST}
$$

(3.1a)

Table 3.2 Approximate Prestress Loss Values for Post-Tensioning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-tensioning tendon material</th>
<th>Prestress loss, psi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress-relieved 270-K strand and stress-relieved 240-K wire</td>
<td>30,000 (207 N/mm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar</td>
<td>20,000 (138 N/mm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-relaxation 270-K strand</td>
<td>15,000 (103 N/mm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table of approximate prestress losses was developed to provide a common post-tensioning industry basis for determining tendon requirements on projects in which the magnitude of prestress losses is not specified by the designer. These loss values are based on use of normal-weight concrete and on average values of concrete strength, prestress level, and exposure conditions. Actual values of losses may vary significantly above or below the table values where the concrete is stressed at low strengths, where the concrete is highly prestressed, or in very dry or very wet exposure conditions. The table values do not include losses due to friction.

*Source: Post-Tensioning Institute.*
### 3.2 Elastic Shortening of Concrete (ES)

#### Table 3.3 Types of Prestress Loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of prestress loss</th>
<th>Stage of occurrence</th>
<th>Tendon stress loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pretensioned members</td>
<td>Post-tensioned members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic shortening of concrete (ES)</td>
<td>At transfer</td>
<td>At sequential jacking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxation of tendons (R)</td>
<td>Before and after transfer</td>
<td>After transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creep of concrete (CR)</td>
<td>After transfer</td>
<td>After transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage of concrete (SH)</td>
<td>After transfer</td>
<td>After transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction (F)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>At jacking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage seating loss (A)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>At transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>Life</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\Delta f_{pR} = \Delta f_{pR}(t_0, t_j) + \Delta f_{pR}(t_j, t_i)$

$t_0 = $ time at jacking

$t_r = $ time at transfer

$t_s = $ time at stabilized loss

Hence, computations for steel relaxation loss have to be performed for the time interval $t_i$ through $t_j$ of the respective loading stages.

As an example, the transfer stage, say, at 18 h would result in $t_r = t_j = 18$ h and $t_0 = t_i = 0$. If the next loading stage is between transfer and 5 years (17,520 h), when losses are considered stabilized, then $t_2 = t_s = 17,520$ h and $t_1 = 18$ h. Then, if $f_{pl}$ is the initial stressing stress that the concrete element is subjected to and $f_{pt}$ is the jacking stress in the tendon, then

\[
f_{pi} = f_{pl} - \Delta f_{pR}(t_0, t_0) - \Delta f_{pES}
\]

(ii) Post-tensioned Members

\[
\Delta f_{pt} = \Delta f_{pA} + \Delta f_{pF} + \Delta f_{pES} + \Delta f_{pR} + \Delta f_{pCR} + \Delta f_{PSH}
\]

where $\Delta f_{pES}$ is applicable only when tendons are jacked sequentially, and not simultaneously.

In the post-tensioned case, computation of relaxation loss starts between the transfer time $t_i = t_r$ and the end of the time interval $t_j$ under consideration. Hence

\[
f_{pi} = f_{pl} - \Delta f_{pA} - \Delta f_{pF}
\]

### 3.2.1 Pretensioned Elements

Concrete shortens when a prestressing force is applied. As the tendons that are bonded to the adjacent concrete simultaneously shorten, they lose part of the prestressing force that they carry.

#### 3.2.1.1 Pretensioned Elements

For pretensioned (precast) elements, the compressive force imposed on the beam by the tendon results in the longitudinal shortening of the beam, as shown in Figure 3.1. The unit shortening in concrete is $\epsilon_{ES} = \Delta ES/L$ so
Figure 3.1 Elastic shortening. (a) Unstressed beam. (b) Longitudinally shortened beam.

\[ \epsilon_{ES} = \frac{f_c}{E_c} = \frac{P_i}{A_c E_c} \]  

(3.2a)

Since the prestressing tendon suffers the same magnitude of shortening,

\[ \Delta f_{PES} = E_s \epsilon_{ES} = E_s \frac{P_i}{A_c E_c} = \frac{nP_i}{A_c} = n f_{es} \]  

(3.2b)

The stress in the concrete at the centroid of the steel due to the initial prestressing is

\[ f_{cs} = \frac{P_i}{A_c} \]  

(3.3)

If the tendon in Figure 3.1 has an eccentricity \( e \) at the beam midspan and the self-weight moment \( M_D \) is taken into account, the stress the concrete undergoes at the midspan section at the level of the prestressing steel becomes

\[ f_{cs} = \frac{P_i}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{e^2}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_D e}{I_c} \]  

(3.4)

where \( P_i \) has a lower value after transfer of prestress. The small reduction in the value of \( P_i \) to \( P_f \) occurs because the force in the prestressing steel immediately after transfer is less than the initial jacking prestress force \( P_i \). However, since it is difficult to accurately determine the reduced value of \( P_i \) and since observations indicate that the reduction is only a few percentage points, it is possible to use the initial value of \( P_i \) before transfer in Equations 3.2 through 3.4, or reduce it by about 10 percent for refinement if desired.

3.2.1.1 Elastic shortening loss in pretensioned beams

Example 3.1

A pretensioned prestressed beam has a span of 50 ft (15.2 m), as shown in Figure 3.2. For this beam,

\[ f_c = 6,000 \text{ psi (41.4 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{ct} = 4,500 \text{ psi (31 MPa)} \]
\[ A_{ps} = \frac{10 - \frac{1}{2}}{\text{in.}} \text{ dia. seven-wire-strand tendon} \]
\[ = 10 \times 0.153 = 1.53 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ E_{ps} = 27 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)} \]
3.2 Elastic Shortening of Concrete (ES)

Figure 3.2 Beam in Example 3.1.

Calculate the concrete fiber stresses at transfer at the centroid of the tendon for the midspan section of the beam, and the magnitude of loss in prestress due to the effect of elastic shortening of the concrete. Assume that prior to transfer, the jacking force on the tendon was $75\% f_{pu}$.

Solution:

$$A_c = 15 \times 30 = 450 \text{ in}^2$$

$$I_c = \frac{15(30)^3}{12} = 33,750 \text{ in}^4$$

$$r^2 = \frac{I_c}{A_c} = 75 \text{ in}^2$$

$$A_{ps} = 10 \times 0.153 = 1.53 \text{ in}^2$$

$$e_c = \frac{30}{2} - 4 = 11 \text{ in.}$$

$$P_t = 0.75f_{pu}A_{ps} = 0.75 \times 270,000 \times 1.53 = 309,825 \text{ lb}$$

$$M_D = \frac{wL^2}{8} = \frac{15 \times 30}{144} \times \frac{150(50)^2}{8} \times 12 = 1,757,813 \text{ in.-lb}$$

From Equation 3.4, the concrete fiber stress at the steel centroid of the beam at the moment of transfer, assuming that $P_t = P_f$, is

$$f_{ca} = -\frac{P_t}{A_c \left(1 + \frac{e_c^2}{r^2}\right)} + \frac{M_{de}}{I_c}$$

$$= -\frac{309,825}{450 \left(1 + \frac{11^2}{75}\right)} + \frac{1,757,813 \times 11}{33,750}$$

$$= -1,799.3 + 572.9 = -1,226.4 \text{ psi (8.50 MPa)}$$

We also have

Initial $E_{cd} = 57,000 \sqrt{f_{cu}} = 57,000 \sqrt{4,500} = 3.824 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$

Initial modular ratio $n = \frac{E_c}{E_{cd}} = \frac{27 \times 10^6}{3.824 \times 10^6} = 7.06$

28 days' strength $E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{6,000} = 4.415 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$

28 days' modular ratio $n = \frac{27 \times 10^6}{4.415 \times 10^6} = 6.12$

From Equation 3.2b, the loss of prestress due to elastic shortening is

$$\Delta f_{pre} = n f_{ca} = 7.06 \times 1,226.4 = 8,659.2 \text{ psi (59.7 MPa)}$$
If a reduced $P_i$ is used with assumed 10 percent reduction,

$$
\Delta f_{pES} = 0.90 \times 8,659.2 = 7,793.3 \text{ psi (53.7 MPa)}.
$$

The difference of 865.9 psi in steel stress is insignificant compared to the total loss in prestress due to all factors of about 45,000 to 55,000 psi.

### 3.2.2 Post-tensioned Elements

In post-tensioned beams, the elastic shortening loss varies from zero if all tendons are jacked simultaneously to half the value calculated in the pretensioned case if several sequential jacking steps are used, such as jacking two tendons at a time. If $n$ is the number of tendons or pairs of tendons sequentially tensioned, then

$$
\Delta f_{pES} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\Delta f_{pES})_j
$$

(3.5)

where $j$ denotes the number of jacking operations. Note that the tendon that was tensioned last does not suffer any losses due to elastic shortening, while the tendon that was tensioned first suffers the maximum amount of loss.

### 3.2.2.1 Elastic shortening loss in post-tensioned beam

**Example 3.2**

Solve Example 3.1 if the beam is post-tensioned and the prestressing operation is such that

(a) Two tendons are jacked at a time.
(b) One tendon is jacked at a time.
(c) All tendons are simultaneously tensioned.

**Solution:**

(a) From Example 3.1, $\Delta f_{pE} = 8,659.2$ psi. Clearly, the last tendon suffers no loss of prestress due to elastic shortening. So only the first four pairs have losses, with the first pair suffering the maximum loss of 8,659.2 psi. From Equation 3.5, the loss due to elastic shortening in the post-tensioned beam is

$$
\Delta f_{pES} = \frac{4/4 + 3/4 + 2/4 + 1/4}{5} (8,659.2)
$$

$$
= \frac{10}{20} \times (8,659.2) = 4,330 \text{ psi (29.9 MPa)}
$$

(b) $\Delta f_{pES} = \frac{9/9 + 8/9 + \cdots + 1/9}{10} (8,659.2)$

$$
= \frac{45}{90} \times (8,659.2) = 4,330 \text{ psi (29.9 MPa)}
$$

In both cases the loss in prestressing in the post-tensioned beam is half that of the pre-tensioned beam.

(c) $\Delta f_{pES} = 0$

### 3.3 STEEL STRESS RELAXATION ($R$)

Stress-relieved tendons suffer loss in the prestressing force due to constant elongation with time, as discussed in Chapter 2. The magnitude of the decrease in the prestress depends not only on the duration of the sustained prestressing force, but also on the ratio
3.3 Steel Stress Relaxation (R)

\( f_{pi}/f_{py} \) of the initial prestress to the yield strength of the reinforcement. Such a loss in stress is termed stress relaxation. The ACI 318-02 Code limits the tensile stress in the prestressing tendons to the following:

(a) For stresses due to the tendon jacking force, \( f_{pi} = 0.94 f_{py} \) but not greater than the lesser of \( 0.80 f_{pu} \) and the maximum value recommended by the manufacturer of the tendons and anchorages.

(b) Immediately after prestress transfer, \( f_{pi} = 0.82 f_{py} \) but not greater than \( 0.74 f_{pu} \).

(c) In post-tensioned tendons, at the anchorages and couplers immediately after force transfer \( = 0.70 f_{pu} \).

The range of values of \( f_{py} \) is given by the following:

Prestressing bars: \( f_{py} = 0.80 f_{pu} \)
Stress-relieved tendons: \( f_{py} = 0.85 f_{pu} \)
Low-relaxation tendons: \( f_{py} = 0.90 f_{pu} \)

If \( f_{PR} \) is the remaining prestressing stress in the steel after relaxation, the following expression defines \( f_{PR} \) for stress-relieved steel:

\[
\frac{f_{PR}}{f_{pi}} = 1 - \left( \frac{\log t_2 - \log t_1}{10} \right) \left( \frac{f_{pi}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right) \tag{3.6}
\]

In this expression, \( \log \) in hours is to the base 10, \( f_{pi}/f_{py} \) exceeds 0.55, and \( t = t_2 - t_1 \). Also, for low-relaxation steel, the denominator of the log term in the equation is divided by 45 instead of 10. A plot of Equation 3.6 is given in Figure 3.3.

An approximation of the term \( (\log t_2 - \log t_1) \) can be made in Equation 3.6 so that \( \log t = \log(t_2 - t_1) \) without significant loss in accuracy. In that case, the stress-relaxation loss becomes

\[
\Delta f_{PR} = f_{pi} \frac{\log t}{10} \left( \frac{f_{pi}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right) \tag{3.7}
\]

where \( f_{pi} \) is the initial stress in steel to which the concrete element is subjected.

![Figure 3.3 Stress-relaxation relationship in stress-relieved strands. (Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.)](image)
If a step-by-step loss analysis is necessary, the loss increment at any particular stage can be defined as

\[
\Delta f_{pR} = f_{pi} \left( \frac{\log t_2 - \log t_1}{10} \right) \left( \frac{f_{p_i}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right)
\]  

(3.8)

where \(t_1\) is the time at the beginning of the interval and \(t_2\) is the time at the end of the interval from jacking to the time when the loss is being considered.

For low relaxation steel, the divider is 45 instead of 10 in Equation 3.8, as shown in Equation 2.19.

### 3.3.1 Relaxation Loss Computation

#### Example 3.3

Find the relaxation loss in prestress at the end of 5 years in Example 3.1, assuming that relaxation loss from jacking to transfer, from elastic shortening, and from long-term loss due to creep and shrinkage over this period is 20 percent of the initial prestress. Assume also that the yield strength \(f_{py} = 230,000\) psi (1,571 MPa).

**Solution:** From Equation 3.1b for this stage

\[
f_{pi} = f_{pt} - \Delta f_{psk}(t_0, t_p)
\]

\[
= 0.75 \times 270,000 = 202,500\text{ psi (1,396 MPa)}
\]

The reduced stress for calculating relaxation loss is

\[
f'_{pi} = (1 - 0.20) \times 202,500 = 162,000\text{ psi (1,170 MPa)}
\]

The duration of the stress-relaxation process is

\[
5 \times 365 \times 24 \approx 44,000\text{ hours}
\]

From Equation 3.7,

\[
\Delta f_{pR} = f_{p_i}' \frac{\log t}{10} \left( \frac{f_{p_i}'}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right)
\]

\[
= 162,000 \times \frac{\log 44,000}{10} \left( \frac{162,000}{230,000} - 0.55 \right)
\]

\[
= 162,000 \times 0.4643 \times 0.1543 = 11,606\text{ psi (80.0 MPa)}
\]

### 3.3.2 ACI-ASCE Method of Accounting for Relaxation Loss

The ACI-ASCE method uses the separate contributions of elastic shortening, creep, and shrinkage in the evaluation of the steel stress-relaxation loss by means of the equation

\[
\Delta f_{pR} = [K_{re} - J(\Delta f_{pES} + f_{pCR} + f_{pSH})] \times C
\]

The values of \(K_{re}\), \(J\), and \(C\) are given in Tables 3.4 and 3.5.

### 3.4 CREEP LOSS (CR)

Experimental work over the past half century indicates that flow in materials occurs with time when load or stress exists. This lateral flow or deformation due to the longitudinal stress is termed creep. A more detailed discussion is given in Ref. 3.9. It must be emphasized that creep stresses and stress losses result only from sustained loads during the loading history of the structural element.
### Table 3.4  Values of C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\frac{f_{pl}}{f_{pu}}$</th>
<th>Stress-relieved strand or wire</th>
<th>Stress-relieved bar or low-relaxation strand or wire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Post-Tensioning Institute.*

The deformation or strain resulting from this time-dependent behavior is a function of the magnitude of the applied load, its duration, the properties of the concrete including its mixture proportions, curing conditions, the age of the element at first loading, and environmental conditions. Since the stress-strain relationship due to creep is essentially linear, it is feasible to relate the creep strain $\epsilon_{CR}$ to the elastic strain $\epsilon_{EL}$ such that a creep coefficient $C_u$ can be defined as

### Table 3.5  Values of $K_{RE}$ and $J$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of tendon*</th>
<th>$K_{RE}$</th>
<th>$J$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>270 Grade stress-relieved strand or wire</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 Grade stress-relieved strand or wire</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240 or 235 Grade stress-relieved wire</td>
<td>17,600</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270 Grade low-relaxation strand</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 Grade low-relaxation wire</td>
<td>4,630</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240 or 235 Grade low-relaxation wire</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145 or 160 Grade stress-relieved bar</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In accordance with ASTM A416-74, ASTM A421-76, or ASTM A722-75.
*Source: Prestressed Concrete Institute.*
Chapter 3  Partial Loss of Prestress

\[ C_u = \frac{e_{CR}}{e_{EL}} \]  

(3.9a)

Then the creep coefficient at any time \( t \) in days can be defined as

\[ C_t = \frac{t^{0.60}}{10 + t^{0.60}} C_u \]  

(3.9b)

As discussed in Chapter 2, the value of \( C_u \) ranges between 2 and 4, with an average of 2.35 for ultimate creep. The loss in prestressed members due to creep can be defined for bonded members as

\[ \Delta f_{pCR} = C_t \frac{E_{ps}}{E_c} f_{ca} \]  

(3.10)

where \( f_{ca} \) is the stress in the concrete at the level of the centroid of the prestressing tendon. In general, this loss is a function of the stress in the concrete at the section being analyzed. In post-tensioned, nonbonded members, the loss can be considered essentially uniform along the whole span. Hence, an average value of the concrete stress \( f_{ca} \) between the anchorage points can be used for calculating the creep in post-tensioned members.

The ACI-ASCE Committee expression for evaluating creep loss has essentially the same format as Equation 3.10, viz.,

\[ \Delta f_{pCR} = K_{CR} \frac{E_{ps}}{E_c} (\bar{f}_{ca} - \bar{f}_{ca}) \]  

(3.11a)

or

\[ \Delta f_{pCR} = n K_{CR} (\bar{f}_{ca} - \bar{f}_{ca}) \]  

(3.11b)

where \( K_{CR} = 2.0 \) for pretensioned members

\( = 1.60 \) for post-tensioned members (both for normal concrete)

\( \bar{f}_{ca} \) = stress in concrete at level of steel cgs immediately after transfer

\( \bar{f}_{ca} \) = stress in concrete at level of steel cgs due to all superimposed dead loads applied after prestressing is accomplished

\( n \) = modular ratio

Note that \( K_{CR} \) should be reduced by 20 percent for lightweight concrete.

3.4.1 Computation of Creep Loss

Example 3.4

Compute the loss in prestress due to creep in Example 3.1 given that the total superimposed load, excluding the beam's own weight after transfer, is 375 plf (5.5 kN/m).

Solution: At full concrete strength,

\[ E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{6,000} = 4.415 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (30.4 \times 10^3 MPa)} \]

\[ n = \frac{E_s}{E_c} = \frac{27.0 \times 10^6}{4.415 \times 10^6} = 6.12 \]

\[ M_{SD} = \frac{375(50)^2}{8} \times 12 = 1,406,250 \text{ in.-lb (158.9 kN-m)} \]
3.5 Shrinkage Loss (SH)

\[ \tilde{f}_{\text{cd}} = \frac{M_{\text{Spd}}}{I_e} = \frac{1,406,250 \times 11}{33,750} = 458.3 \text{ psi (3.2 MPa)} \]

From Example 3.1,

\[ \tilde{f}_a = 1,226.4 \text{ psi (8.5 MPa)} \]

Also, for normal concrete use, \( K_{CR} = 2.0 \) (pretensioned beam); so from Equation 3.11a,

\[ \Delta f_{pCR} = n K_{CR} (\tilde{f}_a - \tilde{f}_{\text{cd}}) \]
\[ = 6.12 \times 2.0(1,226.4 - 458.3) \]
\[ = 9,401.5 \text{ psi (64.8 MPa)} \]

3.5 SHRINKAGE LOSS (SH)

As with concrete creep, the magnitude of the shrinkage of concrete is affected by several factors. They include mixture proportions, type of aggregate, type of cement, curing time, time between the end of external curing and the application of prestressing, size of the member, and the environmental conditions. Size and shape of the member also affect shrinkage. Approximately 80 percent of shrinkage takes place in the first year of life of the structure. The average value of ultimate shrinkage strain in both moist-cured and steam-cured concrete is given as \( 780 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \) in ACI 209 R-92 Report. This average value is affected by the length of initial moist curing, ambient relative humidity, volume-to-surface ratio, temperature, and concrete composition. To take such effects into account, the average value of shrinkage strain should be multiplied by a correction factor \( \gamma_{SH} \) as follows

\[ \varepsilon_{SH} = 780 \times 10^{-6} \gamma_{SH} \]  

(3.12)

Components of \( \gamma_{SH} \) are factors for various environmental conditions and tabulated in Ref. 3.12, Sec. 2.

The Prestressed Concrete Institute stipulates for standard conditions an average value for nominal ultimate shrinkage strain \( (\varepsilon_{SH})_u = 820 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \) (mm/mm), (Ref. 3.4). If \( \varepsilon_{SH} \) is the shrinkage strain after adjusting for relative humidity at volume-to-surface ratio \( V/S \), the loss in prestressing in pretensioned member is

\[ \Delta f_{pSH} = \varepsilon_{SH} \times E_{ps} \]  

(3.13)

For post-tensioned members, the loss in prestressing due to shrinkage is somewhat less since some shrinkage has already taken place before post-tensioning. If the relative humidity is taken as a percent value and the \( V/S \) ratio effect is considered, the PCI general expression for loss in prestressing due to shrinkage becomes

\[ \Delta f_{pSH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} K_{SH} E_{ps} \left( 1 - 0.06 \frac{V}{S} \right) (100 - RH) \]  

(3.14)

where RH = relative humidity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.6</th>
<th>Values of ( K_{SH} ) for Post-Tensioned Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time from end of moist curing to application of prestress, days</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_{sh} )</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Prestressed Concrete Institute.
where $K_{SH} = 1.0$ for pretensioned members. Table 3.6 gives the values of $K_{SH}$ for post-tensioned members.

Adjustment of shrinkage losses for standard conditions as a function of time $t$ in days after 7 days for moist curing and 3 days for steam curing can be obtained from the following expressions

(a) Moist curing, after 7 days

$$ (\epsilon_{SH})_t = \frac{t}{35 + t} (\epsilon_{SH})_u $$

(3.15a)

where $(\epsilon_{SH})_u$ is the ultimate shrinkage strain, $t =$ time in days after shrinkage is considered.

(b) Steam curing, after 1 to 3 days

$$ (\epsilon_{SH})_t = \frac{t}{55 + t} (\epsilon_{SH})_u $$

(3.15b)

It should be noted that separating creep from shrinkage calculations as presented in this chapter is an accepted engineering practice. Also, significant variations occur in the creep and shrinkage values due to variations in the properties of the constituent materials from the various sources, even if the products are plant-produced such as pretensioned beams. Hence it is recommended that information from actual tests be obtained especially on manufactured products, large span-to-depth ratio cases and/or if loading is unusually heavy.

### 3.5.1 Computation of Shrinkage Loss

**Example 3.5**

Compute the loss in prestress due to shrinkage in Examples 3.1 and 3.2 at 7 days after moist curing using both the ultimate $K_{SH}$ method of Equation 3.14 and the time-dependent method of Equation 3.15. Assume that the relative humidity $RH$ is 70 percent and the volume-to-surface ratio is 2.0.
3.6 Losses Due to Friction ($F$)

Solution A

**$K_{SH}$ method**

(a) Pretensioned beam, $K_{SH} = 1.0$:

From Equation 3.14,

\[ \Delta f_{PSH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} \times 1.0 \times 27 \times 10^6 (1 - 0.06 \times 2.0)(100 - 70) \]

\[ = 5,845.0 \text{ psi (40.3 MPa)} \]

(b) Post-tensioned beam, from Table 3.6, $K_{SH} = 0.77$:

\[ \Delta f_{PSH} = 0.77 \times 5,845 = 4,500.7 \text{ psi (31.0 MPa)} \]

Solution B

**Time-dependent method**

From Equation 3.15a,

\[ \varepsilon_{SH} = \frac{t}{35 + t} \varepsilon_{SHa} = \frac{7}{35 + 7} \times 780 \times 10^{-6} = 130 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in/in} \]

\[ \Delta f_{PSH} = \varepsilon_{SH} E_e = 130 \times 10^{-6} \times 27 \times 10^6 = 3,510 \text{ psi (24.0 MPa)} \]

3.6 LOSSES DUE TO FRICTION ($F$)

Loss of prestressing occurs in post-tensioning members due to friction between the tendons and the surrounding concrete ducts. The magnitude of this loss is a function of the tendon form or alignment, called the *curvature effect*, and the local deviations in the alignment, called the *wobble effect*. The values of the loss coefficients are often refined while preparations are made for shop drawings by varying the types of tendons and the duct alignment. Whereas the curvature effect is predetermined, the wobble effect is the result of accidental or unavoidable misalignment, since ducts or sheaths cannot be perfectly placed.

It should be noted that the maximum frictional stress loss would be at the far end of the beam if jacking is from one end. Hence frictional loss varies linearly along the beam span and can be interpolated for a particular location if such refinement in the computations is warranted.

3.6.1 Curvature Effect

As the tendon is pulled with a force $F_1$ at the jacking end, it will encounter friction with the surrounding duct or sheath such that the stress in the tendon will vary from the jacking plane to a distance $L$ along the span as shown in Figure 3.4. If an infinitesimal length of the tendon is isolated in a free-body diagram as shown in Figure 3.5, then, assuming that $\mu$ denotes the coefficient of friction between the tendon and the duct due to the curvature effect, we have

\[ dF_1 = -\mu F_1 \, dx \]

or

\[ \frac{dF_1}{F_1} = -\mu \, dx \quad (3.16a) \]

Integrating both sides of this equation yields

\[ \log_e F_1 = -\mu x \quad (3.16b) \]
Figure 3.4 Frictional force stress distribution in tendon.

If $\alpha = L/R$, then

$$F_2 = F_1 e^{-\mu\alpha} = F_1 e^{-\mu(L/R)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)

3.6.2 Wobble Effect

Suppose that $K$ is the coefficient of friction between the tendon and the surrounding concrete due to wobble effect or length effect. Friction loss is caused by imperfection in alignment along the length of the tendon, regardless of whether it has a straight or draped alignment. Then by the same principles described in developing Equation 3.16,

$$\log F_1 = -KL$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

Figure 3.5 Curvature friction loss. (a) Tendon alignment. (b) Forces on infinitesimal length where $F_1$ is at the jacking end. (c) Polygon of forces assuming $F_1 = F_2$ over the infinitesimal length in (b).
3.6 Losses Due to Friction \((F)\)

or

\[ F_2 = F_1 e^{-KL} \]  

Superimposing the wobble effect on the curvature effect gives

\[ F_2 = F_1 e^{-\mu a - KL} \]

or, in terms of stresses,

\[ f_2 = f_1 e^{-\mu a - KL} \]  

The frictional loss of stress \(\Delta f_p\) is then given by

\[ \Delta f_p = f_1 - f_2 = f_1 (1 - e^{-\mu a - KL}) \]  

Assuming that the prestress force between the start of the curved portion and its end is small (\(\leq 15\) percent), it is sufficiently accurate to use the initial tension for the entire curve in Equation 3.21. Equation 3.21 can thus be simplified to yield

\[ \Delta f_p = f_1 (\mu a + KL) \]  

where \(L\) is in feet.

Since the ratio of the depth of beam to its span is small, it is sufficiently accurate to use the projected length of the tendon for calculating \(\alpha\). Assuming the curvature of the tendon to be based on that of a circular arc, the central angle \(\alpha\) along the curved segment in Figure 3.6 is twice the slope at either end of the segment. Hence,

\[ \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{m}{x/2} = \frac{2m}{x} \]

If

\[ y = \frac{x}{2} m \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha/2 = 4y/x \]

then

\[ \alpha = 8y/x \text{ radian} \]  

Table 3.7 gives the design values of the curvature friction coefficient \(\mu\) and the wobble or length friction coefficient \(K\) adopted from the ACI 318 Commentary.

### 3.6.3 Computation of Friction Loss

**Example 3.6**

Assume that the alignment characteristics of the tendons in the post-tensioned beam of Example 3.2 are as shown in Figure 3.7. If the tendon is made of 7-wire uncoated strands in flexible metal sheathing, compute the frictional loss of stress in the prestressing wires due to the curvature and wobble effects.

![Figure 3.6](image)  

*Figure 3.6* Approximate evaluation of the tendon’s central angle.
Table 3.7  Wobble and Curvature Friction Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of tendon</th>
<th>Wobble coefficient, $K$ per foot</th>
<th>Curvature coefficient, $\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tendons in flexible metal sheathing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire tendons</td>
<td>0.0010–0.0015</td>
<td>0.15–0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-wire strand</td>
<td>0.0005–0.0020</td>
<td>0.15–0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-strength bars</td>
<td>0.0001–0.0006</td>
<td>0.08–0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendons in rigid metal duct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-wire strand</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.15–0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastic-coated tendons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire tendons and 7-wire strand</td>
<td>0.0010–0.0020</td>
<td>0.05–0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregreased tendons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire tendons and 7-wire strand</td>
<td>0.0003–0.0020</td>
<td>0.05–0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Prestressed Concrete Institute.

Solution:

\[ P_t = 309,825 \text{ lb} \]
\[ f_{pt} = \frac{309,825}{1.53} = 202,500 \text{ psi} \]

From Equation 3.23,
\[ \alpha = \frac{8y}{x} = \frac{8 \times 11}{50 \times 12} = 0.1467 \text{ radian} \]

From Table 3.7, use $K = 0.0020$ and $\mu = 0.20$. From Equation 3.22, the prestress loss due to friction is

\[ \Delta f_{pt} = f_{pt} (\mu \alpha + KL) \]
\[ = 202,500 (0.20 \times 0.1467 + 0.0020 \times 50) \]
\[ = 202,500 \times 0.1293 = 26,191 \text{ psi (180.6 MPa)} \]

This loss due to friction is 12.93 percent of the initial prestress.

3.7 ANCHORAGE-SEATING LOSSES (A)

Anchorage-seating losses occur in post-tensioned members due to the seating of wedges in the anchors when the jacking force is transferred to the anchorage. They can also occur in the prestressing casting beds of pretensioned members due to the adjustment

![Figure 3.7 Prestressing tendon alignment.](image-url)
expected when the prestressing force is transferred to these beds. A remedy for this loss can be easily effected during the stressing operations by overstretching. Generally, the magnitude of anchorage-seating loss ranges between $\frac{1}{16}$ in. and $\frac{3}{8}$ in. (6.35 mm and 9.53 mm) for the two-piece wedges. The magnitude of the overstretching that is necessary depends on the anchorage system used since each system has its particular adjustment needs, and the manufacturer is expected to supply the data on the slip expected due to anchorage adjustment. If $\Delta_A$ is the magnitude of the slip, $L$ is the tendon length, and $E_{ps}$ is the modulus of the prestressing wires, then the prestress loss due to anchorage slip becomes

$$\Delta f_{pA} = \frac{\Delta_A}{L} E_{ps}$$  (3.24)

### 3.7.1 Computation of Anchorage-Seating Loss

**Example 3.7**

Compute the anchorage-seating loss in the post-tensioned beam of Example 3.2 if the estimated slip is $\frac{1}{16}$ in. (6.35 m).

**Solution:**

$$E_{ps} = 27 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$$

$$\Delta_A = 0.25 \text{ in.}$$

$$\Delta f_{pA} = \frac{\Delta_A}{L} E_{ps} = \frac{0.25}{50 \times 12} \times 27 \times 10^6 = 11,250 \text{ psi (77.6 MPa)}$$

Note that the percentage of loss due to anchorage slip becomes very high in short-beam elements and thus becomes of major significance in short-span beams. In such cases, it becomes difficult to post-tension such beams with high accuracy.

**Photo 3.2** Terracentre, Denver, Colorado. *(Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.)*
3.8 CHANGE OF PRESTRESS DUE TO BENDING OF A MEMBER ($\Delta f_{pb}$)

As the beam bends due to prestress or external load, it becomes convex or concave depending on the nature of the load, as shown in Figure 3.8. If the unit compressive strain in the concrete along the level of the tendon is $\varepsilon_c$, then the corresponding change in prestress in the steel is

$$\Delta f_{pb} = \varepsilon_c E_p$$

where $E_p$ is the modulus of the steel. Note that any loss due to bending need not be taken into consideration if the prestressing stress level is measured after the beam has already bent, as is usually the case.

Figure 3.9 presents a flowchart for step-by-step evaluation of time-dependent prestress losses without deflection.

3.9 STEP-BY-STEP COMPUTATION OF ALL TIME-DEPENDENT LOSSES IN A PRETENSIONED BEAM

Example 3.8

A simply supported pretensioned 70-ft-span lightweight steam-cured double T-beam as shown in Figure 3.10 is prestressed by twelve 1-in. diameter (twelve 12.7 mm dia) 270-K grade stress-relieved strands. The strands are harped, and the eccentricity at midspan is 18.73 in. (476 mm) and at the end 12.98 in. (330 mm). Compute the prestress loss at the critical section in the beam of 0.40 span due to dead load and superimposed dead load at

- (a) stage I at transfer
- (b) stage II after concrete topping is placed
- (c) two years after concrete topping is placed

Suppose the topping is 2 in. (51 mm) normal-weight concrete cast at 30 days. Suppose also that prestress transfer occurred 18 h after tensioning the strands. Given

$$f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi, lightweight (34.5 MPa)}$$
$$f'_c = 3,500 \text{ psi (24.1 MPa)}$$

and the following noncomposite section properties.

$$A_c = 615 \text{ in.}^2 (3,968 \text{ cm}^2)$$
$$I_c = 59,720 \text{ in.}^4 (2.49 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^4)$$
$$c_0 = 21.98 \text{ in. (55.8 cm)}$$
$$c' = 10.02 \text{ in. (25.5 cm)}$$
$$S_b = 2,717 \text{ in.}^3 (44,520 \text{ cm}^3)$$
$$S'_b = 5,960 \text{ in.}^3 (97,670 \text{ cm}^3)$$
3.9 Step-by-Step Computation of all Time-Dependent Losses in a Pretensioned Beam

**START**

Input: $A_p$, $f_p$, $S_p$, $S_i$, $f_pst$, $f_{ps}$, $f_{ps}$, $f_{pcr}$, $f_{pc}$, $L$, $A_{ps}$, $W_{ps}$, $W_{pl}$, anchorage seating $A_{st}$, $e$, $RH$, $VIS$, time $t$, pretensioned or post-tensioned stress-relieved or low-relaxation steel

Friction loss, only if post-tensioned

$\Delta f_{ps} = f_{ps} (\mu a + KL)$

$\mu$ and $k$ from Table 3.7, $a = 8a/L$ or $a = \frac{L}{R}$

Net $f_{ps} = f_{ps} - \Delta f_{ps}$

Anchorage seating loss

$\Delta f_{ak} = \frac{\Delta A}{L} E_{ps}$

Net $f_{ak} = f_{ak} - \Delta f_{ak}$

Elastic shortening loss

$\bar{f}_{es} = -\frac{P_j}{A_p} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_{ps}}{I_e}$

where $0.90P_j = P_j$ can be used for refinement since $P_j$ at elastic shortening stage = $0.90P_j$

Pretensioned

$\Delta f_{ped} = \frac{E_{ps}}{E_{el}} f_{es}$

Post-tensioned

Sequential jacking

$\Delta f_{pad} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta f_{ped})$

Creep loss

$\bar{f}_{cre} = \frac{M_{cre} \varepsilon}{I_e}$

$\Delta f_{pcr} = K_{cr} (f_{es} - \bar{f}_{cre}) - \frac{E_{ps}}{E_o}$

Pretensioned

$K_{cr} = 2(0.8 \text{ for lightweight concrete})$

Post-tensioned

$K_{cr} = 1.6(0.8 \text{ for lightweight concrete})$

Alternative factor $K_{cr} = 2.35 (\frac{0.62}{10 + 0.82})$

Figure 3.9 Flowchart for step-by-step evaluation of prestress losses.
Chapter 3  Partial Loss of Prestress

Shrinkage loss
\[ \Delta f_{\text{shw}} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} K_{\text{pr}} E_{\text{pr}} (1 - 0.06V/S) (100 - RH) \]
\[ K_{\text{pr}} = 1 \text{ for pretensioned} \]
\[ K_{\text{sh}} \text{ from Table 3.6 for post-tensioned} \]
Alternatively
\[ \Delta f_{\text{shw}} = 800 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{t}{t + 35} \right) E_{\text{pr}} - \text{moist curing} \]
\[ \Delta f_{\text{shw}} = 730 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{t}{t + 55} \right) E_{\text{pr}} - \text{steam curing} \]

Relaxation of steel loss
(i) Stress-relieved strands
Pretensioned
\[ f_{\text{pi}} = f_{\text{pr}} - \Delta f_{\text{pr}} (t_0, t_p) - \Delta f_{\text{res}} \]
\[ f_{\text{pr}} - \Delta f_{\text{pr}} (t_0, t_p) = 0.90 f_{\text{pr}} \]
\[ \Delta f_{\text{res}} = \frac{f_{\text{pi}}}{f_{\text{pr}}} \left( \frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_2} - 0.55 \right) \]
where \( t_2 \) and \( t_1 \) are in hours
Post-tensioned
\[ f_{\text{pi}} = f_{\text{pr}} - \Delta f_{\text{res}} - \Delta f_{\text{res}} \]
where \( f_{\text{res}} \) is for case of sequential jacking
\[ \Delta f_{\text{res}} = \frac{f_{\text{pi}}}{f_{\text{pr}}} \log \frac{t}{10} \left( \frac{t_2}{t_1} - 0.55 \right) \]
where \( \log t = \log (t_2 - t_1) \)

(ii) Low-relaxation strands
Replace the denominator (10) in the \( (\log t_2 - \log t_1) \) term for pretensioned and the \( (\log t) \) term for post-tensioned by a denominator value of 45.

Add all losses \( \Delta f_p \)
(i) Pretensioned
\[ \Delta f_p = \Delta f_{\text{res}} + \Delta f_{\text{res}} + \Delta f_{\text{arc}} + \Delta f_{\text{shw}} \]
(ii) Post tensioned
\[ \Delta f_p = \Delta f_{\text{arc}} + \Delta f_{\text{res}} + \Delta f_{\text{arc}} + \Delta f_{\text{res}} + \Delta f_{\text{shw}} \]
where \( \Delta f_{\text{res}} \) is applicable only when tendons are jacked sequentially and not simultaneously
\( \Delta f_{\text{arc}} \) and \( \Delta f_{\text{shw}} \) are subtracted from the total jacking stress \( f_{\text{pr}} \)

Calculate \% of each type of loss
Add \% of all losses

Figure 3.9  Continued
3.9 Step-by-Step Computation of all Time-Dependent Losses in a Pretensioned Beam

![Diagram of Double-T pretensioned beam. (a) Elevation. (b) Pretensioned section.]

Figure 3.10 Double-T pretensioned beam. (a) Elevation. (b) Pretensioned section.

\[ W_D \text{ (no topping)} = 491 \text{ plf (7.2 kN/m)} \]
\[ W_{SD} \text{(2-in. topping)} = 250 \text{ plf (3.65 kN/m)} \]
\[ W_L = 40 \text{ psf (1,915 Pa)—Transient} \]
\[ f_{ps} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{py} = 0.85f_{ps} = 230,000 \text{ psi (1,589 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{pu} = 0.70f_{ps} = 0.82f_{py} = 0.82 \times 0.85f_{ps} = 0.70f_{ps} = 189,000 \text{ psi (1,303 MPa)} \]
\[ E_{ps} = 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (193.1 \times 10^5 MPa)} \]

Solution:

\[ f' = 3,500 \text{ psi} \]
\[ E_{ci} = 115 \times (33 \sqrt{3,500}) = 2.41 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]
\[ E_c = 115 \times (33 \sqrt{5,000}) = 2.88 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]

Stage 1: Stress Transfer

(a) Elastic shortening. Given critical section distance from support = 0.40 \times 70 = 28 \text{ ft}, \epsilon \text{ at critical section} = 12.98 + 0.8(18.73 - 12.98) = 17.38 \text{ in}. Dead-load moment \( M_D \) at 0.40 of the span is
\[ M_p = W_d \frac{x}{2} (L - x) = 491 \left( \frac{28}{2} \right) (70 - 28) \]
\[ = 288,708 \text{ ft-lb} = 3,464,496 \text{ in.-lb} (391 \text{kN-m}) \]
\[ f_p = 0.70 f_{pu} = 0.70 \times 270,000 = 189,000 \text{ psi} \]
Assume elastic-shortening loss and steel-relaxation loss \( \equiv 18,000 \text{ psi} \); then net-steel stress \( f_p = 189,000 - 18,000 = 171,000 \text{ psi} \), and
\[ P_i = A_p f_p = 12 \times 0.153 \times 171,000 = 313,956 \text{ lb} \]
\[ r^2 = \frac{f_c}{A_c} = \frac{59,720}{615} = 97.11 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ f_{es} = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_p e}{I_c} \]
\[ = -\frac{313,956}{615} \left( 1 + \frac{(17.58)^2}{97.11} \right) + \frac{3,464,496 \times 17.58}{59,720} \]
\[ = -2,135.2 + 1,019.9 = -1,115.3 \text{ psi} (7.7 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ n = \frac{E_{ps}}{E_{cs}} = \frac{28 \times 10^6}{2.41 \times 10^6} = 11.62 \]
\[ \Delta f_{PE5} = n f_{CS} = 11.62 \times 1,115.3 = 12,958 \text{ psi} (85.4 \text{ MPa}) \]
If \( f_p = 189,000 \text{ psi} \) is used, then the net \( f_p = 189,000 - 12,958 = 176,042 \text{ psi} \), and we have
\[ f_{es} = -2,135.2 \times \frac{176,042}{171,000} + 1,019.90 = -1178.3 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \Delta f_{PE5} = n f_{CS} = 11.62 \times 1178.3 = 13,690 \text{ psi} (94 \text{ MPa}) \]
vs. 12,985 psi in the refined solution, a small difference of \(-6\) percent. Thus, an assumption of 10-percent loss at the beginning in estimating \( P_i \equiv 0.9 P_i \) would have been adequate.

(b) **Steel-Stress Relaxation.** Calculate the steel relaxation at transfer.
\[ f_p = 230,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{p1} = 189,000 \text{ psi} \] (or net \( f_{p1} = 171,000 \text{ psi} \) could be used)
\[ t = 18 \text{ hours} \]
\[ \Delta f_{PH} = f_p \left( \frac{\log t_2 - \log t_1}{10} \right) \left( f_{p1} - 0.55 \right) \]
\[ = 189,000 \left( \frac{\log 18}{10} \right) \left( \frac{189,000}{230,000} - 0.55 \right) \]
\[ = 6,446.9 \text{ psi} \approx 6,447 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \Delta f_{PE5} + \Delta f_{PH} = 12,958 + 6,447 = 19,405 \approx 18,000 \text{ psi, assumed OK.} \]

(c) **Creep Loss**
\[ \Delta f_{PCR} = 0 \]

(d) **Shrinkage Loss**
\[ \Delta f_{SH} = 0 \]

The stage-I total losses are
\[ \Delta f_T = \Delta f_{PE5} + \Delta f_{PH} + \Delta f_{PCR} + \Delta f_{SH} \]
\[ = 12,958 + 6,447 + 0 + 0 = 19,405 \text{ psi} (134 \text{ MPa}) \]
The strand stress $f_{ps}$ at the end of stage I = 189,000 - 19,405 = 169,595 psi (1,169 MPa), giving $P_1 = 311,376$ psi.

**Stage II: Transfer to Placement of Topping after 30 Days**

(a) **Creep Loss**

$$E_c = 2.88 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$$

$$E_{ps} = 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$$

$$n = \frac{E_{ps}}{E_c} = \frac{28 \times 10^6}{2.88 \times 10^6} = 9.72$$

$$\tilde{f}_{cs} = 1,115.3 \text{ psi}$$

Intensity of 2-in. normal-weight concrete topping:

$$W_{SD} = \frac{2}{12} \times 10 \times 150 = 250 \text{ plf}$$

The moment due to the 2-in. topping is

$$M_{SD} = W_{SD} \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) (L - x) = 250 \left( \frac{28}{2} \right) (70 - 28) \times 12 = 1,764,000 \text{ in.-lb (199 kN-m)}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{cs} \frac{M_{SD}E_c}{I_c} = \frac{1,764,000 \times 17.58}{59,720} = 519.3 \text{ psi}$$

Although 30 days' duration is short for long-term effects, sufficient approximation can be justified in stage II using the creep factor $K_{CR}$ of Equation 3.11 to account for stage III as well (see stage-III creep calculations).

For lightweight concrete, use $K_{CR} = 2.0 \times 80\% = 1.6$. Then, from Equation 3.10, the prestress loss due to long-term creep is

$$\Delta f_{pCR} = nK_{CR}(\tilde{f}_{cs} - \tilde{f}_{cad}) = 9.72 \times 1.6(1,115.3 - 519.3) = 9,269 \text{ psi (63.3 MPa)}$$

(b) **Shrinkage Loss.** Assume relative humidity $RH = 70\%$. Then, from Equation 3.14, the prestress loss due to long-term shrinkage is

$$\Delta f_{pSH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} K_{SH} E_{ps} \left( 1 - 0.06 \frac{V}{S} \right) (100 - RH)$$

$$\frac{V}{S} = \frac{615}{364} = 1.69 \text{ from geometry}$$

$K_{SH} = 1.0$ for pretensioned members; hence,

$$\Delta f_{pSH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} \times 1.0 \times 28 \times 10^6(1 - 0.06 \times 1.69)(100 - 70)$$

$$= 6,190 \text{ psi (42.7 MPa)}$$

(c) **Steel Relaxation Loss at 30 Days**

$$t_1 = 18 \text{ hours}$$
$$t_2 = 30 \text{ days} = 30 \times 24 = 720 \text{ hours}$$

$$f_{ps} = 169,595 \text{ psi from stage I}$$

$$\Delta f_{p8} = 169,595 \left( \frac{\log 720 - \log 18}{10} \right) \left( 169,595 \frac{1}{230,000} - 0.55 \right) = 5,091 \text{ psi (35.1 MPa)}$$

Stage-II total loss is

$$\Delta f_{pT} = \Delta f_{pCR} + \Delta f_{pSH} + \Delta f_{p8} = 9,269 + 6,190 + 5,091 = 20,550 \text{ psi (142 MPa)}$$

The increase in stress in the strands due to the addition of topping is
\[ f_{SD} = n_{f_{cd}} = 9.72 \times 519.3 = 5,048 \text{ psi (34.8 MPa)} \]

Hence, the strand stress at the end of stage II is

\[ f_{ps} = f_{ps} - \Delta f_{pT} + f_{SD} = 169,595 - 20,550 + 5,048 = 154,093 \text{ psi (1,062 MPa)} \]

**Stage III: At End of Two Years**

The values for long-term creep and long-term shrinkage evaluated for stage II are assumed not to have increased significantly, since the long-term values of \( K_{cr} \) for creep and \( K_{sh} \) for shrinkage were used in stage-II computations. Accordingly,

\[ f_{pe} = 154,093 \text{ psi (1,066 MPa)} \]

\[ t_1 = 30 \text{ days} = 720 \text{ hours} \]

\[ t_2 = 2 \text{ years} \times 365 \times 24 = 17,520 \text{ hours} \]

The steel relaxation stress loss is

\[ \Delta f_{pe} = 154,093 \left( \log \frac{17,520}{10} - \log 720 \right) \left( \frac{154,093}{230,000} - 0.55 \right) = 2,563 \text{ psi (17.7 MPa)} \]

So the strand stress \( f_{pe} \) at the end of stage III \( = 154,093 - 2,563 = 151,530 \text{ psi (1,033 MPa)} \).

**Summary of Stresses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress level at various stages</th>
<th>Steel stress, psi</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After tensioning (0.70 ( f_{ps} ))</td>
<td>189,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic shortening loss</td>
<td>-12,958</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creep loss</td>
<td>-9,269</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage loss</td>
<td>-6,190</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxation loss (6,447 + 5,091 + 2,563)</td>
<td>-14,101</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase due to topping</td>
<td>5,048</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final net stress ( f_{pe} )</td>
<td>151,530 psi (1,045 MPa)</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages of total losses = 100 - 80.1 = 19.9%, say, 20% for this pretensioned beam.

### 3.10 STEP-BY-STEP COMPUTATION OF ALL TIME-DEPENDENT LOSSES IN A POST-TENSIONED BEAM

**Example 3.9**

Solve Example 3.8 assuming that the beam is post-tensioned. Assume also that the anchorage seating loss is \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. and that all strands are simultaneously tensioned in a flexible duct. Also assume that the total jacking force prior to the friction and anchorage seating losses resulted in \( f_{ps} = 189,000 \text{ psi (} f_{ps} = f_{ps} \text{ of Equation 3.1d in this case).} \)

**Solution:**

(a) **Anchorage seating loss**

\[ \Delta A = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25^* \quad L = 70 \text{ ft} \]

From Equation 3.24, the anchorage slip stress loss is

\[ \Delta f_{PA} = \frac{\Delta A}{L} E_{ps} = \frac{0.25}{70 \times 12} \times 28 \times 10^6 \approx 8333 \text{ psi (40.2 MPa)} \]
(b) **Elastic shortening.** Since all jacks are simultaneously post-tensioned, the elastic shortening will precipitate during jacking. As a result, no elastic shortening stress loss takes place in the tendons. Hence, $\Delta f_{pss} = 0$.

(c) **Frictional loss.** Assume that the parabolic tendon approximates the shape of an arc of a circle. Then, from Equation 3.23,

$$\alpha = \frac{8y}{x} = \frac{8(18.73 - 12.98)}{70 \times 12} = 0.0548 \text{ radian}$$

From Table 3.7, use $K = 0.001$ and $\mu = 0.25$. Then, from Example 3.8,

$$f_p = 189,000 \text{ psi (1,303 MPa)}$$

From Equation 3.22, the stress loss in prestress due to friction is

$$\Delta f_{pf} = f_p(\mu \alpha + KL)$$

$$= 189,000(0.25 \times 0.0548 + 0.001 \times 70)$$

$$= 15,819 \text{ psi (109 MPa)}$$

The stress remaining in the prestressing steel after all initial instantaneous losses is

$$f'_p = 189,000 - 8,333 - 0 - 15,819 = 164,848 \text{ psi (1,136 MPa)}$$

Hence, the net prestressing force is

$$P_j = 164,848 \times 12 \times 0.153 = 296,726 \text{ lb}$$

compared to $P_j = 311,376 \text{ lb}$ in the pretensioned case of Example 3.8.

---

**Photo 3.3**  Linn Cove Viaduct, Grandfather Mountain, North Carolina. A 90° cantilever and a 10 percent super-elevation in one direction to a full 10 percent in the opposite direction within 180 ft. Designed by Figg and Muller Engineers, Inc., Tallahassee, Florida. (Courtesy, Figg and Muller Engineers, Inc.)
Stage I: Stress at Transfer

(a) Anchorage Seating Loss

\[ \text{Loss} = 8,333 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \text{Net stress} = 164,848 \text{ psi} \]

(b) Relaxation Loss

\[ \Delta f_{PR} = 164,848 \left( \frac{\log 18}{10} \right) \left( \frac{164,848}{230,000} - 0.55 \right) \approx 3,450 \text{ psi (23.8 MPa)} \]

(c) Creep Loss

\[ \Delta f_{PCR} = 0 \]

(d) Shrinkage Loss

\[ \Delta f_{PSH} = 0 \]

So the tendon stress \( f_p \) at the end of stage I is

\[ 164,848 - 3,450 = 161,398 \text{ psi (1,113 MPa)} \]

Stage II: Transfer to Placement of Topping after 30 Days

(a) Creep Loss

\[ P_t = 161,398 \times 12 \times 0.153 = 296,327 \text{ lb} \]

\[ \tilde{f}_c = \frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{\rho^2} \right) + \frac{M_{cR}}{I_c} \]

\[ = \frac{296,327}{615} \left( 1 + \frac{(17.58)^2}{97.11} \right) + \frac{3,464,496 \times 17.58}{59,720} \]

\[ = -2,016.20 + 1,020.00 = -996.2 \text{ psi (6.94 MPa)} \]

Hence, the creep loss:

For lightweight concrete, \( K_{CR} \) is reduced by 20%, hence \( 1.6 \times 0.80 = 1.28 \).

\[ \Delta f_{PCR} = nK_{CR}(\tilde{f}_c - \tilde{f}_{ced}) \]

\[ = 9.72 \times 1.28(996.2 - 519.3) \approx 5,933 \text{ psi (41 MPa)} \]

(b) Shrinkage Loss. From Example 3.8, for \( K_{SH} = 0.58 \) at 30 days, Table 3.6,

\[ \Delta f_{PSH} = 6,190 \times 0.58 = 3,590 \text{ psi (24.8 MPa)} \]

(c) Steel Relaxation Loss at 30 Days

\[ f_p = 161,398 \text{ psi} \]

The relaxation loss in stress becomes

\[ \Delta f_{PR} = 161,398 \left( \frac{\log 720 - \log 18}{10} \right) \left( \frac{161,398}{230,000} - 0.55 \right) \approx 3,923 \text{ psi (27.0 MPa)} \]

Stage II: Total Losses

\[ \Delta f_T = \Delta f_{PCR} + \Delta f_{PSH} + \Delta f_{PR} \]

\[ = 5,933 + 3,590 + 3,923 = 13,446 \text{ psi (93 MPa)} \]
3.11 Lump-Sum Computation of Time-Dependent Losses in Prestress

From Example 3.8, the increase in stress in the strands due to the addition of topping, is $f_{SD} = 5,048$ psi (34.8 MPa); hence, the strand stress at the end of stage II is

$$f_{pe} = f_{pu} - \Delta f_{ps} + \Delta f_{SD} = 161,398 - 13,446 + 5,048 = 153,000 \text{ psi (1,055 MPa)}$$

**Stage III: At End of 2 Years**

- $f_{pe} = 153,000$ psi
- $t_1 = 720$ hours
- $t_2 = 17,520$ hours

The steel relaxation stress loss is

$$\Delta f_{ps} = 153,000 \left( \frac{\log 17,520 - \log 720}{10} \right) \left( \frac{153,000}{230,000} - 0.55 \right)$$

$$\approx 2,444 \text{ psi (16.9 MPa)}$$

Using the same assumptions for stage III creep and shrinkage as in Example 3.8, the strand stress $f_{pu}$ at the end of stage III is approximately

$$153,000 - 2,444 = 150,556 \text{ psi (1,038 MPa)}$$

**Summary of Stresses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress level at various stages</th>
<th>Steel stress psi</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After tensioning ($0.70f_{pu}$)</td>
<td>189,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic shortening loss</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage loss*</td>
<td>-8,333</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frictional loss*</td>
<td>-15,819</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creep loss</td>
<td>-5,933</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage loss</td>
<td>-3,590</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxation loss ($3,450 + 3,923 + 2,444$)</td>
<td>-9,817</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase due to topping</td>
<td>+5,048</td>
<td>+2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final net stress $f_{pe}$</td>
<td>150,556</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of total losses = 100 - 79.7 = 20.3% beam.

*Frictional and anchorage seating losses are included in this table since the total jacking stress is given as 189,000 psi; otherwise the tendons would have to be jacked an additional stress of such a magnitude as to neutralize the frictional and anchorage seating losses.

### 3.11 LUMP-SUM COMPUTATION OF TIME-DEPENDENT LOSSES IN PRESTRESS

**Example 3.10**

Solve Examples 3.8 and 3.9 by the approximate lump-sum method, and compare the results.

**Solution for Example 3.8.** From Table 3.1, the total loss $\Delta f_{PT} = 45,000$ psi (228 MPa). So the net final strand stress by this method is

$$f_{pe} = 189,000 - 45,000 = 144,000 \text{ psi (993 MPa)}$$

Step-by-step $f_{pe}$ value = 151,530

Percent difference = $\frac{151,530 - 144,000}{151,530} = 5.0\%$
3.12 SI PRESTRESS LOSS EXPRESSIONS

\[ \Delta f_{PR} = f_{ps} \left( \frac{\log t_2 - \log t_1}{10} \right) \left( \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{fy}} - 0.55 \right) \]  

(3.8)

for stress-relieved tendons where \( t \) is in hours. The denominator 10 becomes 45 for low-relaxation tendons.

\[ \Delta f_{PCR} = nK_{CR}(f_{cs} - f_{cd}) \]  

(3.11b)

where for normal concrete

\[ K_{CR} = 2.0 \text{ for pretensioned} \]  
\[ = 1.6 \text{ for post-tensioned} \]

reduced by 20% for lightweight concrete.

\[ n = \text{modular ratio } \frac{E_{ps}}{E_c} \]

\[ \Delta f_{PSH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} K_{SH} E_{ps} \left( 1 - 0.06 \frac{V}{S} \right) (100 - RH) \]  

(3.14)

\[ K_{SH} = 1.0, \text{ pretensioned} \]

\[ = \text{range of 0.92 (1 day) to 0.45 (60 days)} \]

Equation 3.15a, moist curing for 7 days

\[ \varepsilon_{SH,t} = \left[ \frac{t}{t + 35} \right] \varepsilon_{SH,u} \]

where \( \varepsilon_{SH,t} = 800 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mm/mm} \)

Equation 3.15b, steam curing 1 to 3 days max

\[ \varepsilon_{SH,t} = \left[ \frac{t}{t + 55} \right] \varepsilon_{SH,u} \]

where \( \varepsilon_{SH,t} = 730 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mm/mm} \)

\[ \Delta f_{PF} = -f_i(\mu \sigma + 3.28KL) \]  

(3.22)

where \( L_n \) meter.

\[ \Delta f_{PA} = \left( \frac{\Delta A}{L} \right) E_{ps} \]  

(3.24)
3.12 SI Prestress Loss Expressions

\[ E_c = w^{1.5} 0.043 \sqrt{f_c'} \] 
\[ E_d = w^{1.5} 0.043 \sqrt{f_d'} \]

\( w \) (lightweight) = 1830 Kg/m³

\( MPa = 10^6 \) N/m² = N/mm²

(psi) 0.006895 = MPa

(lb/ft) 14.593 = N/m

(in.-lb) = 0.113 = N-m

3.12.1 SI Prestress Loss Example

Example 3.11

Solve Example 3.9 using SI units for losses in prestress, considering self-weight and superimposed dead load only.

\( f_c' = 34.5 \) MPa

\( f_d' = 24.1 \) MPa

\( A_c = 3.968 \) cm² \( S' = 97,670 \) cm³

\( I_c = 2.49 \times 10^6 \) cm⁴ \( S_b = 44,520 \) cm³

\( r^2 = I_c/A_c = 626 \)

\( c_b = 55.8 \) cm \( c' = 25.5 \) cm

\( e_c = 47.6 \) cm \( e_c = 33.0 \) cm

\( f_{pu} = 1,860 \) MPa

\( f_{py} = 0.85f_{pu} = 1,580 \) MPa

\( f_{pi} = 0.82f_{py} = (0.82 \times 0.85)f_{pu} = 0.7f_{pu} = 1,300 \) MPa

\( E_{ps} = 193,000 \) MPa

Span \( l = 21.3 \) m

\( A_{pr} = \) twelve tendons, 12.7-mm diameter (99 mm²)

\( = 12 \times 99 = 1,188 \) mm²

\( M_D = 391 \) kN-m \( M_{sd} = 199 \) kN-m

\( \Delta_A = 0.64 \) cm

\( V/S = 1.69 \) \( RH = 70\% \)

Solution:

(a) Anchorage seating loss

\[ \Delta A = 0.64 \] cm \( l = 21.3 \) m

\[ \Delta f_{PA} = \frac{\Delta A}{l} (E_{ps}) = \frac{0.64}{21.3 \times 100} \times 193,000 = 58.0 \) MPa

(b) Elastic Shortening

Since all jacks are simultaneously tensioned, the elastic shortening will simultaneously precipitate during jacking. As a result, no elastic shortening loss takes place in the tendons.
Hence

\[ \Delta f_{PES} = 0. \]

(c) Frictional Loss

Assume that the parabolic tendon approximates the shape of an arc of a circle. Then, from Equation 3.23,

\[
\alpha = \frac{8y}{x} = \frac{8(e_c - e_i)}{l} = \frac{8(47.6 - 33.0)}{21.3 \times 100} = 0.055 \text{ radians}
\]

From Table 3.7, \( K = 0.001, \mu = 0.25, f_{ps} = 1,300 \text{ MPa} \)

From Equation 3.22,

\[
\Delta f_{PF} = f_{ps}(\mu \alpha + 3.28KL) = 1,300(0.25 \times 0.055 + 0.001 \times 3.28 \times 21.3) = 109 \text{ MPa}
\]

The stress remaining in the prestressing steel after all instantaneous stresses

\[ f_{ps} = 1,300 - (58 + 0 + 109) = 1,133 \text{ MPa} \]

Hence, the net prestressing force is

\[ P_i = f_{ps} A_{ps} = 1,133 \times 1,188 = 1.35 \times 10^6 \text{ N} \]

Stage I: Stress at Transfer

(a) Anchorage Seating Loss \( \Delta f_a = 58 \text{ MPa} \)

(b) Relaxation Loss

From Equation 3.8,

\[
\Delta f_{PR} = f_{ps} \left( \frac{\log t_2 - \log t_1}{10} \right) \left( \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{ps}} - 0.55 \right) = 1,133 \left( \frac{\log 18 - \log 0}{10} \right) \left( \frac{1,133}{1,580} - 0.55 \right) = 24.4 \text{ MPa}
\]

(c) Creep Loss \( \Delta f_c = 0 \)

(d) Shrinkage Loss \( \Delta f_{sh} = 0 \)

Tendon stress at the end of stage I

\[ f_{ps} = 1,133 - 24.4 \approx 1,108 \text{ MPa} \]

Stage II: Transfer to Placement of Topping After 30 Days

(a) Creep Loss

\[ P_i = 1,108 \times 1,188 = 1.32 \times 10^6 \text{ N} \]

\[
\bar{f}_c = - \frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D e_b}{I_c} \text{ at tendons centroid}
\]

\[ e \text{ at } 0.4 \text{ of span} = 17.58 \text{ in.} = 44.7 \text{ cm} \]

\[
\bar{f}_c = - \frac{1.32 \times 10^6}{3,968 \times 10^3} \left[ 1 + \frac{(44.6)^2}{626} \right] + \frac{3.91 \times 10^2 \text{ N-cm} \times 44.6 \text{ N/mm}^2}{2.49 \times 10^6} \times \frac{1}{100} = -13.90 + 7.00 \text{ N/mm}^2 = 6.90 \text{ MPa at cgs}
\]

\[ w \text{ (lightweight)} = 1.800 \text{ Kg/m}^3 \]
3.12 SI Prestress Loss Expressions

\[ E_c \text{ (lightweight)} = w^{1.5} 0.043 \sqrt{34.5} \]
\[ = 1.830^{1.5} \times 0.043 \sqrt{34.5} = 19.770 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ n = \frac{E_{ps}}{E_c} = \frac{193,000}{19.770} = 9.76 \]

\( f_{cad} \) = stress in concrete at cgs due to all superimposed dead loads after prestressing is accomplished.

\[ f_{cad} = \frac{M_{SHE}}{I_c} = \frac{1.99 \times 10^7 \text{ N-cm} \times 44.7}{2.49 \times 10^6 \times 100} \times 100 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]
\[ = 3.57 \text{ MPa} \]

\( K_{CR} = 1.6 \) for post-tensioned beam

From Equation 3.11b,

\[ \Delta f_{pCR} = nK_{CR}(f_c - f_{cad}) \]
\[ = 9.76 \times 1.6(6.90 - 3.57) = 52.0 \text{ MPa} \]

(b) Shrinkage Loss at 30 Days

From Equation 3.14,

\[ \Delta f_{pSH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} K_{SH} E_{ps} \left( 1 + \frac{V}{S} \right) (100 - RH) \]

\( K_{SH} \) at 30 days = 0.58 (Table 3.6)

\[ \Delta f_{pSH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} \times 0.58 \times 193,000(1 - 0.06 \times 1.69)(100 - 70) \]
\[ = 24.7 \text{ MPa} \]

(e) Relaxation Loss at 30 Days (720 Hrs)

\[ f_{ps} = 1,108 \text{ MPa} \]

\[ \Delta f_{pR} = 1,108 \left( \frac{\log 720 - \log 18}{10} \right) \left( \frac{1,108}{1,580} - 0.55 \right) \]
\[ = 110.8(2.85 - 1.25)0.151 = 26.8 \text{ MPa} \]

Stage II: Total Losses

\[ \Delta f_{pT} = \Delta f_{pCR} + \Delta f_{pSH} + \Delta f_{pR} \]
\[ = 52.0 + 24.7 + 26.8 = 104 \text{ MPa} \]

Increase of tensile stress at bottom cgs fibers due to addition of topping is from before,

\[ \Delta f_{SD} = n f_{CSD} = 9.76 \times 3.57 = 34.8 \text{ MPa} \]

\[ f_{pe} = f_{ps} - \Delta f_{pT} + \Delta f_{SD} \]
\[ = 1,108 - 103.5 + 34.5 = 1,039 \text{ MPa} \]

Stage III: At End of Two Years

\[ f_{pe} = 1,039 \text{ MPa} \]

\[ t_1 = 720 \text{ hrs, } t_2 = 17,520 \text{ hrs.} \]

\[ \Delta f_{pR} = 1,039 \left( \frac{\log 17,520 - \log 720}{10} \right) \left( \frac{1,039}{1,580} - 0.55 \right) \]
\[ = 103.9(4.244 - 2.857)0.108 = 15.6 \text{ MPa} \]
On the assumption that $\Delta f_{PCB}$ and $\Delta f_{PSH}$ were stable in this case, the stress in the tendons at end of stage III can approximately be $f_{pu} = 1.039 - 15.6 = 1.020 \text{ MPa}$.
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PROBLEMS

3.1 A simply supported pretensioned beam has a span of 75 ft (22.9 m) and the cross section shown in Figure P3.1. It is subjected to a uniform gravitational live-load intensity $W_L = 1,200 \text{ plf (17.5 kN/m)}$ in addition to its self-weight and is prestressed with 20 stress-relieved $\frac{1}{8}\text{in. dia (12.7 mm dia)}$ 7-wire tendons. Compute the total prestress losses by the step-by-step method, and compare them with the values obtained by the lump-sum method. Take the following values as given:

- $f'_{c} = 6,000 \text{ psi (41.4 MPa)}$, normal-weight concrete
- $f'_{a} = 4,500 \text{ psi (31 MPa)}$
- $f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)}$
- $f_{pi} = 0.70f_{pu}$
- Relaxation time $t = 5$ years
- $e_c = 19 \text{ in. (483 mm)}$
- Relative humidity $RH = 75\%$
- $V/S = 3.0 \text{ in. (7.62 cm)}$

Assume SD load = 30% LL.
\[ f' = \frac{P}{A_c} + \frac{P_{ec}}{I_g} - \frac{Mc}{I_g} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.3a)

\[ f_b = \frac{P}{A_c} - \frac{P_{ec}}{I_g} + \frac{Mc}{I_g} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.3b)

Since the support section of a simply supported beam carries no moment from the external transverse load, high tensile fiber stresses at the top fibers are caused by the eccentric prestressing force. To limit such stresses, the eccentricity of the prestressing tendon profile, the cgs line, is made less at the support section than at the midspan section, or eliminated altogether, or else a negative eccentricity above the cg line is used.

### 1.3.2 Basic Concept Method

In the basic concept method of designing prestressed concrete elements, the concrete fiber stresses are directly computed from the external forces applied to the concrete by longitudinal prestressing and the external transverse load. Equations 1.3a and b can be modified and simplified for use in calculating stresses at the initial prestressing stage and at service load levels. If \( P_i \) is the initial prestressing force before stress losses, and \( P_e \) is the effective prestressing force after losses, then

\[ \gamma = \frac{P_e}{P_i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.3c)

can be defined as the residual prestress factor. Substituting \( r^2 \) for \( I_g / A_c \) in Equations 1.3, where \( r \) is the radius of gyration of the gross section, the expressions for stress can be rewritten as follows:

(a) Prestressing Force Only

\[ f' = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{ec_i}{r^2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.4a)

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.4b)
nating tension totally (even inducing compression), or permitting a level of tensile stress within allowable code limits. The section is then considered uncracked and behaves elastically: the concrete's inability to withstand tensile stresses is effectively compensated for by the compressive force of the prestressing tendon.

The compressive stresses in Equation 1.2a at the top fibers of the beam due to prestressing are compounded by the application of the loading stress \(- \frac{Mc}{I}\), as seen in Figure 1.2(b). Hence, the compressive stress capacity of the beam to take a substantial external load is reduced by the concentric prestressing force. In order to avoid this limitation, the prestressing tendon is placed eccentrically below the neutral axis at midspan, to induce tensile stresses at the top fibers due to prestressing. [See Figure 1.2(c), (d).] If the tendon is placed at eccentricity \(e\) from the center of gravity of the concrete, termed the cgc line, it creates a moment \(Pe\), and the ensuing stresses at midspan become
where $A_c = bh$ is the cross-sectional area of a beam section of width $b$ and total depth $h$. A minus sign is used for compression and a plus sign for tension throughout the text. Also, bending moments are drawn on the tensile side of the member.

If external transverse loads are applied to the beam, causing a maximum moment $M$ at midspan, the resulting stress becomes

$$f' = \frac{P}{A} - \frac{Mc}{I_g}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2a)$$

and

$$f_b = \frac{P}{A} + \frac{Mc}{I_g}$$ \hspace{1cm} (1.2b)$$

where $f'$ = stress at the top fibers
$f_b$ = stress at the bottom fibers
$c = \frac{1}{2}h$ for the rectangular section
$I_g$ = gross moment of inertia of the section ($bh^3/12$ in this case)

Equation 1.2b indicates that the presence of prestressing-compressive stress $-P/A$ is reducing the tensile flexural stress $Mc/I$ to the extent intended in the design, either elimi-
1.3 Basic Concepts of Prestressing

Photo 1.6 Stratford “B” Condeep offshore oil drilling platform, Norway. (Courtesy, Ben C. Gerwick.)

Today, prestressed concrete is used in buildings, underground structures, TV towers, floating storage and offshore structures, power stations, nuclear reactor vessels, and numerous types of bridge systems including segmental and cable-stayed bridges. Note the variety of prestressed structures in the photos throughout the book; they demonstrate the versatility of the prestressing concept and its all-encompassing applications. The success in the development and construction of all these landmark structures has been due in no small measure to the advances in the technology of materials, particularly prestressing steel, and the accumulated knowledge in estimating the short- and long-term losses in the prestressing forces.

1.3 BASIC CONCEPTS OF PRESTRESSING

1.3.1 Introduction

The prestressing force $P$ that satisfies the particular conditions of geometry and loading of a given element (see Figure 1.2) is determined from the principles of mechanics and of stress-strain relationships. Sometimes simplification is necessary, as when a prestressed beam is assumed to be homogeneous and elastic.

Consider, then, a simply supported rectangular beam subjected to a concentric prestressing force $P$ as shown in Figure 1.2(a). The compressive stress on the beam cross-section is uniform and has an intensity
sure, thereby achieving watertightness. Thereafter, prestressing of tanks and pipes developed at an accelerated pace in the United States, with thousands of tanks of water, liquid, and gas storage built and much mileage of prestressed pressure pipe laid in the two to three decades that followed.

Linear prestressing continued to develop in Europe and in France, in particular through the ingenuity of Eugene Freyssinet, who proposed in 1926 through 1928 methods to overcome prestress losses through the use of high-strength and high-ductility steels. In 1940, he introduced the now well-known and well-accepted Freyssinet system comprising the conical wedge anchor for 12-wire tendons.

During World War II and thereafter, it became necessary to reconstruct in a prompt manner many of the main bridges that were destroyed by war activities. G. Mag nel of Ghent, Belgium, and Y. Guyon of Paris extensively developed and used the concept of prestressing for the design and construction of numerous bridges in western and central Europe. The Mag nel system also used wedges to anchor the prestressing wires. They differed from the original Freyssinet wedges in that they were flat in shape, accommodating the prestressing of two wires at a time.

P. W. Abeles of England introduced and developed the concept of partial prestressing between the 1930s and 1960s. F. Leonhardt of Germany, V. Mikhailov of Russia, and T. Y. Lin of the United States also contributed a great deal to the art and science of the design of prestressed concrete. Lin's load-balancing method deserves particular mention in this regard, as it considerably simplified the design process, particularly in continuous structures. These twentieth-century developments have led to the extensive use of prestressing throughout the world, and in the United States in particular.
better quality control of the concrete, and lighter foundations are achieved due to the smaller cumulative weight of the superstructure.

Once the beam span of reinforced concrete exceeds 70 to 90 feet, the dead weight of the beam becomes excessive, resulting in heavier members and, consequently, greater long-term deflection and cracking. Thus, for larger spans, prestressed concrete becomes mandatory since arches are expensive to construct and do not perform as well due to the severe long-term shrinkage and creep they undergo. Very large spans such as segmental bridges or cable-stayed bridges can only be constructed through the use of prestressing.

1.2 HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF PRESTRESSING

Prestressed concrete is not a new concept, dating back to 1872, when P. H. Jackson, an engineer from California, patented a prestressing system that used a tie rod to construct beams or arches from individual blocks. [See Figure 1.1(a).] In 1888, C. W. Doehring of Germany obtained a patent for prestressing slabs with metal wires. But these early attempts at prestressing were not really successful because of the loss of the prestress with time. J. Lund of Norway and G. R. Steiner of the United States tried early in the twentieth century to solve this problem, but to no avail.

After a long lapse of time during which little progress was made because of the unavailability of high-strength steel to overcome prestress losses, R. E. Dill of Alexandria, Nebraska, recognized the effect of the shrinkage and creep (transverse material flow) of concrete on the loss of prestress. He subsequently developed the idea that successive post-tensioning of unbonded rods would compensate for the time-dependent loss of stress in the rods due to the decrease in the length of the member because of creep and shrinkage. In the early 1920s, W. H. Hewett of Minneapolis developed the principles of circular prestressing. He hoop-stressed horizontal reinforcement around walls of concrete tanks through the use of turnbuckles to prevent cracking due to internal liquid pres-
ural tensile strength of the concrete is exceeded, the prestressed member starts to act like a reinforced concrete element.

By controlling the amount of prestress, a structural system can be made either flexible or rigid without influencing its strength. In reinforced concrete, such a flexibility in behavior is considerably more difficult to achieve if considerations of economy are to be observed in the design. Flexible structures such as fender piles in wharves have to be highly energy absorbent, and prestressed concrete can provide the required resiliency. Structures designed to withstand heavy vibrations, such as machine foundations, can easily be made rigid through the contribution of the prestressing force to the reduction of their otherwise flexible deformation behavior.

1.1.2 Economics of Prestressed Concrete

Prestressed members are shallower in depth than their reinforced concrete counterparts for the same span and loading conditions. In general, the depth of a prestressed concrete member is usually about 65 to 80 percent of the depth of the equivalent reinforced concrete member. Hence, the prestressed member requires less concrete, and about 20 to 35 percent of the amount of reinforcement. Unfortunately, this saving in material weight is balanced by the higher cost of the higher quality materials needed in prestressing. Also, regardless of the system used, prestressing operations themselves result in an added cost: Formwork is more complex, since the geometry of prestressed sections is usually composed of flanged sections with thin webs.

In spite of these additional costs, if a large enough number of precast units are manufactured, the difference between at least the initial costs of prestressed and reinforced concrete systems is usually not very large. And the indirect long-term savings are quite substantial, because less maintenance is needed, a longer working life is possible due to
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Photo 1.1 Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), San Francisco and Oakland, California. Guideways consist of prestressed precast simple-span box girders 70 ft long and 11 ft wide. (Courtesy, Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Oakland, California.)

and disregarded. This is because the tensile forces resulting from the bending moments are resisted by the bond created in the reinforcement process. Cracking and deflection are therefore essentially irrecoverable in reinforced concrete once the member has reached its limit state at service load.

The reinforcement in the reinforced concrete member does not exert any force of its own on the member, contrary to the action of prestressing steel. The steel required to produce the prestressing force in the prestressed member actively preloads the member, permitting a relatively high controlled recovery of cracking and deflection. Once the flex-

Photo 1.2 Chaco-Corrientes Bridge, Argentina. The longest precast prestressed concrete cable-stayed box girder bridge in South America. (Courtesy, Ammann & Whitney.)
Figure 1.1  Prestressing principle in linear and circular prestressing.  (a) Linear prestressing of a series of blocks to form a beam. (b) Compressive stress on midspan section C and end section A or B. (c) Circular prestressing of a wooden barrel by tensioning the metal bands. (d) Circular hoop prestress on one wooden stave. (e) Tensile force $F$ on half of metal band due to internal pressure, to be balanced by circular hoop prestress.

The axis of the member, the prestressing principle involved is commonly known as linear prestressing.

Circular prestressing, used in liquid containment tanks, pipes, and pressure reactor vessels, essentially follows the same basic principles as does linear prestressing. The circumferential hoop, or "hugging" stress on the cylindrical or spherical structure, neutralizes the tensile stresses at the outer fibers of the curvilinear surface caused by the internal contained pressure.

Figure 1.1 illustrates, in a basic fashion, the prestressing action in both types of structural systems and the resulting stress response. In (a), the individual concrete blocks act together as a beam due to the large compressive prestressing force $P$. Although it might appear that the blocks will slip and vertically simulate shear slip failure, in fact they will not because of the longitudinal force $P$. Similarly, the wooden staves in (c) might appear to be capable of separating as a result of the high internal radial pressure exerted on them. But again, because of the compressive prestress imposed by the metal bands as a form of circular prestressing, they will remain in place.

1.1.1 Comparison with Reinforced Concrete

From the preceding discussion, it is plain that permanent stresses in the prestressed structural member are created before the full dead and live loads are applied, in order to eliminate or considerably reduce the net tensile stresses caused by these loads. With reinforced concrete, it is assumed that the tensile strength of the concrete is negligible.
4.1 INTRODUCTION

Flexural stresses are the result of external, or imposed, bending moments. In most cases, they control the selection of the geometrical dimensions of the prestressed concrete section regardless of whether it is pretensioned or post-tensioned. The design process starts with the choice of a preliminary geometry, and by trial and adjustment it converges to a final section with geometrical details of the concrete cross section and the sizes and alignments of the prestressing strands. The section satisfies the flexural (bending) requirements of concrete stress and steel stress limitations. Thereafter, other factors such as shear and torsion capacity, deflection, and cracking are analyzed and satisfied.

While the input data for the analysis of sections differ from the data needed for design, every design is essentially an analysis. One assumes the geometrical properties of the section to be prestressed and then proceeds to determine whether the section can safely carry the prestressing forces and the required external loads. Hence, a good understanding of the fundamental principles of analysis and the alternatives presented thereby significantly simplifies the task of designing the section. As seen from the discussion in Chapter 1, the basic mechanics of materials, principles of equilibrium of internal couples, and elastic principles of superposition have to be adhered to in all stages of loading.

Maryland Concert Center parking garage, Baltimore. (Courtesy, Prestressed Concrete Institute.)
4.1 Introduction

It suffices in the flexural design of reinforced concrete members to apply only the limit states of stress at failure for the choice of the section, provided that other requirements such as serviceability, shear capacity, and bond are met. In the design of prestressed members, however, additional checks are needed at the load transfer and limit state at service load, as well as the limit state at failure, with the failure load indicating the reserve strength for overload conditions. All these checks are necessary to ensure that at service load cracking is negligible and the long-term effects on deflection or camber are well controlled.

In view of the preceding, this chapter covers the major aspects of both the service-load flexural design and the ultimate-load flexural design check. The principles and methods presented in Chapter 1 for service load computations are extended into step-by-step procedures for the design of prestressed concrete linear elements, taking into consideration the impact of the magnitude of prestress losses discussed in Chapter 3. Note that a logical sequence in the design process entails first the service-load design of the section required in flexure, and then the analysis of the available moment strength $M_a$ of the section for the limit state at failure. Throughout the book, a negative sign (−) is used to denote compressive stress and a positive sign (+) is used to denote tensile stress in the concrete section. A convex or hogging shape indicates negative bending moment; a concave or sagging shape denotes positive bending moment, as shown in Figure 4.1.

Unlike the case of reinforced concrete members, the external dead load and partial live load are applied to the prestressed concrete member at varying concrete strengths at various loading stages. These loading stages can be summarized as follows:

- Initial prestress force $P_i$ is applied; then, at transfer, the force is transmitted from the prestressing strands to the concrete.
- The full self-weight $W_D$ acts on the member together with the initial prestressing force, provided that the member is simply supported, i.e., there is no intermediate support.
- The full superimposed dead load $W_{SD}$ including topping for composite action, is applied to the member.
- Most short-term losses in the prestressing force occur, leading to a reduced pre-stressing force $P_{ps}$.
- The member is subjected to the full service load, with long-term losses due to creep, shrinkage, and strand relaxation taking place and leading to a net prestressing force $P_c$.
- Overloading of the member occurs under certain conditions up to the limit state at failure.

A typical loading history and corresponding stress distribution across the depth of the critical section are shown in Figure 4.2, while a schematic plot of load versus defor-

![Figure 4.1](image_url)  
**Figure 4.1** Sign convention for flexure stress and bending moment. (a) Negative bending moment. (b) Positive bending moment.
mation (camber or deflection) is shown in Figure 4.3 for the various loading stages from the self-weight effect up to rupture.

4.2 SELECTION OF GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES OF SECTION COMPONENTS

4.2.1 General Guidelines

Under service-load conditions, the beam is assumed to be homogeneous and elastic. Since it is also assumed (because expected) that the prestress compressive force transmitted to the concrete closes the crack that might develop at the tensile fibers of the beam, beam sections are considered uncracked. Stress analysis of prestressed beams under these conditions is no different from stress analysis of a steel beam, or, more accurately, a beam column. The axial force due to prestressing is always present regardless of whether bending moments do or do not exist due to other external or self-loads.

As seen from Chapter 1, it is advantageous to have the alignment of the prestressing tendon eccentric at the critical sections, such as the midspan section in a simple beam and the support section in a continuous beam. As compared to a rectangular solid section, a nonsymmetrical flanged section has the advantage of efficiently using the concrete material and of concentrating the concrete in the compressive zone of the section where it is most needed.

Equations 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 to be subsequently presented are stress equations that are convenient in the analysis of stresses in the section once the section is chosen. For design, it is necessary to transpose the three equations into geometrical equations so that the student and the designer can readily choose the concrete section. A logical transposition is to define the minimum section modulus that can withstand all the loads after losses.

4.2.2 Minimum Section Modulus

To design or choose the section, a determination of the required minimum section modulus, $S_a$ and $S'$, has to be made first. If
4.2 Selection of Geometrical Properties of Section Components

Figure 4.3 Load-deformation curve of typical prestressed beam.

\[ f_{ct} = \text{maximum allowable compressive stress in concrete immediately after transfer and prior to losses} \]
\[ = 0.60 f'_{ct} \]

\[ f_{ct} = \text{maximum allowable tensile stress in concrete immediately after transfer and prior to losses} \]
\[ = 3\sqrt{f_{cd}} \text{ (the value can be increased to } 6\sqrt{f_{cd}} \text{ at the supports for simply supported members)} \]

\[ f_c = \text{maximum allowable compressive stress in concrete after losses at service-load level} \]
\[ = 0.45 f'_c \text{ or } 0.60 f'_c \text{ when allowed by the code} \]

\[ f_t = \text{maximum allowable tensile stress in concrete after losses at service load level} \]
\[ = 6\sqrt{f'_c} \text{ (the value can be increased in one-way systems to } 12\sqrt{f'_c} \text{ if long-term deflection requirements are met)} \]

Then the actual extreme fiber stresses in the concrete cannot exceed the values listed.

Using the uncracked unsymmetrical section, a summary of the equations of stress from Section 1.3 for the various loading stages is as follows.

**Stress at Transfer**

\[ f^t = -\frac{P_t}{A_t} \left(1 - \frac{ec_t}{r^2}\right) - \frac{M_D}{S_t^t} \leq f_t \]

(4.1a)
where \( P_i \) is the initial prestressing force. While a more accurate value to use would be the horizontal component of \( P_i \), it is reasonable for all practical purposes to disregard such refinement.

**Effective Stresses after Losses**

\[
f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{ec_b}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'} \leq f_e
\]

(4.2a)

\[
f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \leq f_e
\]

(4.2b)

**Service-load Final Stresses**

\[
f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{ec_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_T}{S'} \leq f_e
\]

(4.3a)

\[
f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{ec_t}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \leq f_e
\]

(4.3b)

where \( M_T = M_D + M_{4D} + M_L \)

\( P_i \) = initial prestress

\( P_e \) = effective prestress after losses

\( t \) denotes the top, and \( b \) denotes the bottom fibers

\( e \) = eccentricity of tendons from the concrete section center of gravity, cgc

\( r^2 \) = square of radius of gyration

\( S'/S_b \) = top/bottom section modulus value of concrete section

The *decompression stage* denotes the increase in steel strain due to the increase in load from the stage when the effective prestress \( P_e \) acts alone to the stage when the addi-
tional load causes the compressive stress in the concrete at the cgs level to reduce to zero (see Figure 4.3). At this stage, the change in concrete stress due to decompression is

\[ f_{\text{decomp}} = \frac{P_{\text{e}}}{A_{\text{c}}} \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{r^2} \right) \]  

(4.3c)

This relationship is based on the assumption that the strain between the concrete and the prestressing steel bonded to the surrounding concrete is such that the gain in the steel stress is the same as the decrease in the concrete stress.

4.2.2.1 Beams With Variable Tendon Eccentricity. Beams are prestressed with either draped or harped tendons. The maximum eccentricity is usually at the midspan controlling section for the simply supported case. Assuming that the effective prestressing force is

\[ P_{\text{e}} = \gamma P_{\text{i}} \]

where \( \gamma \) is the residual prestress ratio, the loss of prestress is

\[ P_{\text{i}} - P_{\text{e}} = (1 - \gamma)P_{\text{i}} \]  

(a)

If the actual concrete extreme fiber stress is equivalent to the maximum allowable stress, the change in this stress after losses, from Equations 4.1a and b, is given by

\[ \Delta f^t = (1 - \gamma) \left( f_{\text{u}} + \frac{M_D}{S^t} \right) \]  

(b)

\[ \Delta f_b = (1 - \gamma) \left( -f_{\text{ci}} + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \right) \]  

(c)

From Figure 4.4(a), as the superimposed dead-load moment \( M_{SD} \) and live-load moment \( M_L \) act on the beam, the net stress at top fibers is

\[ f_{\text{nt}} = f_{\text{u}} - \Delta f^t - f_c \]

or

\[ f_{\text{nt}} = \gamma f_{\text{u}} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{M_D}{S^t} - f_c \]  

(d)

The net stress at the bottom fibers is

\[ f_{\text{nb}} = f_{\text{i}} - f_{\text{ci}} - \Delta f_b \]

or

\[ f_{\text{nb}} = f_{\text{i}} - \gamma f_{\text{ci}} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{M_D}{S_b} \]  

(e)

From Equations (d) and (e), the chosen section should have section moduli values

\[ S^t \approx \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_{\text{u}} - f_c} \]  

(4.4a)

and

\[ S_b \approx \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_{\text{i}} - \gamma f_{\text{ci}}} \]  

(4.4b)
Figure 4.4(a) Maximum fiber stresses in beams with draped or harped tendons. (a) Critical section such as midspan. (b) Support section of simply-supported beam ($e_a = 0$ as tendon moves to cgc).

The required eccentricity of the prestressing tendon at the critical section, such as the midspan section, is

$$e_c = \left(f_u - \tilde{f}_a\right) \frac{S'}{P_t} + \frac{M_D}{P_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4c)

where $\tilde{f}_a$ is the concrete stress at transfer at the level of the centroid cgc of the concrete section and

$$P_t = \tilde{f}_a A_c$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{f}_a = f_u - \frac{e_t}{h} (f_u - f_c)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4d)

where tensile stress is $\oplus$ and comprehensive stress is $\ominus$. 
4.2.2.2 Beams with Constant Tendon Eccentricity. Beams with constant tendon eccentricity are beams with straight tendons, as is normally the case in precast moderate-span simply supported beams. Because the tendon has a large eccentricity at the support, creating large tensile stresses at the top fibers without any reduction due to superimposed $M_D + M_{SD} + M_{L}$, in such beams smaller eccentricity of the tendon at midspan has to be used as compared to a similar beam with a draped tendon. In other words, the controlling section is the support section, for which the stress distribution at the support is shown in Figure 4.4(b). Hence,

$$\Delta f' = (1 - \gamma)(f_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (a')

and

$$\Delta f_b = (1 - \gamma)(-f_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (b')

The net stress at the service-load condition after losses at the top fibers is

$$f'_n = f_n - \Delta f' - f_c$$

or

$$f'_n = \gamma f_n - f_{ca}$$  \hspace{1cm} (c')

where $f_{ca}$ is the actual service-load stress in concrete. The net stress at service load after losses at the bottom fibers is

$$f'_{bn} = f_i - f_{ci} - \Delta f_b$$

or

$$f'_{bn} = f_i - \gamma f_{ci}$$  \hspace{1cm} (d')

From Equations (c) and (d), the chosen section should have section moduli values...
To obtain $S_{y}/bh^2$ interchange the values of $h_1$ and $h_2$.

Figure 4.5  Section moduli of flanged and boxed sections (adapted from Ref. 4.16).
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\[ S' \geq \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_u - f_c} \]  

(4.5a)

and

\[ S_b \geq \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_t - \gamma f_{ci}} \]  

(4.5b)

The required eccentricity value at the critical section, such as the support for an ideal beam section having properties close to those required by Equations 4.5a and b, is

\[ e_c = (f_u - f_{ci}) \frac{S'}{P_t} \]  

(4.5c)

A graphical representation of section moduli of nominal sections is shown in Figure 4.5. It may be used as a speedy tool for the choice of initial trial sections in the design process.

Table 4.1 gives the section moduli of standard PCI rectangular sections. Tables 4.2 and 4.3 give the geometrical outer dimensions of standard PCI T-sections and AASHTO 1-sections, respectively, as well as the top-section moduli of those sections needed in the preliminary choice of the section in the service-load analysis. Table 4.4(a) gives dimensional details of the actual “as-built” geometry of the standard PCI and AASHTO sections, and Table 4.4(b) gives girder properties of optimized sections used in different states. Properties of bulb sections are given in Appendix C. Additional details are presented in Refs. 4.9 and 4.12.

---

4.3 SERVICE-LOAD DESIGN EXAMPLES

4.3.1 Variable Tendon Eccentricity

Example 4.1

Design a simply supported pretensioned double-T-beam for a parking garage with harped tendon and with a span of 60 ft (18.3 m) using the ACI 318 Building Code allowable stresses. The beam has to carry a superimposed service live load of 1,100 plf (16.1 kN/m) and superimposed dead load of 100 plf (1.5 kN/m), and has no concrete topping. Assume the beam is made of normal-weight concrete with \( f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \) (34.5 MPa) and that the concrete strength \( f'_c \) at transfer is 75 percent of the cylinder strength. Assume also that the time-dependent losses of the initial prestress are 18 percent of the initial prestress, and that \( f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \) (1,862 MPa) for stress-relieved tendons, \( f_i = 12 \sqrt{f'_c} \).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>12RB16</th>
<th>12RB20</th>
<th>12RB24</th>
<th>12RB28</th>
<th>12RB32</th>
<th>12RB36</th>
<th>16RB32</th>
<th>16RB36</th>
<th>16RB40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section modulus ( S ), (in.(^2))</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td>1,568</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>2,592</td>
<td>2,731</td>
<td>3,456</td>
<td>4,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width, ( b ) (in.)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth, ( h ) (in.)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2  Geometrical Outer Dimensions and Section Moduli of Standard PCI Double T-Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Top-bottom-section modulus, in.²</th>
<th>Flange width ( b_f ), in.</th>
<th>Flange depth ( d_f ), in.</th>
<th>Total depth ( h ), in.</th>
<th>Web width ( 2b_w ), in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8DT12</td>
<td>1,001/315</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT14</td>
<td>1,307/429</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT16</td>
<td>1,630/556</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT20</td>
<td>2,320/860</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT24</td>
<td>3,063/1,224</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT32</td>
<td>5,140/2,615</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10DT32</td>
<td>5,960/2,717</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*12DT34</td>
<td>10,458/3,340</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*15DT34</td>
<td>13,128/4,274</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pretopped

Table 4.3  Geometrical Outer Dimensions and Section Moduli of Standard AASHTO Bridge Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
<th>Type 4</th>
<th>Type 5</th>
<th>Type 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area ( A ), in.²</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>1,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia, ( I_{x-x} ), in.⁴</td>
<td>22,750</td>
<td>50,979</td>
<td>125,390</td>
<td>260,741</td>
<td>521,180</td>
<td>733,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{x-x} ), in.⁴</td>
<td>3,352</td>
<td>5,333</td>
<td>12,217</td>
<td>24,347</td>
<td>61,235</td>
<td>61,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-bottom-section modulus, in.³</td>
<td>1,476</td>
<td>2,527</td>
<td>5,070</td>
<td>8,908</td>
<td>16,790</td>
<td>20,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top flange width, ( b_f ) (in.)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top flange average thickness, ( t_f ) (in.)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom flange width, ( b_b ) (in.)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom flange average thickness, ( t_b ) (in.)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total depth, ( h ) (in.)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web width, ( b_w ) (in.)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_f/c_b ) (in.)</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>31.04</td>
<td>35.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_e ), in.²</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>31.96</td>
<td>36.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_w ), in.²</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-weight ( w_d ), lb/ft</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Service-Load Design Examples

Table 4.4(a) Geometrical Details of As-Built PCI and AASHTO Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>$b_1$ (in.)</th>
<th>$h_1$ (in.)</th>
<th>$b_{w1}$ (in.)</th>
<th>$b_{w2}$ (in.)</th>
<th>$h_1$ (in.)</th>
<th>$b$ (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8DT12</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT14</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT16</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT18</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT20</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT24</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8DT32</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10DT32</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12DT34</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15DT34</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>$b_1$ (in.)</th>
<th>$x_1$ (in.)</th>
<th>$x_2$ (in.)</th>
<th>$x_3$ (in.)</th>
<th>$x_4$ (in.)</th>
<th>$h$ (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO 3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO 4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO 5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO 6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Solution:

\[
\gamma = 100 - 18 = 82\%
\]

\[
f'_r = 0.75 \times 5,000 = -3,750 \text{ psi (25.9 MPa)}
\]

Use \(f_t = 12\sqrt{5,000} = 849 \text{ psi (5.9 MPa)}\) as the maximum stress in tension, and assume a self-weight of approximately 1,000 plf (14.6 kN/m). Then the self-weight moment is given by

\[
M_D = \frac{wL^2}{8} = \frac{1,000(60)^2}{8} \times 12 = 5,400,000 \text{ in.-lb (610 kN-m)}
\]

and the superimposed load moment is

\[
M_{SD} + M_L = \frac{(1,100 + 100)(60)^2}{8} \times 12 = 6,480,000 \text{ in.-lb (732 kN-m)}
\]

Since the tendon is harped, the critical section is close to the midspan, where dead-load and superimposed dead-load moments reach their maximum. The critical section is in many cases taken at 0.40 \(L\) from the support, where \(L\) is the beam span. From Equations 4.4a and b,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Girder Type</th>
<th>Depth (in.)</th>
<th>Web Width (in.)</th>
<th>area (in.²)</th>
<th>Inertia (in.⁴)</th>
<th>(y_i) (in.)</th>
<th>(y_e) (in.)</th>
<th>(S_{i}) (in.²)</th>
<th>(S_{e}) (in.²)</th>
<th>(\rho)</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>BT-48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>177,736</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>7,553</td>
<td>7,264</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT-60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>308,722</td>
<td>29.59</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>10,432</td>
<td>10,154</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT-72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>484,993</td>
<td>35.64</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>13,606</td>
<td>13,340</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>BT-54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>268,077</td>
<td>26.37</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>10,166</td>
<td>9,702</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT-63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>392,638</td>
<td>30.82</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>12,715</td>
<td>12,224</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT-72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>545,894</td>
<td>35.40</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>15,421</td>
<td>14,915</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Type VI</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>733,320</td>
<td>35.62</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>20,587</td>
<td>20,157</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mod. Type VI</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>671,088</td>
<td>35.56</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>18,871</td>
<td>18,417</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>80/6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>159,191</td>
<td>27.24</td>
<td>22.76</td>
<td>5,844</td>
<td>6,994</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100/6</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>256,560</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>27.99</td>
<td>8,549</td>
<td>9,166</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120/6</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>475,502</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>35.82</td>
<td>12,619</td>
<td>13,275</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14/6</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>534,037</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>38.20</td>
<td>15,122</td>
<td>13,985</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>G54/6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>242,592</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>8,877</td>
<td>9,095</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G68/6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>426,575</td>
<td>33.99</td>
<td>34.01</td>
<td>12,548</td>
<td>12,544</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>494,829</td>
<td>32.64</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td>15,159</td>
<td>16,300</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>1.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>659,505</td>
<td>36.72</td>
<td>34.18</td>
<td>17,959</td>
<td>19,297</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>1.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>849,565</td>
<td>40.74</td>
<td>37.96</td>
<td>20,854</td>
<td>22,380</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>1.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1,038</td>
<td>1,323,985</td>
<td>48.84</td>
<td>45.66</td>
<td>27,106</td>
<td>28,999</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>BT-54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>311,765</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>25.89</td>
<td>11,091</td>
<td>12,042</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT-63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>458,521</td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>13,945</td>
<td>15,223</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT-72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>638,672</td>
<td>37.64</td>
<td>34.36</td>
<td>16,968</td>
<td>18,588</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>U54A</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>379,857</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>23.90</td>
<td>12,612</td>
<td>15,895</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U54B</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1,118</td>
<td>403,878</td>
<td>31.54</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>12,807</td>
<td>17,966</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>1.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 in. = 25.4 mm; 1 in.² = 645 mm²; 1 in.³ = 16,390 mm³; 1 in.⁴ = 416,000 mm⁴
Figure 4.6 Cross Sections of Optimized Bridge Girder Sections [See Table 4.4(b)]
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\[
S' \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_s - f_c} \\
\geq \frac{(1 - 0.82)5,400,000 + 6,480,000}{0.82 \times 184 - (-2,250)} = 3,104 \text{ in}^3 \left(50,860 \text{ cm}^3\right)
\]

\[
S_b \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_i - \gamma f_{si}} \\
\geq \frac{(1 - 0.82)5,400,000 + 6,480,000}{849 - 0.82(2,250)} = 2,766 \text{ in}^3 \left(45,330 \text{ cm}^3\right)
\]

From the PCI design handbook, select a nontopped normal weight concrete double-T 12 DT 34 168-D1, since it has the bottom-section modulus value \( S_b \) closest to the required value.

The section properties of the concrete are as follows:

\[ A_c = 978 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ I_c = 86,072 \text{ in}^4 \]
\[ r^2 = \frac{I_c}{A_c} = 88.0 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ S' = 10,458 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ S_b = 3,340 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ W_p = 1.019 \text{ plf} \]
\[ V \]
\[ S = 2.39 \text{ in} \]

**Design of Strands and Check of Stresses.** The assumed self-weight is close to the actual self-weight of Fig. 4-7. Hence, use

\[ M_D = \frac{1.019}{1.000} \times 5,400,000 = 5,502,600 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ f_{si} = 0.70 \times 270,000 = 189,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{ps} = 0.82 f_{ps} = 0.82 \times 189,000 = 154,980 \text{ psi} \]

(a) **Analysis of Stresses at Transfer.** From Equation 4.1a,

\[ f' = \frac{P_i}{A_c} \left(1 - \frac{e_c}{r^2}\right) = \frac{M_D}{S'} \leq f_s = 184 \text{ psi} \]

Then

\[
184 = \frac{P_i}{978} \left(1 - \frac{22.02 \times 8.23}{88.0}\right) = \frac{5,502,600}{10,458}
\]

\[ P_i = (184 + 526.16) \frac{978}{1.06} = 655,223 \text{ lb.} \]

Required number of tendons = \( \frac{655,223}{189,000 \times 0.153} = 22.66 \) \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia. tendons.

Try sixteen \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia. strands for the standard section:

\[ A_{ps} = 16 \times 0.153 = 2.448 \text{ in}^2 \left(15.3 \text{ cm}^2\right) \]
\[ P_i = 2.448 \times 189,000 = 462,672 \text{ lb} \left(2,058 \text{ kN}\right) \]
\[ P_s = 2.448 \times 154,980 = 379,391 \text{ lb} \left(1,688 \text{ kN}\right) \]
(b) **Analysis of Stresses at Service Load at Midspan**

\[ P_e = 379,391 \text{ lb} \]

\[ M_{SD} = \frac{100(60)^212}{8} = 540,000 \text{ in.-lb (61 kN-m)} \]

\[ M_L = \frac{1,100(60)^212}{8} = 5,940,000 \text{ in.-lb (788 kN-m)} \]

Total moment \( M_T = M_o + M_{SD} + M_L = 5,502,600 + 6,480,000 \]
\[ = 11,982,600 \text{ in.-lb (1,354 kN-m)} \]

From Equation 4.3a,

\[ f'' = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{ec_s}{r^2} \right) \frac{-M_T}{S_T} \]
\[ = \frac{379,391}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{22.02 \times 8.23}{88.0} \right) - \frac{11,982,600}{10,458} \]

\[ = 411 - 1146 = -735 \text{ psi} = -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

From Equation 4.3b,

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{ec_s}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \]
\[ = \frac{379,391}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{22.02 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) + \frac{11,982,600}{3,340} \]

\[ = -2,889 + 3,587 = +698 \text{ psi (T)} = f_b = +849 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

(c) **Analysis of Stresses at Support Section**

\( e_c = 12.77 \text{ in. (324 mm)} \)

\( f_u = 6\sqrt{f_{ti}} = 6\sqrt{3,750} \approx 367 \text{ psi} \)

\( f_i = 12\sqrt{f_{ti}} = 12\sqrt{5,000} = 849 \text{ psi} \)
Chapter 4  Flexural Design of Prestressed Concrete Elements

(i) At Transfer

\[ f_t = -\frac{462,672}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{12.77 \times 8.23}{88.0} \right) - 0 = +92 \text{ psi (T)} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{462,672}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{12.77 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) + 0 = -2,240 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ < f_a = -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

If \( f_b > f_a \), the support eccentricity has to be changed.

(ii) At Service Load

\[ f_t = -\frac{379,391}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{12.77 \times 8.23}{88.0} \right) - 0 = +75 \text{ psi (T)} < f_t = 849 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{379,391}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{12.77 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) + 0 = -1,840 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ < f_c = -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

Adopt the section for service-load conditions using sixteen 1/8-in. (1.7 mm) strands with midspan eccentricity \( e_c = 22.02 \text{ in.} \) (560 mm) and end eccentricity \( e_e = 12.77 \text{ in.} \) (324 mm).

4.3.2 Variable Tendon Eccentricity with No Height Limitation

Example 4.2

Design an I-section for a beam having a 65-ft (19.8 m) span to satisfy the following section modulus values: Use the same allowable stresses and superimposed loads as in Example 4.1.

Required \( S' = 3,570 \text{ in}^3 \) (58,535 cm\(^3\))

Required \( S_p = 3,780 \text{ in}^3 \) (61,940 cm\(^3\))

Photo 4.2  Crack development in prestressed T-beam (Nawy et al.).
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\[ l_c = 70,688 \text{ in}^4 \]
\[ t^2 = 187.5 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ A_c = 377 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ c_a = 21.16 \text{ in.} \]
\[ S_b = 3340 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ c_b = 18.84 \text{ in.} \]
\[ S_p = 3750 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ w_p = 393 \text{ plf} \]

Figure 4.8 I-beam section in Example 4.2.

**Solution**

Since the section moduli at the top and bottom fibers are almost equal, a symmetrical section is adequate. Next, analyze the section in Figure 4.8 chosen by trial and adjustment.

**Analysis of Stresses at Transfer.** From Equation 4.4d,

\[ f_t = f_u - \frac{C_t}{h} (f_u - f_d) \]

\[ = +184 - \frac{21.16}{40} (184 + 2.250) = -1,104 \text{ psi (7.6 MPa)} \]

\[ P_t = A_c f_t = 377 \times 1,104 = 416,208 \text{ lb (1,851 kN)} \]

\[ M_p = \frac{393(65)^2}{8} \times 12 = 2,490,638 \text{ in.-lb (281 kN-m)} \]

From Equation 4.4c, the eccentricity required at the section of maximum moment at midspan is

\[ e_c = (f_u - f_d) \frac{S^i}{P_t} + \frac{M_p}{P_t} \]

\[ = (184 - 1,104) \frac{3.572}{416,208} + \frac{2,490,638}{416,208} \]

\[ = 11.05 + 5.98 = 17.04 \text{ in. (433 mm)} \]

Since \( c_b = 18.84 \text{ in.} \), and assuming a cover of 3.75 in., try \( e_c = 18.84 - 3.75 = 15.0 \text{ in. (381 mm)} \).

Required area of strands \( A_p = \frac{P_t}{f_p} = \frac{416,208}{189,000} = 2.2 \text{ in}^2 (14.2 \text{ cm}^2) \)

Number of strands = \( \frac{2.2}{0.153} = 14.38 \)

Try thirteen \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. strands, \( A_p = 1.99 \text{ in}^2 (12.8 \text{ cm}^2) \), and an actual \( P_t = 189,000 \times 1.99 = 376,110 \text{ lb (1,673 kN)} \), and check the concrete extreme fiber stresses. From Equation 4.1a

\[ f' = \frac{P_t}{A_c \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{t^2} \right)} - \frac{M_p}{S^i} \]
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\[
\frac{-376,110}{377} \left(1 - \frac{15.0 \times 21.16}{187.5}\right) = -2,490,638 \quad \frac{3,340}{3,750} \\
= +691.2 - 745.7 = -55 \text{ psi (C), no tension at transfer, O.K.}
\]

From Equation 4.1b

\[
f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \\
= \frac{-376,110}{377} \left(1 + \frac{15 \times 18.84}{187.5}\right) + \frac{2,490,638}{3,750} \\
= -2,501.3 + 664.2 = -1,837 \text{ psi (C)} < f_u = 2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.}
\]

**Analysis of Stresses at Service Load.** From Equation 4.3a

\[
f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left(1 - \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) - \frac{M_T}{S'} \\
P_e = 13 \times 0.153 \times 154,980 = 308,255 \text{ lb (1,371 kN)} \\
M_{SD} + M_L = \frac{(100 + 1100)(65)^2}{8} \times 12 = 7,605,000 \text{ m - lb}
\]

Total moment \( M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L = 2,490,638 + 7,605,000 \)

\[
f' = -\frac{308,225}{377} \left(1 - \frac{15.0 \times 21.16}{187.5}\right) - \frac{10,095,638}{3,340} \\
= -566.5 - 3,022.6 = -2,456 \text{ psi (C)} > f_c = -2,250 \text{ psi}
\]

Hence, either enlarge the depth of the section or use higher strength concrete. Using \( f'_c = 6,000 \text{ psi,} \)

\[
f_c = 0.45 \times 6,000 = -2,700 \text{ psi, O.K.}
\]

\[
f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} = \frac{-308,255}{377} \left(1 + \frac{15.0 \times 18.84}{187.5}\right) + \frac{10,095,638}{3,750} \\
= -2,050 + 2,692.2 = 642 \text{ psi (T), O.K.}
\]

**Check Support Section Stresses**

Allowable \( f'_c = 0.75 \times 6,000 = 4,500 \text{ psi} \)

\[
f_c = 0.60 \times 4,500 = 2,700 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_b = 3 \sqrt{f'_c} = 201 \text{ psi for midspan}
\]

\[
f_c = 6 \sqrt{f'_c} = 402 \text{ psi for support}
\]

\[
f_c = 0.45f'_c = 2,700 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_c = 6 \sqrt{f'_c} = 465 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_c = 12 \sqrt{f'_c} = 930 \text{ psi}
\]

(a) At Transfer. Support section compressive fiber stress.

\[
f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) + 0
\]
4.3 Service-Load Design Examples

\[ P_i = 376,110 \text{ lb} \]

or

\[ -2,700 = -\frac{376,110}{377} \left( 1 + \frac{e \times 18.84}{187.5} \right) \]

so that

\[ e_c = 16.98 \text{ in.} \]

Accordingly, try \( e_c = 12.49 \text{ in.} \):

\[ f' = -\frac{376,110}{377} \left( 1 - \frac{12.49 \times 21.16}{187.5} \right) = 0 \]

\[ = 409 \text{ psi} \ (T) > f_u = 402 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_b = 2250 \text{ psi} \]

Thus, use mild steel at the top fibers at the support section to take all tensile stresses in the concrete, or use a higher strength concrete for the section, or reduce the eccentricity.

(b) At Service Load

\[ f' = -\frac{308,255}{377} \left( 1 - \frac{12.49 \times 21.16}{187.5} \right) = 0 = 335 \text{ psi} \ (T) < 930 \text{ psi}, \text{ O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{308,255}{377} \left( 1 + \frac{12.49 \times 18.84}{187.5} \right) + 0 = -1,844 \text{ psi} \ (C) < -2,700 \text{ psi}, \text{ O.K.} \]

Hence, adopt the 40-in. (102-cm)-deep I-section prestressed beam of \( f'_c \) equal to 6,000 psi (41.4 MPa) normal-weight concrete with thirteen \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. tendons having midspan eccentricity \( e_c = 15.0 \text{ in.} \) (381 mm) and end section eccentricity \( e_c = 12.5 \text{ in.} \) (318 m).

An alternative to this solution is to continue using \( f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \), but change the number of strands and eccentricities.

Photo 4.3  Prestressed beam at failure. Note crushing of concrete on top fibers (Nawy, Potyondy, et al.).
4.3.3 Constant Tendon Eccentricity

**Example 4.3**

Solve Example 4.2 assuming that the prestressing tendon has constant eccentricity. Use $f'_c = 5,000$ psi (34.5 MPa) normal-weight concrete, permitting a maximum concrete tensile stress $f_t = 12 \sqrt{f'_c} = 849$ psi.

**Solution:** Since the tendon has constant eccentricity, the dead-load and superimposed dead- and live-load moments at the support section of the simply supported beam are zero. Hence, the support section controls the design. The required section modulus at the support, from Equation 4.5a, is

$$S' \geq \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_a - f_c}$$

$$S_b \geq \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_t - \gamma f_a}$$

Assume $W_D = 425$ plf. Then

$$M_D = \frac{425 \times (65)^2}{8} \times 12 = 2,693,438 \text{ in.-lb (304 kN-m)}$$

$$M_{SD} + M_L = 7,605,000 \text{ in.-lb (859 kN-m)}$$

Thus, the total moment $M_T = 10,298,438 \text{ in.-lb (1,164 kN-m)}$, and we also have

Allowable $f_a = -2,250$ psi

$f'_a = -3,750$ psi

$f_a = 6 \sqrt{f'_a}$ for support section = 367 psi

$f_c = -2,250$ psi (15.5 MPa)

$f_t = +849$ psi

$\gamma = 0.82$

Required $S' = \frac{10,298,438}{0.82 \times 184 + 2.250} = 4,289 \text{ in}^3 (72,210 \text{ cm}^3)$

Required $S_b = \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_t - \gamma f_a} = \frac{10,298,438}{849 + 0.82 \times 2,250}$

$= 3,823 \text{ in}^3 (62,713 \text{ cm}^3)$

**First Trial.** Since the required $S' = 4,035.8$, which is greater than the available $S'$ in Example 4.2, choose the next larger I-section with $h = 44$ in. as shown in Figure 4.9. The section properties are:

$I_c = 92,700 \text{ in}^4$

$r^2 = 228.9 \text{ in}^2$

$A_x = 405 \text{ in}^2$

$c_t = 23.03 \text{ in.}$

$S' = 4,030 \text{ in}^3$

$S_b = 4,420 \text{ in}^3$

$W_D = 422 \text{ plf}$
From Equation 4.5c, the required eccentricity at the critical section at the support is

$$e_c = (f_u - f_a) \frac{S'}{P_i}$$

where

$$f_a = f_k - \frac{c_f}{h} (f_k - f_a)$$

$$= 367 - \frac{23.03}{44} (367 + 2,250) = -1,002 \text{ psi (6.9 MPa)}$$

and

$$P_i = A_c f_a = 405 \times 1,002 = 405,810 \text{ lb (1,805 kN)}$$

Hence,

$$e = \frac{(367 + 1,002)}{405,810} \frac{4,030}{405,810} = 13.60 \text{ in. (346 mm)}$$

The required prestressed steel area is

$$A_p = \frac{P_i}{f_{pu}} = \frac{405,810}{189,000} = 2.15 \text{ in}^2 (14.4 \text{ cm}^2)$$

So we try $\frac{1}{4}$ in. strands tendon. The required number of strands is $2.15 / 0.153 = 14.05$. Accordingly, use fourteen $\frac{1}{4}$ in. (12.7 mm) tendons. As a result,

$$P_i = 14 \times 0.153 \times 189,000 = 404,838 \text{ lb (1,801 kN)}$$

(a) **Analysis of Stresses at Transfer at End Section.** From Equation 4.1a,

$$f' = \frac{P_i}{A_e} \left(1 - \frac{e_c}{R^2}\right) - \frac{M_D}{S} = \frac{404,838}{405} \left(1 - \frac{13.60 \times 23.03}{228.9}\right) - 0$$

$$= +368.2 \text{ psi (T) = } f_u = 367, \text{ O.K.}$$
From Equation 4.1b,

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} = -\frac{404,838}{405} \left(1 + \frac{13.6 \times 20.97}{228.9}\right) + 0 \]

\[ = -2,245 \text{ psi (C)} \approx f_a = -2,250, \text{ O.K.} \]

(b) **Analysis of Final Service-Load Stresses at Support**

\[ P_e = 14 \times 0.153 \times 154,980 = 331,967 \text{ lb (1,477 kN)} \]

Total moment \( M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L = 0 \)

From Equation 4.3a,

\[ f' = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left(1 - \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) - \frac{M_T}{S'_{p}} \]

\[ = -\frac{331,967}{405} \left(1 - \frac{13.6 \times 23.03}{228.9}\right) - 0 = 302 \text{ psi (T)} < f_s = 849 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

This is also applicable to midspan since eccentricity \( e \) is constant. From Equation 4.3b

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \]

\[ = -\frac{331,967}{405} \left(1 + \frac{13.6 \times 20.97}{228.9}\right) + 0 \]

\[ = -1,841 \text{ psi (12.2 MPa)} \approx f_e = -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

(c) **Analysis of Final Service-Load Stresses at Midspan**. From before, the total moment \( M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L = 10,298,438 \text{ in.-lb} \). Revised \( w_D = 422 \text{ plf} \) assumed \( w_D = 425 \text{ plf} \); hence, \( M_T = 10,298,438 \text{ in.-lb} \) is sufficiently accurate. So the extreme concrete fiber stress due to \( M_T \) is

\[ f'_i = \frac{M_T}{S'_{p}} = -\frac{10,298,438}{4,030} = -2,555 \text{ psi (C) (17.6 MPa)} \]

\[ f_{ib} = \frac{M_T}{S_b} = \frac{10,298,438}{4,420} = +2,330 \text{ psi (T) (16.1 MPa)} \]

Hence, the final midspan fiber stresses are

\[ f' = +302 - 2,555 = -2,253 \text{ psi (C)} \approx f_e = -2,250 \text{ psi, accept} \]

\[ f_b = -1,841 + 2,330 = +489 \text{ psi (T)} < f_s = 849 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

Consequently, accept the trial section with a constant eccentricity \( e = 13.60 \text{ in. (345 mm)} \) for the fourteen \( 1" \) (12.7 mm dia.) tendons.

### 4.4 PROPER SELECTION OF BEAM SECTIONS AND PROPERTIES

#### 4.4.1 General Guidelines

Unlike steel-rolled sections, prestressed sections are not yet fully standardized. In most cases, the design engineer has to select the type of section to be used in the particular project. In the majority of simply supported beam designs, the distance between the cgc and cgs lines, viz., the eccentricity \( e \), is proportional to the required prestressing force.
Since the midspan moment usually controls the design, the larger the eccentricity at midspan the smaller is the needed prestressing force, and consequently the more economical is the design. For a large eccentricity, a large concrete area at the top fibers is needed. Hence, a T-section or a wide-flange I-section becomes suitable. The end section is usually solid in order to avoid large eccentricities at planes of zero moment, and also in order to increase the shear capacity of the support section, and prevent anchorage zone failures.

Another popular section in wide use is the double-T-section. This section adds the advantages of the single-T-section to its own ease of handling and erection inherent in its stability. Figure 4.10 shows typical sections in general usage. Other sections such as hollow-core slabs and nonsymmetrical sections are also commonly used. Note that flanged sections can replace rectangular solid sections of the same depth without any loss of flexural strength. Rectangular sections, however, are used as short-span supporting girders or ledger beams.

I-sections are used as typical floor beams with composite slab topping action in long-span parking structures. T-sections with heavy bottom flanges, such as that in Figure 4.10(d), are generally used in bridge structures. Double-T-sections are widely used in floor systems in buildings and also in parking structures, particularly because of the composite action advantage of the top wide flange, which is 10 to 15 feet wide in many cases.

Hollow-core cast and extruded sections are shallow one-way beam strips that serve as easily erectable floor slabs. Large, hollow box girders are used as bridge girders for very large spans in what are known as segmental bridge deck systems. These segmental girders have large torsional resistance, and their flexural strength-to-weight ratio is relatively higher than in other types of prestressing systems.

Figure 4.10  Typical prestressed concrete sections. (a) Rectangular beam section. (b) I-beam section. (c) T-beam section. (d) T-section with heavy bottom flange. (e) Double-T-section. (f) End part of beam in (b). (g) End part of beam in (c). (h) End part of beam in (d). (i) End part of beam in (e).
4.4.2 Gross Area, the Transformed Section, and the Presence of Ducts

In general, the gross cross-sectional area of the concrete section is adequate for use in the service-load design of prestressed sections. While some designers prefer refining their designs through the use of the transformed section in their solutions, the accuracy gained in accounting for the contribution of the area of the reinforcement to the stiffness of the concrete section is normally not warranted. In post-tensioned beams, where ducts are grouted, the gross cross section is still adequate for all practical design considerations. It is only in cases of large-span bridge and industrial prestressed beams, where the area of the prestressing reinforcement is large, that the transformed section or the net concrete area excluding the duct openings has to be used.

4.4.3 Envelopes for Tendon Placement

The tensile stress in the extreme concrete fiber under service-load conditions cannot exceed the maximum allowable by codes such as the ACI, PCI, AASHTO, or CEB-FIP. It is therefore important to establish the limiting zone in the concrete section, i.e., an envelope within which the prestressing force can be applied without causing tension in the extreme concrete fibers. From Equation 4.1a, we have

$$f_t = 0 = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left(1 - \frac{ec_t}{r^2}\right)$$

for the prestressing force part only, giving $e = r^2/c_t$. Hence, the lower kern point

$$k_b = \frac{r^2}{c_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6a)

Similarly, from Equation 4.1b, if $f_b = 0$, $-e = r^2/c_b$, where the negative sign represents measurements upwards from the neutral axis, since positive eccentricity is positive downwards. Hence, the upper kern point

$$k_i = \frac{r^2}{c_b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6b)

Photo 4.4 Super CIDS offshore platform under tow to Arctic, Global Marine Development. (Courtesy, Ben C. Gerwick.)
From the determination of the upper and lower kern points, it is clear that

(a) If the prestressing force acts below the lower kern point, tensile stresses result at the extreme upper concrete fibers of the section.
(b) If the prestressing force acts above the upper kern point, tensile stresses result at the extreme lower concrete fibers of the section.

In a similar manner, kern points can be established for the right and left of the vertical line of symmetry of a section so that a central kern or core area for load application can be established, as Figure 4.11 shows for a rectangular section.

4.4.4 Advantages of Curved or Harped Tendons

Although straight tendons are widely used in precast beams of moderate span, the use of curved tendons is more common in in-situ-cast post-tensioned elements. Nonstraight tendons are of two types:

(a) Draped: gradually curved alignment such as parabolic forms, used in beams subjected primarily to uniformly distributed external loading.
(b) Harped: inclined tendons with a discontinuity in alignment at planes of concentrated load applications, used in beams subjected primarily to concentrated transverse loading.

Figures 4.12, 4.13, and 4.14 describe the alignment bending moment and stress distribution for beams that are prestressed with straight, draped, and harped tendons, respectively. These diagrams are intended to illustrate the economic advantages of the draped and harped tendons over the straight tendons. In Figure 4.12, at section 1-1, undesirable tensile stress in the concrete is shown at the top fibers. Section 1-1 in Figures 4.13 and 4.14 shows the uniform compression if the tendon acts at the cgc of the section at the support. Another advantage of draped and harped tendons is that they allow the prestressed beams to carry heavy loads because of the balancing effect of the vertical component of the prestressing nonstraight tendon. In other words, the required prestressing forces $P_p$ for the parabolic tendon in Figure 4.13 and $P_h$ for the harped tendon in Figure 4.14 are smaller at the midspan than the force required in the straight tendon of Figure 4.12. Hence, for the same stress level, a smaller number of strands are needed in the case of draped or harped tendons, and sometimes smaller concrete sections can be used with the resulting efficiency in the design. (Compare Examples 4.2 and 4.3 again.)

![Figure 4.11 Central kern area for a rectangular section.](image-url)
Figure 4.12  Beam with straight tendon. (a) Beam elevation. (b) Free-body diagram. (c) External load balancing moment diagram. (d) Prestressing force bending moment diagram. (e) Typical stress distribution in sections 1, 2, and 3 (Equation 4.3).

4.4.5 Limiting-Eccentricity Envelopes

It is desirable that the designed eccentricities of the tendon along the span be such that limited or no tension develops at the extreme fibers of the beam controlling sections. If it is desired to have no tension along the span of the beam in Figure 4.15 with the draped tendon, the controlling eccentricities have to be determined at the sections that follow along the span. If $M_D$ is the self-weight dead-load moment and $M_T$ is the total moment
due to all transverse loads, then the arms of the couple composed of the center-of-pressure line (C-line) and the center of the prestressing tendon line (cgs line) due to $M_D$ and $M_T$ are $a_{\text{min}}$ and $a_{\text{max}}$, respectively, as shown in Figure 4.15.

**Lower cgs Envelope.** The minimum arm of the tendon couple is

$$a_{\text{min}} = \frac{M_D}{P_i}$$

(4.7a)
Figure 4.14  Beam with harped tendon. (a) Beam elevation. (b) Free-body diagram. (c) External load bending moment diagram. (d) Prestressing force bending moment diagram. (e) Typical stress distribution in sections 1, 2, and 3 (Equation 4.3).

This defines the maximum distance below the bottom kern where the cgs line is to be located so that the C-line does not fall below the bottom kern line, thereby preventing tensile stresses at the top extreme fibers. Hence, the limiting bottom eccentricity is

\[ e_b = (a_{\text{min}} + k_b) \]  

(4.7b)

**Upper cgs Envelope.** The maximum arm of the tendon couple is

\[ a_{\text{max}} = \frac{M_T}{P_e} \]  

(4.7c)
This defines the minimum distance below the top kern where the cgs line is to be located so that the C-line does not fall above the top kern, thereby preventing tensile stresses at the bottom extreme fibers. Hence, the limiting top eccentricity is

\[ e_t = (a_{\text{max}} - k_t) \quad (4.7d) \]

Limited tensile stress is allowed in some codes both at transfer and at service-load levels. In such cases, it is possible to allow the cgs line to fall slightly outside the two limiting cgs envelopes described in Equations 4.7a and c.

If an additional eccentricity \( e_{t'} \) is superimposed on the cgs-line envelope that results in limited tensile stress at both the top and bottom extreme concrete fibers, the additional top stress \( f^{(t)} \) and bottom stress \( f^{(b)} \) would be

\[ f^{(t)} = \frac{P e_{t'} C_t}{I_c} \quad (4.8a) \]

\[ f^{(b)} \]

**Figure 4.15** Cgs envelope determination. (a) One tendon location in beam. (b) Bending moment diagram. (c) Limiting cgs envelope.

**Figure 4.16** Envelope permitting tension in concrete extreme fibers.
and

$$f_{(b)} = \frac{P_i c_b}{I_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8b)

where $t$ and $b$ denote the top and bottom fibers, respectively. From Equation 4.6, the additional eccentricities to be added to Equations 4.7b and 4 would be

$$e_b' = \frac{f_{(b)} A_b k_b}{P_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9a)

and

$$e_t' = \frac{f_{(t)} A_t k_t}{P_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9b)

The envelope allowing limited tension is shown in Figure 4.16. It should be noted that the upper envelope is outside the section, but the stresses are within the allowable limits, indicates a non-economical section. A change in eccentricity or prestressing force improves the design.

### 4.4.6 Prestressing Tendon Envelopes

**Example 4.4**

Suppose that the beam in example 4.2 is a post-tensioned bonded beam and that the prestressing tendon is draped in a parabolic shape. Determine the limiting envelope for tendon location such that the limiting concrete fiber stresses are at no time exceeded. Consider the midspan, quarter-span, and beam ends as the controlling sections. Assume that the magnitude of prestress losses is the same as in Example 4.2 but that $P_i = 549,423$ lb, $P_e = 450,526$ lb, $f'_e = 6000$ psi, $e_c = 13$ in. and $e_a = 6$ in.

**Solution:** The design moments of the I-beam in Example 4.2 can be summarized together with the section properties needed here:

- $P_i = 549,423$ lb (2,431 kN)
- $P_e = 450,526$ lb (2,004 kN)
- $M_D = 2,490,638$ in.-lb (281 kN-m)
- $M_{SD} + M_L = 7,605,000$ in.-lb (859 kN-m)
- $M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L = 10,095,638$ in.-lb (1,141 kN-m)
- $A_e = 377$ in$^2$ (2,536 cm$^2$)
- $f'_e = 6,000$ psi
- $r^2 = 187.5$ in$^2$ (1,210 cm$^2$)
- $c_i = 21.16$ in. (537 mm)
- $c_b = 18.84$ in. (479 mm)

Since bending moments in this example are due to a uniformly distributed load, the shape of the bending moment diagram is parabolic, with the moment value being zero at the simply supported ends. Hence, quarter-span moments are

- $M_D = 0.75 \times 2,490,638 = 1,867,979$ in.-lb (211 kN-m)
- $M_T = 0.75 \times 10,095,638 = 7,571,729$ in.-lb (856 kN-m)
From Equations 4.6a and b, the kern point limits are

\[ k_t = \frac{r^2}{c_p} = \frac{187.5}{18.84} = 9.95 \text{ in. (253 mm)} \]

\[ k_b = \frac{r^2}{c_t} = \frac{187.5}{21.16} = 8.86 \text{ in. (225 mm)} \]

**Lower Envelope**
From Equation 4.7a, the maximum distance that the cgs line is to be placed below the bottom kern to prevent tensile stress at the top fibers is determined as follows:

(i) **Midspan**

\[ a_{\text{min}} = \frac{M_P}{P_t} = \frac{2,490,638}{549,423} = 4.53 \text{ in. (115 mm)} \]

giving

\[ e_1 = k_b + a_{\text{min}} = 8.86 + 4.53 = 13.39 \text{ in. (340 mm)} \]

vs \( e_c = 15 \text{ in. used in Ex. 4.2 allowing tension at top at transfer} \)

(ii) **Quarter span**

\[ a_{\text{min}} = \frac{1,867,979}{549,423} = 3.40 \text{ in. (86 mm)} \]

giving

\[ e_2 = 8.86 + 3.40 = 12.26 \text{ in. (311 mm)} \]

(iii) **Support**

\[ a_{\text{min}} = 0 \]

giving

\[ e_3 = 8.86 + 0 = 8.86 \text{ in. (225 mm)} \]

**Upper Envelope**
From Equation 4.7b, the maximum distance that the cgs line is to be placed below the top kern to prevent tensile stress at the bottom extreme fibers is determined as follows:

(i) **Midspan**

\[ a_{\text{max}} = \frac{M_P}{P_e} = \frac{10,095,638}{450,526} = 22.41 \text{ in. (569 mm)} \]

\[ e_1 = a_{\text{max}} - k_t = 22.41 - 9.95 = 12.46 \text{ in. (316 mm)} \]

Clear minimum cover = 3.0 in.

Note that \( e_1 \) cannot exceed \( c_b \) otherwise tendon is outside the section.

(ii) **Quarter span**

\[ a_{\text{max}} = \frac{7,571,729}{450,526} = 16.80 \text{ in. (427 mm)} \]

\[ e_2 = 16.80 - 9.95 = 6.85 \text{ in. (174 mm)} \]

(iii) **Support**

\[ a_{\text{max}} = 0 \]

\[ e_3 = 0 - 9.95 = -9.95 \text{ in. (−253 mm)} \] (9.95 in. above cgs line)
Now, assume for practical purposes that the maximum fiber tensile stresses under working-load conditions for the purpose of constructing the cgs envelopes does not exceed $f_t = 6\sqrt{f'_c} = 465$ psi for both top and bottom fibers both at midspan and the support, since $f'_c = 6,000$ psi from Example 4.2. From Equation 4.9a, this additional eccentricity to add to the lower cgs envelope in order to allow limited tension at the top fibers is

$$e'_b = \frac{f_{ct} A_e k_t}{P_t} = \frac{465 \times 377 \times 8.86}{549,423} = 2.83 \text{ in. (72 mm)}$$

Similarly, from Equation 4.9b, the additional eccentricity to add to the upper cgs envelope in order to allow limited tension at the bottom fibers is

$$e'_u = \frac{f_{ct} A_e k_t}{P_t} = \frac{465 \times 377 \times 9.95}{450,526} = 3.87 \text{ in. (98 mm)}$$

We thus have the following summary of cgs envelope eccentricities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zero tension, in.</th>
<th>Increment</th>
<th>Allowable tension, in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midspan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower envelope</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>+2.83</td>
<td>16.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper envelope</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>-3.87</td>
<td>8.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter span</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower envelope</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>+2.83</td>
<td>15.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper envelope</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>-3.87</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower envelope</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>+2.83</td>
<td>11.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper envelope</td>
<td>-9.95</td>
<td>-3.87</td>
<td>-13.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual midspan eccentricity $e'_b = 13$ in. $< 16.22$ in.
Hence, tendon is inside envelope at midspan.
Actual support eccentricity $e'_u = 6$ in. $< 11.69$ in.
Hence, tendon is also inside envelope at support.

Figure 4.17 illustrates the band of the cgs envelopes for both zero and limited tension in the concrete.

### 4.4.7 Reduction of Prestress Force Near Supports

As seen from Example 4.3 and Sections 4.4.3 and 4.4.5, straight tendons in pretensioned members can cause high-tensile stresses in the concrete extreme fibers at the support sections because of the absence of bending moment stresses due to self-weight and superimposed loads and the dominance of the moment due to the prestressing force alone. Two common and practical methods of reducing the stresses at the support section due to the prestressing force are:

1. Changing the eccentricity of some of the cables by raising them towards the support zone, as shown in Figure 4.18(a). This reduces the moment values.
2. Sheathing some of the cables by plastic tubing towards the support zone, as shown in Figure 4.18(b). This eliminates the prestress transfer of part of the cables at some distance from the support section of the simply supported prestressed beam.

Note that raised cables are also used in long-span post-tensioned prestressed beams, theoretically discontinuing part of the tendons where they are no longer needed.
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Figure 4.17  Cgs-line envelopes for the prestressing tendon (1 in. = 25.4 mm).

by raising them upwards. Additional frictional losses due to these additional curvatures have to be accounted for in the design (analysis) of the section.

4.5 END BLOCKS AT SUPPORT ANCHORAGE ZONES

4.5.1 Stress Distribution

A large concentration of compressive stress in the longitudinal direction occurs at the support section on a small segment of the face of the beam end, both in pretensioned and

Figure 4.18  Reduction of prestressing force near supports. (a) Raising part of the tendons. (b) Sheathing part of the tendons.
post-tensioned beams, due to the large tendon prestressing forces. In the _prestressed beams_ the concentrated load transfer of the prestressing force to the surrounding concrete gradually occurs over a length \( l \), from the face of the support section until it becomes essentially uniform.

In _post-tensioned beams_, this manner of gradual load distribution and transfer is not possible since the force acts directly on the face of the end of the beam through bearing plates and anchors. Also, some or all of the tendons in the post-tensioned beams are raised or draped towards the top fibers through the web part of the concrete section.

As the nongradaul transition of the longitudinal compressive stress from concentrated to linearly distributed produces high transverse _tensile_ stresses in the vertical (transverse) direction, longitudinal bursting cracks develop at the anchorage zone. When the stresses exceed the modulus of rupture of the concrete, the end block has to split (crack) longitudinally unless appropriate vertical reinforcement is provided. The location of the concrete-bursting stresses and the resulting bursting cracks as well as the surface-spalling cracks would thus have to depend on the location and distribution of the horizontal concentrated forces applied by the prestressing tendons to the end bearing plates.

It is sometimes necessary to increase the area of the section towards the support by a gradual transmission of the web to a width at the support equal to the flange width, in order to accommodate the raised tendons [see Figure 4.19(a)]. Such an increase in the cross-sectional area does not contribute, however, to preventing bursting or spalling cracks, and has no effect on reducing the transverse tension in the concrete. In fact, both test results and the theoretical analysis of this three-dimensional stress problem demonstrate that the tensile stresses could increase.

Consequently, it is essential to provide the necessary anchorage reinforcement in the load transfer zone in the form of _closed_ ties, stirrups, or anchorage devices enclosing all the main prestressing and mild nonprestressed longitudinal reinforcement. However, it is at the same time advisable to insert reinforcing vertical mats and confining hoops close to the end face behind the bearing places in the case of post-tensioned beams. If the design has to follow AASHTO requirements for bridges, properly reinforced end blocks are required. Typical stress contours of equal vertical stress based on three-dimensional

---

**Photo 4.5** Three-dimensional instrumentation for end-block stress determination (Nawy et al.).
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![Diagram showing concrete cover, transition, raised tendons, and solid end block]

(a)

![Diagram showing cracks spalling, bursting crack, and tendons]

(b)

**Figure 4.19** End anchorage zones for bonded tendons. (a) Transition to solid section at support. (b) End-zone bursting and spalling cracks.

Analysis and test results from Refs. 4.5, 4.7, 4.28. An idealization of the tensile and compressive stress paths is shown in Figure 4.20.

### 4.5.2 Development and Transfer Length in Pretensioned Members and Design of Their Anchorage Reinforcement

As the jacking force is released in pretensioned members, the prestressing force is dynamically transferred through the bond interface to the surrounding concrete. The interlock or adhesion between the prestressing tendon circumference and the concrete over a finite length of the tendon gradually transfers the concentrated prestressing force to the entire concrete section at planes away from the end block and towards the midspan. The length of embedment determines the magnitude of prestress that can be developed along the span: the larger the embedment length, the higher is the prestress developed.

As an example for 1/4-in. 7-wire strand, an embedment of 40 in. (102 cm) develops a stress of 180,000 psi (1,241 MPa), whereas an embedment of 70 in. (178 cm) develops a stress of 206,000 psi (1,420 MPa). From Figure 4.21, it is plain that the embedment length \( l_d \) that gives the full development of stress is a combination of the transfer length \( l_t \) and the flexural bond length \( l_f \). These are given respectively by

\[
l_t = \frac{1}{1000} \left( \frac{f_{pc}}{3} \right) d_b \tag{4.10a}\]
Figure 4.20  Idealized tensile and compressive stress paths at end blocks.

\[ l_t = \frac{f_{pe}}{3000} d_b \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10b)

and

\[ l_f = \frac{1}{1000} (f_{pn} - f_{pe}) d_b \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10c)

Figure 4.21  Development length for prestressing strand.
where \( f_p \) = stress in prestressed reinforcement at nominal strength (psi)
\( f_{pe} \) = effective prestress after losses (psi)
\( d_b \) = nominal diameter of prestressing tendon (in.).

Combining Equations 4.10b and 4.10c gives

\[
\text{Min } l_d = \frac{1}{1,000} \left( f_{pe} - \frac{2}{3} f_{pe} \right) d_b
\]

(4.10d)

Equation 4.10d gives the minimum required development length for prestressing strands. If part of the tendon is sheathed towards the beam end to reduce the concentration of bond stresses near the end, the stress transfer in that zone is eliminated and an increased adjusted development length \( l_d \) is needed.

### 4.5.2.1 Design of Transfer Zone Reinforcement in Pretensioned Beams

Based on laboratory tests, empirical expressions developed by Mattock et al. give the total stirrup force \( F \) as

\[
F = 0.0106 \frac{P_i h}{l_i}
\]

(4.11)

where \( h \) is the pretensioned beam depth and \( l_i \) is the transfer length. If the average stress in a stirrup is taken as half the maximum permissible steel \( f_s \), then \( F = \frac{1}{2} A_s f_s \). Substituting this for \( F \) in Equation 4.11 gives

\[
A_s = 0.021 \frac{P_i h}{f_s l_i}
\]

(4.12)

where \( A_s \) is the total area of the stirrups and \( f_s \leq 20,000 \) psi \((138 \text{ MPa})\) for crack-control purposes.

### 4.5.2.2 Reinforcement Selection in Pretensioned Beams

**Example 4.5**

Design the anchorage reinforcement needed to prevent bursting or spalling cracks from developing in the beam of Example 4.2, pretensioned.

**Solution:**

\[ P_i = 376,110 \text{ lb (1,673 kN)} \]

From Equation 4.12,

\[
A_s = 0.021 \frac{P_i h}{f_s l_i}
\]

From Equation 4.10b, the transfer length is \( l_i = (f_{pe}/3,000)d_b \). So since \( f_{pe} = 154,980 \) psi and \( d_b = \frac{1}{4} \text{ in.} \), we have

\[
l_i = \frac{154,980}{3,000} \times 0.5 = 25.83 \text{ in. (66 cm)}
\]

Now,

\[
A_s = 0.021 \frac{P_i h}{f_s l_i}
\]

So since \( f_s \leq 20,000 \) psi, we get
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\[ A_t = 0.021 \frac{376,110 \times 40}{20,000 \times 25.83} = 0.61 \text{ in.}^2 (3.9 \text{ cm}^2) \]

Trying #3 closed ties,

\[ 2 \times 0.11 = 0.22 \text{ in.}^2 (9.5 \text{ mm} \text{ dia}) \text{ ties} \]

Min no. of stirrups = \[\frac{0.61}{0.22} = 2.78\]

Use three #3 ties to provide the envelope for all the main longitudinal reinforcement. Wrap the tendons with helical steel wire through the development length, \( l_e \), in order to effect good transfer.

4.5.3 Post-Tensioned Anchorage Zones: Linear Elastic and Strut-and-Tie Theories

The anchorage zone can be defined as the volume of concrete through which the concentrated prestressing force at the anchorage device spreads transversely to a linear distribution across the entire cross-section depth along the span (Ref. 4.5, 4.7, 4.12, 4.30). The length of this zone follows St. Venant’s principle, namely, that the stress becomes uniform at an approximate distance ahead of the anchorage device equal to the depth, \( h \), of the section. The entire prism which would have a transfer length, \( h \), is the total anchorage zone.

This zone is thus composed of two parts:

1. **General Zone**: The general extent of the zone is identical to the total anchorage zone. Its length extent along the span is therefore equal to the section depth, \( h \), in standard cases.

2. **Local Zone**: This zone is the insert prism of concrete surrounding and immediately ahead of the anchorage device and the confining reinforcement it contains. See the shaded area in Figure 4.22(c) and its magnification in Fig. 4.22(a). Also shown are the distribution of tensile and compressive stresses in the local zone and their stress

![Photo 4.6](image-url)  
*End block of post-tensioned I-beam at ultimate load (Nawy et al.).*
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contours obtained from the finite element analysis of the Rutgers tests (Ref. 4.7). The length of the local zone has to be considered as the larger of either its maximum width or the length of the anchorage device confining reinforcement.

The confining reinforcement throughout the entire anchorage zone has to be so chosen as to prevent bursting and splitting which are the result of the high concentrated compressive forces transmitted through the anchorage devices. In addition, checks have to be made of the bearing stresses on the concrete in the local zone due to these high compressive forces to ensure that the allowable compressive bearing capacity of the concrete is never exceeded.

4.5.3.1 Design Methods for the General Zone

Essentially, three methods are applicable to the design of the anchorage zone.

1. **Linear Elastic Stress Analysis Approach Including Use of Finite Elements**: This involves computing the detailed state of stresses as linearly elastic. The application of the finite element method is somewhat limited by the difficulty of developing adequate models that can correctly model the cracking in the concrete (Ref. 4.30). Nevertheless, appropriate assumptions can always be made to get reasonable results.

2. **Equilibrium-Based Plasticity Approach such as the Strut-and-Tie Models**: The strut-and-tie method provides for idealizing the path of the prestressing forces as a truss structure with its forces following the usual equilibrium principles. The ultimate load predicted by this method is controlled by failure of any one of the component struts or ties. The method usually gives conservative results for this application.

3. **Approximate Methods**: These apply to rectangular cross sections without discontinuities.

4.5.3.2 Linear Elastic Analysis Method for Confining Reinforcement Determination

The anchorage zone is subjected to three levels of stress as seen in Figure 4.22(a) and the stress contour zones:

(a) High bearing stresses ahead of the anchorage devices. Proper confinement of the concrete is necessary in order to prevent the compressive failure of the compressive segment shown in the darkly shaded area of Figures 4.22(a) and 4.22(b).
Figure 4.22  Principal tensile stress contours of equal vertical stress at anchorage zone (eccentricity $e_a = 6$ in.). (a) Contours of stress. (b) Stress distribution at 4.5 in. above base. (c) Segment of beam elevation. (Nawy et al., Refs. 4.7, 4.28)

(b) Extensive tensile-bursting stresses in the tension contour areas, normal to the tendon axis as shown in Figures 4.22(a) and (b) and in Figure 4.23(b).

(c) High compression in the stress field—areas marked D and E in Figure 4.22(a).

The following discussion illustrates that a linear elastic stress analysis can predict the cracking locations and give a reasonably reliable approximate estimate of the flow of
stresses after cracking. The area of the tensile reinforcement is computed to carry the total tensile force obtained through integrating the tensile stresses in the concrete. In compressive stress regions, if the compressive force is very high, the provision of additional compressive reinforcement would become necessary.

On a parallel approach, a linearly elastic finite element analysis as shown in Figure 4.22 results in more accurate determination of the state of stresses in the anchorage zone. However, the process of computation is time-consuming and costly. The results can be limited because of the difficulty of developing adequate models that can correctly model the cracking in the concrete. A nonlinear finite element analysis to predict the post-cracking response could resolve this discrepancy. Yet, the design engineer expects less rigor and faster answers in the routine day-to-day office applications.

Figure 4.23 schematically illustrates the linearly elastic end block forces. It shows the end-block forces and the fiber stresses due to the prestressing force \( P_p \), as well as the bending moment value for each possible crack height \( y \) above the beam bottom \( CD \). The
maximum moment value $M_{\text{max}}$ determines the potential position of the horizontal bursting crack. This moment is resisted by the couple provided by the tensile force $T$ of the vertical anchorage zone reinforcement and the compressive force $C$ provided by the end-block concrete, while the horizontal shear force $V$ at the crack split surface is resisted by the aggregate interlock forces. From practical observations, the vertical anchorage zone stirrups that provide the force $T$ should be distributed over a zone width $h/2$ from the end-face of the beam such that $x$ in Figure 4.23 can vary between $h/4$ and $h/5$.

From equilibrium of moments,

$$T = \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{h - x} \quad (4.13)$$

and the total required area of vertical steel reinforcement becomes

$$A_t = \frac{T}{f_s} \quad (4.14)$$

where the steel stress $f_s$ used in the calculation should not exceed 20,000 psi (138.5 MPa) for crack-width control purposes.

In summary and in lieu of a linear elastic finite element analysis, the procedure outlined can reasonably though less precisely give a detailed anchorage design as given in Example 4.6 part (a).

### 4.5.3.3 Strut-and-Tie Method for Confining End-Block Reinforcement

The strut-and-tie concept is based on a plasticity approach approximating the flow of forces in the anchorage zone by a series of straight compression struts and straight tension ties connected at discrete points that are called nodes to form truss units. The compressive forces are carried by the plastic compression struts and the tensile forces are carried either by non-prestressed reinforcement such as mild steel bars as confining ties or by prestressing steel reinforcement. The yield strength of the anchorage confining reinforcement is used to determine the total area of reinforcement needed in the anchorage block. Figure 4.24 (adapted from Ref. 4.18) illustrates the flow of the concentric and eccentric prestressing forces $P$ ahead of the point of application of these forces through the anchorage device towards the end of the general zone where the stresses become uniform by St. Venant's principle.

A detailed discussion is presented in the author’s Ref. 4.2 of the principles governing the strut-and-tie modeling procedures, the B and D regions, evaluation of the compression strut nominal strength, and the range of applicability of this fully plastic approach.

After significant cracking is developed, compressive stress trajectories in the concrete tend to congregate into straight lines that can be idealized as straight compressive struts in uniaxial compression. These struts would become part of truss units where the principal tensile stresses are idealized as tension ties in the truss unit with the nodal locations determined by the direction of the idealized compression struts. Figure 4.25(a) shows the development of a strut and Figure 4.25(b) sketches the resulting strut-and-tie trusses for multiple anchorage in a flanged T-section (Ref. 4.29). Figure 4.26 summarizes the concept of the idealized struts and ties in the anchorage zone. Figure 4.27 sketches standard strut-and-tie idealized trusses for concentric and eccentric cases both for solid and flanged sections as given in ACI 318-02 Code.

The tension tie in the ensuing truss analogy can be reasonably assumed to be at a distance $h/2$ from the anchorage device. This assumption is essentially consistent with the approximated location of the tensile force $T$ in Figure 4.23 of the elastic stress-analysis approach. It is clear from all these diagrams that the designer has to make an engineering judgment on the number of paths of struts, resulting ties, and ensuing nodes, particularly
Figure 4.24  Schematic of Compression Strut-and-Tie Force Paths (Adapted from Ref. 4.18).
in the usual case of multiple anchorage devices. Part (b) of Example 4.6 illustrates the assumed idealized paths for the anchorage zone in the I-beam under consideration.

Anchorage devices are treated as closely spaced if their center-to-center spacing does not exceed 1.5 times the width of the anchorage device.

**4.5.3.4 Approximate Method for Confinement of End Block Reinforcement**

Simplified equations can be used to compute the magnitude of the bursting force, $T_{burst}$, and its centroid distance, $d_{burst}$, from the major bearing surface of the anchorage (Ref. 4.30). The member has to have a rectangular cross-section with no discontinuities.
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(a) Concentric or Small Eccentricity

(b) Large Eccentricity

(c) Multiple Anchors

(d) Eccentric Anchor and Support Reaction

(e) Inclined and Straight Tendon

(f) Inclined and Curved Tendon

Figure 4.26 Typical Strut-and-Tie Models For End-Block Anchorage Zones.
along the span. The beam cross section would usually be the depth, $h$, of the section times the width $b$. The bursting force, $T_{\text{burst}}$, and its distance, $d_{\text{burst}}$, can be computed from the following expression:

$$T_{\text{burst}} = 0.25 \sum P_{su} \left(1 - \frac{a}{h}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.15a)

$$d_{\text{burst}} = 0.5(h - 2e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.15b)

where

- $\Sigma P_{su}$ = the sum of the total factored prestress loads for the stressing arrangement considered, lb
- $a$ = plate width of anchorage device or single group of closely spaced devices in the direction considered, in.
- $e$ = eccentricity (always taken positive) of the anchorage device or group closely spaced devices with respect to the centroid of the cross-section, in.
- $h$ = depth of the cross-section in the direction considered, in.

The ACI 318 Code requires that the design of confining reinforcement in the end anchorage block of post-tensioned members be based on the factored prestressing force $P_{pu}$ for both the general and local zones described at the outset of Sec. 4.4.3. A load factor of 1.2 is to be applied to an end anchorage stress level $f_{pu} = 0.80 f_{pu}$ for low-relaxation strands at the short time interval of jacking, which can reduce to an average value of $0.70 f_{pu}$ for the total group of strands at the completion of the jacking process. For stress-
relieved strands, a lower $f_{pt} = 0.70 f_{pu}$ is advised. The maximum force $P_{tu}$ stipulated in the ACI 318 Code for designing the confining reinforcement at the end-block zone is as follows for the more widely used low-relaxation strands:

$$P_{tu} = (1.2) A_{ps} (0.8 f_{pu})$$  \hfill (4.15c)

The AASHTO Standard, for the case where $P_{tu}$ acts at an inclined angle $\alpha$ in the direction of the beam span, adds the term $[0.5 \Sigma (P_{tu} \sin \alpha)]$ to Equation (4.15a) and $5e(\sin \alpha)$ to Equation (4.15b). For horizontal $P_{tu}$, $\sin \alpha = 0$.

### 4.5.3.5 Allowable Bearing Stresses

The maximum allowable bearing stress at the anchorage device seating should not exceed the smaller of the two values obtained from Equations 4.16(a) and 4.16(b) as follows:

$$f_b \leq 0.7 f_{ct} \sqrt{A/A_g}$$  \hfill (4.16a)

$$f_a \leq 2.25 f_{cd}$$  \hfill (4.16b)

where $f_b =$ maximum factored tendon load, $P_u$, divided by the effective bearing area $A_b$

$f_{cd} =$ concrete compressive strength at stressing

$A =$ maximum area of portion of the supporting surface that is geometrically similar to the loaded area and concentric with it, contained wholly within the section, with the upper base being the loaded surface area of the concrete and sloping sideways with a slope of 1 vertical to 2 horizontal.

$A_g =$ gross area of the bearing plate

Equations 4.16(a) and 4.16(b) are valid only if general zone reinforcement is provided and if the extent of concrete along the tendon axis ahead of the anchorage device is at least twice the length of the local zone.

### 4.5.4 Design of End Anchorage Reinforcement for Post-tensioned Beams

**Example 4.6**

Design an end anchorage reinforcement for the post-tensioned beam in Example 4.2, giving the size, type, and distribution of reinforcement. Use $f' = 5,000$ psi (34.5 MPa) normal-weight concrete, $f_{pu} = 270,000$ psi low-relaxation steel.

Assume that the beam ends are rectangular blocks extending 40 in. (104 cm.) into the span beyond the anchorage devices then transitionally reduce to the 6-in. thick web. Solve the problem using (a) the linear elastic stress analysis method, (b) the plastic strut-and-tie method. Sketch the truss model you determine.

**(a) Solution by the Linear Elastic Stress Method:**

1. **Establish the configuration of the strands to give eccentricity $e_e = 12.49$ in. (317 mm)**

   From Example 4.2,

   $$c_b = 18.84 \text{ in.}$$

   hence distance from the beam bottom fibers $= c_b - e_e = 6.35 \text{ in.}$

   (161 mm)

   For a centroidal distance of the 13 $- \frac{1}{2}$ in. size tendons $= 6.35$ in. from the beam bottom fibers, try the following row arrangement:

   1st row: 5 tendons at 2.5 in.
   2nd row: 5 tendons at 7.0 in.
   3rd row: 3 tendons at 11.5 in.

   distance of the centroid of tendons $= \frac{5 \times 2.5 + 5 \times 7.0 + 3 \times 11.5}{13} \approx 6.35 \text{ in.}, \text{ O.K.}$
2. Elastic analysis of forces

Divide the beam depth into 4-in. increments of height as shown in Figure 4.28, and assume that the concrete stress at the center of each increment is uniform across the depth of the increment. Then calculate the incremental moments due to these internal stresses and due to the external prestressing force $P_i$ about each horizontal plane in order to determine the net moment on the section. The net maximum moment will determine the position of the potential horizontal bursting crack and the reinforcement that has to be provided to prevent the crack from developing. Using a plus (+) sign for clockwise moment, the initial prestressing force before losses, from Example 4.2, is $P_i = 376,110$ lb (1,673 kN). From Figure 4.28, the concrete internal moment at the plane 4 in. from the bottom fibers is

$$M_{cb} = 2,117 \times 4 \times 18 \times (2 \text{ in.}) = 304,848 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$= 0.3 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (34.4 kN-m)}$$

and that at the plane 8 in. from the bottom fibers is

$$M_{cb} = 2,117 \times 4 \times 18 \times (6 \text{ in.}) + 1,851 \times 4 \times \frac{18 + 10}{2} \times (2 \text{ in.})$$

$$= 1,121,856 \text{ in.-lb} = 1.12 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (127 kN-m)}$$

The prestressing force moment at the plane 8 in. from the bottom fibers is

$$M_{cb} = 376,110 \times (8 - 6.35) = -620,582 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$= -0.62 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (70.1 kN-m)}$$

The net moment is then $1.12 \times 10^6 - 0.62 \times 10^6 = 0.50 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (56.6 kN-m)}$.

In a similar manner, we can find the net moment for all the other incremental planes at 4-in. increments to get the values tabulated in Table 4.5. From the table, the maximum net moments are $+M_{max} = 0.75 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (84.6 kN-m)}$ at the horizontal plane 6.35 in. above the beam bottom fibers (bursting potential crack effect) and $-M_{max} = -0.20 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$ at the horizontal plane 24 in. (61 cm) above the beam bottom fibers (spalling potential crack effect).
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#### Table 4.5 Anchorage Zone Moments for Example 4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moment plane dist. d from bottom in.</th>
<th>Section width in.</th>
<th>Stress at plane ((d - 2.0)) psi</th>
<th>Concrete resistance force at ((d - 2.0)) lb</th>
<th>Moment (M_p) for a (P_f) about horiz. plane in col. (1) in.-lb (\times 10^6)</th>
<th>Moment (M_c) of concrete in col. (4) about horiz. plane in col. (1) in.-lb (\times 10^6)</th>
<th>Net moment ((M_c - M_p)) col. (6) - col. (5) in.-lb (\times 10^6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-2,250</td>
<td>162,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-2,117</td>
<td>152,424</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>-1,851</td>
<td>103,656</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.75</td>
<td>+0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1,585</td>
<td>63,400</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>+1.12</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1,319</td>
<td>31,656</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
<td>+2.25</td>
<td>+0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1,054</td>
<td>25,296</td>
<td>-3.63</td>
<td>+3.54</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-788</td>
<td>18,912</td>
<td>-5.13</td>
<td>+4.94</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-522</td>
<td>12,528</td>
<td>-6.64</td>
<td>+6.44</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-256</td>
<td>6,144</td>
<td>-8.14</td>
<td>+7.99</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-9.65</td>
<td>+9.61</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>+276</td>
<td>-19,872</td>
<td>-11.15</td>
<td>+11.13</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>+409</td>
<td>-29,448</td>
<td>-12.66</td>
<td>+12.65</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(d\) = distance from plane about which moment is taken less half the depth of one slice (in this example slice depth = 4 in.).

3. **Design of anchorage reinforcement**

From Equation 4.11, assuming that the center of the tensile vertical force \(T\) is at a distance \(x = 15\) in., we obtain

\[
T = \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{h - x} = \frac{0.75 \times 10^6}{40 - 15} = 30,000 \text{ lb} \quad (133 \text{ kN})
\]

Allowing a maximum steel stress, \(f_s = 20,000\) psi, (the Code allows 0.60 \(f_s\) = 36,000 psi)

The bursting zone reinforcement is

\[
A_t = \frac{T_s}{f_s} = \frac{30,000}{20,000} = 1.50 \text{ in.}^2 \quad (968 \text{ mm}^2)
\]

So

Try No. 3 closed ties (\(A_t = 2 \times 0.11 = 0.22\) in\(^2\))

Required no. of stirrups \(\frac{1.50}{0.22} = 6.82\)

Use six No. 3 ties in addition to what is required for shear.

The spalling zone force

\[
T_s = \frac{-0.2 \times 10^6}{40 - 15} = 8,000 \text{ lb}
\]

So

\[
A_t = \frac{T_s}{f_s} = \frac{8,000}{20,000} = 0.40 \text{ in}^2 \quad (250 \text{ mm}^2)
\]

Hence, we have

\[
\text{Req no. of No. 3 stirrups} = \frac{0.40}{0.22} = 1.82
\]
So use two No. 3 additional stirrups. Then
Total number of stirrups = 6.82 + 1.82 + 4 = 12.64
Use 12 No. 3 closed ties. Extend the stirrups into the compression zone in Figure 4.23.
Space the No. 3 closed ties at 3 in. center to center with the first stirrup starting 3 in. from the beam end. Also, provide four No. 3 bars 10 in. long at 3 in. center to center each way 2 in. from the end face at the anchor location, since cracking can occur vertically and horizontally. Add spiral reinforcement under the anchors if the manufacturer specifies that such a reinforcement is useful.
Next, check the bearing plate stresses.

(b) Solution by the Plastic Strut-and-Tie Method:
1. Establish the configuration of the strands to give eccentricity $e_y = 12.49$ in. (317 mm)
   From Example 4.2,
   
   $c_y = 18.84$ in., hence distance from the beam fibers = $c_y - e_y = 6.35$ in. (161 mm)

   For a centroidal distance of the 13-1/2-in.-size strands = 6.35 in. from the beam bottom fibers, try the following row arrangement of tendons with the indicated distances from the bottom fibers:
   
   1st row: 5 tendons at 2.5 in.
   2nd row: 5 tendons at 7.0 in.
   3rd row: 3 tendons at 11.5 in.

   
   distance of the centroid of tendons = $\frac{5 \times 2.5 + 5 \times 7.0 + 3 \times 11.5}{13} \approx 6.35$ in., O.K.

2. Factored forces in tendon rows and bearing capacity of the concrete
   From Equation (4.15c) for low-relaxation strands, $f_p = 0.80 f_{pu}$
   The factored jacking force at the short jacking time interval is:
   
   $P_{pu} = 1.2 A_{pu} (0.80 f_{pu}) = 0.96 f_{pu} A_{pu} = 0.96 \times 270,000 A_{pu} = 259,200 A_{pu}$ psi.
   If stress-relieved strands are used, it would have been advisable to use $f_{pu} = 0.70 f_{pu}$.
   1st row force $P_{1,1} = 5 \times 0.153 \times 259,200 = 198,286$ lb. (882 kN)
   2nd row force $P_{2,2} = 5 \times 0.153 \times 259,200 = 198,286$ lb. (882 kN)
   3rd row force $P_{3,3} = 3 \times 0.153 \times 259,200 = 118,973$ lb. (529 kN)
   Total ultimate compressive force = 198,286 + 198,286 + 118,973 = 515,545 lb. (2290 kN)
   Total area of rigid bearing plates supporting the Supreme 13-chucks anchorage
   
   $14 \times 11 + 6 \times 4 = 178$ in.$^2$ (113 cm$^2$)

Photo 4.8 Typical bursting crack of the anchorage zone (Nawy et al.).
4.5 End Blocks at Support Anchorage Zones

Actual bearing stress $f_b = \frac{515,545}{178} = 2896 \text{ psi} \ (19.9 \text{ MPa})$

From Equations 4.16(a) and (b), the maximum allowable bearing pressure on the concrete is the lesser of

$$f_b \leq 0.7 f'_{cu} \sqrt{A/A_b}$$
$$f_b \leq 2.25 f'_{cu}$$

Assume that the initial concrete strength at stressing is $f'_{cu} = 0.75 f_c$

$$= 0.75 \times 5,000 = 3750 \text{ psi}$$

Concentric area $A$, of concrete with the bearing plates $= (14 + 4)(11 + 4) + (6 + 4)(4 + 4) = 350 \text{ in.}^2$, as defined for the concrete pyramid base in Section 4.5.3.5.

Allowable bearing stress, $f_b = 0.7 \times 3750 \sqrt{\frac{350}{178}} = 3681 \text{ psi} > 2896 \text{ psi} \text{, O.K.}$

The bearing stress from Eq. 4.14(b) does not control.

As defined in Section 4.5.3.5, it should be noted that the area $A_s$ of the rigid steel plate or plates, and the corresponding concrete pyramid base area $A$ within the end block assumed to receive the bearing stress, are purely determined by engineering judgement. The areas are based on the geometry of the web and bottom flange of the section, the rectangular dimension of the beam end, and the arrangement and spacing of the strand anchorages in contact with the supporting steel end bearing plates.

3. Draw the strut-and-tie model

Total length of distance $a$, as in Figure 4.25 between forces $P_{a1} = P_{a3} = 11.5 - 2.5 = 9.0 \text{ in.}$

Hence depth $a/2$ ahead of the anchorages $= 9.0/2 = 4.5 \text{ in.}$

Construct the strut-and-tie model assuming it to be as shown in Figure 4.29.

The geometrical dimensions for finding the horizontal force components from the ties 1–2 and 3–2 have cotangent values of 26.5/15.5 and 13.0/15.5 respectively. From statics, truss analysis in Figure 4.29 gives the member forces as follows:

- Tension tie 1–2 $= 118,973 \times \frac{26.5}{15.5} = 188,054 \text{ lb} \ (836 \text{ kN})$
- Tension tie 3–2 $= 198,286 \times \frac{13}{15.5} = 166,304 \text{ lb} \ (719 \text{ kN})$

Use the larger of the two values for choice of the closed tension tie stirrups.

Try No. 3 closed ties, giving a tensile strength per tie $= \phi f'_{cu} A_s$

$$= 0.90 \times 60,000 \times 2(0.11) = 11,880 \text{ lb}$$

required number of stirrup ties = $\frac{188,054}{11,880} = 15.8$

For the tension tie a-b-c in Figure 4.29, use the force $P_a = 166,304 \text{ lb}$ to concentrate additional No. 4 vertical ties ahead of the anchorage devices. Start the first tie at a distance of 1 1/2 in. from the end rigid steel plate transferring the load from the anchorage devices to the concrete.

Number of ties $= \frac{166,304}{0.90 \times 60,000(2 \times 0.20)} = 7.7$

Use eight No. 4 closed ties @ 1 1/2 in. (12.7 mm @ 32 mm) center to center with the first tie to start at 1 in. ahead of the anchorage devices.

Only thirteen ties in lieu of the 15.0 calculated are needed since part of the zone is covered by the No. 4 ties. Use 13 No. 3 closed ties @ 2 1/4 in. (9.5 mm @ 57 mm) center to center
beyond the last No. 4 tie so that a total distance of 40 in. (104 cm) width of the rectangular anchor block is confined by the reinforcement closed ties.

Note that this solution requires a larger number of confining ties than the semi-elastic solution in part (a). Adopt this design of the anchorage zone. Figure 4.30 shows a schematic of the anchorage zone confining reinforcement details resulting from the strut-and-tie analysis.

It should also be noted that the idealized paths of the compression struts for cases where there are several layers of prestressing strands should be such that at each layer level a stress path is assumed in the design. This can be seen in Example 4.7 and Figure 4.39(b). If layers are combined, a more conservative solution with more confining reinforcement area results, which is not necessarily justified.

### 4.6 FLEXURAL DESIGN OF COMPOSITE BEAMS

Composite sections are normally precast, prestressed supporting elements over which sit situ-cast top slabs that act integrally with them. Composites have the advantage of the precast part becoming in many cases the falsework for supporting the situ-cast top slab and topping in bridges and industrial buildings, as shown in Figure 4.31. Sometimes the precast, prestressed element is shored during the placement and curing of the situ-cast top slab. In such a case, the slab weight acts only on the composite section, which has a substantially larger section modulus than the precast section. Hence, the concrete stress calculations have to take this situation into account in the design. The concrete stress distribution due to composite action can be seen in Figure 4.32, in part (e) of which the load taken by the cured composite section is the sum of \( W_{SD} + W_L \).
4.6.1 Unshored Slab Case

From Equations 4.2a and b, the extreme concrete fiber stress equations before casting the top slab are

\[ f^* = \frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{ec}{r^2} \right) \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S'} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.17)

and

\[ f_b = \frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{eb}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.18)

where \( S' \) and \( S_b \) are the section moduli of the precast section only, and \( M_{SD} \) is any additional superimposed moment such as the wet slab concrete.

After the situ-cast slab hardens and composite action takes place, new higher moduli \( S' \) and \( S_{cb} \) are available, with the cgc line moving upwards towards the top fibers. The concrete fiber stress counterparts to Equations 4.18a and b for the extreme top and bottom fibers of the precast part of the composite section (level AA in Figure 4.32(e) are

\[ f^* = \frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{ec}{r^2} \right) \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S'} - \frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{cb}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.19a)
Figure 4.32 Flexural stress distribution in composite beams. (a) Composite beam. (b) Concrete stress distribution. (c) Concrete stress distribution with precast beam shored. (d) Live-load stress for shored case, or live load plus superimposed dead load for unshored case. (e) Final service-load stress due to all loads.

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_s}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{cc_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} + \frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{cb}} \]  

(4.19b)

where \(M_{CSD}\) is the additional composite superimposed dead load after erection, i.e., at service, and \(S_t\) and \(S_{cb}\) are the section moduli of the composite section at the level of the top and bottom fibers, respectively, of the precast section.

The fiber stresses at the level of the top and bottom fibers of the situ-cast slab (levels BB and AA of Figure 4.32(e)) are

\[ f_{\text{tt}} = -\frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{ct}} \]  

(4.20a)

and

\[ f_{\text{bt}} = -\frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{cb}} \]  

(4.20b)

Photo 4.9 Jacking tendons of post-tensioned beam (Nawy et al.).
where $M_{SD} + M_L$ are the incremental moments added after composite action has developed, and $S_{eb}$ and $S_{cb}$ are the section moduli of the composite section for the top and bottom fibers $AA$ and $BB$, respectively, of the slab in Figure 4.32(e).

### 4.6.2 Fully Shored Slab Case

In cases where the situ-cast slab is fully shored until composite action develops, the concrete fiber stresses before shoring and top slab casting become, from Equations 4.18 and 4.19,

$$f^i = -rac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{ci}}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S_i} \quad (4.21a)$$

and

$$f_b = -rac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{cb}}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \quad (4.21b)$$

After the top slab is situ cast and full composite action is developed when the concrete hardens, Equations 4.19a and b become, for the beam shored after erection,

$$f^i = -rac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{ci}}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S_i} - \frac{M_{SD} + M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_i} \quad (4.22a)$$

and

$$f_b = -rac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{cb}}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} + \frac{M_{SD} + M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{cb}} \quad (4.22b)$$

Note that adequate check has to be made for the horizontal interface shear stresses between the situ-cast and the precast beams, as will be discussed in Chapter 5.

### 4.6.3 Effective Flange Width

In order to determine the theoretical composite action that resists the flexural stresses, a determination has to be made of the slab width that can effectively contribute to the stiffness increase resulting from composite action.

Figure 4.33 and Table 4.6 give the ACI and AASHTO requirements for determining the effective top flange width of the composite section. If the topping concrete is of different strength than that of the precast section, the width $b$ has to be modified to account for the difference in the moduli of the two concretes in order to ensure that the strains in both materials at the interface are compatible. The modified width of the composite topping for calculating the composite $L_c$ is

$$b_m = \frac{E_{ct}}{E_c} (b) = n_b \quad (4.23)$$

![Figure 4.33](image-url) **Figure 4.33** Effective flange width of composite section.
Table 4.6 Values of Effective Flange Width

| Width b as the least of the tabulated values (Modify to \( b_u = n_u b \), where \( n_u = E_p/E_c \) when flange concrete is of different strength from that of the precast web) |
|---|---|
| **End beam** | **Intermediate beam** |
| ACI | ACI |
| \( b_w + 6h_f \) | \( b_w + 16h_f \) |
| \( b_w + L_c \) | \( b_w + L_c \) |
| \( b_w + \frac{L}{12} \) | \( \frac{L}{4} \) |
| AASHTO | AASHTO |
| \( b_w + 6h_f \) | \( b_w + 12h_f \) |
| \( b_w + L_c \) | \( b_w + L_c \) |
| \( b_w + \frac{L}{12} \) | \( \frac{L}{4} \) |

\( L \) = span of end or intermediate beam

where \( E_{ct} \) = modulus of the topping concrete
\( E_c \) = modulus of the precast concrete

Once the modified width \( b_m \) is defined, the entire composite section is considered to be of the higher strength concrete.

4.7 SUMMARY OF STEP-BY-STEP TRIAL-AND-ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURE FOR THE SERVICE-LOAD DESIGN OF PRESTRESSED MEMBERS

1. Given the superimposed dead-load intensity \( W_{SD} \), the live-load intensity \( W_L \), the span and the height limitation, the material strengths \( f_{pu}, f'_{c}, f_{ci} \), the type of concrete, and whether the prestress type is pretensioning or post-tensioning,

2. Assume the intensity of self-weight \( W_D \), and calculate moments \( M_D, M_{SD}, \) and \( M_L \).

3. Calculate \( f_{pu}, f'_{c}, f_{ci}, f_t, \) and \( f_e \) where \( f_{pu} = 0.70 \cdot f_{pu}, f_{ct} = 0.60 \cdot f'_{c}, \) and \( f_{ct} = 3\sqrt{f'_{c}}, \) for the midspan section, \( f_e = 0.45 \cdot f'_{c} \) or \( 0.60 \cdot f'_{c} \) as allowed and \( f_t = 6\sqrt{f'_{c}} \) to \( 12\sqrt{f'_{c}} \).

4. Calculate the prestress losses \( \Delta f_{ps} = \Delta f_{ps} + \Delta f_{ph} + \Delta f_{ps} + \Delta f_{pcr} + \Delta f_{ph} + \Delta f_{pa} + \Delta f_{pb} \)

5. Find the minimum required section modulus of the minimum efficient section for evaluating the concrete fiber stresses at the top and bottom fibers.

(a) For harped or draped tendons, use the midspan controlling section:

\[
S' \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_t - f_e}
\]

\[
S_k \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_t - \gamma f_{ct}}
\]

where

\[
\gamma = \frac{P_e}{P_t}
\]

(b) For straight tendons, use the end-support controlling section:
4.7 Step-By-Step Trial-and-Adjustment Procedure for the Service-Load Design of Prestressed Members

\[ S' \geq \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_{ct} - f_c} \]

\[ S_b \geq \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_i - \gamma f_{ci}} \]

6. Select a trial section with section modulus properties close to those required in step 5 to be checked later for composite section fiber stress requirements.

7. For (a) the controlling section in the span (usually the midspan or at 0.4 of span), (b) the controlling section at the support, and (c) any other section along the span if both straight and draped tendons are used, analyze the concrete fiber stresses expected at stress transfer immediately before such transfer:

\[ f_i = \frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{ci}}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{cb}}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \]

If the stresses exceed the allowable values, enlarge the section, or change the eccentricity \( e_c \) or \( e_i \), or both.

8. Analyze the concrete fiber stresses for the service-load conditions, as in step 7:

\[ f_i = \frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{ci}}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_T}{S'} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{cb}}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \]

where \( M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L \). If the stresses exceed the allowable values, enlarge the section or change the eccentricity \( e_c \) or \( e_i \), or both.

9. For cases where many strands have to be used, establish the envelopes of limiting eccentricities for zero tension \( e_b = (k_b + a_{min}) \) and \( e_i = (a_{max} - k_i) \), where \( a_{min} = M_D/P_i \) and \( a_{max} = M_T/P_c \). If the tension in the concrete is used in the design, add

\[ e_b^c = \frac{f^{(0)} A_c k_b}{P_i} \]

and

\[ e_i^c = \frac{f^{(0)} A_c k_i}{P_i} \]

to the bottom and top envelopes, respectively, where \( f^{(0)} \) and \( f_{(b)} \) are the extreme fiber stresses calculated to be in the concrete.

10. Investigate the end-block anchorage zone stresses, and design the necessary reinforcement to prevent bursting or spalling cracks. Determine the minimum development length

\[ l_d = \frac{1}{1,000} \left( f_{ps} - \frac{2}{3} f_{ps} \right) d_b \]

of which the transfer length \( l_i = \frac{f_{ps}}{3,000} d_b \), where \( f_{ps} \) is in psi units.
Post-tensioned Anchorage

Design the anchorage block reinforcement. Use the strut-and-tie plastic truss units to compute the ultimate tension force in the tie for confining reinforcement selection.

Pretensional Prestress Transfer Zone

\[ A_t = 0.021 \frac{P_h}{f_t} \]

11. Determine the composite action stresses, and revise the section if these stresses exceed the maximum allowable concrete fiber stresses both in the precast section and the situ-cast top slab. Use the modified effective width \( b_m = \left( E_c/E_t \right) b \) for the top composite flange when calculating the section modulus of the composite section.

(a) Unshored Slab Case. Before the top slab is situ cast:

\[ f' = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left(1 - \frac{ec_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S'_t} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} \]

After the top slab is cast and cured to develop full composite action, the stresses at top and bottom fibers of the precast part of the composite section will be

\[ f' = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left(1 - \frac{ec_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S'_t} - \frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S'_t} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} + \frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{sb}} \]

where \( S'_t \) and \( S_{sb} \) are the section moduli of the composite section at the level of the top and bottom fibers of the precast section. Also, \( M_L \) includes \( M_f \) if impact stresses exist.

The fibers stresses at the level of the top and bottom fibers of the situ-cast hardened slab are

\[ f' = -\frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{sa}} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{sb}} \]

where \( S_{sa} \) and \( S_{sb} \) are the section moduli of the composite section at the level of the top and bottom of the situ-cast slab.

(b) Fully Shored Slab Case. Before shoring, and before the topping is situ-cast,

\[ f' = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left(1 - \frac{ec_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'_t} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{ec_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \]
After the situ-cast slab is cured and full composite action develops,

\[
f' = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'} \frac{M_{SD} + M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_c}
\]

\[
f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_c} + \frac{M_{SD} + M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_{ob}}
\]

The effective width of the top flange of the composite section is determined in accordance with the applicable ACI or AASHTO specifications.

\(M_D\) = moment due to self-weight of the precast element, \(M_{SD}\) = moment due to situ-cast slab and any other construction load, and \(M_{CSD}\) = moment due to additional composite superimposed load.

12. Proceed to determine the strength of the section for the limit state at failure and for shear and torsional strength.

Figure 4.34 shows a flowchart for the service-load flexural design of prestressed beams.

**4.8 DESIGN OF COMPOSITE POST-TENSIONED PRESTRESSED SIMPLY SUPPORTED SECTION**

**Example 4.7**

A two-lane simply supported bridge has a 64 ft (19.5 m) span, center to center, of bearings. The width of the bridge is such that the exterior beams are 28 ft (8.54 m) center to center. The spacing of the interior beams is at 7 ft center to center. Design the supporting interior post-tensioned beams, with deck slab unshored during construction, to carry

![Flowchart for service-load flexural design of prestressed beams.](image)

**Figure 4.34** Flowchart for service-load flexural design of prestressed beams.
Figure 4.34 (continued)
Compute range of initial prestressing force and its eccentricity:

\[
P_t \leq \frac{(f_u + M_0/S_1)A_{c_e}}{(1 + e_0/r_0)} \quad \text{or} \quad P_t \leq \frac{(-f_u + M_0/S_2)A_{c_e}}{(1 + e_0/r_0)}
\]

and

\[
P_t \geq \frac{(-f_u + M_1/S_1)A_{c_e}}{(1 + e_0/r_0)} \quad \text{or} \quad P_t \geq \frac{(f_u + M_1/S_2)A_{c_e}}{(1 + e_0/r_0)}
\]

13. Input chosen \( P_t \) and corresponding \( d_p \)

14. Actual fiber stresses

At transfer

- Top stress: \( \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_0}{r_0} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S_1} \)
- Bottom stress: \( \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_0}{r_0} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_2} \)

At service

- Top stress: \( \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_0}{r_0} \right) - \frac{M_E}{S_1} \)
- Bottom stress: \( \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_0}{r_0} \right) + \frac{M_E}{S_2} \)

15. Yes: SAFE, No: Warning message

Go to 10

Select new dimensions

No

Print:
- Permissible concrete fiber stresses
- Actual concrete fiber stresses

END

Figure 4.34 (continued)
Photo 4.10  Photo layout of bridge deck prestressing tendon.

AASHTO HS20-44 loading; establish the tendon eccentricities and tendon envelopes; and design the anchorage block and reinforcement, given the following information:

**Concrete**

Precast beam $f'_c = 5,000$ psi normal-weight concrete

5\(\frac{1}{2}\) in. deck $f'_c = 3,000$ psi normal-weight concrete

$f'_d = 4,000$ psi (27.6 MPa)

$f_o = 0.55f'_c = -2,200$ psi (15.2 MPa)

$f_c = 0.40f'_c = -2,000$ psi (13.8 MPa)

$f_u = 212$ psi $= 3\sqrt{f'_c}$

$f_t = 6\sqrt{f'_c} = 424$ psi

**Prestressing Steel**

$f_{pu} = 270,000$ psi (1,862 MPa)

$f_{py} = 0.90f_{pu} = 243,000$ psi (1,675 MPa)

$f_{pt} = 0.70f_{pu} = 189,000$ psi (1,303 MPa)

$f_{pe}$ after losses $= 0.80f_{pt} = 151,200$ psi (1,043 MPa)

Locate and draw the distribution of tendons in both the midspan and end sections. Use a live-load moment value including impact due to AASHTO HS20-44 loading for one interior beam of the 64 ft span bridge $= 9,300,000$ in.-lb (1,051 kN-m).

**Solution:**

*Bending Moments and New Allowable Stresses (Steps 1–4).* Since the beam spacing and span length are known, the moments due to situ-cast slab and diaphragms can be initially determined. The clear distance between the webs of the beams is 7 ft, 0 in. – 6 in. = 6 ft, 6 in. Assume a deck slab 5\(\frac{1}{2}\) in. (14.6 cm) thick made of 1\(\frac{1}{2}\) in. precast panels and 4 in. situ-cast topping and a diaphragm 8 in. (20 cm) thick at midspan and 45 in. (122 cm) deep, cast integrally with the deck slab. We have:
4.8 Design of Composite Post-Tensioned Prestressed Simply Supported Section

Diaphragm weight = \(\frac{8}{12} \times \frac{45}{12} \times 6.5 \times 150 = 2,440 \text{ lb (11.3 kN)}\)

1\(\frac{1}{4}\) in. precast formwork panel weight = \(\frac{1.75}{12} \times 7 \times 150 = 153 \text{ plf (2.2 kN/m)}\)

4 in. situ-cast topping weight = \(\frac{4}{12} \times 7 \times 150 = 350 \text{ plf (5.1 kN/m)}\)

\[M_{SD1} = \frac{153(64)^2}{8} \times 12 = 940,032 \text{ in.-lb}\]

\(M_{CSO} = \text{composite superimposed dead load} = 0\)

\[M_{SD2} = \frac{PL}{4} + \frac{W_{SD}L^2}{8}\]

\[= \frac{2,440 \times (64 \times 12)}{4} + \frac{350(64)^2 \times (12)}{8}\]

\[= 468,480 + 2,150,400 = 2,618,880 \text{ in.-lb}\]

Total \(M_{SD} = 940,032 + 2,618,880 = 3,558,912 \text{ in.-lb (403 kN-m)}\)

\(M_{CSO} = 0 \text{ in this case}\)

Minimum Section Moduli and Choice of Trial Section (Steps 5–6)

\[\gamma = \frac{151,200}{189,000} = 0.80\]

\[S' \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_e - f_c}\]

\[S_b \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_i - \gamma f_a}\]

Assume that the self-weight of the precast beam element is approximately 583 plf (8.5 kN/m). Then

\[M_D = \frac{583(64)^2 \times 12}{8} = 3,581,952 \text{ in.-lb (405 kN-m)}\]

Min \(S' = \frac{(1 - 0.80)3,581,952 + 3,558,912 + 9,300,000}{0.80(212) - (-2,000)} = 6,257 \text{ in.}^3 (102,535 \text{ cm}^3)\)

Min \(S_b = \frac{(1 - 0.80)3,581,952 + 3,558,912 + 9,300,000}{424 - 0.80(-2,200)} = 6,215 \text{ in.}^3 (101,846 \text{ cm}^3)\)

The expected actual section modulus for the top fibers is usually considerably larger than the section modulus for the bottom fibers of the composite section. So choose the precast element based on \(S_b = 6,229 \text{ in.}^3\)

AASHTO type III is chosen as the closest trial section for \(S_b = 6,229 \text{ in.}^3\) since AASHTO type IV has a much larger section modulus. We have as in Figure 4.35.

\[S' = 5,070 \text{ in.}^3 (83,082 \text{ cm}^3)\]

\[S_b = 6,186 \text{ in.}^3 (101,370 \text{ cm}^3)\]

\[I_e = 125,390 \text{ in.}^4 (5.2 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^4)\]

\[A_e = 560 \text{ in.}^2 (3,613 \text{ cm}^2)\]
Figure 4.35  Example 4.7. (a) Section (AASHTO-III). (b) Composite section properties.

\[ r^2 = 223.9 \text{ in.}^2 (1,445 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ c_t = 24.73 \text{ in.} (62.8 \text{ cm}) \]
\[ c_b = 20.27 \text{ in.} (51.5 \text{ cm}) \]
\[ W_D = 583 \text{ plf} (8.2 \text{ kN/m}) \]

Try \( A_{ps} = \) twenty-two \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in. dia (12.7 mm) 7-wire stress-relieved tendons.

**Stresses at Transfer**

\[ M_T = 3,581,952 + 3,589,632 + 9,300,000 = 16,471,584 \text{ in.-lb (1,861 kN-m)} \]
\[ A_p = 22 \times 0.153 = 3.366 \text{ in.}^2 (21.7 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ P_t = A_{ps}f_{pt} = 3.366 \times 0.70 \times 270,000 = 636,174 \text{ lb (2,830 kN)} \]
\[ P_s = 0.80P_t = 0.80 \times 636,174 = 508,940 \text{ lb (2,264 kN)} \]
\[ k_t = \frac{r^2}{c_b} = \frac{223.91}{20.27} = 11.05 \text{ in. (28.1 cm)} \]
\[ k_b = \frac{r^2}{c_t} = \frac{223.91}{24.73} = 9.05 \text{ in. (23.0 cm)} \]
\[ a_{\text{min}} = \frac{M_D}{P_t} = \frac{3,581,952}{636,174} = 5.63 \text{ in.} \]
\[ a_{\text{max}} = \frac{M_T}{P_s} = \frac{16,471,584}{508,940} = 32.36 \text{ in. (Upper envelope, outside section, hence section can be improved)} \]

\[ e_p = a_{\text{min}} + k_b = 5.63 + 9.05 = 14.68 \text{ in.} \]
\[ e_t = a_{\text{max}} - k_t = 32.36 - 11.05 = 21.31 \text{ in.} \]

\( e_b' = f' A_pK_p / P_s = 212 \times 560 \times 9.05/636,174 = 1.69 \text{ in.}, giving a maximum } e_e \text{ with tension = 14.69} \times 1.69 = 16.37 \text{ in.} \)

Using 4 in. cover gives \( e_e = e_b - 4.0 = 20.27 - 4.0 = 16.27 \angle 16.37 \text{ in.}, hence O.K. as the tendon is within the band. \)

Try \( e_t = 16.27 \text{ in. (413 mm)} \)  \( e_e = 10 \text{ in. (254 mm)} \)
4.8 Design of Composite Post-Tensioned Prestressed Simply Supported Section

(a) Midspan Section

\[ f' = -\frac{P_l}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{1c}}{r} \right) - \frac{M_0}{S'} \]

\[ = -\frac{636,174}{560} \left( 1 - \frac{16.27 \times 24.73}{223.91} \right) - \frac{3,581,952}{5,070} \]

\[ = 905.4 - 706.5 = 198.9 \text{ psi (T)} < 212 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_l}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{1c}}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_0}{S_b} \]

\[ = -\frac{636,174}{560} \left( 1 + \frac{16.27 \times 20.27}{223.91} \right) + \frac{3,581,952}{6,186} \]

\[ = -2,809.3 + 579.0 = -2,230.3 \text{ (C) (15.4 MPa) } \approx f_b = -2,200 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

(b) Support Section

\[ f' = -\frac{P_l}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{1c}}{r} \right) = -\frac{636,174}{560} \left( 1 - \frac{10 \times 24.73}{223.91} \right) \]

\[ = +118.7 \text{ psi (T)} < 212 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_l}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{1c}}{r^2} \right) = -\frac{636,174}{560} \left( 1 + \frac{10 \times 20.27}{223.9} \right) \]

\[ = -2,164.4 \text{ psi (C)} < -2,200 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

**Composite Section Properties**

\[ E_c (\text{topping}) = \frac{57,000 \sqrt{3,000}}{5,000} = 0.77 \]

Effective flange width = 7 ft = 84 in. (213 cm)

Modified effective flange width = 0.77 \times 84 = 65 in. (165 cm)

\[ c_b = \frac{(5.75 \times 65)(47.875) + (560 \times 20.27)}{(5.75)(65) + 560} = 31.32 \text{ in.} \]

\[ I_c' = 125,390 + 560(31.32 - 20.27)^2 + \frac{65(5.75)^3}{12} + 65 \times 5.75(16.56)^2 \]

\[ = 297,044 \text{ in.}^4 \]

\[ r^2 = 318.12 \text{ in.}^2 \]

\[ S_{sh} = 9,490 \text{ in.}^3 \]

\[ S_{t}' = 21,714 \text{ in.}^3 \text{ at top of precast section} \]

Precast \( c' = 45 - 31.32 = 13.68 \text{ in.} \)

\[ S_{t}' = \frac{297,044}{13.68} = 21,714 \text{ in.}^3 \]

slab top \( c' = 13.68 + 5.75 = 19.43 \text{ in.} \)

\[ S_{sa} = \frac{297,044}{19.43} = 15,288 \text{ in.}^3 \text{ at top of slab} \]

\[ S_{bes} = \frac{297,044}{(19.43 - 4)} = 19,251 \text{ in.}^3 \text{ at bottom of slab} \]
Stresses After 1 1/2 In. Precast Panel Is Erected As Formwork, W_{SD} (Step 11a) Before Diaphragm and Slab Are Cast,

(a) Midspan Section

\[ f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e \left( 1 - \frac{e_c r}{r^2} \right)} \left( M_D + M_{SD} \right) \frac{S'}{S'} \]

\[ M_D + M_{SD} = 3,581,952 + 940,032 = 4,521,984 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ f' = \frac{508,904}{560} \left( 1 \frac{16.27 \times 24.73}{223.9} \right) - \frac{4,521,984}{5,070} \]

\[ = +724.3 - 891.9 = -167.6 \text{ psi (C), no tension, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e \left( 1 + \frac{e_c r}{r^2} \right)} \left( M_D + M_{SD} \right) \frac{S_b}{S_b} \]

\[ = -\frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 + \frac{16.27 \times 20.27}{223.9} \right) + \frac{4,521,984}{6,186} \]

\[ = -2,247.4 + 731.0 = -1,516.4 \text{ psi (C) } < 2,000 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

(b) Support Section

\[ f' = \frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 - \frac{10 \times 24.73}{223.9} \right) = 94.9 \text{ psi (T) } \]

\[ f_b = \frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 + \frac{10 \times 20.27}{223.9} \right) = -1,731.6 \text{ psi (11.9 MPa) (C)} \]

\[ <-2,000 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

Stresses Immediately After Casting Concrete Slab Topping: Midspan Section (Slab Concrete Not Hardened)

\[ f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e \left( 1 - \frac{e_c r}{r^2} \right)} \left( M_D + M_{SD} \right) \frac{S'}{S'} \]

\[ M_D + M_{SD} = 4,521,984 + 2,649,600 = 7,171,584 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ f' = \frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 - \frac{16.27 \times 24.73}{223.9} \right) - \frac{7,171,584}{5,070} \]

\[ = 724.3 - 1,444.5 = -702.2 \text{ psi (C) } < -2,000 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e \left( 1 + \frac{e_c r}{r^2} \right)} \left( M_D + M_{SD} \right) \frac{S_b}{S_b} \]

\[ = \frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 + \frac{16.27 \times 20.27}{223.9} \right) + \frac{7,171,584}{6,186} \]

\[ = -2,247.4 + 1,159.3 = -1,088.1 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ < -2,000 \text{ psi (7.5 MPa } < 13.8 \text{ MPa), O.K.} \]

Stresses at Service Load (Step 11) Add the Effect of M_{SD} Due to Unshored Slab

(a) Midspan Section

\[ f' = \frac{P_e}{A_e \left( 1 - \frac{e_c r}{r^2} \right)} \left( M_D + M_{SD} \right) \frac{S'}{S'} - \frac{M_{CSSD} + M_L}{S'} \]
4.8 Design of Composite Post-Tensioned Prestressed Simply Supported Section

\[ M_D + M_{SD} = 7,171,584 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_{CSD} + M_L = 0 + 9,300,000 = 9,300,000 \]

From the previous stage, \( f' = -690.2 \) psi (C), \( f_b = -1088.1 \) psi (C). Stress at top fibers of precast section in composite action is

\[ f' = \frac{-690.2 - 9,300,000}{21,714} = \frac{-690.2 - 428.3}{9,300,000} = \frac{-1,118.5}{21,714} = -690.2 \text{ psi} \text{ (C)} \]
\[ f_b = \frac{-1088.1 + 9,300,000}{9,492} = \frac{-1088.1 + 979.8}{9,492} = -108.3 \text{ psi} \text{ (C)} \]

Modular ratio \( n = 0.77 \) from before. Stress at top fibers of slab after concrete hardened is

\[ f'_{cs} = \frac{9,300,000}{15,288} \times 0.77 = -468 \text{ psi} \text{ (C)} \]

stress at bottom fibers of the slab is

\[ f_{bcs} = \frac{9,300,000}{19,251} \times 0.77 = -372 \text{ psi} \text{ (C)} \]

(b) Support Section. Same kind of calculations as in previous step. Result is \( f' = 494.9 \) psi (T) and \( f_b = -1,731.6 \) psi (C).

Tendon Envelope

(a) Midspan Section

\[ a_{max} = 32.36 \text{ in.} \]
\[ a_{min} = 5.63 \text{ in.} \]

(b) Quarter Section

\[ M_D = 2,686,464 \]
\[ a_{min} = \frac{M_D}{P_l} = 4.22 \text{ in.} \]
\[ M_T = 12,355,248 \]
\[ a_{max} = \frac{M_T}{P_e} = 24.28 \text{ in.} \]

See Figure 4.36 for the tendon envelope and Figure 4.37 for the stress distribution. Figure 4.38 gives the anchorage zone stresses and the net moments along the depth of the beam.

![Figure 4.36 Prestressing tendon envelope.](image)
Chapter 4  Flexural Design of Prestressed Concrete Elements

All stresses are psi (1000 psi = 6.895 MPa)

\(<\) = compression; + = tension

(a) \(M_{p_t} + M_d\)

(b) \(M_{p_e} + M_d + M_{ad}\) (fresh concrete)

(c) \(M_{p_e} + M_d + M_{ad} + M_{cap}\) (fresh concrete)

(d)

Figure 4.37  Midspan concrete fiber stresses in Example 4.7. (a) Transfer. (b) Erection. (c) Topping cast. (d) Service-load stage.

Design of End-Block Anchorage

(a) Solution by Linear Elastic Method:

\[ P_t = 636,174 \text{ lb} \]

\[ e_r = 10 \text{ in.} \]

\[ f' = +119 \text{ psi (T)} \]

\[ f_b = -2,164 \text{ psi (C)} \]

(i) Bursting Crack Reinforcement

\[ h = 45 \text{ in.} \]
Figure 4.38  Anchorage zone elastic stresses and net moments in Example 4.7. (a) Anchorage zone stresses. Bracketed values are section widths (in.) along which anchorage stresses are acting. (b) Net bursting or splitting moments \( M_p - M_s \) at 5-in. depth intervals.

Use

\[
x = \frac{h}{3} = 15 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
T_b = \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{h - x} = \frac{2.15 \times 10^6}{45 - 15} = 71,670 \text{ lb (316 kN)}
\]

\[
A_s = \frac{T_b}{f_s} = \frac{71,670}{20,000} = 3.58 \text{ in}^2 (23.1 \text{ cm}^2)
\]

(ii) Spalling Crack Reinforcement

\[
T_{sp} = \frac{M_{\text{min}}}{h - x} = \frac{+0.04 \times 10^6}{45 - 15} = 1,330
\]

\[
A_s = \frac{T_{sp}}{20,000} = \frac{1,330}{20,000} = 0.07 \text{ in}^2
\]

Total reinforcement = 3.58 + 0.07 = 3.65 in\(^2\) (23.5 cm\(^2\))

Try #4 vertical reinforcement (12.7 mm dia.):

\[
\text{Number required} = \frac{3.65}{0.20 \times 2} = 9.13
\]

Arrangement of Strands. Use a 2 in. \( \times \) 2 in. (25 mm \( \times \) 25 mm) grid for arranging the distribution of strands. Eccentricities are:

\[
e_c = 16.27 \text{ in. (41.3 cm)}
\]

\[
e_s = 10.0 \text{ in. (25.4 cm)}
\]

The arrangement of the strands to develop the required tendon eccentricities are shown in Fig. 4.39(a).

The anchorage zone moments at the various planes at 5-in. intervals along the height of the section are given in Table 4.7.
Figure 4.39(a) Strand arrangement in Example 4.7. (a) Midspan ($e_c = 16.27$ in.). (b) End section ($e_a = 10.0$ in.).

(b) Solution by the Plastic Strut-and-Tie Method:

(1) Compute distance of the centroid of strands:

$$
= \frac{4(2) + 6(4) + 4(6) + 3(5.17) + 2(20.27) + 3(27.77)}{22} = 10.27 \text{ in.}
$$

(2) Determine concrete bearing capacity at the anchorage devices plane:

From Equation (4.15c), $P_{cr} = 1.2 A_{ps} (0.80 f_{ps}) = 1.2 A_{ps} (0.80 \times 270,000) = 259,200$ $A_{ps}$ lb

Row forces:

$P_{a1}, P_{a3} = 4(0.153)(259,200) = 158,630$ lb

$P_{a2} = 6(0.153)(259,200) = 237,936$ lb

Table 4.7 Anchorage Zone Moments for Example 4.7

<p>| Moment plane | Stress at | Concrete resist. force at | Moment $M_p$ | Moment $M_c$ | Net moment $(M_p - M_c)$ |
| dist. $d$ | Section width | plane $(d - 2.5)$ | (d - 2.5) | plane in col. (1) | plane in col. (1) | col. (6) - col. (5) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(in.)</th>
<th>(in.)</th>
<th>(psi)</th>
<th>(lb)</th>
<th>(in.-lb x 10^6)</th>
<th>(in.-lb x 10^6)</th>
<th>(in.-lb x 10^6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-2,164</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-2,037</td>
<td>237,040</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1,783</td>
<td>133,725</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+2.15</td>
<td>+2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1,530</td>
<td>53,550</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
<td>+4.42</td>
<td>+1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1,276</td>
<td>44,660</td>
<td>-6.19</td>
<td>+7.00</td>
<td>+0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1,022</td>
<td>35,770</td>
<td>-9.37</td>
<td>+9.80</td>
<td>+0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-769</td>
<td>26,915</td>
<td>-12.55</td>
<td>+12.76</td>
<td>+0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-515</td>
<td>25,750</td>
<td>-15.73</td>
<td>+15.80</td>
<td>+0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-216</td>
<td>20,880</td>
<td>-18.91</td>
<td>+18.95</td>
<td>+0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+119</td>
<td>9,520</td>
<td>-22.09</td>
<td>+22.15</td>
<td>+0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.8 Design of Composite Post-Tensioned Prestressed Simply Supported Section

**Figure 4.39(b)** Strut-and-Tie Forces in Example 4.7.

\[ P_{at} = P_{ab} = 8(0.153)(259,200) = 118,973 \text{ lb} \]

\[ P_{as} = 2(0.153)(259,200) = 79,315 \text{ lb} \]

Total ultimate compressive force = \(2(158,630 + 237,936 + 2(118,973) + 79,315 = 872,457 \text{ lb}\)

Total area of rigid bearing plates supporting the anchorage chucks:

\[ A_b = 20 \times 12 + 7 \times 16 = 352 \text{ in}^2 \]

\[ f_b = \frac{872,457}{352} = 2480 \text{ psi} \]

From Equations 4.16(a) and (b), the maximum allowable bearing pressure on the concrete is

\[ f_b \leq 0.7 f_a' \sqrt{A/A_f} \]

\[ f_b \leq 2.25 f_a' \]

Assume that the initial concrete strength at stressing is \(f_a' = 0.75 f_c'\)

\[ = 0.75 \times 5000 = 3750 \text{ psi} \]

Concentric area, \(A\), of concrete with the bearing plates \( (20 + 4)(12 + 4) + (7 + 4)(16 + 4) = 604 \text{ in}^2\), as defined for the concrete pyramid base in Section 4.5.3.3.

Note that the bearings are acting against the rectangular end block section.

Allowable bearing stress \(f_b = 0.7 \times 3750 \frac{604}{352} = 3439 \text{ psi} > 2480 \text{ psi, O.K.} \)

The bearing stress from Eq. 4.14 (b) does not control.
(3) Draw the strut-and-tie model and select the anchorage reinforcement. Choose distance \( a \), as in Figure 4.39(b) between two forces \( P_{a5} - P_{a6} = 7.5 \text{ in.} \) hence, depth \( a/2 \) ahead of the anchorages \( = \frac{7.5}{2} = 3.75 \text{ in.}, \) say 4 in.

Construct the strut-and-tie model assuming it to be as shown in Figure 4.39 (b). The tension tie forces range between 32,154 and 97,944 lb. Choosing the larger value of 97,944 lb and using No. 3 closed U-stirrups confining reinforcement within the anchorage zone area:

\[
\text{tensile strength per tie} = \phi f_y A_v
\]

\[
= 0.90 \times 60,000 \times 2(0.11) = 11,880 \text{ lb}
\]

required number of stirrup ties \( = \frac{97,944}{11,880} = 8.3 \), use nine No. 3 closed U-stirrups.

Trying No. 4 closed U-Stirrups in the compression zone adjacent to the anchorage devices plane, the applicable force can also be assumed in this case to be approximately 97,944 lb.

Tensile strength of one No. 4 confining tie \( = 0.90 \times 60,000 \times 2(0.20) = 21,600 \text{ lb.} \)

number of ties \( = \frac{97,944}{21,600} = 4.5 \), used five No. 4 closed U-stirrups.

Comparing solutions (a) and (b), adopt the following confining reinforcement in the anchorage zone over a distance \( h = 45 \text{ in.} \) from the beam end:

Use five No. 4 closed U-stirrups starting at \( 1\frac{1}{2} \text{ in.} \) from the anchorage devices plane and spaced at \( 1\frac{3}{4} \text{ in.} \) on centers, then continue with the nine stirrups at \( 5 \text{ in.} \) on centers over a distance of 40 in. It should be noted that if a smaller number of path lines are assumed in the idealization of the compression strut paths, the tension tie forces would have been larger resulting in more confining reinforcement.

4.9 ULTIMATE-STRENGTH FLEXURAL DESIGN

4.9.1 Cracking-Load Moment

As mentioned in Chapter 1, one of the fundamentals differences between prestressed and reinforced concrete is the continuous shift in the prestressed beams of the compressive C-line away from the tensile cgs line as the load increases. In other words, the moment arm of the internal couple continues to increase with the load without any appreciable change in the stress \( f_{pc} \) in the prestressing steel. As the flexural moment continues to increase when the full superimposed dead load and live load act, a loading stage is reached where the concrete compressive stress at the bottom-fibers reinforcement level of a simply supported beam becomes zero. This stage of stress is called the limit state of decompression: Any additional external load or overload results in cracking at the bottom face, where the modulus of rupture of concrete \( f_c \) is reached due to the cracking moment \( M_{cr} \) caused by the first cracking load. At this stage, a sudden increase in the steel stress takes place and the tension is dynamically transferred from the concrete to the steel.

Figure 4.40 relates load to steel stress at the various loading stages. It shows not only the load-deformation curve, including its abrupt change of slope at the first cracking load, but also the dynamic dislocation in the load-stress diagram at the first cracking load after decompression in a bonded prestressed beam. Beyond that dislocation point the beam can no longer be considered to behave elastically, and the rise in the compressive...
C-line stabilizes and stops so that the section starts to behave like a reinforced concrete section with constant moment resistance arm.

It is important to evaluate the first cracking load, since the section stiffness is reduced and hence an increase in deflection has to be considered. Also, the crack width has to be controlled in order to prevent reinforcement corrosion or leakage in liquid containers.

The concrete fiber stress at the tension face is

\[
f_b = \frac{P_x}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_{cr}}{S_b} = f_c, \tag{4.24}
\]

where the modulus of rupture \( f_c = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_c} \) and the cracking moment \( M_{cr} \) is the moment due to all loads at that load level \((M_D + M_{SD} + M_L)\). From Eq. 4.24,

\[
M_{cr} = f_c S_b + P_x \left( e + \frac{r^2}{c_b} \right) \tag{4.25}
\]

Note that the term \( r^2/c_b \) is the upper kern value \( k_c \) so that \( P_x r^2/c_b \) denotes the elastic moment required to raise the C-line from the prestressing steel level to the upper kern point giving zero tension at the bottom fibers. Consequently, the term \( f_c S_b \) is that additional moment required to cause the development of the first crack at the extreme tension fibers due to overload, such as at the bottom fibers at midspan of a simply supported beam.

### 4.9.2 Partial Prestressing

"Partial prestressing" is a controversial term, since it is not intended to denote that a beam is prestressed partially, as might seem to be the case. Rather, partial prestressing describes prestressed beams wherein limited cracking is permitted through the use of additional mild nonprestressed reinforcement to control the extent and width of the cracks.
and to assume part of the ultimate flexural moment strength. Two major advantages of partial prestressing are the efficient use of all constituent materials and the control of excessive camber due to the long-term creep of concrete under compression.

Reinforced concrete beams always have to be designed as underreinforced to ensure ductile failure by yielding of the reinforcement. Prestressed beams can be either underreinforced using a relatively small percentage of mild non-reinforced steel, leading to rupture of the tensile steel at failure, or essentially overreinforced, using a large percentage of steel, resulting in crushing of the concrete at the compression top fibers in a somewhat less ductile failure.

Another type of, say, premature failure occurs at the first cracking load level, where \( M_c \) approximates the nominal moment strength \( M_n \) of the section. This type of failure can occur in members that are prestressed and reinforced with very small amounts of steel, or in members that are concentrically prestressed with small amounts of steel, or in hollow members.

It is generally advisable to evaluate the magnitude of the cracking moment \( M_c \) in order to determine the reserve strength and overload limits that the designed section has.

### 4.9.3 Cracking Moment Evaluation

**Example 4.8**

Calculate the cracking moment \( M_c \) in the I-beam of Example 4.2, and evaluate the magnitude of the overload moment that the beam can tolerate at the modulus of rupture of concrete. Also, determine what safety factor the beam has against cracking due to overload. Given is \( f_c = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_{ct}} = 7.5 \sqrt{5,000} = 530 \text{ psi (3.7 MPa)} \).

**Solution:** From Example 4.2,

\[
P_e = 308,225 \text{ lb (1,371 kN)}
\]

\[
r^2/c_y = 187.5/18.84 = 9.95 \text{ in. (25.3 cm)}
\]

\[
S_b = 3.750 \text{ in.}^2 (61.451 \text{ cm}^2)
\]

\[
e = 14 \text{ in. (35.6 cm)}
\]

\[
M_D + M_{SD} = 2,490,638 \text{ in.-lb (281 kN-m)}
\]

\[
M_L = 7,605,000 \text{ in.-lb (859 kN-m)}
\]

From Equation 4.25,

\[
M_c = f_c S_b + P_e \left( e + \frac{r^2}{c_y} \right)
\]

\[
= 530 \times 3750 + 308,255(14 + 9.95)
\]

\[
= 9,370,207 \text{ in.-lb (1,509 kN-m)}
\]

\[
M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L = 2,490,638 + 7,605,000
\]

\[
= 10,095,638 \text{ in.-lb (1,141 kN-m)}
\]

Overload moment = \( M_T - M_c = 10,095,638 - 9,370,207 \)

\[
= 725,431 \text{ in.-lb (83 kN-m)}
\]

Since \( M_T \geq M_c \), the beam had tensile cracks at service load, as the design in Example 4.2 presupposed. The safety factor against cracking is given by

\[
\frac{M_c}{M_T} = \frac{9,370,207}{10,095,638} = 0.93
\]
4.10 Load and Strength Factors

If the service load $M_f$ is less than $M_{cr}$, the safety factor against cracking will be greater than 1, as in nonpartially prestressed members.

Note that where nonprestressed reinforcement is used to develop a partially pre-stressed section, the total factored moment $\phi M_f \geq 1.2 M_{cr}$, as required by the ACI code.

4.10 LOAD AND STRENGTH FACTORS

4.10.1 Reliability and Structural Safety of Concrete Components

Three developments in recent decades have majorly influenced present and future design procedures: the vast increase in the experimental and analytical evaluation of concrete elements, the probabilistic approach to the interpretation of behavior, and the digital computational tools available for rapid analysis of safety and reliability of systems. Until recently, most safety factors in design have had an empirical background based on local experience over an extended period of time. As additional experience is accumulated and more knowledge is gained from failures as well as familiarity with the properties of concrete, factors of safety are adjusted and in most cases lowered by the codifying bodies.

In 1956, Baker (Ref. 4.24) proposed a simplified method of safety factor determination, as shown in Table 4.8, based on probabilistic evaluation. This method expects the design engineer to make critical choices regarding the magnitudes of safety margins in a design. The method takes into consideration that different weights should be assigned to the various factors affecting a design. The weighted failure effects $W_i$ for the various factors of workmanship, loading conditions, results of failure, and resistance capacity are tabulated in the table.

The safety factor against failure is

$$ S.F. = 1.0 + \frac{\sum W_i}{10} \quad (4.26) $$

where the maximum total weighted value of all parameters affecting performance equals 10.0. In other words, for the worst combination of conditions affecting structural performance, the safety factor S.F. = 2.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighted Failure Effect</th>
<th>Maximum $W_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Results of failure: 1.0 to 4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious, either human or economic</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less serious, only the exposure of nondamageable material</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Workmanship: 0.5 to 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast in place</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast “factory manufactured”</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Load conditions: 1.0 to 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(high for simple spans and overload possibilities; low for load combinations such as live loads and wind)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Importance of member in structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(beams may use lower value than columns)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Warning of failure</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Depreciation of strength</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$ Total = \sum W_i = 10.0 $$

$$ S.F. = 1.0 + \frac{\sum W_i}{10} $$

Table 4.8 Baker’s Weighted Safety Factor
This method assumes adequate prior performance data similar to a design in progress. Such data in many instances are not readily available for determining safe weighted values $W_i$ in Eq. 4.26. Additionally, if the weighted factors are numerous, a probabilistic determination of them is more difficult to codify. Hence, an undue value-judgment burden is probably placed on the design engineer if the full economic benefit of the approach is to be achieved.

Another method with a smaller number of probabilistic parameters deals primarily with loads and resistances. Its approaches for steel and concrete structures are generally similar: both the load-and-resistance-factor-design method (LRFD) and first-order second-moment method (FOSM) propose general reliability procedures for evaluating probability-based factored load design criteria (see Refs. 4.25 and 4.26). They are intended for use in proportioning structural members on the basis of load types such that the resisting strength levels are greater than the factored load or moment distributions. As these approaches are basically load oriented, they reduce the number of individual variables that have to be considered, such as those listed in Table 4.8.

Assume that $\phi_i$ represents the resistance factors of a concrete element and that $\gamma_i$ represents the load factors for the various types of load. If $R_n$ is the nominal resistance of the concrete element and $W_i$ represents the load effect for various types of superimposed load,

$$\phi_i R_n \geq \gamma_i W_i \quad (4.27)$$

where $i$ represents the load in question, such as dead, live, wind, earthquake, or time-dependent effects.

Figures 4.41(a) and (b) show a plot of the separate frequency distributions of the actual load $W$ and the resistance $R$ with means values $\bar{R}$ and $\bar{W}$. Figure 4.41(c) gives the two distributions superimposed and intersecting at point C.

It is recognized that safety and reliable integrity of the structure can be expected to exist if the load effect $W$ falls at a point to the left of intersection $C$ on the $W$ curve, and to the right of intersection $C$ on the resistance curve $R$. Failure, on the other hand, would be expected to occur if the load effect or the resistance fall within the shaded area in Fig. 4.41(c). If $\beta$ is a safety index, then

$$\beta = \frac{\bar{R} - \bar{W}}{\sqrt{\sigma_R^2 + \sigma_W^2}} \quad (4.28)$$
where $\sigma_R$ and $\sigma_W$ are the standard deviations of the resistance and the load, respectively.

A plot of the safety index $\beta$ for a hypothetical structural system against the probability of failure of the system is shown in Fig. 4.42. One can observe that such a probability is reduced as the difference between the mean resistance $\bar{R}$ and load effect $\bar{W}$ is increased, or the variability of resistance as measured by their standard deviations $\sigma_R$ and $\sigma_W$ is decreased, thereby reducing the shaded area under intersection $C$ in Fig. 4.41(c).

The extent of increasing the difference ($\bar{R} - \bar{W}$) or decreasing the degree of scatter of $\sigma_R$ or $\sigma_W$ is naturally dictated by economic considerations. It is economically unreasonable to design a structure for zero failure, particularly since types of risk other than load are an accepted matter, such as the risks of severe earthquake, hurricane, volcanic erup-
tion, and fire. Safety factors and corresponding load factors would thus have to disregard those types or levels of load, stress, and over stress whose probability of occurrence is very low. In spite of this, it is still possible to achieve reliable safety conditions by choosing such a safety index value $\beta$ through a proper choice of $R_a$ and $W_i$ using the appropriate resistance factors $\phi_i$ and load factors $\gamma_i$ in Equation 4.27. A safety index $\beta$ having the value 1.75 to 3.2 for concrete structures is suggested where the lower value accounts for load contributions from wind and earthquake.

If the factored external load is expressed as $U_i$, then $\sum \gamma_i W = U_i$ for the different loading combinations.

In cases where other load combinations, such as snow or lateral pressure are not present, a typical $U_i$ value recommended in the ASCE-7 Standard (Ref. 4.14) and IBC 2000 (Ref. 4.17) for maximum $U_i$ to be used in Equation 4.2, that is, $\phi_i R_a \geq \gamma_i W_i \geq U_{i,\text{max}}$, as follows:

$$U = \phi_i R_a = \text{Maximum } [1.2D + 1.6L]$$

(4.29)

As more substantive records of performance are compiled, the details of the foregoing approach to reliability, safety, and reserve strength evaluation of structural components will be more universally accepted and extended beyond the treatment of the component elements to the treatment of the total structural system, such as described in Table 4.8.

4.11 ACI LOAD FACTORS AND SAFETY MARGINS

4.11.1 General Principles

The general concepts of safety and reliability of performance presented in the preceding section are inherent in a more simplified but less accurate fashion in the ACI code. The load factors $\gamma$ and the strength reduction factors $\phi$ give an overall safety factor based on load types such that
4.11 ACI Load Factors and Safety Margins

\[ S.F. = \frac{\gamma_D D + \gamma_L L}{D + L} \times \frac{1}{\phi} \]  

(4.30)

where \( \phi \) is the strength reduction factor and \( \gamma_D \) and \( \gamma_L \) are the respective load factors for the dead load \( D \) and the live load \( L \). Basically, a single common factor is used for dead load and another for live load. Variation in resistance capacity is accounted for in \( \phi \). Hence, the method is a simplified empirical approach to safety and the reliability of structural performance that is not economically efficient for every case and not fully adequate in other instances, such as combinations of dead and wind loads.

The ACI factors are termed load factors, as they restrict the estimation of reserve strength to the loads only as compared to the other parameters listed in Table 4.8. The estimated service or working loads are magnified by the coefficients, such as a coefficient of 1.2 for dead loads and 1.6 for live load, with the basic combination of vertical gravity loads is dead load plus live load. The dead load, which constitutes the weight of the structure and other relatively permanent features, can be estimated more accurately than the live load. The live load is estimated using the weight of nonpermanent loads, such as people and furniture. The transient nature of live loads makes them difficult to estimate more accurately. Therefore, a higher load factor is normally used for live loads than for dead loads.

The philosophy used for combining the various load components for earthquake loading is essentially the same as that used for wind loading.

4.11.2 ACI Load Factors Equations

The ACI 318 Building Code for concrete structures is an international code. As such, it has to conform to the International Building Code, IBC 2000, IBC 2003 (Ref. 4.17) and be consistent with the ASCE-7 Standard on Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (Ref. 4.14). These two standards contain the same probabilistic values for the expected safety resistance factors \( \phi, R \), where \( \phi \) is a strength reduction factor, depending on the type of stress being considered in the design, namely, flexure or shear or compression, etc.

Thus, the new ACI design loads \( U \) (factored loads) have to be at least equal to the values obtained from Equations 4.31(a) through 4.31(g). The effect of one or more loads not acting simultaneously has to be investigated. Structures are seldom subjected to dead and live load alone. The following equations present combinations of loads for situations in which wind, earthquake, or lateral pressures due to earthfill or fluids should be considered:
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\[
U = 1.4(D + F) \\
U = 1.2(D + F + T) + 1.6(L + H) + 0.5(L, \text{ or } S \text{ or } R) \\
U = 1.2D + 1.6(L, \text{ or } S \text{ or } R) + (1.0L \text{ or } 0.8W) \\
U = 1.2D + 1.6W + 0.5L + 1.0(L, \text{ or } S \text{ or } R) \\
U = 1.2D + 1.0E + 1.0L + 0.2S \\
U = 0.9D + 1.6W + 1.6H \\
U = 0.9D + 1.0E + 1.6H
\]

(4.31a)  
(4.31b)  
(4.31c)  
(4.31d)  
(4.31e)  
(4.31f)  
(4.31g)

where

\[D = \text{dead load; } E = \text{earthquake load; } F = \text{lateral fluid pressure load & maximum height;}\]
\[H = \text{load due to the weight and lateral pressure of soil and water in soil;}\]
\[L = \text{live load; } L_r = \text{roof load; } R = \text{rain load; } S = \text{snow load;}\]
\[T = \text{self-straining force such as creep, shrinkage and temperature effects;}\]
\[W = \text{wind load.}\]

It should be noted that the philosophy used for combining the various load components for earthquake loading is essentially similar to that used for wing loading.

**Exceptions to the values in these expressions**

(a) The load factor on \(L\) in Eq. 4.31(c) to 4.31(e) is allowed to be reduced to 0.5 except for garages, areas occupied as places of public assembly, and all areas where the live load \(L\) is greater than 100 lb/ft\(^2\).

(b) Where wind load \(W\) has not been reduced by a directionality factor, the code permits to use 1.3\(W\) in place of 1.6\(W\) in Eq. 4.31(d) and 4.31(f).

(c) Where earthquake load \(E\) is based on service-level seismic forces, 1.4\(E\) shall be used in place of 1.0\(E\) in Eq. 4.31(e) and 4.31(g).

(d) The load factor on \(H\) is to be set equal to zero in Eq. 4.31(f) and Eq. 4.31(g) if the structural action due to \(H\) counteracts that due to \(W\) or \(E\). Where lateral earth pressure provides resistance to structural actions from other forces, it should not be included in \(H\) but shall be included in the design resistance.

Due regard has to be given to sign in determining \(U\) for combinations of loadings, as one type of loading may produce effects of opposite sense to that produced by another type. The load combinations with 0.9\(D\) are specifically included for the case where a higher dead load reduces the effects of other loads. Consideration also has to be given to various combinations of loading to determine the most critical design condition, particularly when strength is dependent on more than one load effect, such as strength for combined flexure and axial load or shear strength in members with axial load. In cases where special circumstances require greater reliance on the strength of particular members than encountered in usual practice, the ACI Code allows some reduction in the stipulated strength reduction factors \(\phi\), or an increase in the stipulated load factors \(U\).

**4.11.2.1 Reduction in Live Loads**

For large areas, it is reasonable to assume that the full intensity of live load does not cover the entire floor area. Hence, members having an influence area of 400 ft\(^2\) (37.2 m\(^2\)) or more can be designed for a reduced live load from the following equation:

---

The content above is a direct transcription of the text from the image. It includes mathematical expressions and explanatory text, with proper formatting to maintain readability. The text discusses the derivation and application of load factors for flexural design, with a focus on earthquake and wind loads, and includes exceptions and considerations for various load combinations.
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\[ L = L_0 \left( 0.25 + \frac{15}{\sqrt{A_f}} \right) \]  

(4.32a)

where

\( L \) = Reduced design live load per square foot of area supported by the member,
\( L_0 \) = Unreduced design live load per square foot of area,
\( A_f \) = Influence area: For other than cantilevered construction, \( A_f \) is the tributary area for a column; \( A_f \) is tributary area for beams, or equal area for a two-way slab (Ref. 4.17).

In SI units, Equation 4.31 becomes

\[ L = L_0 \left( 0.25 + \frac{4.57}{\sqrt{A_f}} \right) \]  

(4.32b)

where \( L, L_0, \) and \( A_f \) are in square meters of area.

The reduced design live load cannot be less than 50 percent of the unit live load \( L_0 \) for members supporting one floor or less than 40 percent of the unit live load \( L_0 \) for members supporting two or more floors. For live loads of 100 lb/ft\(^2\) (4.79 kN/m\(^2\)) or less, no reduction can be made for areas used as places of public assembly, except that in the case of garages for passenger cars a reduction of up to 20 percent can be made. Live loads in all other cases not stipulated by the code cannot be reduced except as accepted by the jurisdictional authority.

4.11.3 Design Strength vs. Nominal Strength: Strength-Reduction Factor \( \phi \)

The strength of a particular structural unit calculated using the current established procedures is termed nominal strength. For example, in the case of a beam, the resisting moment capacity of the section calculated using the equations of equilibrium and the properties of concrete and steel is called the nominal strength moment \( M_n \) of the section. This nominal strength is reduced using a strength reduction factor \( \phi \) to account for inaccuracies in construction, such as in the dimensions or position of reinforcement or variations in properties. The reduced strength of the member is defined as the design strength of the member.

For a beam, the design moment strength \( \phi M_n \) should be at least equal to, or better, slightly greater than, the external factored moment \( M_u \) for the worst condition of factored load \( U \). The factor \( \phi \) varies for the different types of behavior and for the different types of structural elements. For beams in flexure, for instance, \( \phi = 0.9 \).

For tied columns that carry dominant compressive loads, the factor \( \phi \) equals 0.65. The smaller strength-reduction factor used for columns is due to the structural importance of the columns in supporting the total structure compared to other members, and to guard against progressive collapse and brittle failure with no advance warning of collapse. Beams, on the other hand, are designed to undergo excessive deflections before failure. Hence, the inherent capability of the beam for advanced warning of failure permits the use of a higher strength reduction factor or resistance factor.

Table 4.9 summarizes the resistance factors \( \phi \) for various structural elements as given in the ACI code. A comparison of these values to those given in Ref. 4.24 indicates that the \( \phi \) values in this table, as well as the load factors of Equation 4.31, are in some cases more conservative than they should be. In cases of earthquakes, wind, and shear forces, the probability of load magnitude and reliability of performance are subject to higher randomness, and hence a higher coefficient of variation than the other types of loading.
Table 4.9  Resistance or Strength Reduction Factor $\phi$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Element</th>
<th>Factor $\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam or slab: bending or flexure</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns with ties</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns with spirals</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns carrying very small axial loads (refer to Chapter 9 for more details)</td>
<td>0.65-0.9, or 0.70-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam: shear and torsion</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AASHTO STRENGTH-REDUCTION FACTORS

Flexure: For factory-produced precast prestressed concrete members, $\phi = 1.0$
For post-tensioned cast-in-place concrete members, $\phi = 0.95$

Shear and Torsion: Reduction factor for prestressed members, $\phi = 0.90$
See LRFD and Standard AASHTO other factors in Chapter 12.

4.12 LIMIT STATE IN FLEXURE AT ULTIMATE LOAD IN BONDED MEMBERS: DECOMPRESSION TO ULTIMATE LOAD

4.12.1 Introduction

As discussed in Section 4.9.1, the prestressed concrete beam starts to behave like a reinforced concrete beam when the value of the flexural moment is well beyond the cracking moment $M_c$ and the total service load moment $M_T$. The ultimate theory in flexure and the principles and concepts underlying it are thus equally applicable to prestressed concrete. A detailed fundamental treatment of this subject is given in chapter 5 of Ref. 4.2. The same fundamental format of equations will be given here, modified to reflect the characteristics of the different reinforcing materials and the geometry peculiar to prestressed concrete.

Cracking develops when the tensile stress in the concrete at the extreme fibers of the critical section exceeds the maximum stress level $f_c \equiv 7.5 \sqrt{f'_c}$. Prior to attaining this level, the overload causes the compressive stress in the concrete at the level of the pre-stressing steel to continually decrease until it becomes zero at a load level termed the decompression load. The stress level in the tendons is correspondingly termed the decompression stress (see Figures 4.3 and 4.43).

Some investigators define the decompression load as the load at which the first crack appears at the extreme fibers of the critical section, such as the bottom midspan fibers of a simply supported beam. A minor difference results in the analysis using this definition of the decompression load.

To follow the loading step-by-step states, suppose that the effective prestress $f_{pe}$ at service load due to all loads results in a strain $\epsilon_1$ such that

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_{pe} = \frac{f_{pe}}{E_{ps}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.33a)

At decompression, i.e., when the compressive stress in the surrounding concrete at the level of the pre-stressing tendon is neutralized by the tensile stress due to overload, a decompression strain $\epsilon_{decomp} = \epsilon_2$ results such that
Figures 4.43 and 4.44 illustrate the stress distribution at and after the decompression stage where the behavior of the prestressed beam starts to resemble that of a reinforced concrete beam.

As the load approaches the limit state at ultimate, the additional strain $\varepsilon_2$ in the steel reinforcement follows the linear triangular distribution shown in Fig. 4.44(b), where the maximum compressive strain at the extreme compression fibers is $\varepsilon_{c} = 0.003$ in./in. In such a case, the steel strain increment due to overload above the decompression load is

$$\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_{\text{decomp}} = \frac{P_c}{A_s E_s} \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{r^2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.33b)

where $c$ is the depth of the neutral axis. Consequently, the total strain in the prestressing steel at this stage becomes

$$\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.33c)

The corresponding stress $f_{ps}$ at nominal strength can be easily obtained from the stress-strain diagram of the steel supplied by the producer.

4.12.2 The Equivalent Rectangular Block and Nominal Moment Strength

It is important to be able to evaluate the reserve strength in the prestressed beam up to failure, as discussed in Chapter 1. Hence, the total design would have to incorporate the moment strength of the prestressed section in addition to the service-load level checks described in detail in Sections 4.1 through 4.7. The following assumptions are made in defining the behavior of the section at ultimate load:

1. The strain distribution is assumed to be linear. This assumption is based on Bernoulli’s hypothesis that plane sections remain plane before bending and perpendicular to the neutral axis after bending.

2. The strain in the steel and the surrounding concrete is the same prior to cracking of the concrete or yielding of the steel as after such cracking or yielding.

3. Concrete is weak in tension. It cracks at an early stage of loading at about 10 percent of its compressive strength limit. Consequently, concrete in the tension zone of
Figure 4.44 Stress and strain distribution across beam depth. (a) Beam cross section. (b) Strains. (c) Actual stress block. (d) Assumed equivalent stress block.
the section is neglected in the flexural analysis and design computations, and the tension reinforcement is assumed to take the total tensile force.

To satisfy the equilibrium of the horizontal forces, the compressive force \( C \) in the concrete and the tensile force \( T \) in the steel should balance each other—that is,

\[ C = T \]  

(4.34)

The terms in Figure 4.44 are defined as follows:

- \( b \) = width of the beam at the compression side
- \( d \) = depth of the beam measured from the extreme compression fiber to the centroid of steel area
- \( h \) = total depth of the beam

4.12.3 Strain Limits Method for Analysis and Design

4.12.3.1 General Principles

In this approach, sometimes referred to as the "unified method," since it is equally applicable to flexural analysis of prestressed concrete elements, the nominal flexural strength of a concrete member is reached when the net compressive strain in the extreme compression fibers reaches the ACI code-assumed limit 0.003 in./in. It also stipulates that when the net tensile strain in the extreme tension steel, \( e_n \), is sufficiently large, as discussed in the previous section, at a value equal to or greater than 0.005 in./in., the behavior is fully ductile. The concrete beam section is characterized as tension-controlled, with ample warning of failure as denoted by excessive cracking and deflection.

If the net tensile strain in the extreme tension fibers, \( e_n \), is small, such as in compression members, being equal to or less than a compression-controlled strain limit, a brittle mode of failure is expected, with little warning of such an impending failure. Flexural members are usually tension-controlled. Compression members are usually compression-controlled. However, some sections, such as those subjected to small axial loads, but large bending moments, the net tensile strain, \( e_n \), in the extreme tensile fibers, will have an intermediate or transitional value between the two strain limit states, namely, between the compression-controlled strain limit \( e_c = f_y/E_y = 60,000/29 \times 10^6 = 0.002 \) in./in., and the tension-controlled strain limit \( e_t = 0.005 \) in./in. Figure 4.45 delineates these three zones as well as the variation in the strength reduction factors applicable to the total range of behavior.

For the tension-controlled state, the strain limit \( e_t = 0.005 \) corresponds to reinforcement ratio \( \rho_b = 0.63 \), where \( \rho_b \) is the balanced reinforcement ratio for the balanced strain \( e_s = 0.002 \) in the extreme tensile reinforcement. The net tensile strain \( e_t = 0.005 \) for a tension-controlled state is a single value that applies to all types of reinforcement regardless whether mild steel or prestressing steel. High reinforcement ratios that produce a net tensile strain less than 0.005 result in a \( \phi \)-factor value lower than 0.90, resulting in less economical sections. Therefore, it is more efficient to add compression reinforcement if necessary or deepen the section in order to make the strain in the extreme tension reinforcement, \( e_n \geq 0.005 \).

Variation of \( \phi \) as a Function of Strain. Variation of the \( \phi \) value for the range of strain between \( e_s = 0.002 \) and \( e_t = 0.005 \) can be linearly interpolated to give the following expressions,

Tied Sections:

\[ 0.65 \leq [\phi = 0.48 + 83 e_s] \leq 0.90 \]  

(4.35a)
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Figure 4.45 Strain limit zones and variation of strength reduction factor $\phi$ with the net Tensile Strain $\varepsilon_t$.

Spirally-reinforced sections:

$$0.70 \leq [\phi = 0.57 + 67 \varepsilon_t] \leq 0.90$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.35b)

Variation of $\phi$ as a Function of Neutral Axis depth Ratio $c/d_t$. Equations 4.35(a) and 4.35(b) can be expressed in terms of the ratio of the neutral axis depth $c$ to the effective depth $d_t$ of the layer of reinforcement closest to the tensile face of the section as follows,

Tied sections:

$$0.65 \leq \left( \phi = 0.23 + \frac{0.25}{c/d_t} \right) \leq 0.90$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.36a)

Spirally-reinforced sections:

$$0.70 \leq \left( \phi = 0.37 + \frac{0.20}{c/d_t} \right) \leq 0.90$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.36b)

For balanced strain, where the reinforcement at the tension side yields at the same time as the concrete crushes at the compression face ($f_t = f_c$), the neutral axis depth ratio for a limit strain $\varepsilon_t = 0.002$ in./in. can be defined as

$$\frac{c_t}{d_t} = \left( \frac{87,000}{87,000 + f_c} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.37)

In summary, when the net tensile strain in the extreme tension reinforcement is sufficiently large (equal or greater than 0.005), the section is defined as tension-controlled, where ample warning of failure with extensive deflection occurs.

4.12.4 Negative Moment Redistribution in Continuous Beams

The Code permits decreasing the negative elastic moment at the supports for continuous members by not more than [1000 $\varepsilon_t$] percent, with a maximum of 20 percent. The reason is that for ductile members, plastic hinge regions develop at points of maximum moment.
and cause a shift in the elastic moment diagram. In many cases, the result is a reduction of the negative moment and a corresponding increase in the positive moment. The redistribution of the negative moment as permitted by the code can only be used when $\varepsilon_i$ is equal or greater than about 0.0075 in./in. at the section at which the moment is reduced. Redistribution is inapplicable in the case of slab systems proportioned by the direct design method (DDM).

Figure 4.46 shows the permissible moment redistribution for minimum rotational capacity. A minimum strain of 0.0075 at the tensile face is comparable to the case where the reinforcement ratio for the combined prestressed and mild steel reinforcement has a reinforcement index of $\omega$ not exceeding 0.24$\beta_1$ as an upper limit for ductile design. A maximum strain 0.005 for the tension-controlled state is comparable to a reinforcement index $\omega_p = 0.32 \beta_1$ or $\omega_T = 0.36 \beta_1$, as described in the Code commentary.

The ACI 318 Code stipulates a maximum strength reduction factor $\phi = 0.90$ for tension-controlled bending, to be used in computing the design strength of flexural members. This corresponds to neutral axis depth ratio $c/d_t = 0.375$ for a strain $\varepsilon_i = 0.005$, with a lower $c/d_t$ ratio recommended. For a useful redistribution of moment in continuous members, this neutral axis depth ratio should be considerably lower, so that the net tensile strain is within the range of $\varepsilon_i = 0.0075$, giving a 7.5% redistribution, and 0.020, giving 20% redistribution, as shown in Figure 4.46. The tensile strain in the extreme tensile reinforcement has the value

$$\varepsilon_i = 0.003 \left( \frac{d_t}{c} - 1 \right) \quad (4.38a)$$

As an example, if $d_t = 20$ in. and neutral axis depth $c = 5.1$ in.,

$$\varepsilon_i = 0.003 \left( \frac{20}{5.1} - 1 \right) = 0.003 \left( \frac{20}{5.1} - 1 \right) = 0.0088 \text{ in./in.} > 0.0075 \text{ in./in. minimum value for inelastic redistribution to be applied.}$$

In this case, the maximum allowable moment redistribution = 1000 $\varepsilon_i = 8.8\%$

This gives a net reduction in the negative moment value = (100 - 8.8) = 91.2%. A 20% maximum redistribution is approximately $0.24\beta_1$, as in previous codes. This limit can be represented by a reinforcement index relationship for bonded prestressed concrete members as follows:

$$\omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') \leq 0.24\beta_1 \quad (4.38b)$$
Although the code allows a maximum redistribution of 20% or 1000 \( \varepsilon_r \) it is more reasonable to limit the redistribution percentage to about 10-15 percent. Summarizing, the ACI 318-02 code stipulates that a redistribution (reduction) of the moments at supports of continuous flexural members \textit{not to exceed} 1000 \( \varepsilon_r \) percent, with a maximum of 20 percent, as seen in Figure 4.46, while increasing the positive midspan moment accordingly. But inelastic moment redistribution should only be made when \( \varepsilon_r \) is equal or greater than 0.0075 at the section for which moment is reduced. An adjustment in one span should also be applied to all the other spans in flexure, shear and bar cutoffs.

It should be noted that the total amount of prestressed and non-prestressed reinforcement should be adequate to develop a factored load of at least 1.2 times the cracking load computed on the basis of the modulus of rupture \( f_c \). This provision in ACI 318 Code is permitted to be waived for (a) Two-way, unbonded post-tensioned slabs; and (b) Flexural members with shear and flexural strength at least twice the load level causing the first cracking moment \( M_{cr} \).

### 4.12.5 Nominal Moment Strength of Rectangular Sections.

The actual distribution of the compressive stress in a section at failure has the form of a rising parabola, as shown in Figure 4.44(c). It is time-consuming to evaluate the volume of the compressive stress block if it has a parabolic shape. An equivalent rectangular stress block due to Whitney can be used with ease and without loss of accuracy to calculate the compressive force and hence the flexural moment strength of the section. This equivalent stress block has a depth \( a \) and an average compressive strength \( 0.85f'_c \). As seen from Figure 4.44(d), the value of \( a = \beta_1 c \) is determined by using a coefficient \( \beta_1 \) such that the area of the equivalent rectangular block is approximately the same as that of the parabolic compressive block, resulting in a compressive force \( C \) of essentially the same value in both cases.

The value \( 0.85f'_c \) for the average stress of the equivalent compressive block is based on the core test results of concrete in the structure at a minimum age of 28 days. Based on exhaustive experimental tests, a maximum allowable strain of 0.003 in./in. was adopted by the ACI as a safe limiting value. Even though several forms of stress blocks, including the trapezoidal, have been proposed to date, the simplified equivalent rectangular block is accepted as the standard in the analysis and design of reinforced concrete. The behavior of the steel is assumed to be elastoplastic.

Using all the preceding assumptions, the stress distribution diagram shown in Figure 4.44(c) can be redrawn as shown in Figure 4.44(d). One can easily deduce that the compression force \( C \) can be written 0.85\( f'_c \) \( ba \)—that is, the volume of the compressive block at or near the ultimate when the tension steel has yielded (\( \varepsilon_r > \varepsilon_y \)). The tensile force \( T \) can be written as \( A_{ps} f_{ps} \); thus, the equilibrium Equation 4.34, equating \( C \) and \( T \), can be rewritten as

\[
A_{ps} f_{ps} = 0.85f'_c ba
\]  
(4.39)

A little algebra yields

\[
a = \beta_1 c = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps}}{0.85f'_c b}
\]  
(4.40)

The nominal moment strength is obtained by multiplying \( C \) or \( T \) by the moment arm \( (d_p - a/2) \), yielding

\[
M_{n} = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right)
\]  
(4.41a)

where \( d_p \) is the distance from the compression fibers to the center of the prestressed reinforcement. The steel percentage \( \rho_p = A_{ps}/bd_p \) gives nominal strength of the prestressing steel only as follows
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\[ M_n = \rho_p f_{ps} bd c^2 \left(1 - 0.59 \rho_p \frac{f_{ps}}{f'_c}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.41b)

If \( \omega_p \) is the reinforcement index = \( \rho_p (f_{ps}/f'_c) \), Equation 4.41b becomes

\[ M_n = \rho_p f_{ps} bd c^2 (1 - 0.59 \omega_p) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.41c)

The contribution of the mild steel tension reinforcement should be similarly treated, so that the depth \( a \) of the compressive block is

\[ a = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y}{0.85 f'_c b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.42a)

If \( c = a/\beta_1 \), the strain at the level of the mild steel is (Fig. 4.44)

\[ \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_c \left(\frac{d - c}{c}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.42b)

Equation 4.41(b), for rectangular sections but with mild tension steel and no compression steel accounted for, becomes

\[ M_n = \rho_p f_{ps} bd c^2 \left(1 - 0.59 \rho_p \frac{f_{ps}}{f'_c}\right) + \rho f_y bd c^2 \left(1 - 0.59 \frac{f_y}{f'_c}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.43a)

or can be rewritten as either

\[ M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left\{1 - 0.59 \left(\omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} \omega\right)\right\} + A_s f_y \left\{1 - 0.59 \left(\frac{d_p}{d_p} \omega_p + \omega\right)\right\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.43b)

where \( \omega = \rho(f_{ps}/f'_c) \), or

\[ M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left(d_p - \frac{a}{2}\right) + A_s f_y \left(d - \frac{a}{2}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.43c)

The contribution from compression reinforcement can be taken into account provided it has been found to have yielded,
Figure 4.47 Strain, stress, and forces across beam depth of rectangular section. (a) Beam section. (b) Strain. (c) Stresses and forces.

\[ a = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y - A'_s f_y}{0.85 f'_c b} \]  

(4.44)

where \( b \) is the section width of the compression face of the beam.

Taking moments about the center of gravity of the compressive block in Figure 4.47, the nominal moment strength in Equation 4.43b becomes

\[ M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_y \left( d - \frac{a}{2} - d' \right) + A'_s f_y \left( \frac{a}{2} - d' \right) \]  

(4.45)

4.12.5.1 Nominal Moment Strength of Flanged Sections. When the compression flange thickness \( h_f \) is less than the neutral axis depth \( c \) and equivalent rectangular block depth \( a \), the section can be treated as a flanged section as in Figure 4.48. From the figure,

\[ T_p + T_z = T_{pw} + T_{pf} \]  

(4.46)

where
- \( T_p \) = total prestressing force = \( A_{ps} f_{ps} \)
- \( T_z \) = ultimate force in the nonprestressed steel = \( A_s f_y \)
- \( T_{pw} \) = part of the total force in the tension reinforcement required to develop the web = \( A_{pw} f_{ps} \)
- \( T_{pf} \) = part of the total force in the tension reinforcement required to develop the flange = \( C_f = 0.85 f'_c (b - b_w) h_f \)
- \( C_w = 0.85 f'_c b_w a \)

Substituting in Equation 4.46, we obtain

\[ T_{pw} = A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y - 0.85 f'_c (b - b_w) h_f \]  

(4.47)

Summing up all forces in Figures 4.48(c) and (d), we have

\[ T_{pw} + T_{pf} = C_w + C_f \]

giving

\[ a = \frac{A_{pw} f_{ps}}{0.85 f'_c b_w} \]  

(4.48a)

or

\[ a = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y - 0.85 f'_c (b - b_w) h_f}{0.85 f'_c b_w} \]  

(4.48b)
Eq. 4.45 for a beam with compression reinforcement can be rewritten to give the nominal moment strength for a flanged section where the neutral axis falls outside the flange and \( a > \frac{h_f}{2} \) as follows, taking moments about the center of the prestressing steel:

\[
M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_y (d - d_p) + 0.85f'(b - b_w)h_f \left( d_p - \frac{h_f}{2} \right) \tag{4.49a}
\]

The design moment in all cases would be

\[
M_d = \phi M_n \tag{4.49b}
\]

where \( \phi = 0.90 \) for flexure.

In order to determine whether the neutral axis falls outside the flange, requiring a flanged section analysis, one has to determine, as discussed in Ref. 4.2, where the total compressive force \( C_n \) is larger or smaller than the total tensile force \( T_n \). If \( T_p + T_s \) in Figure 4.48 is larger than \( C_n \), the neutral axis falls outside the flange and the section has to be treated as a flanged section. Otherwise, it should be treated as a rectangular section of the width \( b \) of the compression flange.

Another method of determining whether the section can be considered flanged is to calculate the value of the equivalent rectangular block depth \( a \) from Eq. 4.48b, thereby determining the neutral axis depth \( c = \alpha / \beta \).

### 4.12.5.2 Determination of Prestressing Steel Nominal Failure Stress \( f_{ps} \)

The value of the stress \( f_{ps} \) of the prestressing steel at failure is not readily available. However, it can be determined by strain compatibility through the various loading stages up to the limit state at failure. Such a procedure is required if

\[
f_{pe} = \frac{P_e}{A_{ps}} < 0.50f_{pu} \tag{4.50a}
\]

Approximate determination is allowed by the ACI 318 building code provided that

\[
f_{pe} = \frac{P_e}{A_{ps}} \approx 0.50f_{pu} \tag{4.50b}
\]

with separate equations for \( f_{pe} \) given for bonded and nonbonded members.
**Bonded Tendons.** The empirical expression for bonded members is

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_p}{\beta_1 \left( \frac{f_{pu}}{f_p} \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') \right) \left( \frac{f_{pu}}{f_p} \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') \right) } \right) \]  

(4.51)

where the reinforcement index for the compression nonprestressed reinforcement is \( \omega' = \rho' \left( f/f'_c \right) \). If the compression reinforcement is taken into account when calculating \( f_{ps} \) by Eq. 4.51, the term \( \left[ \rho_p(f_{pu}/f'_c) + (d/d_p)(\omega - \omega') \right] \) should not be less than 0.17 and \( d' \) should not be greater than 0.15d. Also,

\[ \gamma_p = 0.55 \text{ for } f_{ps}/f_{pu} \text{ not less than } 0.80 \]

\[ = 0.40 \text{ for } f_{ps}/f_{pu} \text{ not less than } 0.85 \]

\[ = 0.28 \text{ for } f_{ps}/f_{pu} \text{ not less than } 0.90 \]

The value of the factor \( \gamma_p \) is based on the criterion that \( f_{ps} = 0.80 f_{pu} \) for high-strength prestressing bars, 0.85 for stress-relieved strands, and 0.90 for low-relaxation strands.

**Unbonded Tendons.** For a span-to-depth ratio of 35 or less,

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} + 10,000 + \frac{f'_c}{100 \rho_p} \]  

(4.52a)

where \( f_{ps} \) shall not be greater than \( f_{pu} \) or \( (f_{pu} + 60,000) \).

For a span-to-depth ratio greater than 35,

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} + 10,000 + \frac{f'_c}{300 \rho_p} \]  

(4.52b)

where \( f_{ps} \) shall not be greater than \( f_{pu} \) or \( (f_{pu} + 30,000) \). Figure 4.49, from Ref. 4.9, shows seating losses for typical unbonded tendons.

Note that the AASHTO expressions for the ultimate design strength, \( f_{ps} \), differ from Equations 4.51 and 4.52, as shown in Section 12.3.3.

**4.12.5.3 Limiting Values of the Reinforcement Index.** The reinforcement index \( \omega_p \), a measure of the percentage of reinforcement in the section, is given by

\[ \omega_p = \frac{A_{pm} f_{ps}}{bd_p f'_c} = \rho_p \frac{f_{ps}}{f'_c} \]  

(4.53)

**Minimum Reinforcement.** If the percentage of reinforcement is too small, the concrete section will be too weak to resist the tensile stress level after cracking and the section will behave almost as a plain section, with premature abrupt failure through rupture of the reinforcement. Hence, a minimum percentage \( \rho_{min} \) with a minimum \( \omega_{p min} \) has to be observed in the design in order to prevent such a failure. The total amount of prestressed and nonprestressed reinforcement required by the ACI should not be less than that required to develop a factored moment \( M_u = \phi M_{cr} \), such that

\[ M_u \geq 1.2 M_{cr} \]  

(4.54a)

where \( M_{cr} \) is based on a modulus of rupture \( f_r = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_c} \). An exception can be made where the flexural member has shear and flexural strengths at least twice those of the factored loads in Equations 4.31. Also, the minimum area of bonded nonprestressed reinforcement in beams in accordance with the ACI code has to be computed as

\[ \text{Min } A_s = 0.004 A_t \]  

(4.54b)

where \( A_t \) is that part of the cross section between the flexural tension face and the center of gravity cgc of the gross section (in.²). This reinforcement has to be uniformly distributed over the precompressed tensile zone as close as possible to the extreme tension fibers.
Figure 4.49  Stress diagram for unbonded tendons with various values of initial stress and seating loss (100,000 psi = 689.5 MPa).
In two-way flat plates, where the tension stress in the concrete at service load exceeds $2\sqrt{f_c'}$, bonded nonprestressed steel is required such that

$$A_s = \frac{N_c}{0.5f_y}$$  \hfill (4.55a)

where $f_y \leq 60,000$ psi

$N_c$ = tensile force in the concrete due to unfactored dead plus live loads ($D + L$).

In the negative-moment areas of slabs at column support, the minimum area of nonprestressed steel in each direction should be

$$A_s = 0.00075hl$$  \hfill (4.55b)

where $h$ = total slab thickness

$l$ = span length in the direction parallel to that of the reinforcement being determined.

The reinforcement $A_s$ has to be distributed with a slab width between lines that are $1.5h$ outside the faces of the column support, with at least four bars or wires to be provided in each direction and a spacing not to exceed 12 in.

**Maximum Reinforcement.** If the percentage of reinforcement is too large, the concrete section behaves as if it were overreinforced. As a result, a nonductile failure would occur by initial crushing of the concrete at the compression fibers since the reinforcement at the tension side cannot yield first. Reinforced concrete beams always have to be designed as underreinforced with a minimum strain $\varepsilon_r = 0.005$, as discussed in Sections 4.12.3 and 4.12.4.

In prestressed beams, however, it is not always possible to impose an underreinforced condition. The prestressing forces $P_1$ and $P_2$ at transfer and service load also control the value of the area of the tensile reinforcement needed, including the area of the nonprestressed reinforcement. Additionally, the yield strength, and in turn the yield strain value of the prestressing steel is not well defined. Consequently, the prestressed beam designed to satisfy all the service-load requirements could behave as either underreinforced or overreinforced at the limit state of ultimate-load design, particularly if it is a partially prestressed beam.

In order to ensure ductility of behavior, the percentage of reinforcement should be such that the reinforcement index, $\rho_p$, does not exceed 0.36$\beta_1$, noting that 0.32$\beta_1$ is comparable to 0.005 in. in., as discussed in Section 4.12.4. The ACI Code, in an indirect measure to limit the reinforcement percentage, requires determining the tension zone in Fig. 4.45 that applies to the analyzed beam section in order to choose the applicable $\phi$ factor for the design moment $M_u$. This is established by finding the ratio $c/d$, at the ultimate limit state, hence the controlling $\phi$ value for $M_u = \phi M_n$, where $c$ is the depth of the neutral axis = $a/\beta_1$, and $a$ is the depth of the equivalent rectangular block. Also, $M_u/M_n$, has to be $\geq 1.2$ in order to prevent abrupt flexure failure immediately after cracking.

$$\beta_1 = 0.85 - \frac{0.05(f_c' - 4,000)}{1,000} \approx 0.65$$  \hfill (4.56)

for a rectangular section with prestressing steel only,

$$\omega_p = \frac{f_{pu}}{f_c'} \leq 0.32\beta_1$$  \hfill (4.57a)

for rectangular sections with tensile and compressive mild steel,

$$\left[\omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p}(\omega - \omega')\right] \leq 0.36\beta_1$$  \hfill (4.57b)
where

$$\omega = \frac{A_s f_y}{bdf'_c}$$

and

$$\omega' = \frac{A'_s f_y}{bdf'_c}$$

finally, for flanged sections,

$$\left[ \omega_{pw} + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega_w - \omega'_w) \right] \leq 0.36 \beta_1$$

(4.57c)

where \(\omega_{pw}, \omega_w, \) and \(\omega'_w\) are computed in the same manner as in Equation 4.57a, b, except that the web width \(b_w\) is used in the denominators of these equations. Note that the terms \(\omega_p, (\omega_w + (d/d_p)(\omega_w - \omega'_w))\), and \((\omega_{pw} + (d/d_p)(\omega_w - \omega'_w))\) are each equal to \(0.85a/d_p\), where \(a\) is the depth of the equivalent rectangular concrete compressive block as follows:

(a) In rectangular sections and in flanged sections in which \(a \leq h_p\),

$$\left[ \omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') \right] = \frac{A_p f_p}{bd_p f'_c} + \frac{d}{d_p} \left( \frac{A_s f_y}{bd} - \frac{A'_s f_y}{bd} \right) = \frac{A_p f_p + A_s f_y - A'_s f_y}{bd_p f'_c} = \frac{0.85 f'_c a b}{bd_p f'_c} = \frac{0.85a}{d_p}$$

(b) In flanged sections in which \(a > h_p\), let \(C_F\) be the resultant concrete compression force in outstanding flanges. Then,

$$\left[ \omega_{pw} + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega_w - \omega'_w) \right] = \frac{(A_p f_p - C_F)}{b_w a d_p f'_c} + \frac{d}{d_p} \left( \frac{A_s f_y}{b_w a d} - \frac{A'_s f_y}{b_w a d} \right) = \frac{A_p f_p + A_s f_y - A'_s f_y - C_F}{b_w d_p f'_c}$$

$$= \frac{n}{b_w d_p f'_c} = \frac{0.85 f'_c a}{b_w d_p f'_c} = \frac{0.85a}{d_p}$$

(4.57d)

An exception can be made in Equations 4.57a, b, and c, provided that the design moment strength does not exceed the moment strength based on the compression portion of the moment couple. In other words, unless a strain compatibility analysis is performed, the overreinforced prestressed beam moment strength should be determined from the empirical expression

$$M_n = 0.25 f'_c b d^2$$

(4.58a)

for rectangular sections, and

$$M_n = 0.25 f'_c b_w d^2 + 0.85 f'_c (b - b_w) h_f (d - 0.5h_f)$$

(4.58b)

for flanged sections. These equations can be modified as follows:
(a) For the overreinforced rectangular section,

\[ M_n = f'_c b d^2 (0.36\beta_1 - 0.08\beta_1^2) \quad (4.59a) \]

(b) For the overreinforced flanged section,

\[ M_n = f'_c b_n d^2 (0.36\beta_1 - 0.08\beta_1^2) + 0.85f'_c (b - b_n) h_f (d_p - 0.5h_f) \quad (4.59b) \]

In summary, the maximum reinforcement index \( \omega \) to be allowed should not exceed 0.85 \( a/d_p \) (or 0.85 \( a/d_f \)) in order to ensure ductile behavior through limiting the reinforcement percentage. However, the ACI 318 Code as previously discussed, indirectly stipulates limiting the reinforcement percentage by setting a \( \phi \) value in the tensile zones of Fig. 4.45 from the \( c/d \) ratio corresponding to low tensile strain at the limit state at failure.

### 4.12.5.4 Limit State in Flexure at Ultimate Load in Nonbonded Tendons

The discussion presented in Sections 4.12.1 and 4.12.2 defines the design and analysis process for pretensioned beams, where the concrete is cast around the prestressed tendons, thereby achieving full bond, as well as for post-tensioned beams, where the tendons are fully grouted under pressure after the tendons are prestressed.

Post-tensioned tendons that are not grouted or that are asphalt coated (many in the United States) are nonbonded tendons. Consequently, as the superimposed load acts on the beam, slip results between the tendons and the surrounding concrete, permitting a uniform deformation along the entire length of the prestressing tendon. As cracks develop at the critical high-moment zones, the increase in the steel tensile stress is not concentrated at the cracks, but is uniformly distributed along the freely sliding tendon. As a result, the net increase in strain and stress is less in the nonbonded case than in the case of bonded tendons as the load continues to increase to the ultimate. Hence a lesser number of cracks, but of larger width, develops in nonbonded prestressing (Ref 4.4). The final stress in the prestressed tendons at ultimate load would be only slightly higher than the effective prestress \( f_{pc} \).

In order to ensure a structure with good serviceability performance, a reasonable percentage of non prestressed steel has to be used, within the limitations mentioned in Section 4.12.5.3. The non prestressed reinforcement controls the flexural crack development and width, and contributes to substantially increasing the moment strength capacity \( M_n \) of the section. It undergoes a strain larger than its yield strain, since its deformation at the postelastic range has to be compatible with the deformation of the adjacent prestressing strands. Hence, the stress level in the non prestressed steel will always be higher than its yield strength at ultimate load. Figure 4.50 shows a typical stress-strain diagram for a 270-K 7-wire \( \frac{1}{8}\text{-in.} \) prestressing strand, while Fig. 4.51 schematically illustrates the relative stresses of the prestressed and the non prestressed steel and seating losses.

From this discussion, it can be concluded that the expressions presented for the \( M_n \) calculations of the nominal moment strength for bonded beams can be equally used for nonbonded elements. Note that while it is always advisable to grout the post-tensioned tendons, it is sometimes not easy to do so, as, for example, in two-way slab systems or shallow-box elements, where the concrete thickness is small. Also, consideration has to be given to the cost of pressure grouting in cases where there is a congestion of tendons.

### 4.13 PRELIMINARY ULTIMATE-LOAD DESIGN

If the preliminary design starts at the ultimate-load level, the required design moment \( M_n = \phi M_e \) has to be at least equal to the factored moment \( M_n \). The first trial depth has to be based on a reasonable span-to-depth ratio, with the top flange width determined by whether the beam is for residential floors or parking garages, where a double-T-section or a hollow-box shallow section is preferable, or whether the beam is intended to support
a bridge deck with spacing decided by load and the number of lanes, where an I-section might be preferable.

As a rule of thumb, the average depth of a prestressed beam is about 75 percent of the depth of a comparably loaded reinforced concrete beam. Another guideline for an initial trial is to use 0.6 in. of depth per foot of span. Once a first-trial depth is chosen, a determination is made of the other geometrical properties of the section.

**Figure 4.51** Stress-strain diagrams for reinforcement. (a) Nonprestressed steel. (b) Prestressed steel (100,000 psi = 689.5 MPa).
Assume that the center of gravity of the prestressing steel is approximately \(0.85/h\) from the middepth of the flange. Then the lever arm of the moment couple \(jd = 0.80h\). Assume also that the nominal strength of the prestressed steel is \(f_{ps} = 0.90 f_{pu}\). Then the area \(A_{ps}\) of the prestressing tendons is

\[
A_{ps} = \frac{M_n/\phi}{0.9f_{pu}(0.80h)}
\]  
\[\text{(4.60a)}\]

or

\[
A_{ps} = \frac{M_n}{0.72f_{pu}h}
\]  
\[\text{(4.60b)}\]

If the compressive block depth \(a\) equals the flange thickness \(h_p\), the volume of the compressive block of Figure 4.44(d) in terms of the area \(ba = A'_c\) is

\[
C = 0.85f'_c A'_c
\]

\[
T = 0.9f_{pu}A_{ps} = \frac{M_n}{0.8h}
\]

From the equilibrium of forces, \(C = T\). Hence, the area of the compression flange is

\[
A'_c = \frac{M_n}{0.85f'_c(0.8h)} = \frac{M_n}{0.68f'_c h}
\]  
\[\text{(4.61)}\]

Once the width of the flange is chosen for the first trial and the beam depth is known, the web thickness can be chosen based on the shear requirements to be discussed in Chapter 5. Thereafter, by trial and adjustment, one can select the ideal section for the particular design requirement conditions and proceed to analyze the stresses for the service-load conditions.

### 4.14 SUMMARY STEP-BY-STEP PROCEDURE FOR LIMIT-STATE-AT-FAILURE DESIGN OF THE PRESTRESSED MEMBERS

1. Determine whether or not partial prestressing is to be chosen, using an effective percentage of nonprestressed steel. Choose a trial depth \(h\) based on either 0.6 in. per ft of span or 75 percent of the depth needed for reinforced concrete sections after calculating the required nominal strength \(M_n = M_u/\phi\).
2. Select a trial flange thickness such that the total concrete area of the flange \(A' = M/J_{0.68f_c'}/h\), based on choosing a flange width dictated by planning requirements and spacing of beams. Choose a preliminary area of prestressing steel \(A_{ps} = M/J_{0.72f_{pu}}/h\).

3. Use a reasonable value for the steel stress \(f_{ps}\) at failure for a first trial. If \(f_{pe} < 0.5f_{pu}\), strain compatibility analysis would thereafter be needed. Determine whether the tendons are bonded or nonbonded. Use the value of the effective prestress \(f_{pe}\) from the service-load analysis if that design was already made. If \(f_{pe} > 0.5f_{pu}\), use the approximate values from the following applicable cases by ACI procedures:

(a) Bonded tendons

\[
f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_p}{\beta_1} \left(\frac{f_{pu}}{f_c'} + \frac{d}{d_p} \left(\omega - \omega'\right)\right)\right)
\]

(b) Nonbonded tendons, span/depth ratio \(\leq 35\)

\[
f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 10,000 + \frac{f_c'}{100p_p}
\]

(c) Nonbonded tendons, span/depth ratio \(> 35\)

\[
f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 10,000 + \frac{f_c'}{300p_p}
\]

Note that AASHTO stipulates different expressions for \(f_{ps}\) as shown in Section 12.3.3.

4. Determine whether the trial section chosen should be considered rectangular or flanged by determining the position of the neutral axis, \(c = a/b_{c_1}\). If rectangular,

\[
a = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_s f_y - A_1 f_y}{0.85f_c' b}
\]

If flanged,

\[
a = \frac{A_{pw} f_{ps}}{0.85f_c' b_w}
\]

where \(A_{pw} f_{ps} = A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y - 0.85f_c'(b - b_w)h_p\).

5. If \(h_f\) is larger than \(c \text{ and } a\), analyze the element as a rectangular section singly or doubly reinforced.
6. Find the reinforcement indices \( \omega_p \), \( \omega \), and \( \omega' \) for the case \( a < h_f \) (neutral axis within the flange; hence, use for a rectangular section).

(a) Rectangular sections with prestressing steel only:
\[
\omega_T = \omega_p = \rho_p \frac{f_{ps}}{f' c} = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps}}{bd_p f' c}
\]

(b) Rectangular sections with compression steel in addition to nonprestressed tension steel:
\[
\omega_T = \omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega')
\]

If the total index in (a) or (b) is less than or equal to 0.36\( \beta_1 \), then the moment strength is
\[
M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_y \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A' s f_y \left( \frac{a}{2} - d' \right)
\]

7. Find the reinforcement indices \( \omega_{pw} \), \( \omega_w \) and \( \omega' \), for the case \( a > h_f \) (neutral axis outside the flange), with the total index
\[
\omega_T = \omega_{pw} + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega_w - \omega'_w)
\]

The indices are calculated on the basis of the web width \( b_w \). If the total index \( \omega_T < 0.36\beta_1 \), then
\[
M_n = A_{pw} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_y (d - d_p) + 0.85 f_y' (b - b_w) h_f \left( d_p - \frac{h_f}{2} \right)
\]

where
\[
a = \frac{A_{pw} f_{ps}}{0.85 f_y' b_w}
\]

and
\[
A_{pw} f_{ps} = A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y - 0.85 f_y' (b - b_w) h_f
\]

If the total index \( \omega_T > 0.36\beta_1 \), the section is overreinforced and the nominal strength is
\[
M_n = f_y' b_w d_p^2 (0.36\beta_1 - 0.08\beta_2) + 0.85 f_y' (b - b_w) h_f (d_p - 0.5 h_f)
\]

8. Check for the minimum required reinforcement \( A_j > 0.004 A \). Also, check whether \( M_n \geq 1.2 M_{cr} \) to ensure the use of adequate nonprestressed tension steel, particularly in nonbonded tendons.

9. Select the size and spacing of the nonprestressed tension reinforcement, and compression reinforcement where applicable.

10. Verify that the design moment \( M_d = \phi M_n \) is equal to or larger than the factored moment \( M_{du} \). If not, adjust the design.
Figure 4.52 Flowchart for flexural analysis of rectangular and flanged prestressed sections based on cgs profile depth.
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START

Input:  
- Type, section shape, dimensions, 
- No. of prestressing layers, no. of non-prestressed steel layers, 
- \( A_p, A_{ps}, d_1, d_2, d_{p1}, d_{p2}, f_p, f_{ps}, f_{psl}, E_p, E_{psl}, f_u \)

Compute \( \beta, A_c, I_c, f_c^2 \)

\( c = 0.01h \)

Compute strains and stresses for each of prestressed and non-prestressed steel

Compute resultant forces acting on the section

Yes  
Resultant force \( \leq 1\% \)
No  
\( c = c + 0.001h \)

Yes  
Comp. stress block
No

Compute for each layer

\[ M_n = A_{ps}' f_{ps}(d_p - a/2) + A_p f_p(d - d_p) + 0.85 f_r (b - b_p) h_f(d_p - h_f/2) \]

where

\[ A_{ps}' f_{ps} = A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_p f_p - 0.85 f_r (b - b_p) h_f \]

Expression for "a" as in Fig. 4.40

Yes  
Section flanged?
No

Compute for each layer

\[ M_n = A_{ps}' f_{ps}(d_p - a/2) + A_p f_p(d - a/2) + A_p f_p(a/2 - d') \]

Expression for "a" as in Fig. 4.40

Print:  
(i) Prestressing force \( P_p, A_{ps}, d_p, f_{ps}, \) and \( e_{ps} \) for each layer  
(ii) Non-prestressed \( A_p, d, f_p, \) and \( e_p \) for each bar layer  
(iii) Total moments \( M_p, M_a \) and rotation \( \phi \)

END

Figure 4.53  Flowchart for flexural analysis of rectangular and flanged pre-
stressed sections using compatibility analysis for individual layers of strands and bars.
A flowchart for programming the step-by-step trial-and-adjustment procedure in analyzing the nominal flexural strength of rectangular and flanged prestressed sections taking \( d_p \) as the single-layer cgs depth of tendon is shown in Fig. 4.52. Similarly, a flowchart for programming the nominal flexural strength of prestressed beams using strain-compatibility analysis of multilayered strand depths \( d_{p1} \) to \( d_{p8} \) is given in Fig. 4.53. Both charts are applicable to fully prestressed beams that use no mild steel and that allow no tension in the concrete, as well as to “partially prestressed” beams where limited tensile stress is permitted in the concrete through the use of nonprestressed reinforcement. A computer program based on the flowcharts in the two figures can be equally used for a single effective depth \( d_p \) of the cgs tendon profile.

### 4.15 Ultimate-Strength Design of Prestressed Simply Supported Beam by Strain Compatibility

#### Example 4.9

Design the bonded beam in Example 4.2 by the ultimate-load theory using nonprestressed reinforcement to partially carry part of the factored loads. Use strain compatibility to evaluate \( f_{pu} \), given the modified section in Fig. 4.54 with a composite 3 in. top slab and

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{pu} & = 270,000 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)} \\
    f_{py} & = 0.85 f_{pu} \text{ for stress-relieved strands} \\
    f_y & = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \\
    f_c & = 5,000 \text{ psi normal-weight concrete (34.5 MPa)}
\end{align*}
\]

Use 7-wire \( \frac{1}{8} \)-in. dia tendons. The nonprestressed partial mild steel is to be placed with a \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in. clear cover, and no compression steel is to be accounted for. No wind or earthquake is taken into consideration.

**Solution:** From Example 4.2,

- Service \( W_L = 1,100 \text{ plf (16.1 kN/m)} \)
- Service \( W_{SD} = 100 \text{ plf (1.46 kN/m)} \)
- Assumed \( W_D = 393 \text{ plf (5.74 kN/m)} \)
- Beam span = 65 ft (19.8 m)

1. **Factored moment (step 1)**

\[
W_u = 1.2(W_D + W_{SD}) + 1.6W_L = 1.2(100 + 393) + 1.6(1100) = 2352 \text{ plf (34.4 kN/m)}
\]

The factored moment is given by

\[
M_u = \frac{w_u L^2}{8} = \frac{2352(65)^2}{8} = 14,905,800 \text{ in.-lb (1684 kN-m)}
\]

and the required nominal moment strength is

\[
M_n = \frac{M_u}{\phi} = \frac{14,905,800}{0.9} = 16,562,000 \text{ in.-lb (1871 kN-m)}
\]

2. **Choice of preliminary section (step 2)**

Assuming a depth of 0.6 in./ft of span, we can have a trial section depth \( h = 0.6 \times 65 \equiv 40 \text{ in. (102 cm)} \). Then assume a mild partial steel 4 #6 = 4 \times 0.44 = 1.76 \text{ in.}^2 (11.4 \text{ cm}^2)$. From Equation 4.61,

\[
A' = \frac{M_n}{0.68 f_c h} = \frac{16,562,000}{0.68 \times 5,000 \times 40} = 121.8 \text{ in.}^2 (786 \text{ cm}^2)
\]
Figure 4.54 Midspan section of the beam in Example 4.9.

Assume a flange width of 18 in. Then the average flange thickness \( t = \frac{121.8}{18} \approx 7.0 \) in. (178 mm). So suppose the web \( b_w = 6 \) in. (152 mm), to be subsequently verified for shear requirements. Then from Equation 4.60b,

\[
A_{pt} = \frac{M_n}{0.72f_{pu} h} = \frac{16,562,000}{0.72 \times 270,000 \times 40} = 2.13 \text{ in.}^2 (13.3 \text{ cm}^2)
\]

and the number of \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in. stress-relieved wire strands = 2.13/0.153 = 13.9. So try thirteen \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in. tendons.

\[ A_{pt} = 13 \times 0.153 = 1.99 \text{ in.}^2 (12.8 \text{ cm}^2) \]

3. Calculate the stress \( f_{pt} \) in the prestressing tendon at nominal strength using the strain-compatibility approach (step 3)

The geometrical properties of the trial section are very close to the assumed dimensions for the depth \( h \) and the top flange width \( b \). Hence, use the following data for the purpose of the example:

\[
A_e = 377 \text{ in.}^2 \\
c_e = 21.16 \text{ in.} \\
d_p = 15 + c_e = 15 + 21.16 = 36.16 \text{ in.} \\
r^2 = 187.5 \text{ in.}^2 \\
e = 15 \text{ in. at midspan} \\
e_e = 225 \text{ in.}^2 \\
e^2/r^2 = 225/187.5 = 1.20 \\
E_c = 57,000\sqrt{5,000} = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} (27.8 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa}) \\
E_{ps} = 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} (193 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa})
\]

The maximum allowable compressive strain \( \varepsilon_c \) at failure = 0.003 in./in. Assume that the effective prestress at service load is \( f_{pt} = 155,000 \) psi (1,069 MPa).

\[
\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_{pt} = \frac{f_{pt}}{E_{pt}} = \frac{155,000}{28 \times 10^6} = 0.0055 \text{ in./in.}
\]
\[ P_e = 13 \times 0.153 \times 155,000 = 308,295 \text{ lb} \]

The increase in prestressing steel strain as the concrete is decompressed by the increased external load (see Figure 4.3 and Equation 4.3c) is given as

\[ \varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_{\text{decomp}} = \frac{P_e}{A_sE_e} \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{308,295}{377 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \left( 1 + 1.20 \right)} = 0.0004 \text{ in./in.} \]

(b) Assume that the stress \( f_{ps} \equiv 205,000 \text{ psi} \) as a first trial. Suppose the neutral axis inside the flange is verified on the basis of \( h_f = 3 + 4\frac{1}{2} + 3\frac{1}{2} = 9.25 \text{ in.} \). Then, from Equation 4.42a

\[ a = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_s f_s}{0.85f'_c b} = \frac{1.99 \times 205,000 + 1.76 \times 60,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 18} \]

\[ = 6.71 \text{ in. (17 cm)} < h_f = 9.25 \text{ in.} \]

Hence, the equivalent compressive block is inside the flange and the section has to be treated as rectangular.

Accordingly, for 5,000 psi concrete,

\[ \beta_1 = 0.85 - 0.05 = 0.8 \]

\[ c = \frac{a}{\beta_1} = \frac{6.71}{0.80} = 8.39 \text{ in. (22.7 cm)} \]

\[ d = 40 - (1.5 + \frac{1}{4} \text{ in. for stirrups} + \frac{3}{8} \text{ in. for bar}) \approx 37.6 \text{ in.} \]

The increment of strain due to overload to the ultimate, from Equation 4.37(c) is

\[ \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_e \left( \frac{d - c}{c} \right) = 0.003 \left( \frac{37.6 - 8.39}{8.39} \right) = 0.0104 \text{ in./in.} \gg 0.005 \text{ in./in.} \text{ O.K.} \]

and the total strain is

\[ \varepsilon_{ps} = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 \]

\[ = 0.0055 + 0.0004 + 0.0104 = 0.0163 \text{ in./in.} \]

From the stress-strain diagram in Figure 4.50 the \( f_{ps} \) corresponding to \( \varepsilon_{ps} = 0.0163 \) is 230,000 psi.

**Second trial for \( f_{ps} \) value**

Assume

\[ f_{ps} = 229,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ a = \frac{1.99 \times 229,000 + 1.76 \times 60,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 18} = 7.34 \text{ in., consider section as a rectangular beam.} \]

\[ c = \frac{7.34}{0.80} = 9.17 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \varepsilon_3 = 0.003 \left( \frac{37.6 - 9.17}{9.17} \right) = 0.0093 \]

Then the total strain is \( \varepsilon_{ps} = 0.0055 + 0.0004 + 0.0093 = 0.0152 \text{ in./in.} \text{ From Figure 4.50, } f_{ps} = 229,000 \text{ psi (1.579 MPa); use} \]

\[ A_s = 4 \#6 = 1.76 \text{ in.}^2 \]

4. **Available moment strength (steps 6 through 10)**

From Equation 4.43c, if the neutral axis were to fall within the flange,
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\[ M_s = 1.99 \times 229,000 \left( 36.16 - \frac{7.34}{2} \right) + 1.76 \times 60,000 \left( 37.6 - \frac{7.34}{2} \right) \]

\[ = 14,806,017 + 3,583,008 = 18,389,025 \text{ in.-lb (2,078 kN-m)} \]

> required \( M_s = 16,562,000 \text{ in.-lb, O.K.} \)

A reduction in the area of the mild steel can be made to make the section relatively more efficient since its available moment strength is about 11% larger than the required moment.

5. Check for minimum and maximum reinforcement (steps 6 and 9)
   (a) Min \( A_r = 0.004A \)

   where \( A \) is the area of the part of the section between the tension face and the cgc.

   From the cross section of Figure 4.8,

   \[ A = 377 - 18 \left( 4.125 + \frac{1.375}{2} \right) - 6(21.16 - 5.5) = 201 \text{ in}^2 \]

   \[ \text{Min } A_r = 0.004 \times 201 = 0.80 \text{ in}^2 < 1.76 \text{ used, O.K.} \]

   (b) The maximum steel index, from Equation 4.57(b), is

   \[ \omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega^*) \leq 0.36\beta_1 < 0.29 \text{ for } \beta_1 = 0.80 \]

   and the actual total reinforcement index is

   \[ \omega_T = \frac{1.99 \times 229,000}{18 \times 36.16 \times 5,000} + \frac{37.6 \left( 1.76 \times 60,000 \right)}{36.16 \times 37.6 \times 5,000} \]

   \[ = 0.14 + 0.03 = 0.17 < 0.29, \text{ O.K.} \]

   Alternatively, the ACI Code limit strain provisions as given in Fig. 4.45 do not prescribe a maximum percentage of reinforcement. They require that a check be made of the strain \( \varepsilon_r \), at the level of the extreme tensile reinforcement to determine whether the beam is in the tensile, the transition, or the compression zone, for verifying the appropriate \( \phi \) value. In this case, for \( c = 9.17 \) and \( d = 37.6 \text{ in.} \), and from similar triangles in the strain distribution across the beam depth,

   \[ \varepsilon_r = 0.003 \times (37.6 - 9.17)/9.17 = 0.0093 < 0.005. \]

   Hence the beam is in the tensile zone, with \( \phi = 0.90 \) as used in the solution and the design is O.K.

6. Choice of section for ultimate load (step 11)

   From steps 1–5 of the design, the section in Example 4.2 with the modifications shown in Fig. 4.54 has the nominal moment strength \( M_s \) that can carry the factored load, provided that four #6 nonprestressed bars are used at the tension side as a partially prestressed section.

   So one can adopt the section for flexure, as it also satisfies the service-load flexural stress requirements both at midspan and at the support. Note that the section could only develop the required nominal strength \( M_s = 16,562,000 \text{ in.-lb} \) by the addition of the nonprestressed bars at the tension face to resist part of the total required moment strength. Note also that this section is adequate with a concrete \( f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \), while the section in Example 4.2 has to have \( f'_c = 6,000 \text{ psi} \) strength in order not to exceed the allowable service-load concrete stresses. Hence, ultimate-load computations are necessary in prestressed concrete design to ensure that the constructed elements can carry all the factored load and are thus an integral part of the total design.

4.16 STRENGTH DESIGN OF BONDED PRESTRESSED BEAM USING APPROXIMATE PROCEDURES

Example 4.10

Design the beam in Example 4.9 as a partially prestressed beam using the ACI approximate procedures if permissible. Use the exact standard section used in Example 4.2 with (a)
bonded prestressing steel, and (b) nonbonded prestressing steel. Neglect the contribution of the compressive nonprestressed steel.

Solution:

1. **Section properties (steps 1 and 2)**

   The width of the top flange in Example 4.2 is \( b = 18 \text{ in.} \), and its average thickness from Figure 4.8 is

   \[
   h_f = 4\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\frac{3}{4}}{2} = 6.25 \text{ in}
   \]

   Try four #6 (four 12.7 mm dia) nonprestressed tension steel bars in this cycle in addition to the prestressing reinforcement.

2. **Stress \( f_{ps} \) in prestressing steel at nominal strength (step 3)**

   From Example 4.9,

   \[
   f_{ps} = 155,000 \text{ psi}
   \]

   \[
   0.5f_{ps} = 0.50 \times 270,000 = 135,000 \text{ psi}
   \]

   \[
   f_{ps} > 0.5f_{ps}
   \]

   Hence, one can use the ACI approximate procedure for determining \( f_{ps} \).

(A) **BONDED CASE**

If the position of the neutral axis is not known, analyze as a rectangular section as follows: From Equation 4.51,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} &= f_{ps} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_p}{\beta_1} \left[ \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} \frac{d}{\sqrt{d}} (\omega' - \omega) \right] \right) \\
\frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} &= \frac{229,500}{270,000} = 0.85, \text{ use } \gamma_p = 0.40 \\
A_{ps} &= 13 \times 0.153 = 1.99 \text{ in}^2 \\
A_s &= 4 \times 0.44 = 1.76 \text{ in}^2 \\
\rho_p &= \frac{A_{ps}}{bd_p} = \frac{1.99}{18 \times 36.16} = 0.0031 \\
\omega &= \frac{A_s}{bd} \times \frac{f_s}{f_c} = \frac{1.76}{18 \times 37.6} \times \frac{60,000}{5000} = 0.0312
\end{align*}
\]

For \( \omega' = 0 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{ps} &= 270,000 \left(1 - \frac{0.40}{0.80} \left[ 0.0031 \times \frac{270,000}{5000} + \frac{37.6}{36.16} \right] \right) \\
&= 270,000 \times 0.897 = 242,190 \text{ psi (1,670 MPa)} \\
a &= \frac{1.99 \times 242,190 + 1.76 \times 60,000}{0.85 \times 5000 \times 18} = 7.68 \text{ in} > h_f = 6.25 \text{ in}
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, the neutral axis is outside the flange, and analysis has to be based on a T-section. Using in such a case the web width \( b_w \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_p &= \frac{A_{ps}}{b_w d_p} = \frac{1.99}{6 \times 36.16} = 0.0092 \\
\omega_w &= \frac{A_s}{b_w d} \times \frac{f_s}{f_c} = \frac{1.76}{6 \times 37.6} \times \frac{60,000}{5000} = 0.0936 \\
f_{ps} &= 270,000 \left(1 - \frac{0.40}{0.80} \left[ 0.0092 \times \frac{270,000}{5000} + \frac{37.6}{36.16} \right] \right)
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ A_{pu, f_{ps}} = A_{pu, f_{ps}} + A_{p, f_{ps}} - 0.85 f_c (b - b_w) h_f \]
\[ = 1.99 \times 189,793 + 1.76 \times 60,000 - 0.85 \times 5,000(18 - 6) \]
\[ \times 6.25 \]
\[ = 377,688 + 105,600 - 318,750 = 164,538 \text{ lb} \]
\[ a = \frac{164,538}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 6} = 6.45 \text{ in. (16.4 cm)} \]

3. Available nominal moment strength (steps 4-8)

\[ M_n = A_{pu, f_{ps}} \left( d_p - \frac{d}{2} \right) + A_s f_c (d - d_p) + 0.85 f_c (b - b_w) h_f \left( d_p - \frac{h_f}{2} \right) \]
\[ = 164,538 \left( 36.16 - \frac{6.45}{2} \right) + 1.76(60,000)(37.6 - 36.16) \]
\[ + 0.85(5,000)(18 - 6) \times 6.25 \left( 36.16 - \frac{6.25}{2} \right) = 16,071,226 \text{ in.-lb} \]

(1,816 kN-m) < required \( M_n = 16,562,000 \text{ in.-lb (1871 kN-m)}, \) hence the section is inadequate.

Proceed to another trial and adjustment cycle using more nonprestressed reinforcement. Try four #8 bars (four 25 mm dia), \( A_s = 3.16 \text{ in.}^2 (25 \text{ cm}^2). \) We have

\[ \omega = \frac{3.16}{6 \times 37.6} \times \frac{60,000}{5,000} = 0.17 \]

giving \( f_{ps} = 179,068 \text{ psi} \) and \( A_{pu, f_{ps}} = 227,195 \text{ lb (1010 kN)}. \) So

\[ a = \frac{227,195}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 6} = 8.9 \text{ in. (22.6 cm)} \]

\[ M_n = 227,195 \left( 36.16 - \frac{8.9}{2} \right) + 3.16(60,000)(37.6 - 36.16) \]
\[ + 0.85(5,000)(18 - 6) \times 6.25 \left( 36.16 - \frac{6.25}{2} \right) \]
\[ = 18,007,283 \text{ in.-lb (2035 kN-m)} > \text{Required } M_n = 16,562,000 \text{ in.-lb, O.K.} \]

Hence, use four #8 nonprestressed bars at the bottom fibers, and adopt the design for the bonded case.

(B) NONBONDED CASE

\[ \text{Span-to-Depth ratio} = \frac{65 \times 12}{40} = 19.5 < 35 \]

Hence, from Equation 4.52a,

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 10,000 + \frac{f_c}{100 p_{ps}} = 155,000 + 10,000 + \frac{5,000}{100 \times 1.99/(6 \times 36.16)} \]
\[ = 170,451 \text{ psi (1,175 MPa)} \]

Notice that \( b_w = 6 \text{ in.} \) is used here for \( p_{ps}, \) since it is now known that the section behaves like a T-beam, as the neutral axis is below the flange. Thus,

\[ f_{pe} = 170,451 \text{ psi (1,175 MPa)} \]

1. Selection of nonprestressed steel

Try four #8 nonprestressed tension reinforcements to resist part of the factored moment:
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Photo 4.16  Diaphragm anchorage.

\[ A_s = 4 \times 0.79 = 3.16 \text{ in}^2 (19.8 \text{ cm}^2) \]

\[ A_{pw} = 1.99 \times 170,451 + 3.16 \times 60,000 - 0.85 \times 5,000(18 - 6)6.25 \]

\[ = 210,047 \text{ lb} \]

\[ a = \frac{A_{pw} f_{pt}}{0.85 f_{t, bd}} = \frac{210,047}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 6} = 8.24 \text{ in. (20.9 cm)} \]

2. Available moment strength (steps 4–8)

From Equation 4.48,

Available \( M_a = 210,047 \left( 36.16 - \frac{8.24}{2} \right) + 3.16 \times 60,000(37.6 - 36.16) \)

\[ + 0.85 \times 5,000(18 - 6) \times 6.25 \times \left( 36.16 - \frac{6.25}{2} \right) \]

\[ = 17,537,057 \text{ in.-lb (1981 kN-m)} \]

\[ > \text{ Req. } M_a = 16,562,000 \text{ in.-lb, O.K.} \]

(C) CHECK FOR REINFORCEMENT LIMITS

1. Minimum reinforcement

From Equation 4.25, the cracking moment, \( M_{cr} \), is given by

\[ M_{cr} = f_s S_b + P_s \left( \epsilon + \frac{r^2}{c_b} \right) \]

From Example 4.2, \( f_s = 7.5 \sqrt{5,000} = 530.3 \text{ psi (3.7 MPa)} \). So since \( S_b = 3,750 \text{ in.}^3 \), \( \epsilon = 15 \text{ in.}, r^2/c_b = 187.5/18.84 = 9.95 \text{ in.} \), and \( P_s = 308,255 \text{ lb (1.371 kN)} \), we get

\[ M_{cr} = 530.3 \times 3,750 + 308,295(15 + 9.95) \]

\[ = 9,680,585 \text{ in.-lb (1.090 kN-m)} \]

\[ 1.2M_{cr} = 1.2 \times 9,680,585 = 11,616,702 \text{ in.-lb (1.313 kN-m)} \]
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\[ M_u = \phi M_n = 0.90 \times 18,026,667 \]
\[ = 16,224,000 \text{ in.-lb (1,833 kN-m)} \]

Finally, from Equation 4.54a,
\[ M_u > 1.2M_n \]

Hence, the requirement for minimum reinforcement is satisfied for both the non-bonded and the bonded case.

2. Maximum reinforcement index

Max. allow. \[ \omega_p = 0.36\beta_i = 0.36 \times 0.80 = 0.288 \]
\[ (e_i = 0.005 \text{ in./in. minimum strain is comparable to } 0.32\beta_i) \]

From Eq. 4.57d, maximum total \[ \omega = 0.85a/d_p = {0.85 \times 8.9 \over 36.16} = 0.209 < 0.288, \text{ O.K.} \]
Alternatively, the ACI Code limit strain provisions as given in Fig. 4.45 do not prescribe a maximum percentage of reinforcement. They require that a check be made of the strain \[ e_i \] at the level of the extreme tensile reinforcement to determine whether the beam is in the tensile, the transition, or the compression zone, for verifying the appropriate \[ \phi \] value. In this case, for \[ c = 4d_p/\beta_i = 8.9/0.80 = 11.1 \text{ and and } d_i = 37.6 \text{ in.}, \text{ and from similar triangles in the strain distribution across the beam depth,} \]
\[ e_i = 0.003 \times (37.6 - 11.1)/11.6 = 0.0072 > 0.005. \]

Hence the beam is in the tensile zone, with \[ \phi = 0.90 \] as used in the solution and the design is O.K.

Accordingly, adopt the design that uses the concrete section in Example 4.2 and include four #8 nonprestressed steel bars at the tension side. Note that the moment strength capacity of the non-bonded section for the same area of nonprestressed steel is less than the moment strength capacity of the bonded section, which is expected.

If \[ f'_c = 6,000 \text{ psi} \] would have been used in the strength design in this example, as it was in the service-load design of this section in Example 4.2, less mild steel reinforcement would have been needed.

4.17 SI FLEXURAL DESIGN EXPRESSION

\[ f'_{cu} = 0.8f'_c \]
\[ f_{cu} = 0.6f'_{cu} \]
\[ f_n = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ (midspan)} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f_{cu}} \text{ (support)} \]
\[ f'_t = 0.45f'_c \text{ due to prestress + sustained load} \]
\[ f'_t = 0.6f'_t \text{ due to prestress + total load if it includes transient load} \]

Stress at transfer

\[ f' = -\frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{ec}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_d}{S'} \leq f_n \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.1a)

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{ec}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_d}{S_e} \leq f_{cu} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.16)

Effective stress after losses

\[ f' = -\frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{ec}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_d}{S'} \leq f_t \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2a)
\[ f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \leq f_c \]  
(4.2b)

**Service load final stress**

\[ f' = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e c_i}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_T}{S'} \leq f_c \]  
(4.3a)

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \leq f_i \]  
(4.3b)

\[ f_{decomp} = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{r^2} \right) \]  
(4.3c)

\[ S' \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{\gamma f_u - f_c} \]  
(4.4a)

\[ S_b \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f_i - \gamma f_{ci}} \]  
(4.4b)

\[ e_s = (f_u - f_{ci}) \frac{S'}{P_i} \]  
(4.5c)

\[ k_b = \frac{r^2}{c_i}, \quad k_i = \frac{r^2}{c_b} \]  
(4.6)

\[ b_m = \frac{E_o}{E_c} (b) = n_v b \]  
(4.23)

**Unshored case**

\[ f' = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e c_i}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_{CD} + M_L}{S_i} \]  
(4.19a)

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_{CD} + M_L}{S_b} \]  
(4.19b)

**Shored case**

\[ f' = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e c_i}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD} + M_{CD} + M_L}{S'} \]  
\[ f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} + \frac{M_{SD} + M_{CD} + M_L}{S_{cb}} \]  
(4.22a)

\[ \text{Equation 4.51 for bonded tendons} \]

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_p}{\beta_i} \left[ \frac{f_{pu}}{f'_c} + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') \right] \right) \text{MPa} \]

where \( \gamma_p = 0.55 \) for \( f_{ps}/f_{pu} \geq 0.80 \)

\( = 0.40 \) for \( f_{ps}/f_{pu} \geq 0.85 \)

\( = 0.28 \) for \( f_{ps}/f_{pu} \geq 0.90 \)

**Equation 4.52 for nonbonded tendons**
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\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 70 + \frac{f'_{c}}{100p_p} \text{ MPa for } \frac{\text{span}}{\text{depth}} \leq 35 \]
\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 70 + \frac{f'_{c}}{300p_p} \text{ MPa for } \frac{\text{span}}{\text{depth}} > 35 \]
\[ \text{MPa} = \frac{\text{N}}{\text{mm}^2} = 106 \text{ N/m}^2 \]

(lb) 4.448 = N

(psi) 0.006895 = MPa

(lb/ft) 14.593 = N/m

(in.-lb) 0.113 = N-m

1 Kg force = 9.806 N

4.17.1 SI Flexural Design of Prestressed Beams

Example 4.11

Solve Example 4.10 using SI units. Tendons are bonded.

Data:

\[ A_c = 5045 \text{ cm}^2 \]
\[ b = 45.7 \text{ cm} \]
\[ b_w = 15.2 \text{ cm} \]
\[ I_c = 7.04 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^4 \]
\[ r^2 = 1.394 \text{ cm}^2 \]
\[ c_b = 89.4 \text{ cm} \]
\[ c' = 32.5 \text{ cm} \]
\[ e_c = 84.2 \text{ cm} \]
\[ e_c = 60.4 \text{ cm} \]
\[ S_b = 78,707 \text{ cm}^3 \]
\[ S_i = 216,210 \text{ cm}^3 \]
\[ w_p = 11.9 \times 10^3 \text{ kN/m} \]
\[ w_{SD} = 1,459 \text{ N/m} \]
\[ w_L = 16.1 \text{ kN/m} \]
\[ l = 19.8 \text{ m} \]
\[ f'_{c} = 34.5 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_{pi} = 1,300 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_{pu} = 1,860 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_p = 414 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ A_{ps} = 13 \text{ tendons, diameter } 12.7 \text{ mm (}A_{ps} = 99 \text{ min}^2) \]
\[ = 13 \times 99 = 1,287 \text{ mm}^2 \]

Required \( M_n = 16.5 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} = 1871 \text{ kN-m} \)

Solution:

1. Section properties (Steps 1 and 2)

Flange width \( b = 18 \text{ in.} = 45.7 \text{ cm} \)

Average thickness \( h_f = 4.5 + \frac{1}{2}(3.5) \equiv 6.25 \text{ in.} = 15.7 \text{ cm} \)

Try 4 No. 20 M mild steel bars for partial prestressing (diameter = 19.5 mm, \( A_f = 300 \text{ mm}^2 \)).

\[ A_f = 4 \times 300 = 1,200 \text{ mm}^2 \]

2. Stress \( f_{pu} \) in prestressing steel at nominal strength and neutral axis position (Step 3)

\[ f_{pe} = \gamma f_{ps} = 0.82 \times 1,300 = 1,066 \text{ MPa} \]

Verify Neutral Axis Position

If outside flange, its depth has to be greater than \( a = A_{ps}f_{ps}/0.85f'_{c}/b_w \)

\[ 0.5f_{pu} = 0.50 \times 1,860 = 930 \text{ MPa} < 1,066, \text{ hence, one can use ACI approximate procedure for determining } f_{ps} \]

From equation 4.51,

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\beta_1} \left[ \frac{f_{pu}}{f'_{c}} - f_{pu} \frac{d}{\rho} (\omega - \omega') \right] \right) \]
References

\[ d_p = 36.16 \text{ in.} = 91.8 \text{ cm}, \quad d = 37.6 \text{ in.} = 95.5 \text{ cm} \]

\[ \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} = 1.580 \quad \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} = 0.85, \text{ use } \gamma_p = 0.40 \]

\[ \rho_p = \frac{A_{ps}}{bd_p} = \frac{1.287}{457 \times 918} = 0.00306 \]

\[ \rho = \frac{A_s}{bd} = \frac{1.200}{457 \times 955} = 0.00275 \]

\[ \omega_p = \frac{A_{ps}}{bd_p} \times \frac{f_{ps}}{f'_c} = 0.00306 \times \frac{1.674}{34.5} = 0.14 \]

\[ \omega = \frac{A_s}{bd} \times \frac{f}{f'_c} = 0.00275 \times \frac{414}{34.5} = 0.033 \]

\[ \omega' = 0 \]

For \( f'_c = 34.5 \text{ MPa}, \beta_1 = 0.80 \)

\[ f_{ps} = 1.860 \left( 1 - \frac{0.40}{0.80} \right) \left( \frac{0.00306 \times 1.860}{34.5} \times \frac{955}{918} \times 0.033 \right) \]

\[ = 1.860 \left( 1 - 0.1 \right) = 1.674 \text{ MPa} \]

From Equation 4.47a,

\[ a = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps}}{0.85 f'_c b_w a} \]

where \( A_{ps} f_{ps} = A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_s - 0.85 f'_c (b - b_w) h_f \)

\[ A_{ps} f_{ps} = 1.287 \times 1.674 + 1.200 \times 414 \]

\[ - 0.85 \times 34.5 \times (45.7 - 15.2) \times 15.7 \times 10^2 \]

\[ = 10^6 (2.15 + 0.5 - 1.14) \text{ N} = 1,240 \text{ kN} \]

\[ a = \frac{1,240 \times 10}{0.85 \times 34.7 \times 15.2} = 24.7 \text{ cm} > h_f = 15.7 \text{ cm} \]

Hence neutral axis is outside the flange and analysis has to be based on a T-section.

3. Available nominal moment strength (Step 4-8)

\[ \omega_f = \omega_p + \omega = 0.14 + 0.033 = 0.173 < 0.36 \beta_1, \text{ hence, O.K.} \]

hence, maximum reinforcement index \( \omega \) is satisfied.

Alternatively, the ACI Code, \( c/d_i = a/\beta_i d_i = 15.7/(0.80 \times 91.8) = 0.375. \)

Hence, the beam is in the tensile zone of Fig. 4.45 and did not exceed the maximum permissible reinforcement, allowing \( \phi = 0.90 \) for determining the design moment \( M_\phi \) as assumed, and thus OK for the chosen reinforcement.

\[ M_\phi = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d - \frac{d}{2} \right) + A_s f_s (d - d) + 0.85 f'_c (b - b_w) h_f \left( d_p - \frac{h_f}{2} \right) \]

Available \( M_\phi = 1.24 \times 10^6 \left( 91.8 + \frac{27.7}{2} \right) + 1,200 \times 414 (95.5 - 91.8) \]

\[ + 0.85 \times 34.5 (45.7 - 15.2) \times 15.7 \left( 91.8 - \frac{15.2}{2} \right) \times 10^2 \]

\[ = 10^6 (96.6 + 1.83 + 118.2) \text{ N-cm} = 2,166 \text{ kN-m} \]

\[ > \text{ Required } M_\phi = 1871 \text{ kN-m} \]

hence, section is O.K.
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PROBLEMS

4.1 Design, for service-load and ultimate-load conditions, a pretensioned symmetrical I-section beam to carry a superimposed dead load of 750 psi (10.95 kN/m) and a service live load of 1,500 psi (21.90 kN/m) on a 50 ft (15.2 m) simply supported span. Assume that the sectional properties are $b = 0.5h$, $h_f = 0.2h$, and $b_w = 0.40b$, using the following data:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{pu} &= 270,000 \text{ psi} (1,862 \text{ MPa}) \\
    E_{ps} &= 28.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} (196 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f'_c &= 5,000 \text{ psi} (34.5 \text{ MPa}) \text{ normal-weight concrete} \\
    f'_n &= 3,500 \text{ psi} (24.1 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f_s &= 12 \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ assuming deflection is not critical}
\end{align*}
\]

Sketch the design details, including the anchorage zone reinforcement and arrangement of strands for (a) straight-tendon case, and (b) a harped tendon at the third span points with end eccentricity zero. Assume total prestress losses of 22 percent.

4.2 Solve Problem 4.1 if the beam is post-tensioned bonded and the tendon is draped. Use strain compatibility to determine the value of the tendon stress $f_{pu}$ at nominal stress. Design the anchorage zone reinforcement by the strut-and-tie method.

4.3 A double-T pretensioned roof beam is shown in Figure P4.3. It has a simple span of 74 ft (22.6 m) and carries superimposed service live and dead loads of 60 psf (2,873 Pa; $W_{SD}$ part of load = 25 psf). It also carries a 2-in. (5.1 cm) concrete topping. Design the prestressing reinforcement and the appropriate eccentricities using 270-grade prestressing strands ($f_{pu} = 1,862$ MPa) with a total prestress loss of 20 percent. Use the appropriate percentage of nonprestressed mild steel for partial prestressing behavior at the limit state at failure. Assume the strands to be harped at midspan, and sketch the reinforcing details including the anchorage zone strands. Also, draw the distribution of stresses for the various loading stages in your solution. The following data are given:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{pu} &= 270,000 \text{ psi, stress-relieved strands (1,862 MPa)} \\
    E_{ps} &= 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} (193 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f'_c &= 5,000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa) normal-weight concrete} \\
    f'_n &= 3,000 \text{ psi (20.7 MPa) normal-weight concrete} \\
    f'_n &= 4,000 \text{ psi} \\
    V/S &= 1.79 \text{ in.}
\end{align*}
\]
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Figure P4.3 Double-T cross section.

e_c = 17.71 in.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Untopped</th>
<th>Topped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_c</td>
<td>567 in.(^2) (3,658 cm(^2))</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_c</td>
<td>55,464 in.(^4)</td>
<td>71,886 in.(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c_b</td>
<td>21.21 in.</td>
<td>23.66 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c_t</td>
<td>10.79 in.</td>
<td>10.34 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_b</td>
<td>2,615 in.(^3)</td>
<td>3,038 in.(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S'_t</td>
<td>5,140 in.(^3)</td>
<td>6,952 in.(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W_D</td>
<td>591 plf</td>
<td>791 plf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 A bridge girder has a simple span of 55 ft (16.8 m). It is subjected to a total superimposed service load of 4,600 plf (67.2 kN/m). Design the section as a post-tensioned bonded beam using an AASHTO standard section with parabolically draped tendons. Assume a 7 in. situ-cast slab over the precast section and the following data:

Beams spaced at 7' - 6" c. to c.

\[
f_p = 270,000 \text{ psi stress relieved (1,862 MPa)}
\]

\[
E_p = 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (193 \times 10^3 MPa)}
\]

\[
f'_t = 5,000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa) normal-weight concrete}
\]

slab \(f'_t = 3,000 \text{ psi (20.7 MPa) normal-weight concrete}
\]

\[
f' = 4,000 \text{ psi (27.6 MPa)}
\]

Design the bridge section as unshored for service-load and ultimate-load conditions, and detail all the reinforcement including the anchorage zone steel and the nonprestressed tensile steel. Assume the section to be constant throughout the span, and, for the limit state at failure analysis, find the stress \(f_p\) at nominal strength by (a) the ACI approximate procedure, and (b) strain compatibility. Assume a total prestress loss of 20 percent. Design the anchorage zone reinforcement by the strut-and-tie method and compare the results with those obtained using the linear elastic analysis approach.

4.5 Solve problem 4.4 if the draped tendons are nonbonded, and compare the two solutions. Use the tie-and-strut method to design the anchorage zone reinforcement. Assume the tendons are harped at midspan.
5.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents procedures for the design of prestressed concrete sections to resist shear and torsional forces resulting from externally applied loads. Since the strength of concrete in tension is considerably lower than its strength in compression, design for shear and torsion becomes of major importance in all types of concrete structures.

The behavior of prestressed concrete beams at failure in shear or combined shear and torsion is distinctly different from their behavior in flexure: They fail abruptly without sufficient advance warning, and the diagonal cracks that develop are considerably wider than the flexural cracks. Both shear and torsional forces result in shear stress. Such a stress can result in principal tensile stresses at the critical section which can exceed the tensile strength of the concrete.

Photos in this chapter show typical beam shear failure and torsion failure. Notice the curvilinear plane of twist depicting torsional failure caused by the imposed torsional moments. As will be discussed in subsequent sections, the shearing stresses in regular beams are caused, not by direct shear or pure torsion, but by a combination of external

Empire State Performing Arts Center, Albany, New York, Ammann & Whitney design, prestressed concrete shell ring. (Courtesy, New York Office of General Services.)
loads and moments. This leads to diagonal tension, or flexural shear stresses, in the member. Only in special applications in certain structural systems are direct shear or pure torsion applied. Examples of such cases are corbels or brackets involving direct shear, or a cantilever balcony involving essentially a direct twist on the supporting beam.

5.2 BEHAVIOR OF HOMOGENEOUS BEAMS IN SHEAR

Consider the two infinitesimal elements, \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) of a rectangular beam in Figure 5.1(a) made of homogeneous, isotropic, and linearly elastic material. Figure 5.1(b) shows the bending stress and shear stress distributions across the depth of the section. The tensile normal stress \( f \) and the shear stress \( v \) are the values in element \( A_1 \) across plane \( a_1-a_1 \) at a distance \( y \) from the neutral axis. From the principles of classical mechanics, the normal stress \( f \) and the shear stress \( v \) for element \( A_1 \) can be written as

\[
f = \frac{My}{I}
\]

and

\[
v = \frac{VA_1}{lb} = \frac{VQ}{lb}
\]

where \( M \) and \( V \) = bending moment and shear force at section \( a_1-a_1 \)

\( A \) = cross-sectional area of the section at the plane passing through the centroid of element \( A_1 \)

![Figure 5.1](image)

**Figure 5.1** Stress distribution for a typical homogeneous rectangular beam.
Photo 5.1 Typical diagonal tension (flexure shear) failure at rupture load level. (Test by Navy et al.)

Figure 5.2 Stress state in elements $A_1$ and $A_2$. (a) Stress state in element $A_1$. (b) Mohr's circle representation, element $A_1$. (c) Stress state in element $A_2$. (d) Mohr's circle representation, element $A_2$. 
Figure 5.2  Continued

\( y = \) distance from the element to the neutral axis
\( \tilde{y} = \) distance from the centroid of \( A \) to the neutral axis
\( I = \) moment of inertia of the cross section
\( Q = \) statical moment of the cross-sectional area above or below that level about the neutral axis
\( b = \) width of the beam

Figure 5.2 shows the internal stresses acting on the infinitesimal elements \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \). Using Mohr's circle in Figure 5.2(b), the principal stresses for element \( A_1 \) in the tensile zone below the neutral axis become

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\text{max}} &= \frac{f_t}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{f_t}{2}\right)^2 + \nu^2} & \text{principal tension} \\
\sigma_{\text{min}} &= \frac{f_t}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{f_t}{2}\right)^2 + \nu^2} & \text{principal compression}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\tan 2\theta_{\text{max}} = \frac{\nu}{f_t/2}
\]

(5.3a)  
(5.3b)  
(5.3c)
5.3 Behavior of Concrete Beams as Nonhomogeneous Sections

The behavior of reinforced and prestressed concrete beams differs from that of steel beams in that the tensile strength of concrete is about one-tenth of its strength in compression. The compression stress $f_c$ in element $A_2$ of Figure 5.2(b) above the neutral axis prevents cracking, as the maximum principal stress in the element is in compression. For element $A_1$ below the neutral axis, the maximum principal stress is in tension; hence

**Photo 5.2** Simply supported beam prior to developing diagonal tension crack in flexure shear (load stage 11). (Test by Nawy et al.)

**Photo 5.3** Principal diagonal tension crack at failure of beam in the preceding photograph (load stage 12).
cracking ensues. As one moves toward the support, the bending moment and hence \( f_t \) decreases, accompanied by a corresponding increase in the shear stress. The principal stress \( f_{t_{(\text{max})}} \) in tension acts at an approximately 45\(^\circ\) plane to the normal at sections close to the support, as seen in Figure 5.3. Because of the low tensile strength of concrete, diagonal cracking develops along planes perpendicular to the planes of principal tensile stress—hence the term *diagonal tension cracks*. To prevent such cracks from openings, special diagonal tension reinforcement has to be provided.

If \( f_t \) close to the support of Figure 5.3, is assumed equal to zero, the element becomes nearly in a state of pure shear, and the principal tensile stress, using Equation 5.3b, would be equal to the shear stress \( \nu \) on a 45\(^\circ\) plane. It is this diagonal tension stress that causes the inclined cracks.

Definitive understanding of the correct shear mechanism in reinforced concrete is still incomplete. However, the approach of ACI-ASCE Joint Committee 426 gives a systematic empirical correlation of the basic concepts developed from extensive test results.

### 5.4 CONCRETE BEAMS WITHOUT DIAGONAL TENSION REINFORCEMENT

In regions of large bending moments, cracks develop almost perpendicular to the axis of the beam. These cracks are called *flexural cracks*. In regions of high shear due to the diagonal tension, the inclined cracks develop as an extension of the flexural crack and are termed *flexure shear cracks*. Figure 5.4 portrays the types of cracks expected in a reinforced concrete beam with or without adequate diagonal tension reinforcement.

In prestressed beams, the section is mostly in compression at service load. From Figures 5.2(c) and (d), the principal stresses for element \( A_2 \) would be

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_{t_{(\text{max})}} &= \frac{-f_c}{2} + \sqrt{(f_c/2)^2 + \nu^2} & \text{principal tension} \quad (5.4a) \\
  f_{c_{(\text{max})}} &= \frac{-f_c}{2} - \sqrt{(f_c/2)^2 + \nu^2} & \text{principal compression} \quad (5.4b)
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\tan 2\theta_{\text{max}} = \frac{\nu}{f_c/2} \quad (5.4c)
\]
5.4 Modes of Failure of Beams Without Diagonal Tension Reinforcement

The slenderness of the beam, that is, its shear span-to-depth ratio, determines the failure mode of the beam. Figure 5.5 demonstrates schematically the failure patterns for the different slenderness ratio limits. The shear span \( a \) for concentrated load is the distance between the point of application of the load and the face of support. For distributed loads, the shear span \( l_c \) is the clear beam span. Fundamentally, three modes of failure or their combinations occur: flexural failure, diagonal tension failure, and shear compression failure (web shear). The more slender the beam, the stronger the tendency toward flexural behavior, as seen from the following discussion.

5.4.1 Modes of Failure of Beams Without Diagonal Tension Reinforcement

In the region of flexural failure, cracks are mainly vertical in the middle third of the beam span and perpendicular to the lines of principal stress. These cracks result from a very small shear stress \( \gamma \) and a dominant flexural stress \( f \) which results in almost horizontal principal stress \( f_{\text{max}} \). In such a failure mode, a few very fine vertical cracks start to develop in the midspan area at about 50 percent of the failure load in flexure. As the external load increases, additional cracks develop in the central region of the span and the initial cracks widen and extend deeper toward the neutral axis and beyond, with a marked increase in the deflection of the beam. If the beam is underreinforced, failure occurs in a ductile manner by initial yielding of the main longitudinal flexural reinforcement. This type of behavior gives ample warning of the imminence of collapse of the beam. The shear span-to-depth ratio for this behavior exceeds a value of 5.5 in the case of concentrated loading, and in excess of 16 for distributed loading.

5.4.3 Diagonal Tension Failure [Flexure Shear, FS]

Diagonal tension failure precipitates if the strength of the beam in diagonal tension is lower than its strength in flexure. The shear span-to-depth ratio is of intermediate magnitude, varying between 2.5 and 5.5 for the case of concentrated loading. Such beams can be considered of intermediate slenderness. Cracking starts with the development of a few fine vertical flexural cracks at midspan, followed by the destruction of the bond between the reinforcing steel and the surrounding concrete at the support. Thereafter, without ample warning of impending failure, two or three diagonal cracks develop at about \( 1\frac{1}{4}d \) to \( 2d \) distance from the face of the support in the case of reinforced concrete beams, and usually at about a quarter of the span in the case of prestressed concrete beams. As they stabilize, one of the diagonal cracks widens into a principal diagonal tension crack and extends to the top compression fibers of the beam, as seen in Figure 5.5(b) and 5.5(c).
Notice that the flexural cracks do not propagate to the neutral axis in this essentially brittle failure mode, which has relatively small deflection at failure.

Although the maximum external shear is at the support, the critical location of the maximum principal stress in tension is not. It is considerably reduced at that section because of the high compression force of the prestressing tendon, in addition to the vertical compression force of the beam reaction at the supports. This is the reason why the stabilized diagonal crack is located further into the span, depending on the magnitude of the prestressing force and the variation in its eccentricity, with an average value of about one-quarter of the span in flanged prestressed beams. In sum, the diagonal tension failure is the result of the combination of the flexural and shear stresses, taking into account the balancing contribution of the vertical component of the prestressing force, and noted by a combination of flexural and diagonal cracks. It is best termed flexure shear in the case of prestressed beams, and is more common to account for than web shear, discussed next.
5.4.4 Shear Compression Failure [Web Shear, WS]

Beams that are most subject to shear compression failure have a small span-to-depth ratio of magnitude 2.5 for the case of concentrated loading and less than 5.0 for distributed loading. As in the diagonal tension case, a few fine flexural cracks start to develop at midspan and stop propagating as destruction of the bond occurs between the longitudinal bars and the surrounding concrete at the support region. Thereafter, an inclined crack steeper than in the diagonal tension case suddenly develops and proceeds to propagate toward the neutral axis. The rate of its progress is reduced with the crushing of the con-

Photo 5.4  Shear failure in prestressed I-beam (Nawy et al.).

Photo 5.5  Installation of precast prestressed double-T floor beams in a multifloor office structure.
crete in the top compression fibers and a redistribution of stresses within the top region. Sudden failure takes place as the principal inclined crack dynamically joins the crushed concrete zone, as illustrated in Figure 5.5(c). This type of failure can be considered relatively less brittle than the diagonal tension failure due to the stress redistribution. Yet it is, in fact, a brittle type of failure with limited warning, and such a design should be avoided completely.

A concrete beam or element is not homogeneous, and the strength of the concrete throughout the span is subject to a normally distributed variation. Hence, one cannot expect that a stabilized failure diagonal crack occurs at both ends of the beam. Also, because of these properties, overlapping combinations of flexure–diagonal tension failure and diagonal tension–shear compression failure can occur at overlapping shear span-to-depth ratios. If the appropriate amount of shear reinforcement is provided, brittle failure of horizontal members can be eliminated with little additional cost.

It should be emphasized that most failures tend to occur by diagonal tension, which is a combination of flexure and shear effects. The shear-compression type of failure, with the resulting crushing of the top compressive area of the concrete and failure to resist the flexural forces, leads to separation of the tension flange from the web in the flanged section as the inclined crack extends towards the support. Crushing of the web of the section causes the beam to resemble a tied arch. This type of failure in prestressed beams can be better described as web-shear failure. It is important to evaluate both the flexure-shear capacity and the web-shear capacity of each critical section in order to determine which type predominates in determining the shear strength of the concrete section.

The distribution of the maximum horizontal shearing stress in an uncracked flanged section is shown in Figure 5.6. Because of the abrupt change of section width at the corner A, a check of the capacity of the section at critical locations along the span becomes necessary, particularly for web-shear failure.

### 5.5 SHEAR AND PRINCIPAL STRESSES IN PRESTRESSED BEAMS

As mentioned in Section 5.4, flexure shear in prestressed concrete beams includes the effect of the externally applied compressive prestressing force that the reinforced concrete beam does not have. The vertical component of the prestressing tendon force reduces the vertical shear caused by the external transverse load, and the net transverse load to which a beam is subjected is markedly less in prestressed than in reinforced concrete beams.

Additionally, the compressive force of the prestressing tendon, even in cases of straight tendons, considerably reduces the effect of the tensile flexural stresses, so that the extent and magnitude of flexural cracking in prestressed members are reduced. As a
5.5 Shear and Principal Stresses In Prestressed Beams

result, the shear forces and the resulting principal stresses in a prestressed beam are considerably lower than those same forces and stresses in reinforced concrete beams, all else being equal. Consequently, the basic equations developed for prestressed concrete in shear are identical to those developed for reinforced concrete and described in detail in Refs. 5.3 and 5.4. Figure 5.7 illustrates the contributions of the vertical component of the tendon force in counterbalancing part or most of the vertical shear V caused by the external transverse load. The net shearing force \( V_c \) carried by the concrete is

\[
V_c = V - V_p
\]  
(5.5)

From Equation 5.2, the net unit shearing stress \( \nu \) at any depth of the cross section is

\[
\nu_c = \frac{V_cQ}{lb}
\]  
(5.6)

The compressive fiber-stress distribution \( f_c \) due to the external bending moment is

\[
f_c = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \pm \frac{P_{ec}}{I_c} + \frac{M_{ec}}{I_c}
\]  
(5.7)

and the principal tensile stress, from Equation 5.4a, is

\[
f' = \sqrt{(f_c/2)^2 + \nu_c^2 - \nu_c^2/2}
\]  
(5.8)

5.5.1 Flexure-Shear Strength \([V_c] \)

To design for shear, it is necessary to determine whether flexure shear or web shear controls the choice of concrete shear strength \( V_c \). The inclined stabilized crack at a distance \( d/2 \) from a flexural crack that develops at the first cracking load in flexure shear is shown in Figure 5.8. If the effective depth is \( d_e \), the depth from the compression fibers to the
centroid of the longitudinal prestressed reinforcement, the change in moment between sections 2 and 3 is

\[ M - M_{cr} = \frac{Vd_p}{2} \]  

(5.9a)

or

\[ V = \frac{M_{cr}}{M/V - d_p/2} \]  

(5.9b)

where \( V \) is the shear at the section under consideration. Extensive experimental tests indicate that an additional vertical shear force of magnitude \( 0.6b_d d_p \sqrt{f'_c} \) is needed to fully develop the inclined crack in Figure 5.8 (Ref. 5.5). Hence, the total vertical shear acting at plane 2 of Figure 5.8 is
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\[
V_{ci} = \frac{M_{cr}}{M/V - d_p/2} + 0.6b_w d_p \sqrt{f_c' + V_d}
\]

(5.10)

where \(V_i\) is the vertical shear due to self-weight. The vertical component \(V_p\) of the pre-stressing force is disregarded in Equation 5.10, since it is small along the span sections where the pre-stressing tendon is not too steep.

The value of \(V\) in Equation 5.10 is the factored shear force \(V_i\) at the section under consideration due to externally applied loads occurring simultaneously with the maximum moment \(M_{\text{max}}\) occurring at that section, i.e.,

\[
V_{ci} = 0.6\lambda \sqrt{f_c' b_w d_p} + V_d + \frac{V_i}{M_{\text{max}}} (M_{\text{cr}}) \geq 1.7\lambda \sqrt{f_c' b_w d_p}
\]

(5.11) \[\leq 5.0\lambda \sqrt{f_c' b_w d_p}\]

where \(\lambda = 1.0\) for normal-weight concrete

= 0.85 for sand-lightweight concrete

= 0.75 for all-lightweight concrete

\(V_d\) = shear force at section due to unfactored dead load

\(V_{ci}\) = nominal shear strength provided by the concrete when diagonal tension cracking results from combined vertical shear and moment

\(V_i\) = factored shear force at section due to externally applied load occurring simultaneously with \(M_{\text{max}}\).

For lightweight concrete, \(\lambda = f_{ce}/6.7 \sqrt{f_c'}\) if the value of the tensile splitting strength \(f_{ce}\) is known. Note that the value \(\sqrt{f_c'}\) should not exceed 100.

The equation for \(M_{cr}\), the moment causing flexural cracking due to external load, is given by

\[
M_{cr} = \frac{f_c}{y_i} (6 \sqrt{f_c'} + f_{ce} - f_d)
\]

(5.12)

In the ACI code, \(f_{ce}\) is termed as \(f_{pe}\)

where \(f_{ce}\) = concrete compressive stress due to effective prestress after losses at extreme fibers of section where tensile stress is caused by external load, psi. At the centroid, \(f_{ce} = f_c\)

\(f_d\) = stress due to unfactored dead load at extreme fiber of section resulting from self-weight only where tensile stress is caused by externally applied load, psi

\(y_i\) = distance from centroidal axis to extreme fibers in tension.

and \(M_{cr}\) = that portion of the applied live load moment that causes cracking. For simplicity, \(S_p\) may be substituted for \(I/y_i\).

A plot of Equation 5.10 is given in Figure 5.9 with experimental data from Ref. 5.6. Compare this plot with an analogous one in Figure 6.6 of Ref. 5.3 for reinforced concrete where an asymptotic horizontal value of shear is achieved along the span.

Note that in shear design of composite sections, the same design stipulations used for precast sections apply. This is because design for shear is based on the limit state at failure due to factored loads. Although the entire composite section resists the factored shear as a monolithic section, calculation of the shear strength \(V_i\) should be based on the properties of the precast section since most of the shear strength is provided by the web of the precast section. Consequently, \(f_{ce}\) and \(f_d\) in Equation 5.12 are calculated using the precast section geometry.
5.5.2 Web-Shear Strength \([V_{cw}]\)

The web-shear crack in the prestressed beam is caused by an indeterminate stress that can best be evaluated by calculating the principal tensile stress at the critical plane from Equation 5.8. The shear stress \(v_c\) can be defined as the web shear stress \(v_{cw}\) and is maximum near the centroid \(cg\) of the section where the actual diagonal crack develops, as extensive tests to failure have indicated. If \(v_{cw}\) is substituted for \(v_c\) and \(f_c\), which denotes the concrete stress \(f_c\) due to effective prestress at the cg level, is substituted for \(f_r\) in the equation, the expression equating the principal tensile stress in the concrete to the direct tensile strength becomes

\[
f'_r = \sqrt{(\bar{f}/2)} + \frac{f_{cw}^2}{2}
\]

where \(v_{cw} = V_{cw}/(b_w d_p)\) is the shear stress in the concrete due to all loads causing a nominal strength vertical shear force \(V_{cw}\) in the web. Solving for \(v_{cw}\) in Equation 5.13 gives

\[
v_{cw} = f'_r \sqrt{1 + \frac{f_{cw}}{f'_r}}
\]

Using \(f'_r = 3.5 \sqrt{f_c}\) as a reasonable value of the tensile stress on the basis of extensive tests, Equation 5.14(a) becomes

\[
v_{cw} = 3.5 \sqrt{f'_r} (\sqrt{1 + \frac{f_{cw}}{3.5 \sqrt{f_c}}})
\]

which can be further simplified to

\[
v_{cw} = 3.5 \sqrt{f'_r} + 0.3 \bar{f}_c
\]

In the ACI code, \(f_c\) is termed \(f_{pc}\). The notation used herein is intended to emphasize that this is the stress in the concrete, and not the prestressing steel. The nominal shear strength \(V_{cw}\) provided by the concrete when diagonal cracking results from excessive principal tensile stress in the web becomes
\[ V_{cw} = (3.5\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} + 0.3\bar{f}_c)b_u d_p + V_p \]  
(5.15)

where \( V_p \) = the vertical component of the effective prestress at the particular section contributing to added nominal strength
\( \lambda = 1.0 \) for normal-weight concrete, and less for lightweight concrete
\( d_p \) = distance from the extreme compression fiber to the centroid of prestressed steel, or 0.8h, whichever is greater.

The ACI code stipulates the value of \( \bar{f}_c \) to be the resultant concrete compressive stress at either the centroid of the section or the junction of the web and the flange when the centroid lies within the flange. In case of composite sections, \( \bar{f}_c \) is calculated on the basis of stresses caused by prestress and moments resisted by the precast member acting alone. A plot relating the nominal web shear stress \( V_{cw} \) to the centroidal compressive stress in the concrete is given in Figure 5.10. Note the similarity between the plots of Equations 5.14b and c, showing that the approximation used in the latter linearized equation is justified. The code also allows using a value of 1.0 instead of 0.3 in the second term inside the bracket in Equation 5.15.

### 5.5.3 Controlling Values of \( V_{c1} \) and \( V_{cw} \) for the Determination of Web Concrete Strength \( V_c \)

The ACI code has the following additional stipulations for calculating \( V_{c1} \) and \( V_{cw} \) in order to choose the required value of \( V_c \) in the design:

(a) In pretensioned members where the section at a distance \( h/2 \) from the face of the support is closer to the end of the member than the transfer length of the prestressing tendon, a reduced prestressed value has to be considered when computing \( V_{cw} \). This value of \( V_{cw} \) has to be taken as the maximum limit of \( V_c \) in the expression

\[ V_{cw} = \left( 0.6\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} + 700 \frac{V_p d_p}{M_u} \right) b_u d_p \geq 2\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} b u d_p \]
\[ \leq 5\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} b_u d_p \]
(5.16)

![Figure 5.10](image-url)  
**Figure 5.10** Centroidal compressive stress vs. nominal shear stress in web-shear cracking.
the value \( V_{ud}/M_c \) cannot exceed 1.0.

(b) In pretensioned members where bonding of some tendons does not extend to the end of the member, a reduced prestress has to be considered when computing \( V_c \) in accordance with Equation 5.16 or with the lesser of the two values of \( V_c \) obtained from Equations 5.11 and 5.15. Also, the value of \( V_{uw} \) calculated using the reduced prestress must consequently be taken to be the maximum limit of Equation 5.16.

(c) Equation 5.16 can be used in determining \( V_c \) for members where the effective prestress force is not less than 40 percent of the tensile strength of the flexural reinforcement, unless a more detailed analysis is performed using Equations 5.11 for \( V_{ci} \) and 5.15 for \( V_{uw} \) and choosing the lesser of these two as the limiting \( V_c \) value to be used as the capacity of the web in designing the web reinforcement.

(d) The first plane for the total required nominal shear strength \( V_n = V_u/\phi \) to be used for web steel calculation is also at a distance \( h/2 \) from the face of the support.

5.6 WEB-SHEAR REINFORCEMENT

5.6.1 Web Steel Planar Truss Analogy

In order to prevent diagonal cracks from developing in prestressed members, whether due to flexure-shear or web-shear action, steel reinforcement has to be provided, ideally in the form of the solid lines depicting tensile stress trajectories in Figure 5.3. However, practical considerations preclude such a solution, and other forms of reinforcement are improvised to neutralize the tensile stresses at the critical shear failure planes. The mode of failure in shear reduces the beam to a simulated arched section in compression at the top and tied at the bottom by the longitudinal beam tension bars, as seen in Figure 5.11(a). If one isolates the main concrete compression element shown in Figure 5.11(b), it can be considered as the compression member of a triangular truss, as shown in Figure 5.11(c), with the polygon of forces \( C_c, T_b, \) and \( T_s \) representing the forces acting on the truss members—hence the expression truss analogy. Force \( C_c \) is the compression in the simulated concrete strut, force \( T_b \) is the tensile force increment of the main longitudinal tension bar, and \( T_s \) is the force in the bent bar. Figure 5.12(a) shows the analogy truss for the case of using vertical stirrups instead of inclined bars, with the forces polygon having a vertical tensile force \( T_s \) instead of the inclined one in Figure 5.11(c).

As can be seen from the previous discussion, the shear reinforcement basically performs four main functions:

1. It carries a portion of the external factored shear force \( V_u \).
2. It restricts the growth of the diagonal cracks.
3. It holds the longitudinal main reinforcing bars in place so that they can provide the dowel capacity needed to carry the flexural load.
4. It provides some confinement to the concrete in the compression zone if the stirrups are in the form of closed ties.

5.6.2 Web Steel Resistance

If \( V_c \), the nominal shear resistance of the plain web concrete, is less than the nominal total vertical shearing force \( V_u/\phi = V_n \), web reinforcement has to be provided to carry the difference in the two values; hence,

\[
V_t = V_n - V_c
\]  

(5.17)
5.6 Web-Shear Reinforcement

Figure 5.11 Diagonal tension failure mechanism. (a) Failure pattern. (b) Concrete simulated strut. (c) Planar truss analogy.

Here, $V_s$ is the lesser of $V_{cl}$ and $V_{cw}$. $V_s$ can be calculated from Equation 5.11 or 5.15, and $V_s$ can be determined from equilibrium analysis of the bar forces in the analogous triangular truss cell. From Figure 5.11(c),

$$V_s = T_s \sin \alpha = C_s \sin \beta$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.18a)

where $T_s$ is the force resultant of all web stirrups across the diagonal crack plane and $n$ is the number of spacings $s$. If $s_1 = ns$ in the bottom tension chord of the analogous truss cell, then

$$s_1 = j d (\cot \alpha + \cot \beta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.18b)

Assuming that moment arm $jd = d$, the stirrup force per unit length from Equations 5.18a and b, where $s_1 = ns$, becomes

$$\frac{T_s}{s_1} = \frac{T_s}{ns} = \frac{V_s}{\sin \alpha d (\cot \beta + \cot \alpha)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.18c)
Figure 5.12  Web steel arrangement. (a) Truss analogy for vertical stirrups. (b) Three-dimensional view of vertical stirrups. (c) Spacing of web steel.
If there are \( n \) inclined stirrups within the length \( s \) of the analogous truss chord, and if \( A_v \) is the area of one inclined stirrup, then
\[
T_v = nA_v f_y
\]

Hence,
\[
nA_v = \frac{V_s ns}{d \sin \alpha (\cot \beta + \cot \alpha) f_y}
\]

But it can be assumed that in the case of diagonal tension failure the compression diagonal makes an angle \( \beta = 45^\circ \) with the horizontal; so Equation 5.19b becomes
\[
V_s = \frac{A_v f_y d}{s} \left[ \sin \alpha (1 + \cot \alpha) \right]
\]
or
\[
V_s = \frac{A_v f_y d}{s} (\sin \alpha + \cos \alpha)
\]

or, solving for \( s \) and using the fact that \( V_s = V_n - V_c \),
\[
s = \frac{A_v f_y d}{V_n - V_c} (\sin \alpha + \cos \alpha)
\]

If the inclined web steel consists of a single bar or a single group of bars all bent at the same distance from the face of the support, then
\[
V_s = A_v f_y \sin \alpha \approx 3.0 \sqrt{f_y' b_w d}
\]

If vertical stirrups are used, angle \( \alpha \) becomes \( 90^\circ \), giving
\[
V_s = \frac{A_v f_y d_p}{s}
\]
or
\[
s = \frac{A_v f_y d}{(V_s/\phi) - V_c} = \frac{A_v \phi f_y d_p}{V_u - \phi V_c}
\]

In Equations 5.21a and b, \( d_p \) is the distance from the extreme compression fibers to the centroid of the prestressing reinforcement, and \( d \) is the corresponding distance to the centroid of the nonprestressed reinforcement. The value of \( d_p \) need not be less than \( 0.80h \).

### 5.6.3 Limitation on Size and Spacing of Stirrups

Equations 5.20 and 5.21 give an inverse relationship between the spacing of the stirrups and the shear force or shear stress they resist, with the spacing \( s \) decreasing with the increase in \( (V_n - V_c) \). In order for every potential diagonal crack to be resisted by a vertical stirrup, as shown in Figure 5.11(c), maximum spacing limitations are to be applied for the vertical stirrups as follows:

(a) \( s_{max} \leq 0.25 h \leq 24 \text{ in.} \), where \( h \) is the total depth of the section.
(b) If \( V_s > 4 \lambda \sqrt{f_y' b_w d_p} \), the maximum spacing in (a) shall be reduced by half.
(c) If \( V_s > 8 \lambda \sqrt{f_y' b_w d_p} \), enlarge the section.
(d) If \( V_u = \phi V_n > \frac{1}{2} \phi V_e \), a minimum area of shear reinforcement has to be provided. This area may be computed by the equation

\[
A_v = 0.75 \sqrt{f_c} \frac{b_w s}{f_y} \quad \text{or} \quad A_v = \frac{50 b_w s}{f_y} \quad \text{whichever larger} \quad (5.22a)
\]

If the effective prestress force \( P_e \) is equal to or greater than 40 percent of the tensile strength of the flexural reinforcement, the equation

\[
A_v = \frac{A_{pu} f_{pu} s}{80 f_y d_p} \left\lfloor \frac{d_p}{b_w} \right\rfloor
\]

which gives a lesser required minimum \( A_v \), may be used instead.

(e) The web reinforcement must develop the full required development length in order to be effective. This means that the stirrups or mesh should extend to the compression and tension surfaces of the section, less the clear concrete cover requirement and a 90° or 135° hook used at the compression side.

A typical qualitative diagram showing the zone along the span of a uniformly loaded prestressed beam for which web reinforcement has to be provided is given in Figure 5.13. The shaded area is the envelope of excess shear \( V_e \), requiring web steel.

### 5.7 HORIZONTAL SHEAR STRENGTH IN COMPOSITE CONSTRUCTION

Full transfer of horizontal shear forces has to be assumed at the contact surfaces of the interconnected elements.

#### 5.7.1 Service-Load Level

The maximum horizontal shear stress \( v_n \) can be evaluated from the basic principles of mechanics and the equation
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\[ v_h = \frac{VQ}{I_v b_v} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.23)

where \( V \) = unfactored design vertical shear acting on the composite section
\( Q \) = moment of area about cgc of the segment above or below cgc
\( I_v \) = moment of inertia of entire composite section
\( b_v \) = contact width of precast section web, or width of section at which horizontal shear is being calculated.

Equation 5.23 can be simplified to

\[ v_h = \frac{V}{b_v d_{pc}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.24)

where \( d_{pc} \) is the effective depth from the extreme compression fibers of the composite section to the centroid cgs of the prestressing reinforcement.

5.7.2 Ultimate-Load Level

Direct Method. In the limit state at failure, Equation 5.24 can be modified such that the factored load \( V_u \) can be substituted for \( V \) to give

\[ v_{nh} = \frac{V_u}{b_v d_{pc}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.25a)

or, in terms of the nominal vertical shear strength \( V_n \)

\[ v_{nh} = \frac{V_u/\phi}{b_v d_{pc}} = \frac{V_n}{b_v d_{pc}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.25b)

where \( \phi = 0.75 \). If \( V_{nh} \) is the nominal horizontal shear strength, then \( V_u \leq V_{nh} \) and the total nominal shear strength is

\[ V_{nh} = v_{nh} b_v d_{pc} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.25c)

The ACI code limits \( v_{nh} \) to 80 psi if no dowels or vertical ties are provided and the contact surface is roughened, or if minimum vertical ties are provided but there is no roughening of the surface of contact. \( v_{nh} \) can go up to 500 psi; otherwise the friction theory, with the following assumptions, has to be used:

(a) When no vertical ties are provided, but the contact surface of the precast element is intentionally roughened, use

\[ V_{nh} \leq 80 A_c \leq 80 b_v d_{pc} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.26a)

where \( A_c \) is the area of concrete resisting shear = \( b_v d_{pc} \).

(b) When minimum vertical ties are provided, where \( A_v = 50(b_v s) f_y \), but the contact surface of precast elements is not roughened, use

\[ V_{nh} \leq 80 b_v d_{pc} \]

(c) If the contact surface of the precast element is roughened to a full amplitude of \( \frac{1}{4} \) in., and minimum vertical steel in (b) is provided, use

\[ V_{nh} \leq 500 b_v d_{pc} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.26b)

(d) If the factored shear \( V_u > \phi(500 b_v d_{pc}) \), the shear friction theory can be used to design the dowel reinforcement. In this case, all horizontal shear has to be taken by ties in the perpendicular plane such that
\[ V_{nh} = \mu A_{vf} f_y \]  
(5.27)

where \( A_{vf} \) = area of shear-friction reinforcement, \(^2\) in.

\( f_y \) = design yield strength, not to exceed 60,000 psi

\( \mu \) = coefficient of friction

= 1.0\( \lambda \) for concrete placed against intentionally roughened concrete surface

= 0.60\( \lambda \) for concrete placed against unroughened concrete surface

\( \lambda \) = factor for type of concrete.

In all cases, the nominal shear strength \( V_n \leq 0.20 f'_c A_{cc} \leq 800 A_{cc} \), where \( A_{cc} \) is the concrete contact area resisting shear transfer. Note that in most cases, the shear stress \( v_{nh} \) resulting from the factored shear force does not exceed 500 psi. Hence, the shear friction theory is not normally necessary in designing the dowel reinforcement for composite action.

The maximum allowable spacing of the dowels or ties for horizontal shear is the smaller of four times the least dimension of the supported section and 24 inches.

**Figure 5.14** Composite action forces (\( F_n \) acts longitudinally along the beam span). (a) Positive-moment section. (b) Negative-moment section.
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Basic Method. The ACI code allows an alternative method wherein horizontal shear is investigated by computing the actual change in compressive or tensile force in any plane and transferring that force as horizontal shear to the supporting elements. The area-of-contact surface $A_{cc}$ is substituted for $b_d d_{pc}$ in Equations 5.25b and c to give

$$V_{nh} = v_{nh} A_{cc}$$

(5.28)

where $V_{nh} \geq F_h$, the horizontal shear force, and is at least equal to the compressive force $C$ or tensile force $T$ in Figure 5.14. (See Equation 5.30 for the value of $F_h$.)

The value of the contact area $A_{cc}$ can be defined as

$$A_{cc} = b_i l_{ih}$$

(5.29)

where $l_{ih}$ is the horizontal shear length defined in Figures 5.15(a) and (b) for simple span and continuous span members, respectively.

5.7.3 Design of Composite-Action Dowel Reinforcement

Ties for horizontal shear may consist of single bars or wires, multiple leg stirrups, or vertical legs of welded wire fabric. The spacing cannot exceed four times the least dimension of the support element or 24 in., whichever is less. If $\mu$ is the coefficient of friction, then the nominal horizontal shear force $F_h$ in Figure 5.14 can be defined as

$$F_h = \mu A_{sf} f_y \leq V_{nh}$$

(5.30)

The ACI values of $\mu$ are based on a limit shear-friction strength of 800 psi, a quite conservative value as demonstrated by extensive testing (Ref. 5.7). The Prestressed Concrete Institute (Ref. 5.8) recommends, for concrete placed against an intentionally roughened concrete surface, a maximum $\mu_e = 2.9$ instead of $\mu = 1.0\lambda$, and a maximum design shear force

$$V_s \leq 0.25 \lambda_2 f'_{c' } A_{c} \leq 1,000 \lambda^2 A_{cc}$$

(5.31a)

with a required area of shear-friction steel of

$$A_{sf} = \frac{V_{nh}}{\phi f_y \mu_e}$$

(5.31b)
or

\[ A_{cf} = \frac{V_{nh}}{\mu_{e} f_{y}} = \frac{F_{h}}{\mu_{e} f_{y}} \quad (5.31c) \]

Using the PCI less conservative values, Equation 5.31c becomes

\[ F_{h} \leq \mu_{e} A_{cf} f_{y} \leq V_{nh} \quad (5.32) \]

with

\[ \mu_{e} = \frac{1,000 \lambda^2 b_{d} l_{nh}}{F_{h}} \leq 2.9 \]

where \( b_{d} l_{nh} = A_{cc} \). The minimum reinforcement is

\[ A_{cf} = \frac{50b_{s} s}{f_{y}} = \frac{50b_{d} l_{nh}}{f_{y}} \quad (5.33) \]

### 5.8 WEB REINFORCEMENT DESIGN PROCEDURE FOR SHEAR

The following is a summary of a recommended sequence of design steps:

1. Determine the required nominal shear strength value \( V_{n} = V_{u}/\phi \) at a distance \( h/2 \) from the face of the support, \( \phi = 0.75 \).
2. Calculate the nominal shear strength \( V_{c} \) that the web has by one of the following two methods.
   
   **(a) ACI conservative method if \( f_{pc} > 0.40 f_{pu} \)**

   \[ V_{c} = \left( 0.60 \sqrt{f'_{c}} + \frac{700 V_{a} d_{p}}{M_{u}} \right) b_{w} d_{p} \]

   where \( 2 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_{w} d_{p} \leq V_{c} \leq 5 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_{w} d_{p} \) and where \( V_{a} d_{p}/M_{u} \leq 1.0 \) and \( V_{a} \) is calculated at the same section for which \( M_{u} \) is calculated.

   If the average tensile splitting strength \( f_{ps} \) is specified for lightweight concrete, then \( \lambda = f_{ps}/6.7 \sqrt{f'_{c}} \) with \( \sqrt{f'_{c}} \) not to exceed a value of 100.

   **(b) Detailed analysis where \( V_{c} \) is the lesser of \( V_{ci} \) and \( V_{cw} \)**

   \[ V_{ci} = 0.60 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_{w} d_{p} + V_{d} + \frac{V_{i} - (M_{er})}{M_{max}} \geq 1.7 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_{w} d_{p} \leq 5.0 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_{w} d_{f} \]

   \[ V_{cw} = (3.5 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} + 0.3 \sqrt{f'_{c}}) b_{w} d_{p} + V_{p} \]

   using \( d_{p} \) or 0.8\( h \), whichever is larger, and

   where \( M_{er} = (l_{i}/y_{i}) (6 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} + f_{ce} - f_{d}) \)

   or \( M_{er} = S_{p} (6 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} + f_{ce} - f_{d}) \)

   \[ V_{i} = \text{factored shear force at section due to externally applied loads occurring simultaneously with } M_{\text{max}} \]

   \( f_{ce} \) = compressive stress in concrete after occurrence of all losses at extreme fibers of section where external load causes tension. \( f_{ce} \) becomes \( f_{ce} \) for the stress at the centroid of the section.
3. If $V_u / \phi \leq V_c$, no web steel is needed. If $V_u / \phi > V_c$, provide minimum reinforcement. If $V_u / \phi > V_c$ and $V_u = V_u / \phi - V_c \leq 8 \lambda \sqrt{f_y' b_u d_p}$, design the web steel. If $V_u = V_u / \phi - V_c > 8 \lambda \sqrt{f_y' b_u d_p}$, or if $V_u > \phi(V_u + 8 \lambda \sqrt{f_y' b_u d_p})$, enlarge the section.

4. Calculate the required minimum web reinforcement. The spacing is $s \leq 0.75h$ or 24 in., whichever is smaller.

$$\text{Min. } A_v = 0.75 \sqrt{f_y' \frac{b_s s}{f_y}} \quad \text{or} \quad A_v = \frac{50 b_s s}{f_y} \quad \text{whichever is larger.}$$

If $f_y' \geq 0.40 f_{pu}$, a less conservative $\text{Min } A_v$ is the smaller of

$$A_v = \frac{A_{ps} f_{pu}}{80 f_y' d_p} \sqrt{\frac{d_p}{b_u}}$$

where $d_p \geq 0.80h$, and

$$A_v = \frac{50 b_s s}{f_y} \quad \text{or} \quad A_v = 0.75 \sqrt{f_y' \frac{b_s s}{f_y}}$$

5. Calculate the required web reinforcement size and spacing. If $V_u = (V_u / \phi - V_c) \leq 4 \lambda \sqrt{f_y' b_u d_p}$, then the stirrup spacing $s$ is as required by the design expressions in step 6, to follow. If $V_u = (V_u / \phi - V_c) > 4 \lambda \sqrt{f_y' b_u d_p}$, then the stirrup spacing $s$ is half the spacing required by the design expressions in step 6.

6.

$$s = \frac{A_{ps} f_y d_p}{(V_u / \phi) - V_c} = \frac{A_{ps} f_y d_p}{V_u - \phi V_c} \leq 0.75h \leq 24 \text{ in.} \equiv \text{minimum } s \text{ from step 4}$$

7. Draw the shear envelope over the beam span, and mark the band requiring web steel.

8. Sketch the size and distribution of web stirrups along the span using #3- or #4-size stirrups as preferable, but no larger size than #6 stirrups.

9. Design the vertical dowel reinforcement in cases of composite sections.

   (a) $V_{nh} \leq 80 b_s d_{pc}$ for both roughened contact and no vertical ties or dowels, and nonroughened but with minimum vertical ties, use

   $$A_v = \frac{50 b_s s}{f_y} = \frac{50 b_s l_{nh}}{f_y}$$

   (b) $V_{nh} \leq 500 b_s d_{pc}$ for a roughened contact surface with full amplitude 1 in.

   (c) For cases where $V_{nh} > 500 b_s d_{pc}$, design vertical ties for $V_{nh} = A_{vf} f_y \mu$,

   where $A_{vf} = \text{area of frictional steel dowels}$

   $\mu = \text{coefficient of friction} = 1.0$ for intentionally roughened surface,

   $\lambda = 1.0$ for normal-weight concrete. In all cases, $V_b \leq V_{nh} \leq 0.2 f' c A_{cc} \leq 800 A_{cc}$, where $A_{cc} = b_f l_{nh}$.

   An alternative method of determining the dowel reinforcement area $A_{vf}$ is by computing the horizontal force $F_h$ at the concrete contact surface such that

   $$F_h \leq \mu_v A_{vf} f_y \leq V_{nh}$$

   where

   $$\mu_v = \frac{1,000 \lambda^2 b_s l_{nh}}{F_h} \leq 2.9$$

   Figure 5.16 outlines the foregoing steps in flowchart form.
Figure 5.16 Flowchart for shear-web reinforcement.
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5.9 PRINCIPAL TENSILE STRESSES IN FLANGED SECTIONS AND DESIGN OF DOWEL-ACTION VERTICAL STEEL IN COMPOSITE SECTIONS

Example 5.1

A prestressed concrete T-beam section has the distribution of compressive service load shown in Figure 5.17. The unfactored design external vertical shear $V = 120,000$ lb (554 kN), and the factored vertical shear $V_f = 190,000$ lb (845 kN).

(a) Compute the principal tensile stress at the centroidal cg axis and at the reentrant corner A of the web-flange junction, and calculate the maximum horizontal shearing stresses at service load for these locations.

(b) Compute the required nominal horizontal shear strength at the interaction surface A–A between the precast web and the situ-cast flange, and design the necessary vertical ties or dowels to prevent fracture slip at A–A, thereby ensuring complete composite action. Use the ACI direct method, and assume that the contact surface is intentionally roughened. Given data are as follows:

$f_w$ for web = 6,000 psi normal-weight concrete

$f_c$ for flange = 3,000 psi normal-weight concrete

Effective width of flange $b_m = 60$ in. (152.4 cm)

where $b_m$ is the modified width to account for the difference in moduli of the concrete of the precast and topping parts.

Solution:

*Service-Load Horizontal Shear Stresses.* The maximum horizontal shear stress is

$$v_h = \frac{VQ}{lb_r}$$

Now,

$$Q_a = 60 \times 12(19.32 - 6) = 9,590 \text{ in.}^2 (157,172 \text{ cm}^2)$$

$$Q_{cpc} = 60 \times 12(19.32 - 6) + \frac{12 \times (7.32)^2}{2} = 9,912 \text{ in.}^2 (162,429 \text{ cm}^2)$$

So the horizontal shear stresses at service load are

![Figure 5.17 Beam cross section in Example 5.1.](image-url)
\[ v_h \text{ at } A = \frac{120,000 \times 9590}{408,240 \times 12} = 235 \text{ psi (1.6 MPa)} \]

and

\[ v_h \text{ at egc } = \frac{120,000 \times 9.912}{408,240 \times 12} = 243 \text{ psi (1.7 MPa)} \]

From Equation 5.13, the corresponding principal tensile stresses are, at A,

\[ f'_t = \sqrt{\left(\frac{f_{ca}}{2}\right)^2 + v_h^2} - \frac{f_{ca}}{2} \]

\[ = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2160}{2}\right)^2 + (235)^2} - \frac{2160}{2} = 25 \text{ psi (111 Pa)} \]

and at egc,

\[ f'_t = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1831}{2}\right)^2 + (243)^2} - \frac{1831}{2} = 32 \text{ psi (221 Pa)} \]

Thus, the principal tensile stresses are low and should not cause any cracking at service load.

The horizontal shear stress \( v_h = 235 \text{ psi} \) at contact surface A–A has to be checked to verify whether it is within acceptable limits. In accordance with AASHTO, the maximum allowed is 160 psi < 235 psi, and hence special provision for added vertical ties or dowels has to be made if AASHTO requirements are applicable.

**Dowel Reinforcement Design**

\[ V_v = 190,000 \text{ lb} \]

\[ \text{Req. } V_{sh} = \frac{V_v}{\phi} = \frac{190,000}{0.75} = 253,333 \text{ lb (1126 kN)} \]

\[ b_v = 12 \text{ in. (30.5 cm)} \quad d_{pc} = 57 \text{ in. (145 cm)} \]

From Equation 5.26b,

\[ \text{Available } V_{sh} = 500b_vd_{pc} = 500 \times 12 \times 57 = 342,000 \text{ lb (1520 kN)} > 253,333 \text{ lb} \]

Hence, specify roughening the contact surface of the precast web fully to \( \frac{1}{2} \) in. amplitude.

From Equation 5.22 (a), \( 0.75 \sqrt{f_t} = 0.75 \sqrt{6000} = 58 > 50 \), use 58 in the expression.

\[ \min \text{ unit } A_v = \frac{58b_v}{f_y} = \frac{58 \times 12}{60,000} = 0.0116 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in. along span} \]

So using #3 vertical stirrups, \( A_v = 2 \times 0.11 = 0.22 \text{ in.}^2 \), and \( s = 0.22/0.0116 = 18.9 \text{ in. (48 cm)} \) center to center < 24 in., O.K. Vertical-web steel reinforcement for shear in the web would most probably require smaller spacing. Hence, extend all web stirrups into the situ-cast top slab.

### 5.10 DOWEL STEEL DESIGN FOR COMPOSITE ACTION

**Example 5.2**

Using (a) the ACI friction coefficient and (b) the PCI friction coefficient, design the dowel reinforcement of Example 5.1 for full composite action by the alternative method, assuming a simply supported beam of effective 65 ft (19.8 m) span.

**Solution:** From Figures 5.14 and 5.17,

\[ A_{\text{top}} = 60 \times 12 = 720 \text{ in}^2 (4,645 \text{ cm}^2) \]

\[ C_c = 0.85f_y A_{\text{top}} = 0.85 \times 3,000 \times 720 = 1,836,000 \text{ lb (8,167 kN)} \]
Assume $A_{pf}f_p > C_v$, since the prestressing force is not given. Then

$$F_a = 1,836,000 \text{ lb}$$

$$I_{sh} = \frac{65 \times 12}{2} = 390 \text{ in}.$$ 

$$b_s = 12 \text{ in.}$$

$$80b_sI_{sh} = 80 \times 12 \times 390 = 374,400 \text{ lb (1,665 kN)} < 1,836,000 \text{ lb}$$

Hence, vertical ties are needed.

For roughened surface to full 1/8 in. amplitude and minimum reinforcement,

$$V_{sh} = 500 b_s d = 500 \times 12 \times 57 = 342,000$$

$$\text{Req. } \frac{V_s}{\phi F_y} = \frac{190,000}{0.75} = 253,333 \text{ lb} < V_{sh} = 342,000 < \text{available } F_a = 1,836,000 \text{ lb}$$

Use $F_a = 253,333 \text{ lb}$ for determining the required composite action reinforcement.

### Using ACI $\mu$ Value

From Equation 5.27 and $\mu = 1.0$, with $I_{sh} = 390 \text{ in.},$

$$\text{Total } A_{cf} = \frac{253,333}{1.0 \times 60,000} = 4.2 \text{ in}^2 (26.3 \text{ cm}^2)$$

$$\text{Min } A_{cf} = \frac{50b_sI_{sh}}{F_y} = \frac{50 \times 12 \times 390}{60,000} = 3.90 \text{ in}^2 (25.1 \text{ cm}^2)$$

From Example 5.1, min $A_{cf} = 0.01 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} = 0.12 \text{ in.}^2/12 \text{ in.}$, controls. So, trying #3 stirrups, we obtain $A_s = 2 \times 0.11 = 0.22 \text{ in.}^2$, and

$$s = \frac{I_{sh} A_s}{A_{cf}} = \frac{390 \times 0.22}{4.2} = 20.42 \text{ in. center-to-center} < 24 \text{ in.} < \text{max. allow. } 4 \times 12 = 48 \text{ in.}$$

So use #3 U ties at 20 in. center to center.

### Using PCI $\mu_c$ Value

$$\lambda = 1.0$$

$$\mu_c = \frac{1,000 \lambda^2 b_s I_{sh}}{F_h} \leq 2.9$$

$$\mu_c = \frac{1,000 \times 1 \times 12 \times 390}{1,836,000} = 2.55 < 2.9$$

So use $\mu_c = 2.55$; then, from Equation 5.32,

$$\text{Req. } A_{cf} = \frac{253,333}{2.55 \times 60,000} = 1.66 \text{ in}^2 < \text{min. } A_{cf} = 3.90 \text{ in}^2$$

So use #3 U ties at 20 in. center-to-center (9.5 mm dia at 55 cm).

## 5.11 Dowel Reinforcement Design for Composite Action in an Inverted T-Beam

### Example 5.3

A simply supported inverted T-beam has an effective 24 ft (7.23 m) span length. The beam, shown in cross section in Figure 5.18, has a 2 in. (5.1 cm) situ-cast topping on a nonroughened surface. Design the required dowel action stirrups to develop full composite behavior, assuming that the factored shear $V_s$ to which the beam is subjected at the critical section is 160,000 lb (712 kN). Given data are as follows:
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Figure 5.18  Beam cross section in Example 5.3.

\[ f'_c \text{ (precast)} = 6,000 \text{ psi} (41.4 \text{ MPa}), \text{normal-weight concrete} \]
\[ f'_c \text{ (topping)} = 3,000 \text{ psi} (20.7 \text{ MPa}), \text{normal-weight concrete} \]

Prestressing steel:
Twelve ½ in. dia 270 k strands
\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} (1,862 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_{ps} = 242,000 \text{ psi} (1,669 \text{ MPa}) \]
Tie steel \( f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi} (414 \text{ MPa}) \)

Use both the ACI direct method and the alternative method with effective \( \mu_e \) to carry out your design.

**Solution:**

\[ d_p = 2 + 2 + 10 + 12 - 3 = 23 \text{ in.} \]
\[ A_{ps} = 12 \times 0.153 = 1.836 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ T_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} = 1.836 \times 242,000 = 444,312 \text{ lb} (1,976 \text{ kN}) \]
\[ b_n = 12 \text{ in.} \]
\[ l_{nh} = \frac{24 \times 12}{2} = 144 \text{ in.} \]
\[ A_{sop} = 2 \times 48 + 2 \times 12 = 120 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ C_c = 0.85 f_{c'} A_{sop} = 0.85 \times 3,000 \times 120 = 306,000 \text{ lb} (1,361 \text{ kN}) \]
\[ < T_n = 444,312 \text{ lb} \]

Accordingly, use \( F_s = 306,000 \text{ lb} (1,361 \text{ kN}) \). Then, for a nonroughened surface,
Available \( V_{sh} = 80b_n l_{nh} = 80 \times 12 \times 144 = 138,240 \text{ lb} (615 \text{ kN}) \)
\[ < C_c = 306,000 \text{ lb} \]

Hence, ties are required for developing full composite action using \( \lambda = 1.0 \).

**ACI Direct Method**

\[ \text{Req. } V_{sh} = \frac{V_s}{\phi} = \frac{160,000}{0.75} = 213,333 \text{ lb} (949 \text{ kN}) \]

Use \( \mu = 1.0 \). Then, from Equation 5.26a, with dowel reinforcement, we have
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Available \(V_{th} = 80b, d_{pc} = 80 \times 12 \times 23 = 22,000 \text{ lb} << \text{ required } V_{th}\)

From Equation 5.27, for an unroughened surface \(\mu = 0.6 \lambda = 0.60\). Then

\[
\text{Req. total } A_{sf} = \frac{V_{th}}{\mu f_y} = \frac{213,333}{0.60 \times 60,000} = 5.93 \text{ in}^2
\]

From Eq. 5.22 (a), \(0.75 \sqrt{f_y} = 0.75 \sqrt{6000} = 58 > 50\), hence use 58 in the expression.

\[
\text{Req. min } A_{sf} = \frac{58bL_{th}}{f_y} = \frac{58 \times 12 \times 144}{60,000} = 1.67 \text{ in}^2 < 5.93 \text{ in}^2
\]

So use \(A_{sf} = 5.93 \text{ in}^2 (37.0 \text{ cm}^2)\), and try #3 inverted U ties. Then \(A_{sf} = 2 \times 0.11 = 0.22 \text{ in.}^2 (1.4 \text{ cm}^2)\) and the spacing is

\[
s = \frac{L_{th}A_{sf}}{A_{sf}} = \frac{144 \times 0.22}{5.93} = 5.34 \text{ in.} (15.4 \text{ cm})
\]

The maximum allowable spacing is \(s = 4(2 + 2) = 16 \text{ in.}\), or \(0.75h = 0.75 \times 26 = 19.5 \text{ in.} < 24 \text{ in.}\). Thus, use #3 inverted U ties 5 in. (13 cm) center-to-center over the entire simply supported span.

**Alternative Method Using \(\mu_e\)**

\[
F_n = 306,000 \text{ lb}
\]

\[
\mu_e = \frac{1,000 \lambda^2 b L_{th}}{F_n} = \frac{1,000 \times 1.0 \times 12 \times 144}{306,000} = 5.65 > 2.9
\]

So use \(\mu_e = 2.9\); then, from Equation 5.31c, we get

\[
\text{Req. } A_{sf} = \frac{F_n}{\mu_e f_y} = \frac{306,000}{2.9 \times 60,000} = 1.76 \text{ in}^2
\]

\[
\text{Req. min. } A_{sf} \text{ from (a) } = 1.67 \text{ in}^2 < 1.76 \text{ in}^2
\]

So use \(A_{sf} = 1.76 \text{ in.}^2\). Then the spacing is four times the least dimension:

\[
s = \frac{L_{th}A_{sf}}{A_{sf}} = \frac{144 \times 0.22}{1.76} = 18 \text{ in.} \text{ center-to-center}
\]

and the maximum allowable spacing is four times the least dimension:

\[
s = 4(2 + 2) = 16 \text{ in.} < 24 \text{ in.}
\]

Hence, use #3 inverted U ties at 16 in. center to center over the entire simply supported span.

---

5.12 SHEAR STRENGTH AND WEB-SHEAR STEEL DESIGN IN A PRESTRESSED BEAM

**Example 5.4**

Design the bonded beam of Example 4.2 to be safe against shear failure, and proportion the required web reinforcement.

**Solution:**

**Data and Nominal Shear Strength Determination**

\[
f_{wu} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)}
\]

\[
f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)}
\]

\[
f_{pu} = 155,000 \text{ psi (1,069 MPa)}
\]

\[
f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi normal-weight concrete}
\]

\[
A_{ps} = 13.7 \text{-wire } \frac{1}{8} \text{-in. tendons} = 1.99 \text{ in.}^2 (12.8 \text{ cm}^2)
\]
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\[ A_s = 4 \#6 \text{ bars} = 1.76 \text{ in}^2 (11.4 \text{ cm}^2) \]

Span = 65 ft (19.8 m)

Service \( W_L = 1,100 \text{ plf} \) (16.1 kN/m)

Service \( W_{SD} = 100 \text{ plf} \) (1.46 kN/m)

Service \( W_D = 393 \text{ plf} \) (5.7 kN/m)

\[ h = 40 \text{ in.} (101.6 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ d_p = 36.16 \text{ in.} (91.8 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ d = 37.6 \text{ in.} (95.5 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ b_w = 6 \text{ in.} (15 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ e_c = 15 \text{ in.} (38 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ e_e = 12.5 \text{ in.} (32 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ L = 70,700 \text{ in.}^4 (18.09 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^4) \]

\[ A_c = 377 \text{ in.}^2 (2,432 \text{ cm}^2) \]

\[ r^2 = 187.5 \text{ in.}^2 (1,210 \text{ cm}^2) \]

\[ c_s = 18.84 \text{ in.} (48 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ c_e = 21.16 \text{ in.} (54 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ P_e = 308,255 \text{ lb} (1,371 \text{ kN}) \]

Factored load \( W_u = 1.2D + 1.6L \)

\[ = 1.2(100 + 393) + 1.6 \times 1,100 = 2352 \text{ plf} \]

Factored shear force at face of support \( = V_u = W_u/2 \)

\[ = (2352 \times 65)/2 = 76,440 \text{ lb} \]

Req. \( V_u = V_u/\phi = 76,440/0.75 = 101,920 \text{ lb at support} \)

**Plane at \( d_p \) from Face of Support**

1. Nominal shear strength \( V_c \) of web (steps 2, 3)

\[ \frac{1}{2} d_p = \frac{36.16}{2 \times 12} = 1.5 \text{ ft} \]

\[ V_u = 101,920 \times \frac{[(65/2)-1.5]}{65/2} = 97,216 \text{ lb} \]

\[ V_u \text{ at } \frac{1}{2} d_p = 0.75 \times 97,216 = 72,912 \text{ lb} \]

\[ f_{pe} = 155,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ 0.40f_{pe} = 0.40 \times 270,000 = 108,000 \text{ psi} (745 \text{ MPa}) \]

\[ < f_{pe} = 155,000 \text{ psi} (1,069 \text{ MPa}) \]

**Use ACI alternate method**

Since \( d_p > 0.8 h \), use \( d_p = 36.16 \text{ in.} \), assuming that part of the prestressing strands continue straight to the support. From Equation 5.16,

\[ V_c = \left( 0.60 \sqrt{f'_c} + 700 \frac{V_u d_p}{M_u} \right) b_w d_p \geq 2 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d_p \leq 5 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d_p \]

\[ \lambda = 1.0 \text{ for normal-weight concrete} \]
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\[ M_u \text{ at } d/2 \text{ from face} = \text{reaction} \times 1.5 - \frac{W_u(1.5)^2}{2} \]

\[ = 76,440 \times 1.5 - \frac{2352(1.5)^2}{2} = 112,014 \text{ ft-lb} = 1,344,168 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ \frac{V_u d_p}{M_u} = \frac{72,912 \times 36.16}{1,344,168} = 1.96 > 1.0 \]

So use \( V_u d_p / M_u = 1.0 \). Then

\[ \text{Min. } V_e = 2\lambda \sqrt{f' c_b} d_p = 2 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5,000} \times 6 \times 36.16 = 30,683 \text{ lb} \]

\[ \text{Max. } V_e = 5\lambda \sqrt{f' c_b} d_p = 76,707 \text{ lb} \]

\[ V_e = (0.60 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5,000} + 700 \times 1.0)6 \times 36.16 \]

\[ = 161,077 \text{ lb} > \text{max } V_e = 76,707 \text{ lb} \]

Then \( V_e = 76,707 \text{ lb} \) and controls (341 kN). Also, \( V_e / \phi > 4V_c \); hence, web steel is needed. Accordingly,

\[ V_e = \frac{V_u}{\phi} = 97,216 - 76,707 = 20,509 \text{ lb} \]

\[ 8\lambda \sqrt{f' c_b} d_p = 8 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5,000} \times 6 \times 36.16 = 122,713 \text{ lb (546 kN)} \]

\[ > V_e = 20,509 \text{ lb} \]

So the section depth is adequate.

2. Minimum web steel (step 4)

From Equation 5.22b,

\[ \text{Min. } \frac{A_s}{s} = \frac{A_p f' c_w}{80 f_p d_p} \sqrt{\frac{d_p}{b_w}} \]

\[ = \frac{1.99 \times 270,000}{80 \times 60,000 \times 36.16} \sqrt{\frac{36.16}{6}} = 0.0076 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \]

3. Required web steel (steps 5, 6)

From Equation 5.21b,

\[ s = \frac{A_s f_p d_p}{V_u / \phi - V_c} \leq 0.75h \leq 24 \text{ in.} \]

or

\[ \frac{A_s}{s} = \frac{V_c}{f_p d_p} = \frac{20,509}{60,000 \times 36.16} = 0.0095 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \]

(prerstressing force is > 0.4 x tensile strength)

Then the minimum required web-shear steel \( A_s / s = 0.0095 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \). So trying #3 U stirrups, \( A_s = 2 \times 0.11 = 0.22 \text{ in.}^2 \), and we get 0.0095 = 0.22/s, so that the maximum spacing is

\[ s = \frac{0.22}{0.0095} = 23.2 \text{ in. (59 cm)} \]

and

\[ 4\lambda \sqrt{f' c_b} d_p = 4 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5,000} \times 6 \times 36.16 = 61,366 \text{ lb} > V_e \]

Hence, we do not need to use \( \frac{1}{2} s \). Now,

\[ 0.75h = 0.75 \times 40.0 = 30.0 \text{ in.} \]
Thus, use #3 U web-shear reinforcement at 22 in. center to center (9.5 mm dia at 56 cm center to center).

**Plane at Which No Web Steel Is Needed.** Assume such a plane is at distance $x$ from support. By similar triangles,

\[
\frac{1}{2} V_c = \frac{76.707}{2} = 101,920 \times \frac{65/2 - x}{65/2}
\]

or

\[
\frac{65}{2} - x = \frac{76.707}{101,920} \times \frac{65}{4}
\]

giving

\[
x = 20.3 \text{ ft (6.11 m)} \approx 244 \text{ in.}
\]

Therefore, adopt the design in question, using #3 U at 22 in. center-to-center over a stretch length of approximately 244 in., with the first stirrup to start at 18 in. from the face of support. Extend the stirrups to the midspan if composite action doweling is needed.

### 5.13 WEB-SHEAR STEEL DESIGN BY DETAILED PROCEDURES

**Example 5.5**

Solve Example 5.4 by detailed procedures, determining the value of $V_c$ as the smaller of the flexure shear $V_d$ and the web shear $V_{cw}$. Assume that the tendons are harped at midspan and not draped. Also assume $f'_c = 6000$ psi.

**Solution:** The profile of the prestressing strands is shown in Figure 5.19.

**Plane at $d/2$ from Face of Support.** From Example 5.4, $V_a = 97,216$ lb.

1. **Flexure-shear cracking, $V_d$ (step 2)**

   From Equation 5.11,

   \[
   V_d = 0.60 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c b_s d_p} + V_d + \frac{V_i}{M_{\text{max}}} (M_{\text{cr}}) \approx 1.7 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c b_s d_p}
   \]

   From Equation 5.12, the cracking moment is

   \[
   M_{\text{cr}} = \frac{I}{y_i} (6 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c} + f_{ct} - f_d)
   \]

   where $I/y_i = S_b$ since $y_i$ is the distance from the centroid to the extreme tension fibers.

   Now,

   ![Figure 5.19 Tendon profile in Example 5.5.](image-url)
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\[ I_c = 70,700 \text{ in.}^4 \]
\[ c_b = 18.84 \text{ in.} \]
\[ P_e = 308,255 \text{ lb} \]
\[ S_b = 3,753 \text{ in.}^3 \]
\[ r^2 = 187.5 \text{ in.}^2 \]

So from Equation 4.3b, the concrete stress at the extreme bottom fibers due to pre-stress only is

\[ f_{ca} = -\frac{P_e}{A_t} \left( 1 + \frac{c_{cb}}{r^2} \right) \]

and the tendon eccentricity at \( d_x/2 = 1.5 \text{ ft} \) from the face of the support is

\[ e = 12.5 + (15 - 12.5) \frac{1.5}{65/2} = 12.62 \text{ in.} \]

Thus,

\[ f_{ca} = -\frac{308,255}{377} \left( 1 + \frac{12.62 \times 18.84}{187.5} \right) = -1,855 \text{ psi (12.8 MPa)} \]

From Example 4.2, the unfactored dead load due to self-weight \( W_D = 393 \text{ plf (5.7 kN/m)} \) is

\[ M_{d/2} = \frac{W_D(x(l - x))}{2} = \frac{393 \times 1.5(65 - 1.5) \times 12}{2} = 224,600 \text{ in.-lb (25.4 kN-m)} \]

and the stress due to the unfactored dead load at the extreme concrete fibers where tension is created by the external load is

\[ f_d = \frac{M_{d/2} c_b}{I_c} = \frac{224,600 \times 18.84}{70,700} = 60 \text{ psi} \]

Also,

\[ M_a = 3,753(6 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{6,000 + 1,855 - 60}) \]
\[ = 8,480,872 \text{ in.-lb (958 kN-m)} \]
\[ V_d = W_D \left( \frac{l}{2} - x \right) = 393 \left( \frac{65}{2} - 1.5 \right) = 12,183 \text{ lb (54.2 kN)} \]
\[ W_{SD} = 100 \text{ plf} \]
\[ W_L = 1,100 \text{ plf} \]
\[ \left( W_U = 1.2 \times 100 + 1.6 \times 1100 = 1880 \text{ plf} \right) \]

The factored shear force at the section due to externally applied loads occurring simultaneously with \( M_{\text{max}} \) is

\[ V_i = W_U \left( \frac{l}{2} - x \right) = 1880 \left( \frac{65}{2} - 1.5 \right) = 58,280 \text{ lb (259 kN)} \]

and

\[ M_{\text{max}} = \frac{W_U x(l - x)}{2} = \frac{1880 \times 1.5(65 - 1.5)}{2} \times 12 \]
\[ = 1,074,420 \text{ in.-lb (122 kN-m)} \]

Hence,
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\[ V_a = 0.6 \times 1.0 \sqrt{6,000} \times 6 \times 36.16 + 12,183 = \frac{58,280}{1,074,420}(8,480,872) \]

\[ = 482,296 \text{ lb (54.5 kN-m)} \]

\[ 1.7A\sqrt{f'c}b_sd_p = 1.7 \times 1.0 \sqrt{6,000} \times 6 \times 36.16 = 28,569 \text{ lb (127 kN)} \]

\[ < V_a = 482,296 \text{ lb} \]

Hence, \( V_a = 482,296 \text{ lb (214.5 kN)} \).

2. **Web-shear cracking, \( V_{cw} \) (step 2)**

From Equation 5.15,

\[ V_{cw} = (3.5\sqrt{f'c} + 0.3f'y)b_sd_p + V_p \]

\( \bar{f}_c = \text{compressive stress in concrete at the cgc} \)

\[ \frac{P_e}{A_c} = \frac{308,255}{377} = 818 \text{ psi (5.6 MPa)} \]

\( V_p = \text{vertical component of effective prestress at section} \)

\[ = P_e \tan \theta \text{ (more accurately, } P_e \sin \theta) \]

where \( \theta \) is the angle between the inclined tendon and the horizontal. So

\[ V_p = \frac{308,255(15 - 12.5)}{65/2 \times 12} = 1,976 \text{ lb (8.8 kN)} \]

Hence, \( V_{cw} = (3.5 \sqrt{6,000} + 0.3 \times 818) \times 6 \times 36.16 + 1,976 = 114,038 \text{ lb (507 kN)} \). In this case, web-shear cracking controls (i.e., \( V_e = V_{cw} = 114,038 \text{ lb (507 kN)} \) is used for the design of web reinforcement). Compare this value with \( V_e = 76,707 \text{ lb (341 kN)} \) obtained in Example 5.4 by the more conservative alternative method.

Now, from Example 5.4,

\[ V_e = \frac{V_s}{\phi} = (97,216 - 114,038) \text{ lb, namely } V_e > V_s \]

So no web steel is needed unless \( V_e/\phi > V_c \). Accordingly, we evaluate the latter:

\[ \frac{1}{2} V_e = \frac{114,038}{2} = 57,019 \text{ lb (254 kN) < 97,216 lb (432 kN)} \]

Since \( V_e/\phi > V_c \) but < \( V_a \), use minimum web steel in this case.

3. **Minimum web steel (step 4)**

From Example 5.4,

\[ \text{Req. } \frac{A_v}{s} = 0.0077 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \]

So, trying #3 U stirrups, we get \( A_v = 2 \times 0.11 = 0.22 \text{ in.}^2 \), and it follows that

\[ s = \frac{A_v}{\text{Req. } A_v/s} = \frac{0.22}{0.0077} = 28.94 \text{ in. (73 cm)} \]

We then check for the minimum \( A_v \) as the lesser of the two values given by

\[ A_v = 0.75\sqrt{f'c}\left(\frac{b_x}{f_y}\right)A_v = 50b_x s/f_y \text{ whichever is larger,} \]

and

\[ A_v = \frac{A_{pm} f_{pe} s}{80 f'c d_p \sqrt{b_w}} \]

So the maximum allowable spacing \( s \leq 0.75h \leq 24 \text{ in.} \). Then use #3 U stirrups at 22 in. center-to-center over a stretch length of 84 in. from the face of the support, as in Example 5.4.
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![Diagram of web reinforcement](image)

Figure 5.20 Web reinforcement details in Example 5.5.

Details of the section reinforcement (step 8) are shown in Figure 5.20.

5.14 DESIGN OF WEB REINFORCEMENT FOR A PCI DOUBLE T-BEAM

Example 5.6

A simply supported PCI 12 DT 34 pretopped double-T-beam has a span of 70 ft (21.3 m). It is subjected to a superimposed service dead load of 200 psf (2.9 kN/m), including a 2-in. additional topping placed sometime after service, and a service live load \( W_L = 720 \) psf. Design the web reinforcement needed to prevent shear cracking at the quarter-span section 17 ft 6 in. (5.3 m) from the support, calculating the nominal web-shear strength \( V_c \) by the detailed design method. Also, design any dowel reinforcement if necessary, assuming that the top surface of the precast T-beam is intentionally unroughened. The section properties are shown in Figure 5.21 and are as follows:

![Beam geometry](image)

Figure 5.21 Beam geometry in Example 5.5. (a) Section. (b) Elevation.
Other data are:

\( f'_{c} \) (precast) = 5,000 psi (34.5 MPa), normal-weight concrete
\( f'_{c} \) (topping) = 3,000 psi (20.7 MPa), normal-weight concrete, for future topping if used
\( f'_{p} \) = 4,000 psi (27.6 MPa)
\( f_{s} \) = 270,000 psi (1,862 MPa), low-relaxation steel
\( f_{p} \) = 240,000 psi (1,655 MPa)
\( f_{p} \) = 148,000 psi (1,020 MPa)
\( e_{p} \) = 11.38 in. (28.3 cm)
\( e_{c} \) = 21.77 in. (57.2 cm)
\( A_{s} \) = 18 \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in. (12.7 mm) dia strands
\( f_{s} \) for stirrups = 60,000 psi (414 MPa)

Use the same value for the effective depth \( d_{e} \) for the midspan as well as other sections. Note that \( b_{w} \) for both webs = \( 2 \left( 4.75 + 7.75 \right) / 2 = 12.50 \) in. (32 cm).

**Solution:**

\[ W_{u} = 1.2 \left( 200 + 1,019 \right) + 1.6 \times 720 = 2,615 \text{ plf (58kN/m)} \]

\[ V_{u} \text{ at face of support} = \frac{2615 \times 70}{2} = 91,525 \text{ lb} \]

\[ V_{u} \text{ at 17 ft 6 in. from the face of the support} = \frac{1}{0.75} \left( 91,525 \times \frac{35 - 17.5}{35} \right) \]

\[ = 61,017 \text{ lb (271 kN)} \]

1. **Flexure-shear cracking, \( V_{a} \) (step 2)**

\[ d_{p} = 34 - 25.77 + 21.77 = 30.0 \text{ in. (76 cm)} \]

\[ P_{s} = 18 \times 0.153 \times 148,000 = 407,592 \text{ lb (18,176 kN)} \]

\( e \) at 17 ft, 6 in. from support = 11.38 + (21.77 - 11.38) \( \frac{17.5}{35} \) = 16.58 in.

Use the precast section properties for computing \( f_{ce} \) and \( f_{d} \) as discussed in Section 5.5:

\[ f_{ce} = \frac{P_{s} \left( 1 + \frac{ec_{b}}{r^{2}} \right)}{A_{c}} - \frac{407,592}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{16.58 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) \]

\[ = -2,440 \text{ psi (16.8 MPa)} \]
Use allowable extreme compressive stresses as follows:
(a) prestress + sustained load: $f_c = 0.45 f'_{c}$
(b) prestress + total load (allowing 33% increase due to transient load: $f_c = 0.60 f'_{c}$)

Note that although $f_{ce} = 0.45 f'_{c}$, this should not affect the shear strength since $f_{ce}$ is due to prestress only, and the inclusion of self-weight reduces it to less than 0.45 $f'_{c}$.

We thus have

Self-Weight $W_p = 1.019$ plf

$$M_{175} = \frac{W_p x (l - x)}{2} = \frac{1,019 \times 17.5 (70 - 17.5)}{2} \times 12$$

= 5,617,238 in.-lb (634 kN-m)

$$f_d = \frac{M_{ch}}{I_e} = \frac{5,617,238 \times 25.77}{86,072} = 1,682 \text{ psi (11.6 MPa)}$$

$$M_{cr} = S_d (6.0 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} + f_{ce} - f_d)$$

= 3,340 (6.0 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5,000} + 2,440 - 1,682)

= 3,948,762 in.-lb (445 kN-m)

It should be noted that the factor 6.0 in the cracking moment expression is low, since the modulus of rupture is taken 7.5. If 7.5 is used in the expression the cracking moment value would have become 4,303,022 in.-lb, thereby reducing the number of stirrups needed in this design.

Unfactored shear due to self-weight dead load is:

$$V_d = W_p \left( \frac{l}{2} - x \right) = 1,019 \left( \frac{70}{2} - 17.5 \right) = 17,833 \text{ lb}$$

$W_{39} = 200$ plf

$W_e = 720$ plf

Factored external load intensity is:

$$W_{U} = 1.2 \times 200 + 1.6 \times 720 = 1392 \text{ plf (20.4 kN/m)}$$

$$V_i = W_{U} \left( \frac{l}{2} - x \right) = 1392 \left( \frac{70}{2} - 17.5 \right) = 24,360 \text{ lb (108 kN)}$$

$$M_{\text{max}} = W_{U} x \left( \frac{l - x}{2} \right) = \frac{1392 \times 17.5 (70 - 17.5)}{2} \times 12$$

= 7,673,400 in.-lb (867 kN-m)

$$V_u = 0.6 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_w d_p + V_d + \frac{V_i}{M_{\text{max}}} \times (M_{cr}) \geq 1.7 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_w d_p$$

= 0.6 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{5,000} \times 12.5 \times 30.0 + 17,833

+ \frac{24,360}{7,673,400} (3,948,762)

= 46,279 lb (201 kN)

$$1.7 \lambda \sqrt{f'_{c}} b_w d_p = 1.7 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5,000} \times 12.5 \times 30.0 = 45,078 \text{ lb < 46,279 lb}$$

Hence, $V_u = 46,279 \text{ controls}$.

2. Web-shear cracking, $V_{cw}$ (step 2)

$$\tilde{f}_c = \frac{P_i}{A_e} = \frac{407,592}{978} = 417 \text{ psi (2.9 MPa)}$$
For the vertical component of the prestress force,

\[ V_p = P_c \tan \theta = 407,592 \frac{(21.77 - 11.38)}{70/2 \times 12} = 10,083 \text{ lb} \ (44.0 \text{ kN}) \]

\[ V_{cw} = (3.5 \sqrt{f_c'} + 0.3 f_p) b_p d_p + V_p \]

\[ = (3.5 \sqrt{5,000} + 0.3 \times 417) \times 12.5 \times 30.0 + 10,083 \]

\[ = 149,803 \text{ lb vs. } V_{ai} = 46,279 \text{ lb} \]

Now, \( V_c \) is the smaller of \( V_{ai} \) and \( V_{cw} \); hence,

\[ V_c = V_{ai} = 46,279 \text{ lb} \]

3. **Design of web reinforcement (steps 3-8)**

From above,

\[ V_c = 46,279 \text{ lb} \]

So

\[ \frac{1}{2} V_c = 23,140 \text{ lb} \]

Now, \( V_w \phi \) at a section 17.5 ft from support = 61,017 lb > \( V_c \); hence design of stirrups is necessary. If \( V_w \phi < V_c \), only minimum-web steel is needed.

\[ \text{Req: } \frac{A_s}{s} = \frac{V_w - V_c}{f_s d_p} = \frac{(V_w / \phi) - V_c}{f_s d_p} = \frac{61,017 - 46,279}{60,000 \times 30.0} = 0.0082 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in. spacing} \]

Using \( d = 30.0 \text{ in. and } b_w = 12.5 \text{ in.} \):

\[ \text{Min} \left( \frac{A_s}{s} \right) = \frac{A_p}{s} \frac{f_{pu}}{f_s \pi d_p} \frac{b_w}{b_p} = \frac{A_p}{s} \frac{0.153}{80} \frac{270,000}{60,000} \sqrt{5000} = 0.0080 \]

\[ 0.75 \sqrt{f_p'} = 0.75 \sqrt{5000} = 53 \]

or \( \text{Min} \left( \frac{A_s}{s} \right) = \frac{53 b_w}{f_p} = \frac{53 \times 12.5}{60,000} = 0.011 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \)

The lesser of the two minimum values applies, consequently, \( \text{Min} A_s = 0.0080 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \) applies as the lesser of the two values.

Hence controlling \( \frac{A_s}{s} = 0.0080 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} = 0.010 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft for both webs or} \)

\[ 0.005 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft per web} \]

Try one row of D5 deformed welded wire fabric at 10 in. center-to-center weld spacing.

The maximum allowable spacing is, then 0.75\( h \leq 24 \text{ in. So we have} \)

\[ 0.75h = 0.75 \times 34 = 25.5 \text{ in.} \]

Accordingly, adopt one row D5 WWF web reinforcement in one layer at 10 in. center-to-center weld spacing per web at the quarter-span section.

Note, in comparing the solution for \( V_{ai} \) and \( V_{cw} \) in Example 5.6, that \( V_{ai} \) has its highest value close to the support and rapidly decreases toward the midspan, while \( V_{cw} \) has a lesser variation in its value, as can be seen from Figure 5.13. It is important to calculate the flexure shear \( V_{aw} \) and web shear \( V_{cw} \) at several sections along the span in order to determine the most efficient distribution of the web steel. A computer program facilitates finding these values at constant intervals of, say, 1/6th of the span, and a plot can
be made similar to the one in Figure 5.13 showing the variation of the shear strengths of the web along the span.

4. Design of dowel steel for full composite section of the additional 2-in. topping (step 9), if such topping is added later to the pretopped section. 

Section at \( \frac{1}{2} d_p \) from face of support

Used \( d_p = 30.0 + 2.0 = 32 \) in.

\[
V_u \text{ at support} = 91,525 \text{ (408 kN)} \\
\frac{1}{2} d_p = \frac{32.0}{2 \times 12} = 1.33 \text{ ft (40 cm)} \\
h/2 = 17 \text{ in.} = 1.33 \text{ ft} \\
V_u = 91,525 \times \left( \frac{35 - 1.33}{35} \right) = 88,047 \text{ lb (393 kN)} \\
\text{Req } V_{ub} = \frac{V_u}{\phi} = \frac{88,047}{0.75} = 117,396 \text{ (522 kN)} \\
b_v = 12 \text{ ft.} \text{ topping } k = 2 \text{ in.}
\]

From Figure 5.14

\[
C_e = 0.85 f'_{cc} A_{s_u} = 0.85 \times 3000 \times 12 \times 12 \times 2 = 734,400 \text{ lb (3,267 kN)} \\
T_s = A_{ps} f_{ps} = 18 \times 0.153 \times 240,000 = 660,960 \text{ (2,940 kN)} \\
< C_e = 734,400 \text{ lb} \\
\]

Hence,

\[
F_k = 660,960 \text{ lb (2,178 kN)} \\
l_{sh} = \frac{70 \times 12}{2} = 420 \text{ in. (1,067 cm)} \\
b_v = 144 \text{ in. (366 cm)} \\
80b_f l_{sh} = 80 \times 144 \times 420 = 4,838,400 \text{ lb (21,520 kN)} >> 660,960 \text{ lb}
\]

No dowel reinforcement is needed to extend to future additional 2-in. topping for full composite action to be developed. The section is adopted when it satisfies the flexural, deflection, and cracking requirements.

5.15 BRACKETS AND CORBELS

Brackets and corbels are short-chaunched cantilevers that project from the inner face of columns or concrete walls to support heavy concentrated loads or beam reactions. They are very important structural elements for supporting precast beams, gantry girders, and any other forms of precast structural systems. Precast and prestressed concrete is becoming increasingly dominant, and larger spans are being built, resulting in heavier shear loads at supports. Hence, the design of brackets and corbels has become increasingly important. The safety of the total structure could depend on the sound design and construction of the supporting element, in this case the corbel, necessitating a detailed discussion of this subject.

In brackets and corbels, the ratio of the shear arm or span to the corbel depth is often less than 1.0. Such a small ratio changes the state of stress of a member into a two-dimensional one. Shear deformations would hence affect the nonlinear stress behavior of the bracket or corbel in the elastic state and beyond, and the shear strength becomes a major factor. Corbels also differ from deep beams in the existence of potentially large horizontal forces transmitted from the supported beam to the corbel or bracket. These
horizontal forces result from long-term shrinkage and creep deformation of the supported beam, which in many cases is anchored to the bracket.

The cracks are usually mostly vertical or steeply inclined pure shear cracks. They often start from the point of application of the concentrated load and propagate toward the bottom reentrant corner junction of the bracket to the column face, as in Figure 5.22(a). Or they start at the upper reentrant corner of the bracket or corbel and proceed almost vertically through the corbel toward its lower fibers, as shown in Figure 5.22(b). Other failure patterns in such elements are shown in Figure 5.22(c) and (d). They can also develop through a combination of the ones illustrated. Bearing failure can also occur by crushing of the concrete under the concentrated load-bearing plate, if the bearing area is not adequately proportioned.

As will be noticed in the subsequent discussion, detailing of the corbel or bracket reinforcement is of major importance. Failure of the element can be attributed in many cases to incorrect detailing that does not realize full anchorage development of the reinforcing bars.

5.15.1 Shear Friction Hypothesis for Shear Transfer in Corbels

Corbels cast at different times than the main supporting columns can have a potential shear crack at the interface between the two concretes through which shear transfer has to develop. The smaller the ratio $a/d$, the larger the tendency for pure shear to occur through essentially vertical planes. This behavior is accentuated in the case of corbels with a potential interface crack between two dissimilar concretes.

The shear friction approach in this case is recommended by the ACI, as shown in Figure 5.22(b). An assumption is made of an already cracked vertical plane ($a-a$ in Figure 5.23) along which the corbel is considered to slide as it reaches its limit state of failure. A coefficient of friction $\mu$ is used to transform the horizontal resisting forces of the well-anchored closed ties into a vertical nominal resisting force larger than the external factored shear load. Hence, the nominal vertical resisting shear force

$$V_n = A_{\text{eff}} f_y \mu \quad (5.34a)$$

to give

$$A_{\text{eff}} = \frac{V_n}{f_y \mu} \quad (5.34b)$$

where $A_{\text{eff}}$ is the total area of the horizontal anchored closed shear ties.

The external factored vertical shear has to be $V_n \leq \phi V_n$, where for normal concrete,

$$V_n = 0.2 f'_c b_w d \quad (5.35a)$$
or

\[ V_n \leq 800 b_n d \]  

(5.35b)

whichever is smaller. The required effective depth \( d \) of the corbel can be determined from Equation 5.35a, or \( b \), whichever gives a larger value.

For all-lightweight or sand-lightweight concretes, the shear strength \( V_n \) should not be taken greater than \((0.2 - 0.07a/d)f'_c b_n d\), or \((800 - 280a/d)b_n d\) in pounds.

If the shear friction reinforcement is inclined to the shear plane such that the shear force produces some tension in the shear friction steel,

\[ V_n = A_{sf} f_s (\mu \sin \alpha_f + \cos \alpha_f) \]  

(5.35c)

where \( \alpha_f \) is the angle between the shear friction reinforcement and the shear plane. The reinforcement area becomes

\[ A_{sf} = \frac{V_n}{f_s (\mu \sin \alpha_f + \cos \alpha_f)} \]  

(5.35d)

**Photo 5.6**  High-strength concrete corbel at failure (Nawy et al.).
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The assumption is made that all the shear resistance is due to the resistance at the crack interface between the corbel and the column. The ACI coefficient of friction \( \mu \) has the following values:

- Concrete cast monolithically: 1.4\( \lambda \)
- Concrete placed against hardened roughened concrete: 1.0\( \lambda \)
- Concrete placed against unroughened hardened concrete: 0.6\( \lambda \)
- Concrete anchored to structural steel: 0.7\( \lambda \)

\( \lambda = 1.0 \) for normal-weight concrete, 0.85 for sand-lightweight concrete, and 0.75 for all-lightweight concrete. The PCI values are less conservative than the ACI values based on comprehensive tests.

If considerably higher strength concretes, such as polymer-modified concretes, are used in the corbels to interface with the normal concrete of the supporting columns, higher values of \( \mu \) could logically be used for such cases as those listed above. Work in the field (Ref. 5.7) substantiates the use of higher values.

Part of the horizontal steel \( A_{yf} \) is incorporated in the top tension tie, and the remainder of \( A_{yf} \) is distributed along the depth of the corbel as in Figure 5.24. Evaluation of the top horizontal primary reinforcement layer \( A_t \) will be discussed in the next section.

### 5.15.2 Horizontal External Force Effect

When the corbel or bracket is cast monolithically with the supporting column or wall and is subjected to a large horizontal tensile force \( N_{uc} \) produced by the beam supported by the corbel, a modified approach is used, often termed the strut theory approach. In all cases, the horizontal factored force \( N_{uc} \) cannot exceed the vertical factored shear \( V_u \). As shown in Figure 5.25, reinforcing steel \( A_n \) has to be provided to resist the force \( N_{uc} \).

where

\[
A_n = \frac{N_{uc}}{\phi f_y} \quad (5.36)
\]

![Figure 5.24](image) Reinforcement schematic for corbel design by the shear friction hypothesis.
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![Diagram of compression strut in corbel](image)

**Figure 5.25** Compression strut in corbel.

and

$$A_f = \frac{V_{ua} + N_{uc}(h - d)}{\phi f_y jd}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.37)

Reinforcement $A_f$ also has to be provided to resist the bending moments caused by $V_u$ and $N_{uc}$.

The value of $N_{uc}$ considered in the design should not be less than 0.20 $V_u$. The flexural steel area $A_f$ can be obtained approximately by the usual expression for the limit state at failure of beams, that is,

$$A_f = \frac{M_u}{\phi f_y jd}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.38)

where $M_u = V_{ua} + N_{uc}(h - d)$ and $\phi = 0.75$. The axis of such an assumed section lies along a compression strut inclined at an angle $\beta$ to the tension tie $A_f$, as shown in the figure. The volume of the compressive block is

$$C_c = 0.85f_y \beta cb = \frac{T_f}{\cos \beta} = \frac{A_f f_y}{\cos \beta} = \frac{V_u}{\sin \beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.39a)

for which the depth $\beta_1 c$ of the block is obtained perpendicular to the direction of the compressive strut, i.e.,

$$\beta_1 c = \frac{A_f f_y}{0.85f_y b \cos \beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.39b)

The effective depth $d$ minus $\beta_1 c/2 \cos \beta$ in the vertical direction gives the lever arm $jd$ between the force $T_f$ and the horizontal component of $C_c$ in Figure 5.25. Therefore,
\[ jd = d - \frac{\beta_1 c}{2 \cos \beta} \]  

(5.39c)

If the right-hand side is substituted for \( jd \) in Equation 5.38, then

\[ A_f = \frac{M_u}{\Phi f_y (d - \beta_1 c / 2 \cos \beta)} \]  

(5.40)

To eliminate several trials and adjustments, the lever arm \( jd \) from Equation (5.39c) can be approximated for all practical purposes in most cases as

\[ jd \approx 0.85d \]  

(5.41a)

so that

\[ A_f = \frac{M_u}{0.85 \Phi f_y d} \]  

(5.41b)

The area \( A_t \) of the primary tension reinforcement (tension tie) can now be calculated and placed as shown in Figure 5.26:

\[ A_t \approx \frac{2}{3} A_{sf} + A_n \]  

(5.42)

or

\[ A_t \geq A_f + A_n \]  

(5.43)

whichever is larger. Then

\[ \rho = \frac{A_t}{bd} \geq 0.04 \frac{f'_y}{f_y} \]

If \( A_h \) is assumed to be the total area of the closed stirrups or ties parallel to \( A_n \), then

\[ A_h \geq 0.5(A_t - A_n) \]  

(5.44)

The bearing area under the external load \( V_u \) on the bracket should not project beyond the straight portion of the primary tension bars, \( A_t \), nor should it project beyond the interior face of the transverse welded anchor bar shown in Figure 5.26.

![Figure 5.26 Reinforcement schematic for corbel design by strut theory.](image-url)
5.15.3 Sequence of Corbel Design Steps

As discussed in the preceding section, a horizontal factored force $N_{xc}$, a vertical factored force $V_n$, and a bending moment $[V_n + N_{xc} (h - d)]$ basically act on the corbel. To prevent failure, the corbel has to be designed to resist these three parameters simultaneously by one of the following two methods, depending on the type of corbel construction sequence, that is, whether the corbel is cast monolithically with the column or not:

(a) For a monolithically cast corbel with the supporting column, by evaluating the steel area $A_h$ of the closed stirrups which are placed below the primary steel ties $A_r$. Part of $A_h$ is due to the steel area $A_n$ from Equation 5.36 resisting the horizontal force $N_{xc}$.

(b) By calculating the steel area $A_{sf}$ by the shear friction hypothesis if the corbel and the column are not cast simultaneously, using part of $A_{sf}$ along the depth of the corbel stem and incorporating the balance in the area $A_r$ of the primary top steel reinforcing layer.

The primary tension steel area $A_r$ is the major component of both methods. Calculations of $A_r$ depend on whether Equation 5.42 or 5.43 governs. If Equation 5.42 controls, $A_r = \frac{1}{3} A_{sf} + A_n$ is used and the remaining $\frac{2}{3} A_{sf}$ is distributed over a depth $\frac{1}{2} d$ adjacent to $A_r$. If Equation 5.43 controls, $A_r = A_{sf} + A_n$, with the addition of $\frac{1}{3} A_{sf}$ provided as closed stirrups parallel to $A_r$ and distributed within $\frac{1}{2} d$ vertical distance adjacent to $A_r$.

In both cases, the primary tension reinforcement plus the closed stirrups automatically yield the total amount of reinforcement needed for either type of corbel. Since the mechanism of failure is highly indeterminate and randomness can be expected in the propagation action of the shear crack, it is sometimes advisable to choose the larger calculated value of the primary top steel area $A_r$ in the corbel regardless of whether the corbel element is cast simultaneously with the supporting column.

As seen from the foregoing discussions, the horizontal closed stirrups are also a major element in reinforcing the corbel. Occasionally, additional inclined closed stirrups are also used.

The following sequence of steps is proposed for the design of the corbel:

1. Calculate the factored vertical force $V_n$ and the nominal resisting force $V_n$ of the section such that $V_n \geq V_n/\phi$, where $\phi = 0.75$ for all calculations. $V_n/\phi$ should be $\leq 0.20f'c b_d d$, or $\leq 800 b_d d$ for normal-weight concrete. If not, the concrete section at the support should be enlarged.

2. Calculate $A_{sf} = V_n/f_s \mu$ for resisting the shear friction force, and use in the subsequent calculation of the primary tension top steel $A_r$.

3. Calculate the flexural steel area $A_f$ and the direct tension steel area $A_n$ where

$$A_f = \frac{V_n a + N_{xc} (h - d)}{\phi f_y J_d}$$

and

$$A_n = \frac{N_{xc}}{\phi f_y}$$

where $\phi = 0.75$

4. Calculate the primary steel area from (a) $A_r = \frac{2}{3} A_{sf} + A_n$ and (b) $A_r = A_f + A_n$, whichever is larger. If case (a) controls, the remaining $\frac{1}{3} A_{sf}$ has to be provided as closed stirrups parallel to $A_r$ and distributed with a $\frac{1}{2} d$ distance adjacent to $A_n$ as in Figure 5.24.
If case (b) controls, use in addition \( \frac{1}{2} A_f \) as closed stirrups distributed within a distance \( d \) adjacent to \( A_n \), as in Figure 5.26. Then

\[
A_n \geq 0.5(A_y - A_n)
\]

and

\[
\rho = \frac{A_y}{bd} \geq 0.04 \frac{f'_c}{f_y}
\]

or

\[
\text{Min. } A_y = 0.04 \frac{f'_c}{f_y} \cdot bd
\]

5. Select the size and spacing of the corbel reinforcement with special attention to the detailing arrangements, as many corbel failures are due to incorrect detailing. Figure 5.27 shows a flowchart for proportioning corbels.

5.15.4 Design of a Bracket or Corbel

Example 5.7

Design a corbel to support a factored vertical load \( V_u = 80,000 \) lb (160 kN) acting at a distance \( a = 5 \) in. (127 mm) from the face of the column. The corbel has a width \( b = 10 \) in. (254 mm), a total thickness \( h = 18 \) in. (457 mm), and an effective depth \( d = 14 \) in. (356 mm). The following data are given:

\( f'_c = 5,000 \) psi (34.5 MPa), normal-weight concrete
\( f_y = 60,000 \) psi (414 MPa)

Assume the corbel to be either cast after the supporting column was constructed, or cast simultaneously with the column. Neglect the weight of the corbel.

Solution:

**Step 1**

\[
V_n \geq \frac{V_u}{\phi} = \frac{80,000}{0.75} = 106,667 \text{ lb}
\]

\[
0.2f'_c b_d = 0.2 \times 5,000 \times 10 \times 14 = 140,000 \text{ lb} > V_n
\]

\[
800b_d = 800 \times 10 \times 14 = 112,000 \text{ lb} > V_n, \text{ O.K.}
\]

**Step 2**

(a) Monolithic construction, normal-weight concrete \( \mu = 1.4\lambda \):

\[
A_{cf} = \frac{V_n}{\phi f_y \mu} = \frac{106,667}{60,000 \times 1.4} = 1.270 \text{ in.}^2 (819 \text{ mm}^2)
\]

(b) Nonmonolithic construction, \( \mu = 1.0\lambda \):

\[
A_{cf} = \frac{106,667}{60,000 \times 1.0} = 1.777 \text{ in.}^2 (1110 \text{ mm}^2)
\]

Choose the larger \( A_{cf} = 1.777 \) in.\(^2\) as controlling.
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Figure 5.27  Flowchart for proportioning corbels.
Step 3. Since no value of the horizontal external force $N_{we}$ transmitted from the superimposed beam is given, use

$$\min N_{we} = 0.20V_u = 0.2 \times 80,000 = 16,000 \text{ lb}$$

$$A_y = \frac{M_{we}}{\phi f_y d'} = \frac{V_u a + N_{we} (h - d)}{\phi f_y d'} \quad \text{(where } j d = 0.85 d)$$

$$= \frac{80,000 \times 5 + 16,000(18 - 14)}{0.90 \times 60,000(0.85 \times 14)} = 0.727 \text{ in}^2 (524 \text{ mm}^2)$$

$$A_n = \frac{N_{we}}{\phi f_y} = \frac{16,000}{0.75 \times 60,000} = 0.356 \text{ in}^2 (280 \text{ mm}^2)$$

Step 4. Check the controlling area of primary steel $A_y$:

(a) $A_y = (\frac{2}{3} A_{ef} + A_{a}) = \frac{2}{3} \times 1.777 + 0.356 = 1.541 \text{ in}^2$

(b) $A_y = A_f + A_n = 0.727 + 0.356 = 1.083 \text{ in}^2$

$$\min A_y = 0.04 \frac{f_{c}'}{f_y} b'd = 0.04 \times \frac{5,000}{60,000} \times 10 \times 14 = 0.47 \text{ in}^2$$

$$< 1.541 \text{ in}^2, \text{ O.K.}$$

Provide $A_y = 1.541 \text{ in}^2 (994 \text{ mm}^2)$.

Horizontal closed stirrups:

Since case (a) controls,

$$\frac{1}{3} A_{ef} = \frac{1}{3} \times 1.777 = 0.592 \text{ in}^2$$

$$A_n = 0.5(A_y - A_n) = 0.5(1.541 - 0.356) = 0.593 \text{ in}^2$$

Use the larger of the two values $\frac{1}{3} A_{ef}$ and $A_n$.

Step 5. Select bar sizes:

(a) Required $A_y = 1.541 \text{ in}^2$; use three No. 7 bars = 1.80 in.$^2$ (three bars of diameter 22 mm gives 1.161 mm²) $A_y$.

(b) Required $A_y = 0.593 \text{ in}^2$; use three No. 3 closed stirrups = $2 \times 3 \times 0.11 = 0.66 \text{ in}^2$ spread over $\frac{3}{4} d = 9.33 \text{ in.} \text{ vertical distance}$. Hence, use three No.-3 closed stirrups at 3 in. center to center. Also use three framing size No.-3 bars and one welded No.-7 anchor to bar.

Details of the bracket reinforcement are shown in Figure 5.28. The bearing area under the load has to be checked, and the bearing pad designed such that the bearing stress at the factored load $V_u$ should not exceed $f(0.85f_{c}' A_i)$, where $A_i$ is the pad area. We have

Bearing strength reduction factor $\phi = 0.70$.

$$V_u = 80,000 \text{ lb} = 0.70(0.85 \times 5,000) A_i$$

$$A_i = \frac{80,000}{0.70 \times 0.85 \times 5000} = 26.9 \text{ in}^2 (16,813 \text{ mm}^2)$$

Use a plate $5\frac{1}{4}\text{in.} \times 5\frac{1}{2}\text{in.}$. Its thickness has to be designed based on the manner in which $V_u$ is applied as an undeformable plate.

### 5.15.5 S1 Expressions for Shear in Prestressed Concrete Beams

$$V_d = \left[ \frac{k \sqrt{f_{c}'} b_d d + V_d + V_t \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_{cr, max}} \right)}{20} \right] = \left( \frac{\sqrt{f_{c}'}}{7} \right) b_d d$$

$$M_{cr} = S_d(0.5k \sqrt{f_{c}'} + f_{ce} - f_d)$$

(5.11)  

(5.12)
Figure 5.28  Corbel reinforcement details (Example 5.7).

\[ V_{ce} = 0.3(\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} + 0.3\overline{f}_c)b_w d + V_p \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.15)

(See Sec. 5.5.1 for explanation on \( f_c' \) vs. \( f_{ct} \) of the ACI code). \( M_x \) for shear = moment causing flexural cracking at section due to externally applied load.

\[ V_c = \left( \frac{\lambda \sqrt{f_c'}}{20} + 5\frac{V_u d}{M_u} \right)b_w d, \hspace{0.5cm} \frac{V_u d}{M_u} \leq 1.0 \]

\[ \geq \left[ \frac{\lambda \sqrt{f_c'}}{5} \right] b_w d \]

\[ \leq [0.4\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} b_w d] \]

\[ s = \frac{A_{sf} d}{(V_u/\phi) - V_c} = \frac{A_{sf} d}{V_i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.21b)

Max \( s = \frac{h}{2} \leq 600 \text{ mm} \)

when \( V_s > (\lambda \sqrt{f_c'/3})b_w d \), max \( s \leq 3/16h \leq 300 \text{ mm} \)

\[ V_s > (2\lambda \sqrt{f_c'/3})b_w d, \text{ enlarge section.} \]

Min. \( A_{sf} \): the smaller of

\[ A_{sf} \geq \frac{0.35b_w s}{f_y} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{A_{pf} d s}{80f_{sy}d} \sqrt{\frac{d}{b_w}} \]

where \( b_w, s \) and \( d \) are in millimeters and \( f_y \) is in MPa.
Max. allowable shear friction force without dowels, \( F_h = 0.55b_f f_{sh} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
1 \text{ lb} & \times 4.448 = \text{N} \\
\frac{1}{\text{psi}} \times 0.006895 & = \text{MPa} \\
\text{in.-lb} \times 0.1130 & = \text{N-m} \\
1 \text{ Pa} & = \frac{\text{N}}{\text{m}^2} \\
1 \text{ MPa} & = 10^6 \times \text{N/m}^2 \\
\text{lb/ft} & \times 14.593 = \text{N/m}
\end{align*}
\]

5.15.6 SI Shear Design of Prestressed Beams

**Example 5.8**

Solve Example 5.6 using the SI units system. The sectional geometric properties of the beam are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section property</th>
<th>Pretopped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_c )</td>
<td>6,310 cm(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_c )</td>
<td>3.58 \times 10^6 cm(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r^2 )</td>
<td>568 cm(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_b )</td>
<td>65.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_t )</td>
<td>20.9 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_b )</td>
<td>54,733 cm(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_t )</td>
<td>171,376 cm(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_D )</td>
<td>14,870 N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_D + W_{stc} )</td>
<td>17,789 N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_t )</td>
<td>10,507 N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2b_w )</td>
<td>32 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other data are:

- \( f_{ct}' \) (precast) = 34.5 MPa, normal-weight concrete, section pretopped
- \( f_{ct}' \) (topping) = 20.7 MPa, normal-weight concrete, at a later stage if used
- \( f_{ct}' = 27.6 \text{ MPa} \)
- \( f_{pu} = 1,862 \text{ MPa}, \text{ low-relaxation steel} \)
- \( f_{ps} = 1,655 \text{ MPa} \)
- \( f_{pe} = 1,020 \text{ MPa} \)
- \( e_c = 28.3 \text{ cm} \)
- \( e_c = 57.2 \text{ cm} \)
- \( A_{ps} = 18 - 12.7 \text{ mm diameter tendons} = 99 \text{ mm}^2 \)
- \( f_{pv} \) for stirrups = 414 MPa

Use the same value for the effective depth \( d_e \) for the midspan as well as other sections. Note that \( b_w \) for both webs = 32 cm.

**Solution:**

\[
W_s = 1.2 \times 17,789 + 1.6 \times 10,507 = 38.2 \text{kN/m}
\]

\[
V_s \text{ at face of support} = \frac{38.2 \times 21.3}{2} = 407 \text{kN}
\]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \text{ of the span} = 21.3/4 = 5.33 \text{ m.} \]

\[ V_a \text{ at 5.33 m from the face of the support} = \frac{1}{0.75} \left( 407 \times \frac{10.67 - 5.33}{10.67} \right) \]

\[ = 272 \text{ kN} \]

(1) *Flexure-shear cracking, } V_{ax} \text{ (step 2)*}

\[ d_p = 76.2 \text{ cm} \]

\[ P_x = 18 \times 99 \times 1,020 \text{ MPa} = 18,176 \text{ kN} \]

\[ e \text{ at 5.33 m from support} = 42.1 \text{ cm} \]

Use the precast section properties for computing \( f_{ax} \) and \( f_d \) as discussed in Section 5.5:

\[ f_{ax} = \frac{P_x}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_p}{r^2} \right) = \frac{18,176}{6,310} \left( 1 + \frac{42.1 \times 65.46}{568} \right) \]

\[ = 16.8 \text{ MPa} \]

Use allowable extreme compressive stresses as follows:

(a) prestress + sustained load: \( f_c = 0.45 f'_c \)

(b) prestress + total load (allowing 33% increase due to transient load: \( f_c = 0.60 f'_c \))

Note that although \( f_{ax} = 0.45 f'_c \), this should not affect the shear strength since \( f_{ax} \) is due to pretress only, and the inclusion of self-weight reduces it to less than 0.45 \( f'_c \).

We thus have:

Self-weight \( W_D = 14,870 \text{ N/m} \)

\[ M_{s33} = \frac{W_Dx(l - x)}{2} = \frac{14,870 \times 5.33(21.3 - 5.33)}{2} \]

\[ = 634 \text{ kN-m} \]

\[ f_d = \frac{M_{cb}}{I_e} = \frac{6,340,000 \times 65.46}{3.58 \times 10^8} = 11.6 \text{ MPa} \]

\[ M_{ax} = S_D(0.50 \sqrt{f'_c} + f_{ax} - f_d) \]

\[ = 54,733 \left( 0.50 \times 1.0 \sqrt{34.5} + 16.8 - 11.6 \right) \]

\[ = 445 \text{ kN-m} \]

Unfactored shear due to self-weight is

\[ V_d = W_D \left( \frac{1}{2} - x \right) = 14,870 \left( \frac{21.3}{2} - 5.33 \right) = 79.1 \text{kN} \]

\[ W_{SD} = 2,919 \text{ N/m} \]

\[ W_L = 10,507 \text{ N/m} \]

Factored external load intensity is

\[ W_U = 1.2 \times 2,919 + 1.6 \times 10,507 = 20.3 \text{ kN/m} \]

\[ V_i = W_U \left( \frac{l}{2} - x \right) = 20.3 \left( \frac{20.3}{2} - 5.33 \right) = 108 \text{kN} \]

\[ M_{max} = W_D x \left( \frac{l - x}{2} \right) = \frac{20.3 \times 5.33(21.3 - 5.33)}{2} \]

\[ = 864 \text{ kN-m} \]

\[ V_{ei} = 0.6 \sqrt{f'_c} - b_w d_p + \frac{V_i}{M_{max}} (M_{ax}) \geq 0.33 \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d_p \]
\[
= 0.6 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{34.5} \times 3.18 \times 7.62 + 79.1 \\
+ \frac{108}{864}(445) \\
= 220 \text{kN}
\]

\[
0.33 \sqrt{f_y b_u d_p} = 0.33 \times 1.0 \sqrt{34.5} \times 31.8 \times 76.2 = 202 \text{kN} < 220 \text{kN}
\]

Hence, \( V_a = 220 \text{kN} \) controls.

(2) **Web-shear cracking, \( V_{aw} \) (step 2)**

\[
\bar{f} = \frac{P_e}{A_c} = \frac{18,176}{6,310} = 2.9 \text{ MPa}
\]

For the vertical component of the prestress force,

\[
V_p = P_e \tan \theta = 18,176 \times \frac{(55.3 - 28.9)}{21.3/2} = 44.0 \text{kN}
\]

\[
V_{aw} = [0.3(\lambda \sqrt{f_y + f_y})]b_u d_p + V_p
\]

\[
= [0.3(1.0\sqrt{34.5} + 2.9)] \times 318 \times 762 + 44
\]

\[
= 681 \text{kN} \text{ vs.} \ V_a = 211 \text{kN}
\]

Now, \( V_c \) is the smaller of \( V_a \) and \( V_{aw} \); hence,

\[
V_c = V_a = 211 \text{kN}
\]

(3) **Design of web reinforcement (steps 3–8)**

From above,

\[
V_c = 211 \text{kN},
\]

So

\[
\frac{1}{2} V_c = 106 \text{kN}
\]

Now, \( V_u / \phi \) at a section 5.33 m. from support = 272 × \( V_c > \frac{1}{4} V_c \); hence design of stirrups is necessary. If \( V_u / \phi < V_c > \frac{1}{4} V_c \), only minimum-web steel is needed.

\[
\text{Req.} \frac{A_s}{s} = \frac{V_u - V_c}{f_p d_p} = \frac{(V_u / \phi) - V_c}{f_p d_p} = \frac{(272 - 211)}{414 \times 762}
\]

\[
= 0.19 \text{ mm}^2 / \text{mm spacing}
\]

Using \( d \equiv d_p = 762 \text{ mm} \) and \( b_u = 318 \text{ mm} \):

\[
\text{Min.} \left( \frac{A_s}{s} \right) = \frac{A_{ps}}{80} \frac{f_p}{f_y} \sqrt{\frac{d_p}{b_u}} = \frac{18 \times 99}{80} \times \frac{1,860}{414 \times 762 \sqrt{318}} = 0.20 \text{ mm}^2 / \text{mm}
\]

Or

\[
\text{Min.} \left( \frac{A_s}{s} \right) = \frac{b_u}{3} f_y = \frac{318}{3 \times 414} = 0.25 \text{ mm}^2 / \text{mm}
\]

The lesser of the two minimum value applies; consequently, min. \( A_s = 0.20 \text{ mm}^2 / \text{mm} \) applies as the lesser of the two values.

Controlling web steel is hence

\[
\frac{A_s}{s} = 0.21 \text{ mm}^2 / \text{mm} \text{ for both webs or}
\]

0.105 mm\(^2 / \) mm per web.
Try one row of D5 deformed welded wire fabric at 254 mm center-to-center weld spacing.

The maximum allowable spacing is, then \(0.75h\) where \(h = 864\) mm but not to exceed 610 mm. So we have

\[
0.75 \times 864 = 648
\]

Accordingly, adopt using one row D5 WWF in one layer at 254 mm center-to-center of welds per web at the quarter-span section.

Note, in comparing the solution for \(V_a\) and \(V_{cw}\) that \(V_a\) has its highest value close to the support and rapidly decreases toward the midspan, while \(V_{cw}\) has a lesser variation in its value, as can be seen from Figure 5.13. It is important to calculate the flexure shear \(V_a\) and web shear \(V_{cw}\) at several sections along the span in order to determine the most efficient distribution of the web steel. A computer program facilitates finding these values at constant intervals of, say, 1/10th of the span, and a plot can be made similar to the one in Figure 5.13 showing the variation of the shear strengths of the web along the span.

(4) **Design of dowel steel for full composite section of the additional 2-in. topping (step 9), if such topping is added later to the pretopped section.**

Section at \(1/4d_y\) from face of support

\[
\text{Use } d_y = 76.2 + 5.1 = 81.3 \text{ cm}
\]

\[
V_a \text{ at support } = 407 \text{ kN}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} d_y = \frac{81.3}{2} = 41 \text{ cm} \quad h/2 = 0.4 \text{ m}
\]

\[
V_a = 407 \times \left( \frac{10.7 - 0.4}{10.7} \right) = 392 \text{ kN}
\]

\[
\text{Req } V_{sh} = \frac{V_a}{\phi} = \frac{392}{0.75} = 523 \text{ kN}
\]

\[
b_s = 366 \text{ cm} \quad \text{topping } h = 5.08 \text{ cm}
\]

From Figure 5.14

\[
C_i = 0.85f'c A_{top} = 0.85 \times 20.7 \times 366 \times 5.08 \times 10^{-3} = 3,267 \text{ kN}
\]

\[
T_s = A_p f_{pu} = 18 \times 99 \times 1655 \times 10^{-3} = 2,940 \text{ kN}
\]

\[
< C_i = 3,267 \text{ kN}
\]

Then

\[
F_h = 2,940 \text{ kN}
\]

\[
l_{sh} = \frac{21.3}{2} = 10.67 \text{ m} = 1,067 \text{ cm}
\]

\[
b_s = 366 \text{ cm}
\]

\[
0.55b_s l_{sh} = 0.55 \times 366 \times 1067 = 21,520 \text{ kN >> 2940 kN}
\]

No dowel reinforcement is needed to extend to the additional 5 cm topping for full composite action to be developed. The section is adopted when it satisfies the flexural, deflection, and cracking requirements.
5.16 TORSIONAL BEHAVIOR AND STRENGTH

5.16.1 Introduction

Torsion occurs in monolithic concrete construction primarily where the load acts at a distance from the longitudinal axis of the structural member. An end beam in a floor panel, a spandrel beam receiving load from one side, a canopy or a bus-stand roof projecting from a monolithic beam on columns, and peripheral beams surrounding a floor opening are all examples of structural elements subjected to twisting moments. These moments occasionally cause excessive shearing stresses. As a result, severe cracking can develop well beyond the allowable serviceability limits unless special torsional reinforcement is provided. Photos in this section illustrate the extent of cracking at failure of a beam in torsion. They show the curvilinear plane of twist caused by the imposed torsional moments. In actual spandrel beams of a structural system, the extent of damage due to torsion is usually not as severe. This is due to the redistribution of stresses in the structure. However, loss of integrity due to torsional distress should always be avoided by proper design of the necessary torsional reinforcement.

An introduction to the subject of torsional stress distribution has to start with the basic elastic behavior of simple sections, such as circular or rectangular sections. Most concrete beams subjected to twist are components of rectangles, for example, flanged sections such as T-beams and L-beams. Although circular sections are rarely a consideration in normal concrete construction, a brief discussion of torsion in circular sections serves as a good introduction to the torsional behavior of other types of sections.

Shear stress is equal to shear strain times the shear modulus at the elastic level in circular sections. As in the case of flexure, the stress is proportional to its distance from the neutral axis (i.e., the axis through the center of the circular section) and is maximum at the extreme fibers. If \( r \) is the radius of the element, \( J = \pi r^4/4 \), its polar moment of inertia, and \( \nu_s \) the elastic shearing stress due to an elastic twisting moment \( T_e \), then

\[
\nu_s = \frac{T_e r}{J} \tag{a}
\]

When deformation takes place in the circular shaft, the axis of the circular cylinder is assumed to remain straight. All radii in a cross section also remain straight (i.e., there is no warping) and rotate through the same angle about the axis. As the circular element starts to behave plastically, the stress in the plastic outer ring becomes constant while the stress in the inner core remains elastic, as shown in Figure 5.29. As the whole cross section becomes plastic, \( b = 0 \) and the shear stress

\[
\nu_p = \frac{3}{4} \frac{T_p r}{J} \tag{b}
\]

where \( \nu_p \) is the nonlinear shear stress due to an ultimate twisting moment \( T_p \). (The subscript \( f \) denotes failure.)

In rectangular sections, the torsional problem is considerably more complicated. The originally plane cross sections undergo warping due to the applied torsional moment. This moment produces axial as well as circumferential shear stresses with zero values at the corners of the section and the centroid of the rectangle, and maximum values on the periphery at the middle of the sides, as shown in Figure 5.30. The maximum torsional shearing stress would occur at midpoints \( A \) and \( B \) of the larger dimension of the cross section. These complications plus the fact that the reinforced and prestressed concrete sections are neither homogeneous nor isotropic make it difficult to develop exact
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Figure 5.29  Torsional stress distribution through circular section.

mathematical formulations based on physical models such as Equations (a) and (b) for circular sections.

For over seventy years, the torsional analysis of concrete members has been based on either (1) the classical theory of elasticity developed through mathematical formulations coupled with membrane analogy verifications (St.-Venant's), or (2) the theory of plasticity represented by the sand-heap analogy (Nadai's). Both theories were applied essentially to the state of pure torsion. But it was found experimentally that the elastic theory is not entirely satisfactory for the accurate prediction of the state of stress in concrete in pure torsion. The behavior of concrete was found to be better represented by the plastic approach. Consequently almost all developments in torsion as applied to prestressed concrete and to reinforced concrete have been in the latter direction.

5.16.2 Pure Torsion in Plain Concrete Elements

5.16.2.1 Torsion in elastic materials. In 1853, St.-Venant presented his solution to the elastic torsional problem with warping due to pure torsion which develops in noncircular sections. In 1903, Prandtl demonstrated the physical significance of the mathematical formulations by his membrane analogy model. The model establishes particular

Figure 5.30  Pure torsional stress distribution in a rectangular section.
relationships between the deflected surface of the loaded membrane and the distribution of torsional stresses in a bar subjected to twisting moments. Figure 5.31 shows the membrane analogy behavior for rectangular as well as L-shaped forms.

For small deformations, it can be proved that the differential equation of the deflected membrane surface has the same form as the equation that determines the stress distribution over the cross section of the bar subjected to twisting moments. Similarly, it can be demonstrated that (1) the tangent to a contour line at any point of a deflected membrane gives the direction of the shearing stress at the corresponding cross section of the actual membrane subjected to twist; (2) the maximum slope of the membrane at any point is proportional to the magnitude of shear stress $\tau$ at the corresponding point in the actual member; and (3) the twisting moment to which the actual member is subjected is proportional to twice the volume under the deflected membrane.

It can be seen from Figure 5.30 and 5.31(b) that the torsional shearing stress is inversely proportional to the distance between the contour lines. The closer the lines, the higher the stress, leading to the previously stated conclusion that the maximum torsional shearing stress occurs at the middle of the longer side of the rectangle. From the membrane analogy, this maximum stress has to be proportional to the steepest slope of the tangents at points $A$ and $B$.

If $\delta$ is the maximum displacement of the membrane from the tangent at point $A$, then from basic principles of mechanics and St.-Venant's theory,

$$\delta = b^2 G \theta$$

(5.45a)

Figure 5.31  Membrane analogy in elastic pure torsion. (a) Membrane under pressure. (b) Contours in a real beam or in a membrane. (c) L-section. (d) Rectangular section.
where $G$ is the shear modulus and $\theta$ is the angle of twist. But $v_{\text{(max)}}$ is proportional to the slope of the tangent; hence,

$$v_{\text{(max)}} = k_1 b G \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.45b)

where $k_1$ is a constant. The corresponding torsional moment $T_e$ is proportional to twice the volume under the membrane, or

$$T_e \propto 2(\delta b h) = k_2 \delta b h$$

where, again, $k_2$ is a constant. Or yet again,

$$T_e = k_3 b^3 h G \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.45c)

with $k_3$ constant. From Equations 5.45a and b,

$$v_{\text{(max)}} = \frac{T_e b}{kb^3 h} \approx \frac{T_e b}{J_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.45d)

The denominator $kb^3 h$ in 5.45d represents the polar moment of inertia $J_1$ of the section. Comparing this equation to Equation (a) for the circular section shows the similarity of the two expressions, except that the factor $k$ in the equation for the rectangular section takes into account the shear strains due to warping. Equation 5.45d can be further simplified to give

$$v_{\text{(max)}} = \frac{T_e}{kb^3 h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.46)

It can also be written to give the stress at planes inside the section, such as an inner concentric rectangle of dimensions $x$ and $y$, where $x$ is the shorter side, so that

$$v_{\text{(max)}} = \frac{T_e}{kx^2 y}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.47)

It is important to note in using the membrane analogy approach that the torsional shear stress changes from one point to another along the same axis as $AB$ in Figure 5.31, because of the changing slope of the analogous membrane, rendering the torsional shear stress calculations lengthy.

### 5.16.2.2 Torsion in plastic materials

As indicated earlier, the plastic sand-heap analogy provides a better representation of the behavior of brittle elements such as concrete beams subjected to pure torsion than does the elastic analogy. The torsional moment is also proportional to twice the volume under the heap, and the maximum torsional shearing stress is proportional to the slope of the sand heap. Figure 5.32 is a two- and three-dimensional illustration of the sand heap. The torsional moment $T_e$ in part (d) of the figure is proportional to twice the volume of the rectangular heap shown in parts (b) and (c). It can also be recognized that the slope of the sand-heap sides as a measure of the torsional shearing stress is constant in the sand-heap analogy approach, whereas it is continuously variable in the membrane analogy approach. This characteristic of the sand heap considerably simplifies the solutions.

### 5.16.2.3 Sand-heap analogy applied to L-beams

Most concrete elements subjected to torsion are flanged sections, most commonly L-beams comprising the external wall beams of a structural floor. The L-beam in Figure 5.33 is chosen in applying the plas-
Figure 5.32  Sand-heap analogy in plastic pure torsion. (a) Sand-heap L-section. (b) Sand-heap rectangular section. (c) Plan of rectangular section. (d) Torsional shear stress.

astic sand-heap approach to evaluate its torsional moment capacity and shear stress to which it is subjected. The sand heap is broken into three volumes:

\[ V_1 = \frac{y_1 b_w^2}{3} \]
\[ V_2 = y_1 b_w (h - b_w) / 2 \]
\[ V_3 = \frac{y_2 h_f (b - b_w)}{2} \]

The torsional moment is proportional to twice the volume of the sand heaps; hence,

\[ T_p \approx \left[ \frac{y_1 b_w^2}{3} + \frac{y_1 b_w (h - b_w)}{2} + \frac{y_2 h_f (b - b_w)}{2} \right]^2 \]  (5.48)

Also, the torsional shear stress is proportional to the slope of the sand heaps; hence,

\[ y_1 = \frac{v_1 b_w}{2} \]  (5.49)
\[ y_2 = \frac{v_1 h_f}{2} \]  (5.50)

Substituting \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) from Equations 5.49 and 5.50 into Equation 5.48 give us
Figure 5.33 Sand-heap analogy of flanged section. (a) Sand heap on L-shaped cross section. (b) Composite pyramid from web \(V_1\). (c) Tent segment from web \(V_2\). (d) Transformed tent of beam flange \(V_2\).

\[
v_{\text{max}} = \frac{T_p}{(b_w^2/6)(3h - b_w) + (h_w^2/2)(b - b_w)}
\]

(5.51)

If both the numerator and denominator of Equation 5.51 are divided by \((b_wh)^2\) and the terms rearranged, we have

\[
v_{\text{max}} = \frac{T_ph}{(b_wh)^2}
\]

(5.52a)

If one assumes that \(C_i\) is the denominator in this equation and that \(J_E = C_i/(b_wh)^2\), the equation becomes

Photo 5.7 Reinforced plaster beam at failure in pure torsion. (Rutgers tests: Law, Nawy, et al.)
where $J_E$ is the equivalent polar moment of inertia, a function of the shape of the beam cross section. Note that Equation 5.52b is similar in form to Equation 5.45d from the membrane analogy, except for the different values of the denominators $J$ and $J_E$. Equation 5.52a can be readily applied to rectangular sections by setting $h_p = 0$.

It must also be recognized that concrete is not a perfectly plastic material; hence, the actual torsional strength of the plain concrete section has a value lying between the membrane analogy and the sand-heap analogy values.

Equation 5.52b can be rewritten designating $T_p = T_c$ as the nominal torsional resistance of the plain concrete and $\nu_{c(\text{max})} = \nu_{tc}$ using ACI terminology, so that

$$T_c = k_2 b^2 h\nu_{tc}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.53a)

or

$$T_c = k_2 x^2 y\nu_{tc}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.53b)

where $x$ is the smaller dimension of the rectangular section.

Extensive work on reinforced concrete beams by Hsu and confirmed by others has established that $k_2$ can be taken as $\frac{1}{3}$. This value originated from research in the skew-bending theory of plain concrete. It was also established that $6\sqrt{f'_c}$ can be considered as a limiting value of the pure torsional strength of a member without torsional reinforcement. Using a reduction factor of 2.5 for the first cracking torsional load $\nu_{tc} = 2.4\sqrt{f'_c}$, and using $k_2 = \frac{1}{3}$ in Equation 5.53, results in

$$T_c = 0.8\sqrt{f'_c} x^2 y$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.54a)

where $x$ is the shorter side of the rectangular section. The high reduction factor of 2.5 is used to offset any effect of bending moments that might be present.

If the cross section is a T- or L-section, the area can be broken into component rectangles as in Figure 5.34, such that

$$T_c = 0.8\sqrt{f'_c} \Sigma x^2 y$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.54b)

### 5.17 TORSION IN REINFORCED AND PRESTRESSED CONCRETE ELEMENTS

Torsion rarely occurs in concrete structures without being accompanied by bending and shear. The foregoing should give a sufficient background on the contribution of the plain concrete in the section toward resisting part of the combined stresses resulting from tor-
sional, axial, shear, or flexural forces. The capacity of the plain concrete to resist torsion when in combination with other loads could, in many cases, be lower than when it resists the same factored external twisting moments alone. Consequently, torsional reinforcement has to be provided to resist the excess torque.

Inclusion of longitudinal and transverse reinforcement to resist part of the torsional moments introduces a new element in the set of forces and moments in the section. If

\[ T_n = \text{required total nominal torsional resistance of the section, including the reinforcement} \]
\[ T_c = \text{nominal torsional resistance of the plain concrete} \]

and

\[ T_r = \text{torsional resistance of the reinforcement} \]

then

\[ T_n = T_c + T_r \] (5.55)

Several theories have been proposed over the past half century. A general discussion presented here concentrates on (a) the skew bending theory, (b) the space truss analogy theory, (c) the compression field theory, and (d) the plasticity equilibrium truss theory. Except for the skew bending theory, the other models consider the shear flow in hollow box sections as the principal element in evaluating the torsional capacity of solid and hollow sections.

### 5.17.1 Skew-Bending Theory

Skew-bending theory considers in detail the internal deformational behavior of the series of transverse warped surfaces along the beam. Initially proposed by Lessig, it had subsequent contributions from Collins, Hsu, Zia, Gesund, Mattock, and Elfgren among the several researchers in this field. Hsu made a major contribution experimentally to the development of the skew-bending theory as it presently stands. In his book (Ref. 5.9), Hsu details the development of the theory of torsion as applied to concrete structures and how the skew-bending theory formed the basis of the initial ACI code provisions on tor-
sion. The complexity of the torsional problem permits here only the brief discussion that follows.

The failure surface of the normal beam cross section subjected to bending moment $M_u$ remains plane after bending, as shown in Figure 5.35(a). If a twisting moment $T_u$ is also applied exceeding the capacity of the section, cracks develop on three sides of the beam cross section, and compressive stresses appear on portions of the fourth side along the beam. As torsional loading proceeds to the limit state at failure, a skewed failure surface results due to the combined torsional moment $T_u$ and bending moment $M_u$. The neutral axis of the skewed surface and the shaded area in Figure 5.35(b) denoting the compression zone would no longer be straight, but subtend a varying angle $\theta$ with the original plane cross sections.

Prior to cracking, neither the longitudinal bars nor the closed stirrups have any appreciable contribution to the torsional stiffness of the section. At the postcracking stage of loading, the stiffness of the section is reduced, but its torsional resistance is considerably increased, depending on the amount and distribution of both the longitudinal bars and the transverse closed ties. It has to be emphasized that little additional torsional strength can be achieved beyond the capacity of the plain concrete in the beam unless both longitudinal torsion bars and transverse ties are used.

The skew-bending theory idealizes the compression zone by considering it to be of uniform depth. It assumes the cracks on the remaining three faces of the cross section to be uniformly spread, with the steel ties (stirrups) at those faces carrying the tensile forces at the cracks and the longitudinal bars resisting shear through dowel action with the concrete. Figure 5.36(a) shows the forces acting on the skewly bent beam. The polygon in Figure 5.36(b) gives the shear resistance $F_c$ of the concrete, the force $T_e$ of the active longitudinal steel bars in the compression zone, and the normal compressive block force $C_c$.

The torsional moment $T_e$ of the resisting shearing force $F_e$ generated by the shaded compressive block area in Figure 5.36(a) is thus

![Figure 5.35 Skew bending due to torsion. (a) Bonding before twist. (b) Bonding and torsion.](image)
Figure 5.36 Forces on the skew-bent planes. (a) All forces acting on skew plane at failure. (b) Vector forces on compression zone.

\[ T_c = \frac{F_c}{\cos 45^\circ} \times \text{its arm about forces } F_c \text{ in the figure} \]

or

\[ T_c = \sqrt{2F_c}(0.8x) \]  

(5.56a)

where \( x \) is the shorter side of the beam. Extensive tests (Refs. 5.9 and 5.10) to evaluate \( F_c \) in terms of the internal stress in concrete \( k_c \sqrt{f'_c} \) and the geometrical torsional constants of the section \( k_x x^2 y \) led to the expression

\[ T_c = \frac{2.4}{\sqrt{X}} x^2 y \sqrt{f'_c} \]  

(5.56b)

5.17.2 Space Truss Analogy Theory

Space truss analogy theory was originally developed by Rausch and later extended by Lampert and Collins, with additional work by Hsu, Thurliman, Elfgren, and others. Further refinement was introduced by Rabbat and Collins (Ref. 5.13) on the variable angle space truss and Collins and Mitchell (Ref. 5.11).
Hsu (Refs. 5.18, and 5.19) proposed combining the equilibrium, compatibility and the softened constitutive laws of concrete in a unified theory that can predict with reasonable accuracy the shear and torsional behavior of beams (the softened truss model). The shear flow concept was utilized in deriving the relevant expressions for shear equilibrium. The space truss analogy is an extension of the model used in the design of the shear-resisting stirrups, in which the diagonal tension cracks, once they start to develop, are resisted by the stirrups. Because of the nonplanar shape of the cross sections due to the twisting moment, a space truss composed of the stirrups is used as the diagonal tension members, and the idealized concrete strips at a variable angle \( \theta \) between the cracks are used as the compression members (struts), as shown in Figure 5.37.

It is assumed in this theory that the concrete beam behaves in torsion similarly to a thin-walled box with a constant shear flow in the wall cross section, producing a constant torsional moment. The use of hollow-walled sections rather than solid sections proved to give essentially the same ultimate torsional moment, provided that the walls were not too thin. Such a conclusion is borne out of tests which have shown that the torsional strength of the solid sections is composed of the resistance of the closed stirrup cage, consisting of the longitudinal bars and transverse stirrups, and the idealized concrete inclined compression struts in the plane of the cage wall. The compression struts are the inclined concrete strips between the cracks in Figure 5.37.

The CEB-FIP code is based on the space truss model. In this code, the effective wall thickness of the hollow beam is taken as \( \frac{1}{4} D_0 \), where \( D_0 \) is the diameter of the circle inscribed in the rectangle connecting the corner longitudinal bars, namely, \( D_0 = x_0 \) in Figure 5.37. In summary, the absence of the core does not affect the strength of such members in torsion—hence, the acceptability of the space truss analogy approach based on hollow sections.

\[ F = \text{tensile force in each longitudinal bar} \]
\[ c_x = \text{inclined compressive force on horizontal side} \]
\[ c_y = \text{inclined compressive force on vertical side} \]
\[ \tau = \text{shear flow force per unit length of wall} \]

**Figure 5.37** Forces on hollow-box concrete surface by truss analogy.
5.17.3 Compression Field Theory

The compression field theory can be considered a special case of the general truss model theory. Elfgen proposed the compression fields to describe components of the plasticity truss model currently used in the European Code, and Collins and Mitchell modified the approach, proposing the terms to be subsequently discussed. The angle of inclination \( \theta \) in Fig. 5.37 of the diagonal cracks or the compression struts between the diagonal cracks is not idealized to 45\(^\circ\), but rather uses limits based on the areas of the longitudinal tension steel and the transverse torsional web steel (inclined or vertical closed stirrups or ties). Figure 5.38 points up the fact that the torsional force is resisted by the tangential components of the diagonal compression struts, which produce a shear flow \( q \) around the perimeter (Ref. 5.11).

Assuming that concrete carries no tension after cracking, and that torsional shear is carried by the field of diagonal compression struts, the angle of inclination \( \theta \) of these struts can be defined as

\[
\tan^2 \theta = \frac{\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_d}{\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_d}
\]

(5.57)

where \( \varepsilon_t \) = longitudinal tensile strain in the main bars \( A \)

\( \varepsilon_t \) = transverse tensile strain in bars \( B \)

\( \varepsilon_d \) = diagonal compression strain

The area \( A_o \) in the figure enclosed by the shear flow \( q \) can be obtained as

\[
A_o = A_{ob} - \frac{a_0}{2} p_h
\]

(5.58)

where \( A_{ob} \) = area enclosed by the centerline of the hoop

\( p_h \) = hoop centerline perimeter

**Photo 5.9** Reinforced concrete beams in torsion, testing setup. (*Courtesy, Thomas T. C. Hsu.*)
Photo 5.10  Closeup of torsional cracking of beams in the preceding photograph. (Courtesy, Thomas T. C. Hsu.)

Figure 5.38  Compression field truss model by Collins and Mitchell (Ref. 5.11).
\[ a_o = \text{compression block depth (identical to the depth } a \text{ of the equivalent rectangular block in flexure)} \]

The equivalent wall thickness \( t_e \) in the analysis of the twisted beam is shown in Figure 5.39, and the depth \( a_o \) of the compressive block is defined in Equation 5.61.

The diagonal torsional cracks, as well as the exposed transverse ties after spalling of the concrete cover at torsion failure, are demonstrated in Figure 5.40.

The transverse and longitudinal strains in the steel at the nominal torsional moment \( T_n \) can be respectively defined as

\[
\varepsilon_t = \left( \frac{0.85 f_t' A_o}{f_c A_{oh} \tan \theta} - 1 \right) 0.003 \]  
\[
\varepsilon_l = \left( \frac{0.85 f_t' A_o}{f_c A_{oh} \tan \theta} - 1 \right) 0.003 \]  

where the nominal torsional shear stress is

**Figure 5.39** Effective thickness \( t_e \) and compression block depth \( a_o \).
\[ \tau_n = \frac{T_n P_h}{A_{oh}} \]  

(5.60)

The area \( A_o \) enclosed by the shear flow can be obtained from Equation 5.60 and the following expression for the compression block depth \( a_0 \) in torsion according to Collins and Mitchell (Ref. 5.11):

\[ a_0 = \frac{A_{oh}}{P_h} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{T_n P_h}{0.85' c' A_{oh}^2} \left( \tan \theta + \frac{1}{\tan \theta} \right)} \right) \]

(5.61)

where \( T_n \) is the nominal torsional moment strength at the limit state at failure. It should be noted that Equations 5.58, 5.59, 5.60, 5.61, and 5.63 are based on the assumptions: (1) spalling of concrete cover, and (2) using non-softened stress-strain curve of the concrete. These assumptions do not seem to be correct, considering the actual torsional behavior of the concrete element.

For combined torsion and shear, the shearing stress at nominal strengths \( T_n \) and \( V_n \) in Equation 5.61 becomes

\[ \tau_n = \frac{T_n P_h}{A_{oh}^2} + \frac{V_n - V_p}{b_s d_v} \]

(5.62)

where \( V_p \) = vertical component on the prestressing force.

\( b_s \) = minimum effective web width within shear depth \( d_v \) after spalling of cover.

Subtract the diameters of ducts from the web width if ungrouted, or half the diameter of ducts for grouted tendons.

\( d_v \) = effective shear depth. This can be taken as the flexural lever arm, but not less than the vertical distance between the centers of bars or prestressing tendons at the corners of the stirrups.

The predicted values of the compressive strut inclination \( \theta \) in Figure 5.38 range between 24° for pure torsion and 90° for pure flexure. Hence, the lower the value of \( \theta \) selected for a given torque, the less is the transverse hoop steel needed and the more is the required
area of longitudinal steel. Since transverse closed stirrups or ties are more expensive than longitudinal bars, a choice of lower values of $\theta$ is more economical in design.

The compression field theory assumes that the geometrical properties of the designed section are chosen on the basis of yielding of the transverse web reinforcement and longitudinal steel prior to diagonal crushing of the concrete. Consequently, the transverse strain $e$, in Equations 5.57 and 5.58a should be taken as the yield strain $e_y$.

The range of the compression strut angle $\theta$ in degrees can be evaluated from

$$
10 + \frac{35(\tau_w/f_c)}{0.42 - 0.50e_f} < \theta < 80 - \frac{35(\tau_w/f_c)}{0.42 - 0.65e_y}
$$

(5.63)

It should be noted that in the compression field theory, the principal tensile stress is assumed to be equal to zero after the concrete has cracked—a hypothesis subject to justification. A modified compression field theory was subsequently proposed by Collins and Mitchell, taking into account the contribution of tensile stress in the concrete between the cracks. This is discussed in Section 12.4.1 and Figure 12.8 (c), leading to the design expressions presented in that section for determining the compression strut variable inclination angle $\theta$, adopted by AASHTO after modifications. This approach also differs fundamentally from the present standard ACI Code. The Code approach assumes a constant inclination angle $\theta$, in that the contribution in shear resistance by the plain concrete, $V_o$, is attributed to compression diagonals inclined at an angle $\theta = 45^\circ$ for reinforced concrete, and $37.5^\circ$ for prestressed concrete members.

5.17.4 Plasticity Equilibrium Truss Theory

Hsu (Ref. 5.17, 5.18) proposed combining the equilibrium, compatibility and the softened constitutive laws of concrete in a unified theory that can predict with reasonable accuracy the shear and torsional behavior of beams (The softened truss model). The shear flow concept is utilized in deriving the relevant expressions for shear equilibrium.

5.17.4.1 Equilibrium in element shear. A unit square membrane element of thickness $t$ is subjected to shear flow $q$ due to pure shear in Figure 5.41 (Hsu, Ref. 5.18). Reinforcement in both the longitudinal (E-W) direction $t$ and transverse (N-S) direction $t$ is subjected to a unit stress $f_t/s_t$ and $f_t/s_t$ respectively such that the shear flow $q$ can be defined by the equilibrium equations.
Figure 5.41 Equilibrium forces in element shear (Ref. 5.18).

\[ q = (F_i) \tan \theta \]  
\[ q = (F_i) \cot \theta \]

where unit \( F_i = A_i f_i / s_i \) and the cross-sectional areas of the reinforcement, and \( s_i \) and \( s \) are the spacings in the \( l \) and \( t \) directions, respectively.

From the geometry of the triangles in Figure 5.41 the shear flow can also be defined as

\[ q = (f_{pd}) \sin \theta \cos \theta \]

If the reinforcement in both directions is assumed to have yielded, Equations 5.64a, b and 5.65 give

\[ \tan \theta = \sqrt{\frac{F_{iy}}{F_{ty}}} \]  
\[ q_y = \sqrt{F_{ty} F_{iy}} \]

where the subscript \( y \) denotes the yielding of the reinforcement.

5.17.4.2 Equilibrium in element torsion. The case of a hollow tube of any shape and variable thickness is considered (Figure 5.42). It is subjected to pure torsion. St.-Venant’s theory stipulates that the cross-sectional shape remains unchanged in elastic small deformations and the warping deformation perpendicular to the cross-section would be the same along the member’s axis. Hence, it can be assumed that only shear stresses develop in the tube wall in the form of shear flow \( q \) in Figure 5.42a and that the in-plane normal stresses in the wall vanish. If an infinitesimal wall element ABCD is isolated as in Figure 5.42b, the shear flow in the \( l \) direction has to be equal to the shear flow in the \( t \) direction or

\[ \tau_1 l_1 = \tau_2 l_2 \]
5.17 Torsion in Reinforced and Prestressed Concrete Elements

Figure 5.42  Hollow tube equilibrium torsion forces. (a) Section of tube subjected to torsion \( T \). (b) Unit shear element from tube wall of varying thickness \( h \). Note: \( l \) and \( t \) denote the longitudinal and transverse directions.

On this basis, the shear flow \( q \) is considered constant throughout the cross-section (Ref. 5.18). The torsional force over an infinitesimal distance \( dt \) along the shear flow path is \( q dt \) so that the torsional resistance to the external torsional moment \( T \) in Figure 5.42a becomes

\[
T = q \int r \, dt \tag{5.68}
\]

It can be seen from Figure 5.42a that \( r \, dt \) in the integral is equal to twice the area of the shaded triangle formed by \( r \) and \( dt \). A summation of the total area around the cross-section gives

\[
\int r \, dt = 2A_0 \tag{5.69}
\]

where \( A_0 \) = cross-sectional area bounded by the shear flow center line. Substituting \( 2A_0 \) into Equation 5.68 gives

\[
q = \frac{T}{2A_0} \tag{5.70}
\]

By neglecting warping, the shear element subjected to pure torsion in the tube wall of Figure 5.42a becomes identical to the membrane shear element in Figure 5.41a. Hence, substituting for the shear flow \( q \) from Equation 5.70 into Equations 5.64a, b, and 5.65, the following three equations of equilibrium for torsion result in

\[
T = \frac{\overline{F}_l}{P_0} (2A_0) \tan \theta \tag{5.71a}
\]

where \( \overline{F}_l = F_l p_0 \) and \( p_0 \) = perimeter of the shear flow path. \( \overline{F}_l \) is the total longitudinal force due to torsion.

\[
T = F_l (2A_0) \cot \theta \tag{5.71b}
\]

\[
T = (f_p l)(2A_0) \sin \theta \cos \theta \tag{5.71c}
\]

Equation 5.71b can be written at yield as

\[
T_n = \frac{2A_0 A_f f_y}{s} \cot \theta \tag{5.72}
\]
where $T_n$ is the maximum torsional moment strength.

The required torsional reinforcement in the transverse and longitudinal directions become

$$A_t = \frac{T_n}{2A_0 f_y \cot \theta} \quad (5.73)$$

$$A_l = \frac{A_l}{s} \left( \frac{f_y}{f_y^{pl}} \right) (s_l \cot^2 \theta) \quad (5.74a)$$

where $A_l$ is the area of one longitudinal bar. If $s_l$ as the longitudinal reinforcement spacing represents the perimeter $p_B$ of the center-line of the outermost closed transverse torsional reinforcement, then

$$A_l = \frac{A_l}{s} p_B \left( \frac{f_y}{f_y^{pl}} \right) \cot^2 \theta \quad (5.74b)$$

where $A_l = \text{total area of all longitudinal torsional steel in the section.}$

5.17.4.3 Shear-torsion-bending interaction. Consider the rectangular box in Figures 5.37 and 5.43. The shear flow $q$ will not be the same on the four walls of the box when subjected to combined shear and torsion as shown in Figure 5.43. Failure can precipitate in two distinct modes:

(a) yielding of the longitudinal bottom tension steel and the transverse stirrups,

(b) yielding of the longitudinal top compression steel and the transverse stirrups,

(a) **Bottom Tension Steel Yielding**

If the failure mode is caused by yielding of the longitudinal bottom stringer (tensile steel) and the transverse stirrups due to combined shear and torsion, the following expression can be derived from equilibrium (Ref. 5.18)

$$\frac{M}{F_B y_0} + \left( \frac{V}{2y_0} \right)^2 y_0 + \frac{1}{A_l f_y} A_0 y_0 + \frac{1}{A_l f_y^{pl}} A_0 s = 1 \quad (5.75)$$

if $M_0$, $V_0$, and $T_0$ are the moments and forces acting alone, they can be defined as follows

$$M_0 = F_B y_0 \quad (5.76a)$$

$$V_0 = 2y_0 \sqrt{\left( \frac{F_T}{y_0} \right) \frac{A_l f_y}{s}} \text{ for a two-web box} \quad (5.76b)$$

**Figure 5.43** Hollow section shear flow $q$ due to combined shear and torsion.
\[ T_0 = 2A_0 \sqrt{\frac{2F_T}{p_0}} \frac{A_s f_y}{s} \]  

(5.76c)

where \( p_0 = 2(y_0 + x_0) \)

\[ R = \frac{F_T}{F_B} \]  

(5.76d)

A nondimensional interaction surface relationship can be obtained by introducing Equation 5.76 into Equation 5.75 such that

\[ \left( \frac{M}{M_0} \right) + \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^2 R + \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^2 R = 1 \]  

(5.77a)

\( (b) \ Top \ Compression \ Steel \ Yielding \)

If the failure mode is caused by yielding of the longitudinal top chord (compression steel) and the transverse stirrups, Equation 5.77a becomes

\[ -\left( \frac{M}{M_0} \right) \frac{1}{R} + \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^2 = 1 \]  

(5.77b)

From both Equations 5.77a and 5.77b the interaction of \( V \) and \( T \) is circular for a constant bending moment \( M \) for both failure surfaces. The intersection of the two failure surfaces for these two failure modes forms a peak interaction curve between \( V \) and \( T \) that Equations 5.77a and b give

\[ \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^2 = \frac{1 + R}{2R} \]  

(5.78a)

Equation 5.78 for \( R = 0.25, 0.5, \) and 1.0 on the peak planes gives the circular plots shown in Figure 5.44.

A third mode of failure is caused by yielding in the top bar, in the bottom bar, and in the transverse reinforcement, all on the side where shear flows due to shear and tor-
\[ \frac{V}{V_0} + \frac{T}{T_0} \leq 1 + \frac{R}{2R} \]

Figure 5.44  Shear-torsion interaction diagram.

Shear and Torsional Strength Design

The factored torsional moment strength, \( \phi T_n \), must equal or exceed the external torsion, \( T_n \), due to the factored loads. In the calculation of \( T_n \) (ACI 318–99, Ref. 5.1), all the torque is assumed to be resisted by the closed stirrups and longitudinal steel with the torsional moment \( T_c \) resisted by the concrete compression struts assumed as zero. At the same time, the shear resisted by concrete, \( V_c \) is assumed to be unchanged by the presence of torsion. This simplification eliminates the need for the rigor of the lengthy interaction expressions for \( V, T, \) and \( M \) used in the previous codes. In summary, the web reinforcement for shear is determined by the value of \( V_s = V_n - V_c \) while the web reinforcement for torsion by the \( T_n \) value alone, where \( T_n = T_c / \phi \) with \( \phi = 0.85 \).

5.17.5 Design of Prestressed Concrete Beams Subjected to Combined Torsion, Shear, and Bending in Accordance with the ACI 318–02 Code

Adjusting in the equilibrium truss model of Section 5.17.4, the following are the ACI 318 Code provisions for designing the longitudinal and transverse reinforcement in prestressed elements.

5.17.5.1 Compatibility torsion. In statically indeterminate systems, stiffness assumptions, compatibility of strains at the joints and redistribution of stresses may affect the stress resultant, leading to a reduction of the resulting torsional shearing stresses. A reduction is permitted in the value of the factored moment used in the design of the member if part of this moment can be redistributed to the intersecting members. The ACI Code permits a maximum factored torsional moment at the critical section \( h/2 \) from the face of the supports for prestressed concrete members as follows:

\[ T_s = \phi 4 \sqrt{f_t} \left( \frac{A_{cp}}{P_{cp}} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{f_c}{4 \sqrt{f_t}}} \]  

where \( A_{cp} \) = area enclosed by outside perimeter of concrete cross section = \( x_0 y_0 \)
\( P_{cp} \) = outside perimeter of concrete cross section \( A_{cp} \), in. = \( 2(x_0 + y_0) \)
where \( f_c \) = average compressive stress in the concrete at the centroidal axis due to effective prestress only after allowing for all losses. \( f_c \) is denoted in the ACI Code as \( f_{pc} \).

Neglect of the full effect of the total value of external torsional moment in this case does not, in effect, lead to failure of the structure but may result in excessive cracking if \( \phi 4 \sqrt{f_c' (A_{cp}^2 / p_{cp})} \) is considerably smaller in value than the actual factored torque.

If the actual factored torque is less than that given in Equation 5.79, the beam has to be designed for the lesser torsional value. Torsional moments are neglected however if for prestressed concrete

\[
T_u < \phi \sqrt{f_c' \left( \frac{A_{cp}^2}{p_{cp}} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{f_c}{4 \sqrt{f_c'}} \right)
\]

(5.80)

5.17.5.2 Torsional moment strength. The size of the cross section is chosen on the basis of reducing unsightly cracking and preventing the crushing of the surface concrete caused by the inclined compressive stresses due to shear and torsion defined by the left hand side of the expressions in Equation 5.81. The geometrical dimensions for torsional moment strength in both reinforced and prestressed members are limited by the following expressions.

(a) Solid Sections

\[
\sqrt{\left( \frac{V_u}{b_u d} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{T_u p_h}{1.7 A_{oh}} \right)} \leq \phi \left( \frac{V_u}{b_u d} + 8 \sqrt{f_c'} \right)
\]

(5.81)

(b) Hollow Sections

\[
\left( \frac{V_u}{b_u d} \right) + \left( \frac{T_u p_h}{1.7 A_{oh}^2} \right) \leq \phi \left( \frac{V_u}{b_u d} + 8 \sqrt{f_c'} \right)
\]

(5.82)

where \( A_{oh} \) = area enclosed by the centerline of the outermost closed transverse torsional reinforcement, sq. in.

\( p_h \) = perimeter of centerline of outermost closed transverse torsional reinforcement, in.

The area \( A_{oh} \) for different sections are given in Figure 5.45. Figures 5.46 and 5.47 give guidance to the determination of the area \( A_{oh} \) and the shear flow area \( A_q \equiv 0.85 A_{oh} \) in Equation 5.84(a).

The sum of the stresses at the left hand side of Equation 5.82 should not exceed the stresses causing shear cracking plus \( 8 \sqrt{f_c'} \). This is similar to the limiting strength \( V_s \leq 8 \sqrt{f_c'} \) for shear without torsion.

5.17.5.3 Hollow sections wall thickness. The shear stresses due to shear and to torsion both develop in the walls of the hollow section as seen in Figure 5.48a. Note that in a solid section the shear stresses due to torsion still concentrate in the outer zones of the section as in Figure 5.48b and as discussed in Section 5.17.3.1.

If the wall thickness in the hollow section varies around its perimeter, the section geometry has to be evaluated at such a location where the left-hand side of Equation 5.82 has a maximum value. Also, if the wall thickness \( t < A_{oh} / p_h \) the left-hand side of Equation 5.82 should be taken as

\[
\left( \frac{V_u}{b_u d} \right) + \left( \frac{T_u}{1.7 A_{oh} t} \right)
\]
The wall thickness \( t \) is the thickness where stresses are being checked.

\[
V_c = \left( 0.6 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c} + 700 \frac{V_{udp}}{M_u} \right) b_w d_p; \quad \frac{V_{udp}}{M_u} \leq 1.0
\]

\[
\geq 1.7 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d_p
\]

\[
\leq 5.0 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d_p
\]

(5.83)

where \( f_{pu} > 0.4 f_{pu} \).

5.17.5.4 Torsional web reinforcement. As indicated in Section 5.17.3, meaningful additional torsional strength due to the addition of torsional reinforcement can be achieved only by using both stirrups and longitudinal bars. Ideally, equal volumes of steel in both the closed stirrups and the longitudinal bars should be used so that both participate equally in resisting the twisting moments. This principle is the basis of the ACI expressions for proportioning the torsional web steel. If \( s \) is the spacing of the stirrups, \( A_I \) is the total cross-sectional area of the longitudinal bars, and \( A_I \) is the cross section of one stirrup leg, the transverse reinforcement for torsion has to be based on the full external torsional moment strength value \( T_e \), namely, \( (T_e/\phi) \) where

\[
\phi = \frac{A_I}{2}
\]
Figure 5.47  Effective shear width and depth of typical prestressed concrete sections.
Figure 5.48 Superposition of torsional and shear stresses. (a) Directly additive occurring in the left wall of the box (Equation 7.30b). (b) Torsion acts on "tubular" outer-wall section while shear stress acts on the full width of solid section: stresses combined using square root of sum of squares (Equation 7.30b).

\[ T_n = \frac{2A_0 A_f f_y}{s} \cot \theta \]  

(See the derivation of Equation 5.72)

- $A_0 =$ gross area enclosed by the shear flow path, sq. in.
- $A_f =$ cross-sectional area of one leg of the transverse closed stirrups, sq. in.
- $f_y =$ yield strength of closed transverse torsional reinforcement not to exceed 60,000 psi.
- $\theta =$ angle of the compression diagonals (struts) in the space truss analogy for torsion (See Figure 5.39).

Transposing terms in Equation 5.84b, the transverse reinforcement area becomes

\[ \frac{A_t}{s} = \frac{T_n}{2A_0 f_y \cot \theta} \]  

(5.84b)

The area $A_0$ has to be determined by analysis (Ref. 7.14 and 7.15) except that the ACI 318 Code permits taking $A_0 = 0.85A_{wb}$ in lieu of the analysis.

As discussed in Section 5.17.3, the factored torsional resistance $\phi T_n$ must equal or exceed the factored external torsional moment $T_n'$. All the torsional moment is assumed in the ACI 318-02 code to be resisted by the closed stirrups and the longitudinal steel with the torsional resistance, $T_c$, of the concrete disregarded, namely $T_c = 0$. The shear $V_c$ resisted by the concrete is assumed to be unchanged by the presence of torsion (see Section 5.17.3.2).

The angle $\theta$ subtended by the concrete compression diagonals (struts) should not be taken smaller than 30° nor larger than 60°. It can be obtained by analysis as detailed in Ref. 5.17 and 5.18 by Hsu. The additional longitudinal reinforcement for torsion should not be less than

\[ A_t = \frac{A_t}{s} P_n \left( \frac{f_i}{f_y} \right) \cot^2 \theta \]  

(5.85)

where $f_y =$ yield strength of the longitudinal torsional reinforcement, not to exceed 60,000 psi.
The same angle $\theta$ should be used in both Equations 5.84 and 5.85. It should be noted that as $\theta$ gets smaller, the amount of stirrups required by Equation 5.84 decreases. At the same time the amount of longitudinal steel required by Equation 5.85 increases.

In lieu of determining the angle $\theta$ by analysis, the ACI Code allows a value of $\theta$ equal to

(i) $45^\circ$ for non-prestressed members or members with less prestress than in (ii),
(ii) $37.5^\circ$ for prestressed members with an effective prestressing force larger than 40 percent of the tensile strength of the longitudinal reinforcement.

The PCI (Ref. 5.12) recommends computing the value of $\theta$ from the expression:

$$\cot \theta = \frac{T_u \phi}{1.7A_{\phi}(A_v/s)f_{yv}} \quad (5.86)$$

5.17.5.5 Minimum torsional reinforcement. It is necessary to provide a minimum area of torsional reinforcement in all regions where the factored torsional moment $T_u$ exceeds the value given by Equation 5.80. In such a case, the minimum area of the required transverse closed stirrups is

$$A_v + 2A_t \geq \frac{50b_wf}{f_{yv}} \quad (5.87)$$

The maximum spacing should not exceed the smaller of $p_u/8$ or 12 in.

The minimum total area of the additional longitudinal torsional reinforcement should be determined by

$$A_{t,\text{min}} = \frac{5\sqrt{f_{yv}}A_{tp}}{f_{yv}} - \left( \frac{A_t}{s} \right) \frac{f_{yv}}{f_{yv}} \quad (5.88)$$

where $A_t/s$ should not be taken less than $25b_u/A_{tp}$. The additional longitudinal reinforcement required for torsion should be distributed around the perimeter of the closed stirrups with a maximum spacing of 12 in. The longitudinal bars or tendons should be placed inside the closed stirrups and at least one longitudinal bar or tendon in each corner of the stirrup. The bar diameter should be at least $\frac{8}{13}$ of the stirrup spacing but not less than a No. 3 bar. Also, the torsional reinforcement should extend for a minimum distance of $(b_t + d)$ beyond the point theoretically required for torsion because torsional diagonal cracks develop in a helical form extending beyond the cracks caused by shear and flexure. $b_t$ is the width of that part of cross section containing the stirrups resisting torsion. The critical section in beams is at a distance $d$ from the face of the support for reinforced concrete elements and at $h/2$ for prestressed concrete elements, $d$ being the effective depth and $h$ the total depth of the section.

5.17.6 SI–Metric Expressions for Torsion Equations

In order to design for combined torsion and shear using the SI (System International) method, the following equations replace the corresponding expressions in the PI (Pound–Inch) method

$$T_u = \frac{\phi \sqrt{f_c}}{3} \left( \frac{A_{tp}^2}{P_{tp}} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{3f_c}{\sqrt{f_e}}} \quad (5.79)$$
\[ T_u = 0.35b_w \frac{A_{it}}{f_y} \left( \frac{A_{it}}{s} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{3f_c}{\sqrt{f_c^2}}} \]  
(5.80)

\[
\sqrt{\left( \frac{V_u}{b wd} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{T_u p_h}{1.7A_{th}} \right)^2} \leq \phi \left( \frac{V_c}{b wd} + \frac{8\sqrt{f_c}}{12} \right) 
\]  
(5.81)

\[
\left( \frac{V_u}{b wd} \right) + \left( \frac{T_u p_h}{1.7A_{th}} \right) \leq \phi \left( \frac{V_c}{b wd} + \frac{8\sqrt{f_c}}{12} \right) 
\]  
(5.82)

\[
V_c = \left( \lambda \sqrt{f_d^2/20} + \frac{5V_u d_d}{M_u} \right) b wd 
\]  
(5.83)

\[
\geq (0.17\lambda \sqrt{f_d^2}) b wd 
\]

\[
\leq (0.4\lambda \sqrt{f_c}) b wd 
\]

and

\[
V_u d_d / M_u \leq 1.0 
\]

\[
T_u = \frac{2A_0 A_{ty} f_{ty}}{s} \cot \theta 
\]  
(5.84a)

where \( f_{ty} \) is in MPa, \( s \) in millimeter, \( A_0, A_t \) in mm\(^2\) and \( T_u \) in kN-m.

\[
\frac{A_t}{s} = \frac{T_u}{2A_0 f_{ty} \cot \theta} 
\]  
(5.84b)

\[
A_t = \frac{A_t}{s} p_h \left( \frac{f_{ty}}{f_{st}} \right) \cot^2 \theta 
\]  
(5.85)

where \( f_{ty} \) and \( f_{st} \) are in MPa, \( p_h \) and \( s \) in millimeters and \( A_t, A_s \) in mm\(^2\).

\[
A_s = 0.35 b_w s \frac{f_y}{f_x} 
\]  
(5.86)

\[
A_{t,min} = \frac{5\sqrt{f_c^2} A_{ep}}{12f_{st}} - \left( \frac{A_t}{s} \right) p_h \left( \frac{f_{ty}}{f_{st}} \right) 
\]  
(5.87)

where \( A_t/s \) should not be taken less than 0.175 \( b_w/A_{ty} \). Maximum allowable spacing of transverse stirrups is the smaller of \( \frac{1}{4}p_h \) or 300 mm, and bars should have a diameter of at least \( \phi \) of the stirrups spacing but not less than No. 10 M bar size. Max. \( f_{ty} \) or \( f_{st} \) should not exceed 400 MPa. Min. \( A_{st} \) the smaller of

\[
\frac{A_{st}}{s} \geq \frac{0.35b_w}{f_y} \text{ or } \frac{A_{st}}{s} = \frac{1}{16} \sqrt{f_c^2} \left( \frac{b_w}{f_y} \right) \text{ whichever is larger, where } b_w, d_p, s \text{ are in millimeters} 
\]

\[
\geq \frac{A_{st} f_{st}}{80 f_y d_p} \sqrt{\frac{d_p}{b_w}}. \text{ Use the lesser of the two sets.} 
\]

### 5.18 DESIGN PROCEDURE FOR COMBINED TORSION AND SHEAR

The following is a summary of the recommended sequence of design steps. A flowchart describing the sequence of operations in graphical form is shown in Figure 5.49.
5.18 Design Procedure for Combined Torsion and Shear

Figure 5.49 Flowchart for the design reinforcement for combined shear and torsion: (a) torsional web steel, (b) shear web steel.

1. Classify whether the applied torsion is equilibrium or compatibility torsion. Determine the critical section and compute the factored torsional moment $T_u$. The critical section is taken at $h/2$ from the face of the support in prestressed concrete beams. If $T_u$ is less than $\phi \sqrt{f'_c} (A_{cp}/P_{cp}) \sqrt{1 + f_e/4\sqrt{f'_c}}$ for prestressed members, torsional effects are neglected. $f_e$ is the compressive stress in the concrete after prestress losses at the centroid of the section resisting externally applied loads (termed as $f_{pc}$ in the ACI Code).

2. Check whether the factored torsional moment $T_u$ causes equilibrium or compatibility torsion. For compatibility torsion, limit the design torsional moment to the lesser of the actual moment $T_u$ or $T_u = \phi 4 \sqrt{f'_c} (A_{cp}/P_{cp}) \sqrt{1 + f_e/4\sqrt{f'_c}}$ for prestressed concrete members. The value of the design nominal strength $T_u$ has to be at least equivalent to the factored $T_u/\phi$, proportioning the section such that
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\[ A_t = \frac{T_n}{2 A_0 f_{cy} \cot \theta} \text{ where } A_0 = 0.85 A_{och} \]

\[ A_{tr} = \frac{A_t}{2} \rho_h \left( \frac{f_y}{f_{cy}} \right) \cot^2 \theta, \text{ but not less than} \]

\[ A_{tr,\min} = \frac{5 \sqrt{f_c}}{f_{c,d}} A_{tr} = \frac{A_t}{2} \rho_h \frac{f_y}{f_{cy}} \text{ where } A_t \geq 25 \frac{b_d}{f_{cy}} \]

---

Routine to calculate shear reinforcement, \( A_{tr,fs} \), Fig. 5.45b

Total stirrups area/two legs,

\[ A_{st} = 2A_t + A_v = \frac{50b_d}{f_{c,d}} \]

\[ \geq 5 \frac{\sqrt{f_c}}{f_{c,d}} b_d d \text{ for } A_y \]

\[ \geq 6 \frac{\sqrt{f_c}}{f_{c,d}} b_d d \text{ for } -A_y \]

Spacing at closed stirrups, \( s = \frac{\text{area of two legs of stirrup}}{A_{tr,fs}} \)

Maximum allowable \( s = \) smaller of 1/10 or 12 in.

Minimum bar diameter = \( \phi \) or No. 3 bar for longitudinal bars

---

Arrange the stirrups and longitudinal reinforcement, \( A_v \)

End

(a) for solid sections:

\[ \sqrt{\left( \frac{V_a}{b_d d} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{T_s P_h}{1.7 A_{th}^2} \right)^2} \leq \phi \left( \frac{V_a}{b_d d} + 8 \sqrt{f_c} \right) \]

(b) for hollow sections:

\[ \left( \frac{V_a}{b_d d} \right) + \left( \frac{T_s P_h}{1.7 A_{th}^2} \right) \leq \phi \left( \frac{V_a}{b_d d} + 8 \sqrt{f_c} \right) \]

If the wall thickness is less than \( A_{th}/P_h \), the second term should be taken as \( T_s/1.7 A_{th}t \).

\[ V_c = \left( 0.6 \lambda \sqrt{f_c} + \frac{V_a d_p}{M_u} \right) b_d d_p; \quad \frac{V_a d_p}{M_u} \leq 1.0 \]

\[ \geq 2.0 \lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_d d_p \]

\[ \leq 5.0 \lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_d d_p \text{ and } f_{pe} \leq 0.4 f_{pu} \]

---

Figure 5.49 Continued
3. Select the required torsional closed stirrups to be used as transverse reinforcement, using a maximum yield strength of 60,000 psi, such that

\[ A_i = \frac{A_i}{s} \leq \frac{T_n}{2A_o f_v \cot \theta} \]

Unless using \( A_o \) and \( \theta \) values obtained from analysis (Ref. 5.18) or from Equation 5.86, use \( A_o = 0.85A_{th} \) and \( \theta = 45^\circ \) for non-prestressed members and \( 37.5^\circ \) for prestressed members with an effective prestress not less than the tensile strength of the longitudinal reinforcement. The additional longitudinal reinforcement should be

\[ A_i = \left( \frac{A_i}{s} \right) p_h \frac{f_{yr}}{f_{yt}} \cot^2 \theta \]

but not less than

\[ A_{i,\text{min}} = \frac{5\sqrt{f_{cte} A_{tp}}}{f_{yt}} - \left( \frac{A_i}{s} \right) p_h \frac{f_{yr}}{f_{yt}} \]

where \( A_i/s \) shall not be less than \( 25b_w f_{yr} \).

Maximum allowable spacing of transverse stirrups in the smaller of \( \frac{1}{4}p_h \) or 12 in., and bars should have a diameter of at least \( \frac{1}{10} \) of the stirrup spacing but not less than a No. 3 bar size.

4. Calculate the required shear reinforcement \( A_p \) per unit spacing in a transverse section. \( V_u \) is the factored external shear force at the critical section, \( V_c \) is the nominal
shear resistance of the concrete in the web, and $V_s$ is the shearing force to be resisted by the stirrups:

$$\frac{A_v}{s} = \frac{V_s}{f_y d}$$

where $V_s = V_n - V_c$ and

$$V_c = \left(0.6\bar{\lambda} \sqrt{f'_c} + \frac{700V_{ud}}{M_u}\right) b_w d$$

$$V_c \geq 2.0\bar{\lambda} \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d \leq 5.0\bar{\lambda} \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d; \quad \frac{V_{ud}}{M_u} \leq 1.0$$

\(\lambda = 1.0\) for normal-weight concrete

\(= 0.85\) for sand lightweight concrete

\(= 0.75\) for all lightweight concrete

The value of $V_n$ has to be at least equal to the factored $V_s/\phi$.

5. Obtain the total $A_{st}$, the area of closed stirrups for torsion and shear, and design the stirrups such that

$$\frac{A_{st}}{s} = \frac{2A_t}{s} + \frac{A_v}{s}$$

\(\geq\) the lesser of \(\frac{50b_w}{f_{sv}}\) and \(A_v = 0.75\sqrt{f'_c} \frac{b_w s}{f_p}\) or \(\frac{A_{ps} f_{pu}}{80f_y d_p} \sqrt{d_p/b_w}\)

Extend the stirrups a distance \((b_t + d_p)\) beyond the point theoretically no longer required, where \(b_t\) = width of the cross-section containing the closed stirrup resisting torsion.

5.19 DESIGN OF WEB REINFORCEMENT FOR COMBINED TORSION AND SHEAR IN PRESTRESSED BEAMS

Example 5.9

A parking garage floor for medium-size cars has the prestressed concrete flooring system shown in Figure 5.50. The floor panels are 36 ft \(\times\) 54 ft (11 m \(\times\) 16.5 m) on centers, and 54 ft (16.5 m) span prestressed double-T's are supported by typical prestressed concrete span-drel L-beams spanning 36 ft (11 m) on centers (Figures 5.50(a) and (b)). The span-drel beams are torsionally restrained by their connections to the supporting columns. The floor is subjected to a service superimposed dead load due to the double-T's of $W_{SD} = 77$ psf (3.687 Pa) and a service live load of $W_L = 60$ psf (2873 Pa). The depth of the L-beam is chosen as 6'-3" so as to provide a parapet wall for the roof on top of the double-Tee beams.

Design the span-drel beam web reinforcement to resist the combined torsion and shear to which it is subjected. Given data are the following:

**Beam Properties**

- $A_c = 696$ in$^2$ (4,491 cm$^2$)
- $I_c = 364,520$ in$^4$ (93.3 x 10$^6$ cm$^4$)
- $c_b = 33.2$ in. (84.3 cm)
- $c_t = 41.8$ in. (106 cm)
Figure 5.50  Geometrical details of structure in Example 5.9. (a) Section A-A. (b) Partial plan. (c) Section B-B.

\[ S' = 8,720 \text{ in}^3 (142,895 \text{ cm}^3) \]
\[ S_b = 10,990 \text{ in}^3 (180,094 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ W_D = 725 \text{ plf} (10.6 \text{ kN.m}) \]
\[ f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} (34.5 \text{ MPa}), \text{ normal-weight concrete} \]
\[ f_p = 60,000 \text{ psi} (418 \text{ MPa}) \text{ for stirrups} \]

**Prestressing**
\[ A_{ps} = \text{six } \frac{1}{8} \text{ in. dia, 270 K stress-relieved strands} \]
\[ f_{ps} = 270,000 \text{ psi} (1,862 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_{ps} = 255,000 \text{ psi} (1,758 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_{ps} = 155,000 \text{ psi} (1,069 \text{ MPa}) \]
\( E_p = 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \) (193 \times 10^9 \text{ MPa})

\( d_p = 71.5 \text{ in.} \) (190 cm)

\( e = 71.5 - 41.8 = 29.7 \text{ in.} \) (75 cm), straight tendon

Disregard the effects of winds or earthquake.

**Solution:**

1. **Calculate** \( T_{u}, V_{u}, M_{u}, T_{SL}, V_{SL} \) acting on L-beam (step 1)

   (a) **Service load**

   \[
   W_D = 725 \text{ plf \ (10.6 \text{ kN/m})} \\
   W_{SD} = \frac{77 \times 54}{2} \times 4 \text{ ft} = 8316 \text{ lb/stem \ (37.0 \text{ kN})} \\
   W_L = \frac{60 \times 54}{2} \times 4 \text{ ft} = 6480 \text{ lb/stem \ (28.0 \text{ kN})} \\
   \text{Total } P_{SL} \text{ per stem} = 8316 + 6480 = 14,796 \text{ lb \ (65.7 \text{ kN})}
   \]

   (b) **Factored loads**

   \[
   W_{Du} = 1.2 \times 725 = 870 \text{ plf \ (12.7 \text{ kN/m})} \\
   W_{SDu} = 1.2 \times 8316 = 9979 \text{ lb/stem \ (44.4 \text{ kN/m})} \\
   W_{Lu} = 1.6 \times 6480 = 10,368 \text{ lb/stem \ (46.1 \text{ kN})} \\
   \text{Total } P_u \text{ per stem} = 9979 + 10,368 = 20,347 \text{ lb \ (90.5 \text{ kN})}
   \]

   \( T_u \) at face of support = \( \frac{1}{2} P_u \times \text{arm} \times \text{no. of stems} \)

   \[
   = \frac{20,347}{2} \times \frac{8}{12} \times 9 = 61,041 \text{ ft-lbf \ (82.8 \text{ kN-m})}
   \]

   \( T_{SL} \) at face of support = \( \frac{14,796}{20,347} \times 61,041 = 44,388 \text{ ft-lbf \ (60.2 \text{ kN-m})} \)

   \( V_u \) at face of support = \( \frac{1}{2} (P_u \times \text{no. of stems} + \text{factored } W_D \times \text{span}) \)

   \[
   = \frac{1}{2} (20,347 \times 9 + 870 \times 34) = 106,352 \text{ lb \ (474 \text{ kN})}
   \]

   \( V_{SL} \) at face of support = \( \frac{1}{2} (14,796 \times 9 + 725 \times 34) = 78,907 \text{ lb \ (351 \text{ kN})} \)

   \( M_u \) at face of support = 0

   Similarly, calculate the values of \( T_u, V_u, \) and \( M_u, \) and the corresponding service-load values at each transverse stem contact point along the span of the L-beam, and construct the torsion, shear, and moment diagrams as shown in Figure 5.51.

   \[
   A_p = 6 \times 0.153 = 0.918 \text{ in.}^2 \\
   P_T = A_p f_p = 0.918 \times 155,000 = 142,290 \text{ lb \ (633 \text{ kN})}
   \]

2. **L-Beam torsional geometrical details** (Step 1)

   \( A_p = \text{area enclosed by outside perimeter of concrete cross section} = 8 \times 75 = 600 \text{ in.}^2 \) (3871 cm²)

   \( P_{oT} = \text{outside perimeter of concrete cross section} = 2(8 + 75) = 166 \text{ in.} \) (422 cm)

   \( x_1 = \text{smaller dimension to center of tie} \)

   \[
   = 8 - 2(1.5 + 0.25) = 4.5 \text{ in.} \) (11.4 cm)

   \( y_1 = 75 - 2(1.5 + 0.25) = 71.5 \text{ in.} \) (181.6 cm)
Figure 5.51  Force and moment diagrams for beams in Example 5.9. (a) Torsional moment. (b) Shear. (c) Flexural moment. Bracketed values are for service-load level.

\[ h = \text{total depth} = 75 \text{ in.} \ (191 \text{ cm}) \]
\[ b_w = \text{web width} = 8 \text{ in.} \ (20.3 \text{ cm}) \]
\[ P_A = \text{perimeter of center line of outermost closed transverse torsional reinforcement} \]
\[ = 2(x_1 + y_1) = 2(4.5 + 71.5) = 152 \text{ in.} \]
\[ d_p = \text{effective depth} = 75 - (1.5 + 0.5 + 0.5 + 1.0) = 71.5 \text{ in.} \ (182 \text{ cm}) \]
\[ A_{\text{oa}} = \text{area enclosed by centerline of the outermost closed transverse torsional ties} \]
\[ = (x_1)(y_1) = 4.5 \times 71.5 = 322 \text{ in.}^2 \ (2077 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ A_0 = \text{gross area enclosed by shear flow path} \]
\[ = 0.85A_{\text{oa}} = 0.85 \times 322 = 274 \text{ in.}^2 \ (1766 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ \theta = \text{angle of compression diagonals in truss analogy for torsion} \equiv 37.5^\circ \text{ for prestressed beams.} \]
\[ \cot \theta = 1.3 \]

3. **Cracking moment capacity (Step 1)**

\[ f_c = \text{unfactored dead load stress} \]

From Figure 5.51 and \( w_B = 725 \text{ lb/ft} \)

\[ f_c = \frac{M_o}{S_o} = \frac{725(36)^2}{8} \times 12 \times \frac{1}{10,990} = 128 \text{ psi (0.9 MPa)} \]

At the extreme fibers of the section,

\[ f_{ce} = -\left( \frac{P_e}{A_e} + \frac{P_d}{S_o} \right) = -\left( \frac{142,290}{696} + \frac{142,290 \times 29.7}{10,990} \right) \]

\[ = -(204.4 + 384.5) = 588.9 \text{ psi say 589 psi (4.1 MPa)} \]

At the centroid of the section, \( f_{c'} = -204.4 \text{ psi} \)

\[ M_{cr} = S_d(6\sqrt{f_{c'}} + f_{ce} - f_d) \]

\[ = 10,990(6 \times 1.0\sqrt{5000} + 589 - 128) \]

\[ = 9.73 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (1,100 kN-m)} \]

\[ 1.2M_{cr} = 1.2 \times 9.73 \times 10^6 = 11.7 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ a = \frac{A_{pe}f_{pe}}{0.85f_{c'}b} = \frac{0.918 \times 255,000}{0.85 \times 5000 \times 8} = 6.9 \text{ in. (175 mm)} \]

\[ M_a = A_{pe}f_{pe}\left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) = 0.918 \times 255,000 \times \left( 71.5 - \frac{6.9}{2} \right) \]

\[ = 15,930,000 = 15.9 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (1800 kN-m)} \]

\[ > 1.2M_{cr} = 11.7 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

hence, minimum flexural reinforcement is satisfied for flexure.

4. **Verify whether torsional reinforcement is needed (Step 2)**

From Equation 5.80,

\[ \text{Min. for disregarding torsion} \quad T_o = \phi \sqrt{f_{c'}} \left( \frac{A_{et}^2}{P_{tw}} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{f_c}{4\sqrt{f_{c'}}}} \]

\[ = 0.75\sqrt{5000} \left( \frac{600^2}{166} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{204.4}{4\sqrt{5000}}} \]

\[ = 150,953 \text{ in.-lb (17.0 kN-m)} \]

Considering section at \( h/2 \) from support face in Figure 5.51, namely at 3 ft from the face of the support,

\[ \text{Rqd.} \quad T_o = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) (61,041 + 47,476) \times 12 \]

\[ = 651,102 \text{ in.-lb (73 kN-m)} > 150,953 \text{ in.-lb} \]

The average value was used instead of 47,476 ft.-lb as a conservative value of the torsional moment.

Hence, torsion has to be considered and appropriate torsional reinforcement provided. The garage elements are all precast. Thus, assume equilibrium torsion con-
dation and no redistribution of moment, using the total applied factored \( T_w = 651,102 \) in.-lb (Equation 5.79 is therefore inapplicable).

5. Check adequacy of section for torsion

(a) Determine \( V_e \), the smaller value obtained for \( V_{ei} \) from Equation 5.11 and \( V_{ew} \) from Equation 5.15.

From Fig. 5.51 for section at \( h/2 = 3 \) ft from face of support,

\[
\text{Rqd. } V_e = \frac{1}{3} \left( 105,482 + 81,655 \right) = 93,569 \text{ lb (417 kN)}
\]

\[
\text{Rqd. } M_e = \frac{1}{3} \left( 105.9 + 439.5 \right) 12 \times 1000 \text{ in.-lb} = 3,272,400 \text{ in.-lb (370 kN-m)}
\]

\[
V_{ei} = \left[ 0.6 \sqrt{f'} b_n d_p + V_e + V_i \frac{M_{er}}{M_{max}} \right]
\]

\[
\geq 1.7 \sqrt{f'} b_n d_p
\]

\[
\leq 5.0 \sqrt{f'} b_n d_p
\]

\( V_e = \) shear at section due to unfactored dead load

\( V_e \) at face of support = \( \frac{1}{3} \left( 8316 \times 9 + 725 \times 34 \right) = 49,747 \) lb

\( V_e \) at point A in Figure 5.51 = 49,747 - 725 \times 1 ft - 8316 = 40,706 lb

\( V_e \) at 5 ft. from support = 40,706 - 725 \times 5 - 8316 = 28,765 lb

At the required section at \( h/2 \) from face of support,

\( V_e = \frac{1}{3} \left( 40,706 + 28,765 \right) = 34,736 \) lb (154.5 kN)

\( V_i = \) factored shear force at section due to externally applied loads occurring simultaneously with \( M_{max} \)

\[
= \frac{20,347 \text{ per stem}}{20,347 + 870 \times 4 \text{ per stem}} \times 93,569
\]

\[
= 79,902 \text{ lb}
\]

\( M_{max} = \) maximum factored moment at section due to externally applied load, namely due to live load and superimposed dead load

Factored \( M_e \) at point A in Figure 5.51 due to the live load and

\( SDL = \frac{1}{3} \left( 20,347 \times 9 \right) = 91,562 \) ft-lb

Factored \( M_e \) at 5 ft from face

\[
= \frac{1}{3} \left( 20,347 \times 9 \right) 5 - 20,347 \times 4 = 376,420 \text{ ft-lb}
\]

Hence

\( M_{max} \) at \( h/2 \) = 3 ft from face of support

\[
= \frac{1}{3} \left( 91,562 + 376,420 \right) 12 = 2.81 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}
\]

\( M_{cr} = 9.73 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb from before} \)

hence,

\[
V_{ei} = \left[ 0.6 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5000} \times 8 \times 71.5 + 34,738 + 79,902 \frac{9.73 \times 10^6}{2.81 \times 10^6} \right]
\]

\[
= 24,268 + 34,738 + 276,671 = 335,677 \text{ lb}
\]
\[ \nu_{ci} = \frac{335,667}{8 \times 71.5} = 587 \text{ psi (3.46 MPa)} \]

\[ 1.7 \sqrt{f'_c} = 1.7 \sqrt{5000} = 120 \text{ psi} < 587 \text{ psi} \]

\[ 5 \sqrt{f'_c} = 5.0 \sqrt{5000} = 354 \text{ psi} < 587 \text{ psi} \]

Use \( \nu_{ci} = 354 \text{ psi (2.4 MPa)} \)

From Equation 5.15,

\[ V_{cw} = (3.5 \sqrt{f'_c} + 0.3 f'_c) b_w d + V_p \]

\( V_p = 0 \) since tendons are straight, hence

\[ V_{cw} = 3.5 \sqrt{5000} + 0.3 \left( \frac{142,290}{696} \right) = 310 \text{ psi} < \nu_{ci} = 354 \text{ psi (controls)} \]

Use \( \nu_c = 310 \text{ psi} \) in this solution

\[ V_c = \nu_c b_w d = 310 \times 8 \times 71.5 = 176,750 \text{ lb (786 kN)} \]

(b) Alternate method for evaluating \( V_c \)

If \( f_{cp} > 0.4 f_{cm} \), the ACI allows using a more conservative expression as in Equation 5.16

\[ V_c = \left( 0.6 \sqrt{f'_c} + 700 \frac{V_{cp}}{M_u} \right) b_w d_p \]

\[ \geq 2 \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d \]

\[ \leq 5 \sqrt{f'_c} b_w d \]

\[ V_{cp} = \frac{93,569 \times 71.5}{3,272,400} = 2.04 > 1.0 \]

\[ \frac{V_{cp}}{M_u} = 1.0 \]

\[ V_c = \nu_c (0.6 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5000} + 700 \times 1.0) = 742 \text{ psi (5.1 MPa)} \]

\[ > 5 \sqrt{f'_c} = 354 \text{ psi} \]

\[ \nu_c = 354 \text{ psi (2.4 MPa)} \]

(c) Check section adequacy

\( \nu_c \) in solution (a) will be used in this check = 310 psi (2.1 MPa). From Equation 5.81 for solid sections,

\[ \sqrt{\left( \frac{V_c}{b_w d_p} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{T_{dp}}{1.7 A_{dp}} \right)^2} = \sqrt{\left( \frac{93,569}{8 \times 71.5} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{651,102 \times 152}{1.77 \times (322)^2} \right)^2} \]

\[ \sqrt{26,759 + 290,814} = 564 \text{ psi (3.9 MPa)} \]

\[ \phi \left( \frac{V_c}{b_w d_p} + 8 \sqrt{f'_c} \right) = 0.75(310 + 8 \sqrt{5000}) \]

\[ = 656 \text{ psi (4.5 MPa) available} \]

\[ > 564 \text{ psi (3.8 MPa) actual,} \]

hence section is adequate.

6. Torsional reinforcement (Step 3)

\[ T_u = T_d / \phi = \frac{651,102}{0.75} = 868,137 \text{ in.-lb (96 kN-m)} \]
From Equation 5.38b,
\[
\frac{A_v}{s} = \frac{T_n}{2A_0 f_y \cot \theta} = \frac{868,137}{2 \times 274 \times 60,000 \times 1.3} = 0.0203 \text{ in}^2/\text{in.}/\text{one leg} (0.046 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm}/\text{one leg})
\]

Using the PCI method in which \( \cot \theta \) is computed,
\[
\cot \theta = \frac{T_w/\phi}{1.7A_0(A_d/s)f_{yv}} = \frac{868,137}{1.7(322)(0.0203) \times 60,000} = 1.30
\]

by assuming a value of \( s \), finding \( (A_v/s) \) for the tie size chosen and entering the value of \( (A_v/s) \) into the expression.

7. **Shear reinforcement (Step 4)**

\[
V_c = 310 \times 8 \times 71.5 = 177,320 \text{ lb} (788 \text{ kN})
\]

\[
V_n = \frac{V_c}{\phi} = \frac{93,569}{0.75} = 124,757 \text{ lb} (555 \text{ kN})
\]

\[
V_e = (V_n - V_c); \quad \text{but } V_n > V_c
\]

Use minimum shear web reinforcement.
\[
\frac{A_v}{s} = \frac{50b_w}{f_y} = \frac{50 \times 8}{60,000} = 0.0067 \text{ in}^2/\text{in.}/\text{two legs} (0.017 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm}/\text{two legs})
\]

\[
\frac{A_{vi}}{s} = 2\left(\frac{A_v}{s}\right) + \frac{A_v}{s} = 2 \times 0.0203 + 0.0067 = 0.0473 \text{ in}^2/\text{in.}/\text{two legs}(0.011 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm}/\text{two legs})
\]

Assuming No. 4 closed ties (12.7 mm diameter), \( A_v = 2 \times 0.20 = 0.40 \text{ in}^2 \)

\[
s = \frac{\text{cross-sectional tie area}}{A_{vi}/s} = \frac{0.40}{0.0473} = 8.5 \text{ in.}
\]

Maximum allowable spacing \( s_{max} = p_n/8 \) or 12 in. = 152/8 = 19 in. or 12 in.

Min. \( \frac{A_v}{s} = \text{lesser of } \frac{50b_w}{f_y} \text{ or } \frac{A_{vi} f_{yw}}{80f_y d \sqrt{b_w}} \)

\[
0.75 \sqrt{f_y} = 0.75 \sqrt{5000} = 53
\]

\[
\frac{A_v}{s} = \frac{53b_w}{f_y} = \frac{53 \times 8}{60,000} = 0.0071 \text{ in}^2/\text{in.}/\text{two legs} (0.017 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm}/\text{two legs})
\]

\[
\frac{A_v}{s} = \frac{A_{vi} f_{yw}}{80f_y d \sqrt{b_w}} = \frac{0.918 \times 270,000}{80 \times 60,000 \times 71.5 \sqrt{8}} = 0.0022 \text{ in}^2/\text{in.}/\text{two legs} (0.006 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm}/\text{two legs}), \text{controls.}
\]

Available \( \frac{A_v}{s} = 0.0473 > 0.0022 \), O.K.

Minimum bar diameter = \( s/16 \) or No. 3 bar = 8.5/16 = 0.53 in. > 0.5 in. for No. 4 bar, use No. 5 closed stirrups. \( A_v = 0.31 \times 2 = 0.62 \text{ in}^2 \)

\[
s = \frac{0.62}{0.0473} = 13.1 \text{ in.} > 12 \text{ in.}
\]

Another alternative for the transverse reinforcement is to use No. 4 bars but reduce the computed spacing of 8.5 in. to 8.5 \times (0.50/0.53) \approx 8.0 \text{ in.} This gives No. 4 closed stir-
rups spaced at 8 in. center to center instead of No. 5 at 12 in. center to center. Using No. 4 closed stirrups at 8 in. center to center is more preferable as it is easier to bend them than the No. 5 bars. Therefore, for this design, use closed No. 4 stirrups (12.7 mm diameter) at 8 in. center to center for transverse shear + torsion web reinforcement.

8. Longitudinal reinforcement (Steps 5–6)

From Equation 5.85,

\[ A_t = \frac{A_t}{s} \cdot p_h \left( \frac{f_{yw}}{f_{pl}} \right) \cot^2 \theta \]

\[ = 0.0203 \times 152 \left( \frac{60,000}{60,000} \right) (1.3)^2 = 5.21 \text{ in}^2 (30 \text{ cm}^2) \]

From Equation 5.86,

\[ A_{\text{t,min}} = \frac{5 \sqrt{f_{yw} A_{cp}}}{f_{pl}} - \left( \frac{A_t}{s} \right) p_h \frac{f_{yw}}{f_{pl}} \]

\[ = \frac{5 \sqrt{5000 \times 600}}{60,000} - 0.0203 \times 152 \times \frac{60,000}{60,000} \]

\[ = 0.50 \text{ in}^2 (5.2 \text{ cm}^2) ; \quad A_t = 5.21 \text{ in}^2 \text{ controls} \]

Using No. 4 longitudinal bars = 0.20 in.²

\[ \text{No. of bars} = \frac{5.21}{0.20} = 26.05 \text{ bars} \]

Use 12 No. 4 bars on each face equally spaced (12 bars 12.7 mm diameter/face) and add another 4 bars for reinforcing the ledge to give a total of 28 No. 4 bars. Note that maximum allowable spacing = 12 in. In this case \( s \approx 6.5 \text{ in.} \) c. to c., O.K. Adopt the design. Details of reinforcement and cross-sectional geometry of the L-beam are given in Fig. 5.52.

For the reinforcing details to be complete, a design of the ledge and hanger reinforcement would be required, as well as details of the anchorage of the longitudinal rein-

---

**Figure 5.52** Reinforcement details of beam in Example 5.9.
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Example 5.10
Solve Example 5.9 using the SI procedure and ACI Shear value obtained from Equation 5.16 in Example 5.9.

Solution:

1. See calculation step 1 in Example 5.9.
2. See calculation step 2 in Example 5.9.
3. L-Beam torsional geometrical details

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{cp} &= 3870 \text{ cm}^2 & p_{cp} &= 422 \text{ cm} \\
 x_1 &= 11.7 \text{ cm} & y_1 &= 181.6 \text{ cm} & p_b = 2(x_1 + y_1) &= 386 \text{ cm} \\
 h &= 191 \text{ cm} & b_n &= 20.3 \text{ cm} & d &= 182 \text{ cm} \\
 A_{oh} &= 2077 \text{ cm}^2 & A_0 &= 0.85A_{oh} = 1766 \text{ cm}^2 \\
 \theta &= 37.5^\circ & \cot \theta &= 1.3 & \cot^2 \theta &= 1.69
\end{align*}
\]

From Figure 5.51

Factored \( T_e = 73 \text{ kN-m} \)

Factored \( V_u = 417 \text{ kN} \)

Factored \( M_u = 370 \text{ kN-m} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{V_u d}{M_u} &= \frac{417 \times 182 \text{ cm}}{37,000 \text{ kN-m}} = 2.05 > 1.0, \text{ use } \frac{V_u d}{M_u} = 1.0 \\
P_c &= 142,290 \text{ lb} = 633 \text{ kN} & e &= 29.7 \text{ in.} = 75.4 \text{ cm} \\
A_c &= 696 \text{ in}^2 = 4490 \text{ cm}^2 \\
c &= 26.2 \text{ in.} = 66.5 \text{ cm} \\
S_b &= 10,990 \text{ in}^3 = 180,094 \text{ cm}^3 \\
f' &= 34.5 \text{ MPa} & f_y &= 414 \text{ MPa} & f_{p_e} &= 1760 \text{ MPa} \\
A_{pe} &= 6 \text{ tendons 12.7 mm diameter} = 5.92 \text{ cm}^2
\end{align*}
\]

\( \phi \) for shear and torsion = 0.85

1 Pa = N/m\(^2\)

4. Cracking moment capacity

\[
S_b = 180,094 \text{ cm}^3
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{f}_c &= \frac{P_c}{A_c} = \frac{633,000}{4490 \times 10^4} = 1.41 \text{ MPa} \\
f_{ax} &= \frac{P_c}{A_c} + \frac{P_{p_e}}{S_b} = 1.41 + \frac{633,000 \times 75.4}{180,094 \times 10^3} \\
&= 1.41 + 2.65 = 4.06 \text{ say 4.1 MPa}
\end{align*}
\]
From Example 5.9, $f'_c = 1.14$ MPa

$$ f_d = \text{unfactored dead load stress} = 289 \text{ psi} = 2.0 \text{ MPa} $$

$$ M_{cr} = S_d \left( \frac{\sqrt[3]{f'_c}}{f_c} - f_d \right) $$

$$ = 180,094 \left( \frac{\sqrt[3]{34.5}}{2} + 4.06 - 2.0 \right) \times 10^{-3} = 900 \text{ kN-m} $$

$$ 1.2M_{cr} = 1.2 \times 900 = 1080 \text{ kN-m} $$

$$ a = \frac{A_p f_p}{0.85 f'_c} = \frac{5.9 \text{ cm}^2 \times 1760}{0.85 \times 34.5 \times 20.3} = 17.5 \text{ cm} $$

Nominal moment strength,

$$ M_n = A_p f_p \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) $$

$$ = 5.92 \times 1760 \left( 182 - \frac{17.5}{2} \right) \text{ N-m} = 1800 \text{ kN-m} $$

$$ > 1.2M_{cr} = 1080 \text{ kN-m} $$

hence flexural reinforcement is satisfied for flexure.

5. Verify whether torsional reinforcement is needed

From Equation 5.80,

$$ T_e = \frac{\phi V'_t}{12} \left( \frac{A_{pl}}{p_{pl}} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{3f'_c}{\sqrt{f'_c}}} $$

$$ T_e = 0.85 \sqrt{34.5} \left( \frac{3870^2}{422} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{3 \times 1.14}{\sqrt{34.5}}} \times 10^{-3} \text{ kN-m} $$

$$ T_e = 18.6 \text{ kN-m} $$

From Figure 5.51 and the acting torsional moment value from Example 5.9, $T_e = 73 \text{ kN-m} > 18.6 \text{ kN-m}$. Hence, torsional reinforcement is required. The garage elements are all precast; assume equilibrium torsion condition with no redistribution of torsional moment using the total applied factored $T_e = 73 \text{ kN-m}$.

6. Check adequacy of section for torsion

From Figure 5.51 at $h/2$ from face of support and values computed in Example 5.9, $V_y = 434 \text{ kN}, M_u = 385 \text{ kN-m}$.

From Equation 5.80 for $f_{pl} > 0.4f_{pl}$

$$ \frac{V_c}{b_u d} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{f'_c}}{20} + \frac{V_d}{M_u} \right) $$

$$ \frac{V_d}{M_u} \leq 1.0 $$

where $f'_c$ is in MPa

$$ \frac{V_d}{M_u} = \frac{417 \times 1.82}{370} = 2.05 > 1.0, \text{ use } 1.0 $$

$$ \frac{V_c}{b_u d} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{34.5}}{20} + 5 \times 1.0 \right) = 5.3 \text{ MPa} $$

Max. allow. $V_c = 0.4\sqrt{f'_c} = 0.4\sqrt{34.5} = 2.4 \text{ MPa}$ controls.

From Equation 5.81 for solid section,
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\[
\sqrt{\left(\frac{V_n}{b_n d} + \frac{T_n p_b}{1.7 A_{\phi}}\right)^2} \leq \phi \left(\frac{V_c b_n d}{8 \sqrt{f_{ct}^2}} + \frac{8 \sqrt{f_{ct}^2}}{12}\right)
\]

\[
\sqrt{\left(\frac{V_n}{b_n d} + \frac{T_n p_b}{1.7 A_{\phi}}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{417 \times 10^3}{0.2 \times 1.82}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{73 \times 10^3 \times 3.86}{1.7 \times 0.208^2}\right)^2}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{1422 \times 10^6 + 15.5 \times 10^{12}} \text{ N/m}^2 = 4.0 \text{ MPa}
\]

\[
\phi \left(\frac{V_c b_n d}{8 \sqrt{f_{ct}^2}} + \frac{8 \sqrt{f_{ct}^2}}{12}\right) = 0.75 \left(2.4 + \frac{8 \sqrt{34.5}}{12}\right) = 4.8 \text{ MPa}
\]

available > 4.0 MPa actual, hence section is adequate.

7. Torsional reinforcement

\[T_n = T_w / \phi = 73 / 0.75 = 97 \text{ kN-m}\]

From Equation 5.83b,

\[\frac{A_t}{s} = \frac{T_n}{2A_\phi f_o \cot \theta} = \frac{97 \times 10^3}{2 \times 1766 \times 414 \times 1.3} = 0.051 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm/one leg}\]

8. Shear reinforcement

From Example 5.9,

\[V_c = 1796 \text{ kN} > V_n = 556 \text{ kN}, \text{ hence provide only minimum reinforcement for shear.}\]

\[\frac{A_s}{s} = \frac{0.35b_w}{f_y} = \frac{0.35 \times 20.3}{414} = 0.017 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm/two legs}\]

\[\frac{A_{sw}}{s} = 2 \left(\frac{A_s}{s}\right) = 2 \times 0.051 + 0.017 = 0.119 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm/two legs}\]

Assuming No. 10 M closed stirrups are used

\[A_s = 2 \times 100 = 200 \text{ mm}^2 = 2.0 \text{ cm}^2\]

\[s = \text{cross-sectional area} = \frac{2.0}{0.119} = 17 \text{ cm (6.8 in. c. to c.)}\]

Maximum allowable \(s = p_b/8\) or \(30 \text{ cm} = p_b/8 = 386/8 = 48 \text{ cm}\)

\[\text{Min.} \frac{A_s}{s} = \text{lesser of} \frac{0.35b_w}{f_y} \text{ or} \frac{A_{ps} f_p}{80f_i d \sqrt{d_p}}\]

where \(b_w, d, \text{ and } s \) are in millimeters

\[\frac{0.35b_w}{f_y} = \frac{0.35 \times 203}{414} = 0.17 \text{ mm}^2/\text{mm/two legs}\]

\[= 0.017 \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm/two legs}\]

\[\frac{1}{16} \sqrt{\frac{f_{ct}^2}{f_y}} \left(\frac{b_w}{f_y}\right) = \frac{1}{16} \sqrt{34.5 \left(\frac{20.3}{414}\right)} = 0.018 \text{ mm}^2/\text{mm/two Pegs}\]

\[\frac{A_{ps} f_p}{80f_i d \sqrt{d_p}} = \frac{592 \times 1860}{80 \times 414 \times 1820 \sqrt{203}} = 0.06 \text{ mm}^2/\text{mm/two legs}\]

Available \(A_s = 0.17 > 0.06, \text{ O.K.}\)

Minimum bar diameter = \(\sqrt{16} / 16 = 18 / 16 \times 10 \text{ mm} = 11.3 \text{ mm} = \text{ available}\)

No. 10 M bars (11.3 mm), O.K.

Use No. 10 bars at 18 cm c. to c.
9. **Longitudinal reinforcement**

From Equation 5.85,

\[
A_t = \frac{A_r}{s} \frac{f_{cy}}{f_{cy}} \frac{(f_{cy})}{f_{cy}} \cos^2 \theta
\]

\[
= 0.051 \times 386 \left(\frac{414}{414}\right) (1.3)^2 = 33.3 \text{ cm}^2
\]

From Equation 5.86,

\[
A_{t, \text{min}} = \frac{5 \sqrt{f_{cd}} A_c}{12 f_{cy}} \frac{A_r}{s} \frac{f_{cy}}{f_{cy}}
\]

\[
= \frac{5 \sqrt{34.5 \times 3870}}{12 \times 414} - 0.046 \times 386 \left(\frac{414}{414}\right)
\]

\[
= 22.9 - 17.7 = 5.2 \text{ cm}^2
\]

\[
A_t = 33.3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ controls}
\]

Using No. 10 M bars, \(A_t = 1.0 \text{ cm}^2\)

No. of bars = 33.3/1.0 = 33.3 bars

Use 17 No. 10 M bars on each face of the L-beam equally spaced.

Note that maximum allowable spacing \(s = 30 \text{ cm}\)

In this case \(s = \frac{191 - 2(1.5)}{15} = 12 \text{ cm O.K.}\)

Adopt the design.

For the reinforcing details to be complete, a design of the ledge and hanger reinforcement would be required, as well as details of the anchorage of the longitudinal reinforcement at the supports. Chapter 10 on the design of connections provides these details.
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**PROBLEMS**

5.1 A post-tensioned bonded prestressed beam has the cross section shown in Figure P5.1. It has a span of 75 ft (22.9 m) and is subjected to a service superimposed dead load $W_{SD} = 450$ plf (6.6 kN/m) and a superimposed service live load $W_L = 2,300$ plf (33.6 kN/m). Design the web reinforcement necessary to prevent shear cracking (a) by the detailed design method and (b) by the alternative method at a section 15 ft (4.6 m) from the face of the support. The profile of the prestressing tendon is parabolic. Use #3 stirrups in your design, and detail the section. The following data are given:

\[
A_p = 876 \text{ in.}^2 (5,652 \text{ cm}^2)
\]

\[
I_c = 433,350 \text{ in.}^4 (18.03 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^4)
\]

\[
r^2 = 495 \text{ in.}^2 (3,194 \text{ cm}^2)
\]

![Figure P5.1.](image-url)
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\[ c_t = 25 \text{ in. (63.5 cm)} \]
\[ S_t = 17,300 \text{ in.}^2 (2.83 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ c_b = 38 \text{ in. (96.5 cm)} \]
\[ S_b = 11,400 \text{ in.}^2 (1.86 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ W_d = 910 \text{ psf (13.3 kN/m)} \]
\[ e_c = 32 \text{ in. (81.3 cm)} \]
\[ e_r = 2 \text{ in. (5 cm)} \]
\[ f'_{tc} = 5,000 \text{ psi (44.5 MPa), normal-weight concrete} \]
\[ f'_{td} = 3,500 \text{ psi (24.1 MPa)} \]
\[ f_s \text{ for stirrups} = 60,000 \text{ psi (41.8 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)} \text{ low-relaxation strands} \]
\[ f_{ps} = 243,000 \text{ psi (1,675 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{pe} = 157,500 \text{ psi (1,086 MPa)} \]
\[ A_{ps} = \text{twenty-four } \frac{1}{2} \text{-in. dia (12.7 mm dia) 7-wire tendons} \]

5.2 Find the shear strengths \( V_c, V_p, \text{ and } V_{ps} \) for the beam in Problem 5.1 at 1/10 span intervals along the entire span, and plot the variations in their values along the span in a manner similar to the plot in Figure 5.13.

5.3 Assume that a 4 in. (10 cm) topping of width \( b = 8 \text{ ft 6 in. (2.6 m)} \) is suitably placed on the precast section of Problem 5.1. If the top surface of the precast section is unroughened, design the necessary dowel reinforcement to ensure full composite action. Use the ACI coefficient of friction for determining the area and spacing of the shear-friction reinforcement, and use \( f'_{sc} = 3,000 \text{ psi (20.7 MPa)} \) for the topping. Compare the results with those obtained using the PCI coefficient of friction.

5.4 A 14 in. (35.6 cm) standard PCI double-T simply supported beam is shown in Figure P5.4. It has a span of 40 ft (12.2 m) and is subjected to a service dead load \( W_{sd} = 25 \text{ psf (1,197 Pa)} \) plus self-weight \( W_p = 31 \text{ psf (1,484 Pa)} \) and a service live load \( W_L = 45 \text{ psf (2,155 Pa)} \). Design the web-shear reinforcement at \( \frac{d_p}{4} \) from the support and at quarter span by (a) the detailed method and (b) the alternative method, and then compare the two designs. The tendon is harped at midspan. Given data are as follows:

\[ f'_{t(\text{precast})} = 5,000 \text{ psi, lightweight concrete} \]
\[ f'_{td} = 3,500 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f'_{t(\text{topping})} = 3,000 \text{ psi, normal weight} \]
\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi, low-relaxation strand} \]

![Figure P5.4.](image-url)
\[ f_{pu} = 189,000 \text{ psi (1,303 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{pe} = 156,000 \text{ psi (1,076 MPa)} \]
Stirrups \( f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (41.8 MPa)} \)
\[ A_{pu} = \text{six } \frac{1}{2} \text{-in. (12.7 mm) dia 7-wire strands} \]
\[ \epsilon_c = 8.01 \text{ in. (20.3 cm)} \]
\[ \epsilon_s = 4.51 \text{ in. (11.5 cm)} \]

Use \( d_p = 10 \text{ in.} \) in the solution. The values of the section properties are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section properties</th>
<th>Untopped</th>
<th>Topped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_c )</td>
<td>306 in.(^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_c )</td>
<td>4,508 in.(^4)</td>
<td>7,173 in.(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_b )</td>
<td>10.51 in.</td>
<td>12.40 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_l )</td>
<td>3.49 in.</td>
<td>3.60 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_p )</td>
<td>429 in.(^3)</td>
<td>578 in.(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S' )</td>
<td>1,292 in.(^3)</td>
<td>1,992 in.(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_0 )</td>
<td>31 psf</td>
<td>56 psf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5 Design a bracket to support a concentrated factored load \( V_p = 125,000 \text{ lb (556 kN)} \) acting at a lever arm \( a = 4 \text{ in. (101.6 mm)} \) from the column face. The horizontal factored force \( N_{w,p} = 40,000 \text{ lb (177.9 kN)} \). Given data are:

\[ b = 14 \text{ in. (355.6 mm)} \]
\[ f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi (34.47 MPa)}, \text{ normal-weight concrete} \]
\[ f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (413.7 MPa)} \]

Assume that the bracket was cast after the supporting column cured, and that the column surface at the bracket location was not roughened before casting the bracket. Detail the reinforcing arrangements for the bracket.

5.6 Solve Problem 5.5 if the structural system was made from monolithic sand-lightweight concrete in which the corbel or bracket was cast simultaneously with the supporting column.

5.7 Design the transverse and longitudinal reinforcement in Example 5.9 for combined torsion and shear assuming that the L-beam concrete is made of sand-lightweight concrete.

5.8 Design the web reinforcement for the beam in Example 5.9 for combined shear and torsion assuming that the centerline dimensions of the interior floor panels are 30 ft x 56 ft (9.1 m x 17.1 m). The floor is subjected to a service superimposed dead load due to the double T's of \( W_{sd} = 77 \text{ psf (3,687 Ma)} \) and a service live load of 60 psf (2,873 MPa).
6.1 INTRODUCTION

As in reinforced concrete and other structural materials, continuity can be achieved at intermediate supports and knees of portal frames. The reduction of moments and stresses at midspans through the design of continuous systems results in shallower members that are stiffer than simply supported members of equal span and of comparable loading and are of lesser deflection.

Consequently, lighter structures with lighter foundations reduce the cost of materials and construction. In addition, the structural stability and resistance to longitudinal and lateral loads are usually improved. As a result, the span-to-depth ratio is also improved, depending on the type of continuous system being considered. For continuous flat plates, a ratio of 40 to 45 is reasonable, while in box girders this ratio can be 25 to 30.

An additional advantage of continuity is the elimination of anchorages at intermediate supports through continuous post-tensioning over several spans, thereby reducing further the cost of materials and labor.

Continuous prestressed concrete is widely applied in the United States in the construction of flat plates for floors and roofs with continuity in one or both directions and with prestressing in one or both directions. Also, continuity is widely used in long-span Lincoln Executive Plaza, Arlington Heights, Illinois. (Courtesy, Prestressed Concrete Institute.)
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prestressed concrete bridges, particularly situ-cast post-tensioned spans. Cantilevered box girder bridges, widely used in Europe as segmental bridges, are increasingly being used in the United States for very large spans, and cable-stayed bridges with prestressed decks are increasingly built as well.

The success of prestressed concrete construction is largely due to the economy of using precast elements, with the associated high-quality control during fabrication. This desirable feature has been widely achieved by imposing continuity on the precast elements through placement of situ-cast reinforced concrete at the intermediate supports. The situ-cast concrete tends to resist the superimposed dead load and the live load that act on the spans after the concrete hardens. Note that forming, shoring, and reshoring can also be avoided in this type of construction, thereby reducing the costs further as compared with the costs of reinforced concrete.

6.2 DISADVANTAGES OF CONTINUITY IN PRESTRESSING

There are several disadvantages to having continuously prestressed elements:

1. Higher frictional losses due to the larger number of bends and longer tendons.
2. Concurrence of moment and shear at the support sections, which reduces the moment strength of those sections.
3. Excessive lateral forces and moments in the supporting columns, particularly if they are rigidly connected to the beams. These forces are caused by the elastic shortening of the long-span beams under prestress.
4. Effects of higher secondary stresses due to shrinkage, creep temperature variations, and settlement of the supports.
5. Secondary moments due to induced reactions at the supporting columns caused by the prestressing force (to be subsequently discussed).
6. Possible serious reversal of moments due to alternate loading of spans.
7. Moment values at the interior supports that require additional reinforcement at these supports, which might otherwise not be needed in simply supported beams.

All these factors can be accounted for through appropriate design and construction of the final system, including special provisions for bearings at the supporting columns.

6.3 TENDON LAYOUT FOR CONTINUOUS BEAMS

The construction system used, the length of the adjacent spans, and the engineering judgment and ingenuity of the design engineer determine the type of layout and method of framing to be used for achieving continuity. Basically, there are two categories of continuity in beams:

1. Monolithic continuity, where all the tendons are generally continuous throughout all or most of the spans and all tendons are prestressed at the site. Such prestressing is accomplished by post-tensioning.
2. Nonmonolithic continuity, where precast elements are used as simple beams on which continuity is imposed at the support sections through situ-cast reinforced concrete which provides the desired level of continuity to resist the superimposed dead load and live load after the concrete hardens.
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Figure 6.1 schematically demonstrates the various systems and combinations of systems to achieve monolithic continuity. Figure 6.2 illustrates how continuity is achieved in nonmonolithic construction. Figure 6.1(a) presents a simple continuity system in which all the spans are situ cast and post-tensioning is accomplished after the concrete hardens. Problems are encountered, however, in the accurate evaluation of frictional losses due to the large number of bends. The system shown in Figure 6.1(b), using variable-depth beams, namely, nonprismatic sections, can add to the cost of formwork.

Frictional losses in the post-tensioned straight cables are easier to evaluate accurately. Additional costs are incurred due to the necessity of several anchorages. The system shown in Figure 6.1(a) has the advantage on that of Figure 6.1(b) in that the cost of formwork will in general be less because the continuous beam has a constant depth, although architectural considerations sometimes require nonprismatic continuous sections.

Continuity achieved through the use of precast pretensioned beams with situ-cast concrete connecting joints can in many cases be easier to erect, and considerable savings may accrue since formwork and shoring at the site are generally not needed. Figures 6.2(a) and (c) are essentially comparable in the degree of their accuracy in estimating frictional and other losses.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 6.1** Tendon geometry in beams with monolithic continuity. (a) Beam with constant depth. (b) Nonprismatic beam with overlapping tendons. (c) Prismatic beam with overlapping tendons.
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![Diagram](a)

![Diagram](b)

![Diagram](c)

Figure 6.2 Continuity using precast pretensioned beams. (a) Post-tensioned continuity using couplers. (b) Continuity using nonprestressed steel. (c) Continuity in post-tensioning for nonprismatic beams.

The system illustrated in Figure 6.2(b) is probably the simplest for achieving continuity in prestressed concrete composite construction. The precast pretensioned elements are designed to carry the prestressing and self-weight moments, while the nonprestressed steel at the negative moment region at the support is designed to resist the additional superimposed dead load and the applied live load moments. If design for continuity due to the total dead load is to be achieved, the precast beams have to be shored before placing the composite concrete topping.

In general, precast elements, including those shown in Figures 6.2(a) and (c), are designed to resist their own weight as well as handling and transportation stresses by the strength provided in pretensioning. Post-tensioning or the use of nonprestressed steel at the supports provides the strength required to resist the live load and superimposed load stresses, and no shoring is used in the construction process.
6.4 ELASTIC ANALYSIS FOR PRESTRESS CONTINUITY

6.4.1 Introduction

Reinforced concrete structures are usually statically indeterminate due to the continuity provided by monolithic construction. Advantageously, the bending moments are always smaller than those of comparable statically determinate beams, leading to shallower, more economical sections. Deformations due to axial loads are usually ignored except in very stiff members, and the settlement of the supports is also rarely considered since creep and shrinkage do not cause major stresses.

In prestressed concrete, continuity also leads to reduced bending moments. However, the bending moments due to the eccentric prestressing forces cause secondary reactions and secondary bending moments. These secondary forces and moments increase or decrease the primary effect of the eccentric prestressing forces. Also, the effects of elastic shortening, shrinkage, and creep become considerable as compared to those in reinforced concrete continuous structures.

Because prestressed elements, including those that are partially prestressed, have very limited flexural cracking as compared with reinforced concrete elements, the elastic theory for indeterminate structures can be applied with sufficient accuracy at the limit state of service load. In other words, the prestressed elements can be essentially considered homogenous elastic material because of the limited cracking level, whereas in reinforced concrete it would not be rational to make such an assumption since flexural cracks start to generate at almost 5 to 10 percent of the failure load.

6.4.2 Support Displacement Method

Figure 6.3(a) shows a two-span continuous prestressed concrete beam. In part (b), the central support is assumed to have been removed. Because of the induced secondary force or reaction \( R \) at the internal support caused by the eccentric prestress, the original moments due to prestressing, namely, \( M_1 = P \cdot e_1 \), will be called primary moments, and the moments \( M_2 \) caused by the induced reactions will be called secondary moments. The effect of the secondary moment is to shift the location of the line of thrust, the C-line, at the intermediate supports of the continuous structure, and to return the beam section at the support to its original position before prestressing [see Figure 6.3(c)]. The line of thrust is the center line of compressive force acting along the beam span. The secondary reaction \( R \) causes the camber \( \Delta \) to be neutralized and the beam to be held down at the intermediate support by an equal but opposite reaction \( R \), provided that the C-line at the intermediate support is above the cgs line. If the two lines coincide, the reaction \( R \) will be zero, as explained in Section 6.6.

The primary structure bending moment diagram \( M_1 \) due to the prestressing force is shown in Figure 6.4(a). If it is superimposed on the secondary moment diagram \( M_2 \) in Figure 6.4(b), a resulting moment diagram \( M_3 = (M_1 + M_2) \) [Figure 6.4(c)] is generated due to the prestressing force for the condition where the beam lower fibers just touch the intermediate support, with the thrust line (C-line) moving a distance \( y \) from the tendon cgs profile, i.e., the T-line [Figure 6.4(d)]. As a sign convention, the bending moments diagrams are drawn on the tension side of the columns. Such a convention can help eliminate errors in superposition in the analysis of portal frames and other systems whose vertical members are subjected to moments.

The deviation of the C-line from the cgs line is

\[
y = \frac{M_2}{P_e}
\]

(6.1)
6.4 Elastic Analysis for Prestress Continuity

Figure 6.3 Secondary moments in continuous prestressed beams. (a) Tendon profile prior to prestressing. (b) Profile after prestressing if beam is not restrained by central support. (c) Secondary reaction to eliminate uplift or camber. (d) Reaction $R$ on theoretically simply supported beam. (e) Secondary moment diagram due to $R$. 
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Figure 6.4 Superposition of secondary moments due only to prestress and transformation of the thrust C-line. (a) Primary moments $M_1$. (b) Secondary moments $M_2$. (c) Superposition of (b) on (a) to give resulting moment $M_3$. (d) Transformation of the C-line from the T-line.

and the new location of the tendon profile cgs is determined from the net moment $M_3 = M_1 + M_2$ using the appropriate moment sign, positive (+) above and negative (−) below the base line. The resulting limit eccentricity of the C-line is

$$e' = e_3 = \frac{M_3}{P_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

where $P_e$ is the effective prestressing force after losses. Note that $e'$ is negative when the thrust line is above the neutral axis, as is the case for the intermediate support section. The concrete fiber stresses due to prestress only at an intermediate support become, from Equations 1.4a and b,

$$f' = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e'e_c c_1}{r^2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.3a)

and

$$f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e'e_c c_b}{r^2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.3b)
6.5 Examples Involving Continuity

The concrete fiber stresses at the support due to prestressing and the self-weight support moment are

\[ f^t = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_s c_t}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S^t} \]  
(6.4a)

and

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_s c_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S_b} \]  
(6.4b)

Alternatively, using the \( M_3 \) moment values in Equations 6.4a and b, the net moment at the section is \( M_4 = M_3 - M_D \), and the concrete fiber stresses at the support where the tendons are above the neutral axis are evaluated from

\[ f^t = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} - \frac{M_4}{S^t} \]  
(6.5a)

and

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} + \frac{M_4}{S_b} \]  
(6.5b)

Both Equations 6.4 and 6.5 should give the same results whether applied to support, midspan sections, or any other sections along the span provided that the appropriate sign convention is maintained.

6.4.3 Equivalent Load Method

The equivalent load method is based on theoretically replacing the effects of the prestressing force by equivalent loads produced by the prestressing moments profile along the span due to the primary moment \( M_4 \) in Figure 6.5(b). If the shear diagram causing moments \( M_4 \) is constructed as in Figure 6.5(c), and the load producing this shear is evaluated as in Figure 6.5(d), the reaction \( R \) is the same as the displacement reaction \( R \) in the method described in Section 6.4.1. Calculation of the moment distribution due to the loading on the continuous beam in Figure 6.5(d) produces the moment diagram of moment \( M_4 \) in part (e) of the figure. This moment is the same as the net moment \( M_4 \) in Section 6.4.1, so that the resulting limit eccentricity of the cgs line will be \( e_s = M_4 / P_e \). The prestress interior support reaction \( R \) is obtained from Figure 6.5(d) in order to determine the secondary moment \( M_4 \) caused by a load \( R \) acting at point \( c \) of a simple span AB. The deviation of the C-line from the cgs line is then \( y = M_2 / P_e \), as in the previous method.

6.5 EXAMPLES INVOLVING CONTINUITY

6.5.1 Effect of Continuity on Transformation of C-Line for Draped Tendons

Example 6.1

A bonded post-tensioned prestressed prismatic beam is continuous on three supports. It has two equal spans of 90 ft (27.4 m), and the tendon profile is shown in Figure 6.6. The effective prestressing force \( P_e \) after losses is 300,000 lb (1,334 kN). The beam overall dimensions are \( b = 12 \) in. (30 cm) and \( h = 34 \) in. (86 cm). Compute the primary and secondary moments due to prestressing, and find the concrete fiber stresses at the intermediate support C due to the prestressing force. Use both the support displacement method and the equivalent load method; assume that the variation in tension force along the beam can be neglected.
Figure 6.5 Equivalent load method of C-line transformation. (a) Primary structure after prestressing. (b) Primary moment $M_1$ due to prestressing. (c) Shear diagram for moments $M_1$. (d) Load-causing moment in (b) and shear in (c). (e) Moment diagram for loads in (d) after moment distributions.

Solution (a): 

Support Displacement Method. The primary moment $M_1$ due to prestressing causes upward camber or deflection at the intermediate support C. This camber, $\Delta_c$, can be readily obtained from basic mechanics by the moment area method, taking the moments of areas AEC and ADC about point A in Figure 6.7(a) to get the tangential deviation of the elastic curve at A from the horizontal at C as the displacement at C. From the figure,

\[
EI\Delta_c = \left[ (3.0 \times 10^6 + 1.05 \times 10^6) \frac{90 \times 2}{3} \right] \frac{90}{2} \times 144 \\
- \left( \frac{2.1 \times 10^6 \times 90}{2} \right) \frac{90 \times 2}{3} \times 144
\]

\[
= 7.58 \times 10^{11} \text{ in}^3\text{-lb}
\]
Figure 6.6 Transformation of thrust line in Example 6.1 due to continuity. (a) Tendon geometry: one possible location. (b) Primary moment $M_1$ due to prestress $P_m$. (c) Reaction $R$ on theoretically simple beam. (d) Secondary moment $M_2$ due to $R$. (e) Final moment $M_3 = M_1 + M_2$. (f) New location of C-line and possible cgs line.
Similarly, from Figure 6.7(c),

\[ EI\Delta_c = \frac{45R \times 12 \times 90}{2} \times \frac{90 \times 2}{3} \times 144 = 2.1 \times 10^{9} \text{ in.}^3\text{-lb} \]

Equating the right sides of these equations to each other yields

\[ 7.58 \times 10^{11} = 2.1 \times 10^{9} R \]

Then

\[ R_c = \frac{7.58 \times 10^{11}}{2.1 \times 10^{9}} = 3,610 \text{ lb} \downarrow (16 \text{ kN}) \]

\[ R_A = R_B = 1,805 \text{ lb} \uparrow (8 \text{ kN}) \]

The secondary moment \( M_2 \) due to concentrated load \( R_c \) varies linearly from interior support \( C \) to end supports \( A \) and \( B \) in Figures 6.6(d) and 6.7(c). From Figure 6.6(c),

\[ M_2 = \frac{R_c}{2} \times 90 \times 12 = \frac{3,610}{2} \times 90 \times 12 = 1.95 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb} \]

The total moment \( M_3 \) at \( C \) due to prestress continuity is

\[ M_3 = 2.1 \times 10^{6} + 1.95 \times 10^{6} = 4.05 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb} \]

From Equation 6.1, the distance through which the C-line has to be transformed upwards at support \( C \) is

\[ y_c = \frac{M_2}{P_c} \frac{1.95 \times 10^{6}}{300,000} = 6.5 \text{ in.} \text{ (16.5 cm)} \]

From Equation 6.2, the distance of the C-line above the cgc line, i.e., the eccentricity of the C-line above the cgc line at interior support \( C \), is

\[ e_c = \frac{M_3}{P_c} = \frac{4.05 \times 10^{6}}{300,000} = 13.5 \text{ in.} \text{ (34.3 cm)} \]

The midspan total moment is

\[ M_3 = 3.0 \times 10^{6} - \frac{1}{2} \times 1.95 \times 10^{6} \]

\[ = 2.025 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ \text{Figure 6.7 Camber } \Delta_c \text{ due to } M_3. \text{ (a) Primary moment } M_1. \text{ (b) Deflected shape due to } R. \text{ (c) Secondary moment } M_2 \text{ due to } R. \]
and the C-line eccentricity is
\[ e_D = \frac{2.025 \times 10^6}{300,000} = 6.75 \text{ in. (17.1 cm)} \]

**Concrete Fiber Stresses at Interior Support C due to Prestress Only**

\[ e_c = \frac{34}{2} = 17 \text{ in.} \]
\[ e_c = 13.5 \text{ in.} \]
\[ A_c = bh = 12 \times 34 = 408 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ I_c = \frac{bh^3}{12} = \frac{12(34)^3}{12} = 39,304 \text{ in.}^4 \]
\[ r_c^2 = \frac{I_c}{A_c} = \frac{39,304}{408} = 96.33 \text{ in.}^2 \]

From Equations 6.3a and b, the top concrete fiber stress is
\[ f_t = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{ec}{r_c^2} \right) = -\frac{300,000}{408} \left( 1 + \frac{13.5 \times 17}{96.33} \right) = -2,487 \text{ psi (C) (17.1 MPa)} \]

and the bottom concrete fiber stress is
\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{eb}{r_c^2} \right) = -\frac{300,000}{408} \left( 1 - \frac{13.5 \times 17}{96.33} \right) = +1,016 \text{ psi (T) (7.0 MPa)} \]

Although the bottom fiber stress in tension is higher and well beyond the maximum allowable, it is only due to prestress. Once self-weight is considered, it diminishes considerably.

**Solution (b):**

**Equivalent Load Method.** From Equation 1.16 for load balancing,
\[ W_b = \frac{8Pa}{I_t^2} \]
where \( a \) is the eccentricity of the tendon from the ege line. So
\[ W_b = \frac{8 \times 300,000 \times 13.5}{(90)^2 \times 12} = 333.3 \text{ lb/ft} \]
\[ \text{FEM} = \frac{W_b I_t^2}{12} = \frac{333.3 (90)^2}{12} = 224,978 \text{ ft-lb} \]

From the moment distribution operation in Figure 6.8, the final moment at the interior support C is \( M_3 = M_1 + M_2 = 337,467 \text{ ft-lb} = 4.05 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \), which is the same value as solution (a).

Since the \( M_t \) diagram is the primary moment diagram as in Figure 6.6(b), the diagram for the secondary moment \( M_2 \) can be constructed from \( M_3 - M_1 \) as shown in Figure 6.8(b), which is identical to Figure 6.6(d). Thereafter, all other steps for calculation of fiber stresses and location of both fiber stresses and the C-line are identical and give the same results as solution (a).
6.5.2 Effect of Continuity on Transformation of C-line for Harped Tendons

Example 6.2
Solve Example 6.1 assuming that the prestressing tendon is harped at the midspan of both adjacent spans. Use the support displacement method in your solution.

Solution: Construct the primary and secondary moment diagram shown in Figure 6.9.

Then

\[ EI\Delta_c = (3.0 \times 10^6 + 1.05 \times 10^6)90 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{90}{2} \times 144 - \frac{2.1 \times 10^6 \times 90}{2} \times \frac{90 \times 2}{3} \times 144 = 3.65 \times 10^{11} \text{ in.}^3\cdot\text{lb} \]

From Figure 6.7 (c),
Figure 6.9 Transformation of thrust line in Example 6.2 due to continuity. (a) Tendon geometry: one possible location. (b) Primary moment $M_1$ due to prestress $P_r$. (c) Reaction $R$ on theoretically simple beam. (d) Secondary moment $M_2$ due to $R$. (e) Final moment $M_3 = M_1 + M_2$. (f) New location of C-line and possible cgs line.
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\[ EI\Delta_e = 2.1 \times 10^8 R_e \]

\[ R_e = \frac{3.65 \times 10^{11}}{2.1 \times 10^6} = 1,738 \text{ lb} \]

The secondary moment ordinate at interior support C is

\[ M_2 = \frac{R}{2} \times 90 \times 12 = \frac{1,738}{2} \times 90 \times 12 = 0.94 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Thus, the total moment \( M_3 = 2.1 \times 10^6 + 0.94 \times 10^6 = 3.04 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (344 \times 10^3 \text{ N-m)}} \).

From Equation 6.1, the distance through which the C-line has to be transformed upwards at support C is

\[ y_c = \frac{M_2}{P_e} = \frac{0.94 \times 10^6}{300,000} = 3.13 \text{ in. (8 cm)} \]

From Equation 6.2, the distance of the C-line above the cge line, i.e., the eccentricity of the C-line above the cge line at interior support C, is

\[ e_c = \frac{M_3}{P_e} = \frac{3.04 \times 10^6}{300,000} = 10.13 \text{ in. (25.7 cm)} \]

So the midspan total moment is

\[ M_3 = 3.0 \times 10^6 - \frac{0.94 \times 10^6}{2} = 2.53 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

and the C-line eccentricity is

\[ e_D = \frac{2.53 \times 10^6}{300,000} = 8.43 \text{ in. (21.4 cm)} \]

**Concrete Fiber Stresses at Interior Support C Due to Prestress Only.**  \( e_c = 10.13 \text{ in.} \) for the C-line or thrust line. So the top concrete fiber stress is

\[ f' = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{300,000}{408} \left( 1 + \frac{10.13 \times 17}{96.33} \right) \]

\[ = -2,049 \text{ psi (C) (14.1 MPa)} \]

and the bottom concrete fiber stress is

\[ f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{300,000}{408} \left( 1 - \frac{10.13 \times 17}{96.33} \right) \]

\[ = +579 \text{ psi (T) (4.0 MPa)} \]

Comparing the results of the harped tendon case of this example to the draped parabolic tendon of Example 6.1 reveals that smaller total continuity moments \( M_4 \) resulted at the intermediate support since the triangular area of the moment diagram is one-half the product of the span and the moment ordinate while the parabolic area is two-thirds of that same product. Consequently, the concrete fiber stresses are lower.

### 6.6 LINEAR TRANSFORMATION AND CONCORDANCE OF TENDONS

It can be recognized from the discussion in Section 6.4 that the profile of the line of thrust (the C-line) follows the profile of the prestressing tendon (the cgs line). This is to be expected since the C-line ordinates are the moment ordinates resulting from the product of
the prestressing force $P_z$ and the tendon eccentricity from the cgc line varying along the span. The deflection behavior of any beam is a function of the variation in moment along the span, and the shape of the moment diagram is a function of the type of load, namely, concentrated or distributed. Consequently, tendon profiles are often draped for distributed loads, while they are harped for concentrated loads, as illustrated in Chapters 1 and 4.

Examples 6.1 and 6.2 show that in a continuous beam, the deviation of the C-line from the cgc line is directly proportional to the secondary moment $M_2$. Since the $M_2$ diagram varies linearly with the distance from the support, it is possible to linearly transform the C-line by raising or lowering its position at the interior support while preferably maintaining its original position at the exterior simple supports. The profile of the C-line remains the same because of the linearity of the transformation. Consequently, it is possible to linearly transform the cgs line, i.e., the prestressing tendon profile along the beam span, without changing the profile positions of the C-line. This flexibility has major practical significance in the design of continuous prestressed concrete beams.

Example 6.3 demonstrates such a flexibility. Compare the tendon profile it presents with that of Example 6.1, and note that the C-line in Figure 6.6(f) is the same as the C-line in Figures 6.10(f) and 6.11(f), although the cgs line locations along the span are not the same as those in Figures 6.6(a), 6.10(a), and 6.11(a). Also, note that in solution b, where the tendon profile coincides with the C-line profile, the reaction $R = 0$ and the secondary moment $M_2 = 0$. This means that when the cgs line coincides with C-line, the beam just touches the intermediate support and behaves like a simply supported beam. Such a beam is called a concordant beam, and the prestressing tendon is called a concordant tendon.

6.6.1 Verification of Tendon Linear Transformation Theorem

Example 6.3

The continuous beam of Example 6.1 has a new tendon profile as shown in

(a) Figure 6.10(a) with eccentricity $e_x = 0$ and an eccentricity $e_D = 13.5$ in. (24.3 cm) at midspan similar to the intermediate support eccentricity in Example 6.1, and

(b) Figure 6.11(a) with eccentricities $e_x = 13.5$ in. (24.3 cm) and $e_D = 6.75$ in. (17.1 cm) that are the same C-line eccentricities as in Example 6.1.

Verify that the profile and alignment of the C-line in (a) and (b) are the same as the C-line geometry in Example 6.1 and Figure 6.6(f). The total moment at the intermediate support C in both cases is $M_1 = 4.05 \times 10^6$ in.-lb, and at midspan D the moment is $M_2 = 2.025 \times 10^6$ in.-lb, as in Example 6.1.

Solution (a): The secondary moment is

$$M_2 = 4.05 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \quad (458 \times 10^3 \text{ N-m})$$

Also,

$$\frac{R_x}{2} \times 90 \times 12 = 4.05 \times 10^6$$

$$R_x = \frac{4.05 \times 10^6 \times 2}{90 \times 12} = 7,500 \text{ lb} \quad (33.4 \text{ kN})$$

$$R_A = R_B = 3,750 \text{ lb} \quad (16.7 \text{ kN})$$

Solution (b): Both $M_2 = 0$ and $R = 0$.

It can be seen from both solution (a) in Figure 6.10 and solution (b) in Figure 6.11 that the C-line coordinates are the same and close to the values obtained in Example 6.1. The
Figure 6.10  Tendon transformation in Example 6.3 (alternative a).
Figure 6.11 Tendon transformation in Example 6.3 (alternative b).
fiber stresses are also the same, viz., \( f' = -2,487 \text{ psi (C)} \) (17.1 MPa) and \( f_s = +1,016 \text{ psi (T)} \) (7.0 MPa).

6.6.2 Concordance Hypotheses

The following list summarizes the hypotheses defining the transformation and concordance of tendons in continuous prestressed beams:

1. Any tendon profile can be linearly transformed without affecting the C-line position.
2. A beam with a concordant tendon is a continuous beam whose C-line coincides with its cgs line.
3. A concordant tendon induces no reactions on intermediate supports.
4. The eccentricity of any concordant tendon measured from the cgs line produces a moment diagram representing a profile similar in form to the moment profile due to the superimposed load.
5. Any line of thrust (C-line) is a profile for a concordant tendon.
6. Superposition of several concordant tendons produces a concordant tendon, but superposition of concordant and nonconcordant tendons produces a nonconcordant tendon.
7. A change in eccentricity at one or both end supports results in a shift of the C-line, but a change in eccentricity at intermediate supports does not affect the position of the C-line.
8. The choice of concordance or nonconcordance is determined by concrete cover and efficiency in beam depth selection. Bending moments and shear diagrams for superposition of transverse loads on continuous beams is shown in Figure 6.12.

It is advisable to start a design assuming concordance in order to eliminate the need for calculating the secondary moment \( M_2 \). By trial and adjustment, one can arrive at the final beam section depth that fulfills the design requirements with the cgs location either concordant or nonconcordant, as the final design dictates.

6.7 ULTIMATE STRENGTH AND LIMIT STATE AT FAILURE OF CONTINUOUS BEAMS

6.7.1 General Considerations

The service-load design of continuous prestressed beams assumes elastic behavior of the material up to the limit of allowable tensile stress in the concrete due to all loads. This limit in tensile stress is based on allowing some limited cracking beyond the first cracking load as determined by the modulus of rupture of concrete. As cracking becomes more effective during overload conditions, internal plastic deformation at the critical regions of maximum or peak moments and plastic redistribution of elastic moments from the negative to the positive moment regions are generated. At this stage of overload and beyond, up to the limit state at failure, plastic hinging develops at the most highly stressed regions in the continuous beam.

Total redistribution and full development of plastic hinges at the continuous supports of a fully bonded prestressed beam render the beam statically determinate, as if concordance of tendons were present with zero moments at the supports. Theoretically, in such cases the secondary moments \( M_2 \) can be disregarded beyond the first cracking load. However, such an assumption can result in an unsafe design unless a concordant tendon is assumed from the beginning and is executed in the final design with no overload conditions permitted. Otherwise, it is important to consider the secondary moment
Figure 6.12  Bending moments and shear diagrams for continuous beams. (a) Continuous beam, three equal spans, one end span unloaded. (b) Continuous beam, three equal spans, end spans loaded. (c) Continuous beam, three equal spans, all spans loaded. (d) Continuous beam, four equal spans, third span unloaded. (e) Continuous beam, four equal spans, first and third spans loaded. (f) Continuous beam, four equal spans, all spans loaded. (g) Continuous beam, two equal spans, concentrated load at center of one span. (h) Continuous beam, two equal spans, concentrated load at any point.
Figure 6.12  Continued
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Photo 6.1 Seven Mile Bridge, Florida Keys. (Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.)

\( M_2 \) due to prestressing up to the limit state of the failure load, but with a load factor of 1.0. Considering the secondary moments is mandated by the fact that the elastic deformation caused by the nonconcordant tendons changes the amount of inelastic rotation required to obtain a given amount of redistribution. Conversely, for a beam with a given elastic rotational capacity, the amount by which the moment at the support may be varied is changed by an amount equal to the secondary moment at the support due to prestressing (Ref. 6.2).

In order to determine the moments to be used in the design, the following sequence of steps is recommended:

1. Determine the moments due to the dead and live loads at factored load level.
2. Modify by algebraic addition of secondary moments \( M_2 \) due to prestressing.
3. Redistribute as permitted. A positive secondary moment at the support caused by transforming a tendon downwards from a concordant profile will therefore reduce the negative moments near the supports and increase the positive moment in the midspan region. A tendon that is transformed upwards will have a reverse effect.

6.7.2 Moment Redistribution

The ultimate analysis and design of prestressed concrete members follows the strain limits approach detailed in Section 4.12.3. The net tensile strain limits for compression- and tension-controlled sections shown in Figure 4.5 are equally applicable to prestressed concrete sections. They replace the maximum reinforcement limits used in code provisions prior to the 2002 ACI-318 Code. The net tensile strain for tension-controlled sections, may still be stated in terms of the reinforcement index, \( \omega_p \), embodied in Equation 4.38b, where the maximum reinforcement index

\[
[\omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega')] 
\]

not to exceed 0.24\( \beta_1 \) for prestressed sections, with a limit inelastic moment redistribution factor of 1000 \( e \). See Section 4.12.4 for a detailed discussion.

It should be noted that the total amount of prestressed and non-prestressed reinforcement should be adequate to develop a factored load of at least 1.2 times the cracking load computed on the basis of the modulus of rupture \( f_r \). This provision in ACI 318
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Code is permitted to be waived for (a) Two-way, unbonded post-tensioned slabs; and (b) Flexural members with shear and flexural strength at least twice the load level causing the first cracking moment $M_{cr}$.

6.8 TENDON PROFILE ENVELOPE AND MODIFICATIONS

The envelope for limiting tendon eccentricities for continuous beams can be constructed in the same manner as discussed in Section 4.4.3 for simply supported beams. A determination has to be made as to whether tension is to be allowed in the design in order to establish the limiting maximum and minimum ordinates of the upper and lower envelopes relative to the top and bottom kerns.

The tendon profile at the intermediate supports cannot have the same peak configuration as that of the negative bending moment diagram, due to both design and practical considerations. These considerations include the magnitude of frictional losses that increase with the decrease in the radius of curvature, the high level of compressive stress concentration in cases of abrupt changes in the tendon, and the additional difficulties that can be encountered in post-tensioning. Consequently, it is advisable to modify the tendon profile at the support so as to have a curvilinear transition at the support zone. Such modification has to be accounted for by modifying the primary moment $M_1$ diagram and the total moment $M_t$ diagram.

Typical tendon alternative profiles with equal upper and lower eccentricities at the peak moment sections are shown in Figure 6.13, where the chain-dotted line gives the average bending moments used in the service-load design. Selection of the tendon profile should be based on the following considerations:

1. The eccentricity should be as large as possible at the point where the largest bending moment develops at the limit state at failure.
2. Where possible, the total prestressing moment at any section should be sufficient to counteract the average service-load bending moment at that section. The test for this condition should be based on the resulting stress values rather than moment values, since the prestressing force causes axial load stress as well as bending moment stress.
3. A tendon profile alternative that produces the least frictional losses should be chosen in the design.
4. It is essential to consider the ultimate-load requirements when selecting the tendon profile; a tendon chosen only on the basis of linear transformation and concordance is not necessarily satisfactory, as it might neither totally fulfill the service-load stress requirements nor fully satisfy the ultimate-load requirements at both the midspan and interior supports.
5. A decrease in eccentricities at the intermediate supports through additional tendon transformation decreases the service-load compressive stresses and can result in allowing additional live-load moments, and hence more live load, provided that the midspan profile eccentricity and moments produce satisfactory concrete stresses.

6.9 TENDON AND C-LINE LOCATION IN CONTINUOUS BEAMS

Example 6.4

Design the tendon profile in the beam of Example 4.7, assuming the unshored beam to be continuous over three spans 64 ft (19.5 m) each, with only uniformly distributed live load $w_L = 1,514$ plf (22.1 kN/m). Consider the post-tensioned prestressing tendon to be continuous
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Figure 6.13  Tendon profile modification. (a) Bending moment diagram for continuous beam. (b) Tendon profile alternatives.

throughout the structure and fully grouted. Disregard tension force variation due to frictional losses in the bends, and assume that a maximum allowable concrete compressive fiber stress \( f_c = 0.45 f'_c = 2,250 \text{ psi} (15.5 \text{ MPa}) \) is reached at the extreme top fibers of the composite section when the live load acts on the section. Use a modified effective width \( b_w = 65 \text{ in.} (165 \text{ cm}) \) for the compression flange to account for the modular ratio of the topping and precast concrete.

**Solution:**

1. **Input data from Example 4.7**

   **(a) Stress data**

   Precast \( f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} (34.5 \text{ MPa}) \), normal-weight concrete

   Topping \( f'_c = 3,000 \text{ psi} (20.7 \text{ MPa}) \), normal-weight concrete

   \( f_d = 4,000 \text{ psi} (27.6 \text{ MPa}) \)

   \( f_{pa} = 270,000 \text{ psi} (1,862 \text{ MPa}) \)

   \( f_{ps} = 243,000 \text{ psi} (1,655 \text{ MPa}) \)

   \( f_{pu} = 189,000 \text{ psi} (1,303 \text{ MPa}) \)

   \( f_{pm} = 0.8(0.7f_{pm}) = 151,200 \text{ psi} (1,043 \text{ MPa}) \)

   \( \gamma = 0.8 \)

   Midspan \( f_t = 12 \sqrt{f'_c} = 12 \sqrt{5,000} = 849 \text{ psi} (5.85 \text{ MPa}) \)

   Support \( f_t = 6 \sqrt{f'_c} = 425 \text{ psi} (2.93 \text{ MPa}) \)
(b) Load data

\[ W_D = 583 \text{ plf} \ (8.5 \text{ kN/m}) \]

\[ W_{SD} = \left(1\frac{1}{2}/12\right) \times 7 \text{ ft} \times 150 = 153 \text{ plf} \ (2.2 \text{ kN/m}) \text{ for } 1\frac{1}{2} \text{ in. precast slab formwork} \]

\[ W_{CS} = (4/12) \times 7 \text{ ft} \times 150 = 350 \text{ plf} \ (5.1 \text{ kN/m}) \text{ for } 4 \text{ in. situ-cast topping} \]

\[ W_L = 1,514 \text{ plf} \ (22.1 \text{ kN/m}) \text{ (obtained from } M_L = 9,300,000 \text{ in.-lb}) \]

\[ \text{Span} = 64 \text{ ft} \ (19.5 \text{ cm}) \]

(e) Section properties

AASHTO Type III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Precast</th>
<th>Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_{c}, \text{ in.}^2 )</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_c, \text{ in.}^4 )</td>
<td>125,390</td>
<td>297,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p^2, \text{ in.}^2 )</td>
<td>223.9</td>
<td>318.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_y, \text{ in.} )</td>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>31.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_p, \text{ in.} )</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>13.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_p, \text{ in.}^3 )</td>
<td>6,186</td>
<td>9,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_r, \text{ in.}^3 )</td>
<td>5,070</td>
<td>21,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{cbu}, \text{ in.}^3 ) (bottom of slab)</td>
<td>19,251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{cr}, \text{ in.}^3 ) (top of slab)</td>
<td>15,288</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( n = E_c \text{ (topping)}/E_c \text{ (precast)} = 0.77 \)

Precast \( h = 45 \text{ in.} \ (114.3 \text{ cm}) \)

Transformed \( b = 65 \text{ in.} \ (165 \text{ cm}) \)

Situ-cast \( h_f = 5.75 \text{ in.} \ (14.6 \text{ cm}) \)

(d) Prestressing steel

Twenty-two \( \frac{3}{4} \text{-in. dia} \ (12.7 \text{ mm dia}) \) 7-wire low-relaxation strands

\[ A_{ps} = 22 \times 0.153 = 3.366 \text{ in.}^2 \ (21.7 \text{ cm}^2) \]

2. Assume a trial tendon profile location as shown in Figure 6.14

The prestressing force after losses is

\[ P_e = 3.366 \times 151,200 = 508,940 \text{ lb} \ (2,264 \text{ kN}) \]

while the primary moment at support B is

\[ M_B = P_e \times e_B = 508,940 \times 15 = 7.63 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \ (862 \times 10^3 \text{ N-m}) \]

The primary moment at midspan \( E_1 \) is

\[ M_{E_1} = 508,940 \times 9 = 4.58 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

For simplification, the tangential deviation used for computing \( \Delta_B \) is based on assuming the tangent to the elastic curve at B as horizontal.

Taking moments of areas about A in Figure 6.15 yields
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Figure 6.14 Trial profile of the prestressing tendon.

\[ E_a I_a \Delta_B = E_a I_a \Delta_N = \left( \frac{7.63}{2} + 4.58 \right) \times 10^6 \times \frac{64 \times 2}{3} \times \frac{64}{2} \times 144 \]

\[ - \left( \frac{7.63 \times 10^6 \times 64}{2} \right) \times \frac{64 \times 2}{3} \times 144 \]

\[ = 15.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ in.-lb} \]

Also,

Figure 6.15 Computation of displacement by taking moments of area about support A.
\[ E_A I_A \Delta_B = (R_A \times 64 \times 12) \left( \frac{64 \times 12}{2} \right) \left( \frac{64 \times 12 \times 2}{3} \right) \]
\[ = 151 \times 10^6 R_B \text{ in.}^2 \text{-lb} \]
\[ R_A = \frac{15.0 \times 10^3}{151 \times 10^6} = 994 \text{ lb} \quad \text{(By exact calculation = 798 lb)} \]

The secondary moment \( M_2 \) at support B is
\[ R_A \times 64 \times 12 = 994 \times 64 \times 12 = 0.76 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

The total moment at support B due to prestressing only is
\[ \text{Support } M_2 = (7.63 + 0.76) \times 10^6 = 8.39 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \quad \text{(see Figure 6.16)} \]

The C-line eccentricity is
\[ e^*_p = -\frac{8.39 \times 10^6}{508,940} = -16.49 \text{ in. (41.9 cm)} \]

(The eccentricity \( e^* \) of the C-line when it is above the neutral axis is considered negative in order to conform to Equations 6.3a and b.) Finally, the total moment at midspan, \( E_1 \), \( E_2 \), and \( E_3 \) due to prestressing only is

Midspan \( M_3 = (4.58 - 0.38)10^6 = 4.20 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \)

and the C-line eccentricity is
\[ e^*_1 = +\frac{4.20 \times 10^6}{508,940} = +8.25 \text{ in. (21.0 cm)} \]

3. Concrete fiber stresses due to prestress and self-weight (583 plf)

Using the moment factors and reaction factors from Figure 6.12, we have

\[ M_D \text{ at } B = M_{BR} = 0.10 \times 583(64)^2 \times 12 = 2.87 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ \text{(see Figure 6.17)} \]
\[ P_e = A_{ps} f_{pc} = 3.366 \times 151,200 = 508,940 \text{ lb} \]
\[ M_D \text{ at } E_1 = 2.12 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \quad \text{(Max. } + M \text{ is not at midspan; hence, calculate } M_{E1} \text{ from the area of the shear diagram)} \]
\[ \text{Net } R_B = 1.1W \uparrow - 994 = 1.1 \times 583 \times 64 - 994 = 40,048 \text{ lb} \]

The total support B moment due to prestressing and self-weight is, then,
\[ M_4 = M_3 - 2.87 \times 10^6 = (8.39 - 2.87)10^6 \]
\[ = 5.52 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (624 \times 10^3 N-m)} \]

(i) Support section B or C

The construction process in this stage involves mounting the precast I-beams and prestressing them. The fiber stresses due to prestressing and self-weight, from Equations 6.5a and b, are as follows:
Figure 6.16  Tendon C-line profile in continuous beam of Example 6.4.
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\[ f_1' = \frac{P_s}{A_c} - \frac{M_A}{S} = \frac{508,940}{560} - \frac{5.52 \times 10^6}{5,070} = -908.8 - 1,088.8 \]

\[ = -1,997.6 \text{ psi (C) (13.8 MPa)} < 2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_{ib} = \frac{P_e}{A_c} + \frac{M_A}{S_b} = \frac{508,940}{560} + \frac{5.52 \times 10^6}{6,186} = -908.8 + 892.3 \]

\[ = -16.5 \text{ psi (C), no tension, O.K.} \]

Alternatively, the concrete fiber stresses at the support can be computed from Equations 4.1a and b or Equations 6.4a and b using the C-line eccentricities \( e' = -16.49 \text{ in.} \). We obtain

\[ f_1' = \frac{P_s}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e'_c}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S} \]

\[ = \frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 + \frac{16.49 \times 24.73}{223.9} \right) + \frac{2.87 \times 10^6}{5,070} \]

\[ = -2,564.1 + 566.1 = -1,998 \text{ psi (C) (13.8 MPa)} \]

\[ f_{ib} = \frac{P_s}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e'_c}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S_b} \]

\[ = \frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 - \frac{16.49 \times 20.27}{223.91} \right) - \frac{2.87 \times 10^6}{6,186} \]

\[ = +447.9 - 463.9 = -16.0 \text{ psi (C)} \]

(ii) Outer span midspan \( E_1 \)

The eccentricity at the midspan is \( e'_1 = +8.25 \text{ in.} \). Hence,

\[ f_1' = \frac{P_s}{A_c} + \frac{M_A}{S} \]

\[ f_{ib} = \frac{P_e}{A_c} - \frac{M_A}{S_b} \]

Total moment \( M_A \) at \( E_1 = (4.20 - 2.12)10^6 = 2.08 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \)

\[ f_1' = \frac{P_s}{A_c} + \frac{M_A}{S} = \frac{508,940}{560} + \frac{2.08 \times 10^6}{5,070} \]

\[ = -908.8 + 410.3 = -498.5 \text{ psi (C) (3.4 MPa), O.K.} \]

\[ f_{ib} = -908.8 - \frac{2.08 \times 10^6}{6,186} = -1,245 \text{ psi (C) (8.6 MPa), O.K.} \]

Figure 6.16 gives the moments, eccentricities, and C-line geometry of the continuous beam in this example.
4. **Effect of adding the superimposed dead loads on support sections B and C**  At this stage of the construction process the 1\text{in.} thick precast slabs \((W_{SP})\) are erected, followed by placing the 4 in. thick layer of wet concrete \((W_{CDP})\). Thus, \(W_{CDP} = 153 + 350 = 503 \text{ plf (7.3 kN/m)}\). The support moment due to superimposed load is

\[ M_{B3} = 0.1wl^2 = 0.1 \times 503(64)^2 \times 12 = -2.47 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Also,

\[ f_1 = -\frac{P_1}{A_e} - \frac{M_5}{S} \]

\[ f_{2b} = -\frac{P_2}{A_e} + \frac{M_5}{S_b} \]

\[ M_5 = (M_4 - 2.47 \times 10^6) \text{ in.-lb} = 3.05 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Hence,

\[ f_1 = -908.8 - \frac{3.05 \times 10^6}{5,070} = -1,510.4 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ f_{2b} = -908.8 + \frac{3.05 \times 10^6}{6,186} = -415.8 \text{ psi (C)} \]

5. **Effects of adding the superimposed live load on support sections B and C**  After the concrete cures, in its full composite action for supporting the total service live load, the section moduli for the precast beam become

\[ S_e = 21,714 \text{ in.}^3 \]

and

\[ S_{ab} = 9,490 \text{ in.}^3 \]

The loading combination which causes the highest stress condition is when the load acts on only two adjacent spans AB and BC. We have

\[ W_L = 514 \text{ plf (22.1 kN/m)} \]

including \(W_{CDP}\). From Figure 6.12, the support moments due to live load on two adjacent spans is

\[ M_{B3} = 0.1167W_Ll^2 = 0.1167 \times 1,514(64)^2 \times 12 = -8.68 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

The live-load moment causes tension at the top, and compression at the bottom fibers of the support section. The resulting total fiber stresses in the precast section at the support due to all loads become

\[ f_T = f_1 + \frac{M_{B3}}{S_e} \]

and

\[ f_{br} = f_{2b} - \frac{M_{B3}}{S_{ab}} \]

Hence,

\[ f_T = -1,510.4 + \frac{8.68 \times 10^6}{21,714} \]

\[ = -1,111 \text{ psi (C) (7.7 MPa) < 2,250 psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_{br} = -414.3 - \frac{8.68 \times 10^6}{9,490} = -1,329 \text{ psi (9.2 MPa) < 2,250 psi, O.K.} \]
Alternative one-step solution using Equations 4.19a and b

\[ f_T = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{cb}}{r} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_T} - \frac{M_{CD} + M_L}{S_T}, \text{where } S_T \text{ is at the top of the precast section} \]

\[ f_{br} = -\frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{cb}}{r} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} + \frac{M_{CD} + M_L}{S_b} \]

where \( e = e_{cb} = M_{CD}/P_e \) and \( M_{CD} \) is the additional superimposed dead load at service after erection, assumed zero here.

From before, the C-line eccentricity is \( e_{cb} = M_{CD}/P_e = -8.39 \times 10^6 \), \( S_T = 508,940 = -16.49 \text{ in. (41.9 cm)} \). Also, the support moments, using the appropriate signs, are

\[ M_D = -2.87 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_{SD} = -2.47 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

and

\[ M_L = -8.68 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (including } M_{CD} \text{)} \]

Hence, at top of the precast section,

\[ f_T = -\frac{508,940}{560} \left[ 1 - \frac{-16.49 \times 24.73}{223.91} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{(2.87 + 2.47) \times 10^6}{5,070} + \frac{8.68 \times 10^6}{21,714} \]
\[ = -2,560 + 1,053.2 + 399.7 = -1,111 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ f_{br} = -\frac{508,940}{560} \left[ 1 + \frac{-16.49 \times 20.27}{223.91} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{(2.87 + 2.47) \times 10^6}{6,186} - \frac{8.68 \times 10^6}{9,490} \]
\[ = +447.9 - 863.2 - 914.6 \]
\[ = -1,330 \text{ psi (C) (9.2 MPa) < 2,250 psi, O.K.} \]

Stresses at top and bottom fibers of the situ-cast 4 in. slab

From Equations 4.20a and b, the maximum fiber stresses at the top and bottom fibers on the composite slab at the support section are evaluated using section moduli \( S_{CS} \) and \( S_{SCS} \), where

\[ f_{CS} = \frac{M_L}{S_{CS}} \times \text{modular ratio } n = 0.77 \]
\[ f_{SCS} = \frac{M_L}{S_{SCS}} \times n \]

Hence,

\[ f_{CS} = \frac{8.68 \times 10^6}{15,288} \times 0.77 \equiv +437 \text{ psi (T) (3 MPa) = 425 psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_{SCS} = +\frac{8.68 \times 10^6}{19,251} \times 0.77 \equiv +347 \text{ psi (T) (2.4 MPa) < 425 psi, O.K.} \]

Superposition of the tensile stress at the bottom fibers of the slab and the compressive stress of \(-1,111 \text{ psi at the extreme top fibers of the precast section can result in a net compressive stress at the bottom of the slab } = -1,111 + 347 = -764 \text{ psi.} \)

The fiber stress at the extreme lower fibers of the precast section at support B or C is
The final distribution of stress is shown in Figure 6.18.

Note that the concrete fiber stresses are considerably below the maximum allowable stresses at service load for the same live load and spans as the simply supported beam of Example 4.7. Consequently, the selected continuous tendon profile is not the most efficient in this example, since the superimposed live load is the same in both cases and the section is the same for the span lengths used. Example 6.5 demonstrates preferable modifications.

6. Limit state at failure

(a) Degree of ductility for moment redistribution

\[
h = 45 + 5.75 = 50.75 \text{ in.}
\]
\[
d = 50.75 - (1.5 + 0.5 \text{ for stirrup} + 0.25) \approx 48.5 \text{ in.}
\]

Support \( d_p = c_p + e_B = 20.27 + 16.49 \) from bottom fibers
\[
= 36.76 \text{ in. (96.6 cm)}
\]

Since the width of the compression flange at support \( b = 22 \) in., try two \#4 bars as compression steel and four \#4 bars at tension steel. We obtain

\[
\omega' = \frac{A'_{c} f_y}{bd f_y} = \frac{2 \times 0.20}{22 \times 48.5} \times \frac{60,000}{5,000} = 0.0045
\]
\[
\omega = \frac{4 \times 0.2}{22 \times 48.5} \times \frac{60,000}{5,000} = 0.0090
\]
\[
\omega_p = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps}}{bd_p f_y} = \frac{3.366 \times 240,000}{22 \times 36.76 \times 5,000} = 0.20
\]

\[
\beta_1 = 0.80 \text{ for } 5,000 \text{ psi concrete}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') = \frac{48.5}{35.27} (0.0090 - 0.0045) = 0.0062
\]

\[
\omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') = 0.20 + 0.0062 = 0.2062
\]
\[
0.24 \beta_1 = 0.24 \times 0.80 = 0.19 < 0.2062 \text{ at the support}
\]

0.24 \( \beta_1 \) is comparable to \( \epsilon_c = 0.005 \) so that the actual strain for total \( \omega \) is \( 0.0054 \)

Figure 6.18 Stress distribution in the concrete at service load.
Hence, strain $\varepsilon_i$ is less than 0.0075, precluding application of moment redistribution. A test using the 1000 $\varepsilon_i$ percent code provision for redistribution factor, would have allowed moment redistribution in this case, however. For maximum allowable $\omega_{fr} = 0.36 \times 0.80 = 0.29 < 0.2062, O.K.$
Alternatively by the ACI Code, $c/d_i = a/b_i d_i = 8.9(0.80 \times 48.5) = 0.232 < 0.375$
Hence, the beam is in the tensile zone of Fig. 4.45 and did not exceed the maximum permissible reinforcement, allowing $\phi = 0.90$ for determining the design moment $M_o$, and thus OK for the chosen reinforcement.

(b) Flexural moments modifications
It is advisable to apply the redistribution modifications separately to the dead and live loads since alternate span loading for live load has to be considered for worst loading conditions while dead load acts simultaneously on all spans. Since no redistribution is used here, the elastic moments at support are

$$M_D + M_{SD} = (2.87 + 2.47)10^6 = 5.34 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

and

$$M_L = 8.68 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

(c) Nominal moment strength
The support section factored $M_o$ is

$$1.2 \times 5.34 \times 10^6 + 1.6 \times 8.68 \times 10^6 = 20.3 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

From step 2, the factored elastic secondary moment induced by reactions due to prestress, using a load factor of 1.0 as stipulated in the ACI Code, is $M_s = 0.76 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$, and the total factored moment $M_o = (20.3 - 0.76) \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} = 19.54 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} (2.20 \text{ kN-m})$. Also, the required nominal moment strength $M_n = M_o/\phi = 19.54 \times 10^6/0.90 = 21.71 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} (2.46 \text{ kN-m})$. If $A_i'_{y}$ yielded, $f_y = f_{y} = 60,000 \text{ psi}$, width $b$ at bottom = 22 in. So

$$a = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_i f_y - A_{i}' f_y}{0.85 f_y b}$$

$$= \frac{3.366 \times 240,000 + 0.8 \times 60,000 - 0.4 \times 60,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 22}$$

$$= 8.90 \text{ in. (22.6 cm)}$$

The depth of the flange up to the 7-in.-wide web section at support is

$$7 + 7.5/2 = 10.8 \text{ in.} > 8.90 \text{ in.}$$

The neutral axis is inside the flange, and the section behaves like a rectangular section. From Equation 4.44,

Available $M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_x - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_i f_y \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_{i}' f_y \left( \frac{a}{2} - d' \right)$

$$= 3.366 \times 240,000 \left( 36.76 - \frac{8.90}{2} \right)$$

$$+ 0.80 \times 60,000 \left( 48.5 - \frac{8.9}{2} \right) + 0.40 \times 60,000 \left( \frac{8.9}{2} - 3 \right)$$

$$= 28.25 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} (3.1 \times 10^3 \text{ kN-m}) > 21.71 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

The factored moment is at least 1.2 $M_o$, as the code requires. Hence, the section is safe, but not efficient. Figure 6.19 shows the load and moment distributions. It could be reduced in size by either changing the tendon eccentricities or enlarging the span or allowing a higher live load with new tendon eccentricities.
6.10 TENDON TRANSFORMATION TO UTILIZE ADVANTAGES OF CONTINUITY

Example 6.5

Linearily transform the prestressing tendon in the continuous prestressed beam in Example 6.4 such that the superimposed live load $W_L$ on the 64 ft (19.8 m) spans can be increased by at least 50 percent.

Solution:

1. Transformation of tendon

The tendon eccentricity at support B in Example 6.4 produces compressive fiber stress at the support precast section due to all loads of 1.111 psi at the top, and 1.329 psi at the bottom fibers. These are lower than the maximum allowable $f_c = -2.250$ psi. Therefore, the beam can sustain more load if the concrete compressive stress capacity is to be utilized. In order to allow the beam to carry more live load, more compressive stress at the midspan bottom fibers due to prestress needs to be developed through an increase in the tendon eccentricity.

Accordingly, assume that the tendon is linearly transformed throughout all the spans such that $e_B = e_C = 11.5$ in. (27.9 cm), as shown in Figure 6.20. Then the transformation vertical distance $= 16.5 - 11.5 = 5$ in. (10 cm), the midspan eccentricity $e_{EI} = 8.25 + 5 = 13.25$ in. (34 cm), and the primary support B moment $M_1 = 508,940 \times 11.5 = 5.85 \times 10^6$ in.-lb (0.63 $\times$ 10$^3$ kN-m). Also, the primary midspan $E_1$ moment $M_2 = 508,940 \times 13.25 = 6.74 \times 10^6$ in.-lb (0.75 $\times$ 10$^3$ kN-m), and we have

$$E, I, \Delta_B = \left[ \left( \frac{5.85}{2} + 6.73 \right) 10^6 \times \frac{64 \times 2}{3} \right] \times \frac{64}{2} \times 144$$

$$- \frac{5.85 \times 10^6 \times 64}{2} \times \frac{64 \times 2}{3} \times 144 = 75.0 \times 10^{10}$$

Also,
Figure 6.20  Tendon transformation in continuous beam of Example 6.5.
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\[ E_s I_s \Delta_s = \left[ \frac{64 R_A \times 12 \times 64 \times 12}{2} \right] \frac{64 \times 2}{3} \times 12 \]

\[ = 15.1 \times 10^7 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ R_A = \frac{75.0 \times 10^{10}}{15.1 \times 10^7} = 4967 \text{ lb} \]

\[ M_2 = R_A \times 64 \times 12 = 4,974 \times 64 \times 12 \]

\[ = 3.81 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} (0.44 \times 10^3 \text{ kN-m}) \]

Support \( M_3 = (5.85 + 3.81) \times 10^6 \times 9.66 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \) (see Figure 6.20)

Midspan \( M_3 = \left( 6.74 - \frac{1}{2} \times 3.81 \right) 10^6 = 4.83 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \)

From Example 6.4, \( P_s = 508,940 \text{ lb} \). So calculate the final C-line eccentricities \( M_s/P_e \)

as shown in Figure 6.20(f).

2. Concrete fiber stresses due to prestress and self-weight (583 psf)

From step 3 of the solution to Example 6.4, the moment due to self-weight is \( 2.87 \times 10^6 \) in.-lb so the total moment \( M_4 = M_3 - 2.87 \times 10^6 = (9.66 - 2.87) 10^6 = 6.79 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \).

(i) Support section \( B \) or \( C \)

\[ f' = -\frac{P_s}{A_s} \frac{M_4}{S} = \frac{-508,940}{560} \frac{-6.79 \times 10^6}{5,070} \]

\[ = -908.8 - 1339 \]

\[ = -2248 \text{ psi} (C) (15.2 \text{ MPa}) < 2,250 \text{ psi}, \text{ O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = 908.8 + \frac{6.79 \times 10^6}{6,186} = 908.8 + 1098 \]

\[ = 189 \text{ psi} (T), \text{ O.K.} \]

(ii) Outer span midspan section \( E_4 \)

From Example 6.4, \( M_4 = 2.12 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \). So the net moment \( M_4 = M_4 - 2.12 \times 10^6 \)

\[ = (4.83 - 2.12) 10^6 = 2.71 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} (0.4 \times 10^3 \text{ kN-m}), \text{ and} \]

\[ f' = -908.8 + \frac{2.71 \times 10^6}{5,070} = -347 \text{ psi} (C) (2.7 \text{ MPa}), \text{ O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = 908.8 - \frac{2.71 \times 10^6}{6,186} \]

\[ = -1347 \text{ psi} (C) (9.2 \text{ MPa}), \text{ no tension, O.K.} \]

3. Determination of live-load intensity for new tendon profile for unshored construction

\[ f_I = \frac{P_s}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_b x}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_e} - \frac{M_C + M_L}{S_e} \]

\[ f_{OT} = \frac{P_s}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_b x}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} + \frac{M_C + M_L}{S_b} \]

(i) Support section (at \( B \) or \( C \))

From Figure 6.20(e), \( M_3 = -9.66 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \) Hence,

\[ e_b = \frac{-9.66 \times 10^6}{508,940} = -19 \text{ in.} (47.0 \text{ cm}) \]
From Example 6.4, \( M_D + M_{SD} = -(2.87 + 2.47) \times 10^6 = -5.34 \times 10^6 \) in.-lb. Also, from Figure 6.12, the live-load moment for a three-span beam with one span unloaded is

\[
M_L = -0.1167W_L l^2 = -0.1167W_L (64)^2 (12) = -5,736W_L \text{ in.-lb, including } M_{CSD}
\]

The maximum allowable tensile stress \( f_t' = +849 \) psi at midspan and \( f_t = +425 \) psi at support.

\[
f_t' = +425 = -\frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 - \frac{(-19) \times 24.73}{223.91} \right) + \frac{5.34 \times 10^6}{5,070} + \frac{5,736W_L}{21,714}
\]

giving \( W_L = 8.092 \) plf.

(ii) Midspan section (at \( E_L \))

Since \( W_D = 583 \text{ plf, by proportioning we obtain} \)

\[
M_D + M_{SD} = +(2.12 + 1.83) \times 10^6 = +3.95 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}
\]

\[
M_L = 0.0735W_L (64)^2 \times 12 = 3613W_L \text{ in.-lb}
\]

\[
f_t = -2,250 = -\frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 - \frac{9.5 \times 24.73}{223.91} \right) + \frac{3.95 \times 10^6}{5,070} - \frac{3613W_L}{21,714}
\]

\[
\frac{3613W_L}{21,714} = 44.8 - 779 + 2,250
\]

\[
W_L = 9109 \text{ plf}
\]

\[
f_{st} = 849 = -\frac{508,940}{560} \left( 1 + \frac{9.5 \times 20.27}{223.91} \right) + \frac{3.95 \times 10^6}{6,186} + \frac{3613W_L}{9,490}
\]

\[
\frac{3613W_L}{9,490} = 849 + 1691 - 638.5
\]

\[
W_L = 4995 \text{ plf}
\]

Hence, \( W_L = 4995 \) plf controls for service load levels, to be verified by checking the ultimate moment strength available.

4. Available nominal moment strength

\[
A_{pr} = 3.366 \text{ in.}^2
\]

Support \( d_p = 11.5 + 20.27 = 31.77 \) in.

Assume that \( A_r \) at supports B and C is increased to four \#6 bars in order to facilitate an increased live load. Then

\[
A_r = 4 \times 0.44 = 1.76 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\[
\omega = \frac{1.76}{22 \times 48.5} \times \frac{60,000}{5,000} = 0.020
\]
6.10 Tendon Transformation to Utilize Advantages of Continuity

\[
\omega_p + \frac{d}{dp}(\omega - \omega') = 0.2312 + \frac{48.5}{31.77}(0.02 - 0.0045)
\]

\[
= 0.255 > 0.24\beta = 0.19
\]

Hence, strain \( \epsilon_p \) is less than 0.0075, precluding application of moment redistribution. A test using the 1000 \( \epsilon_p \) percent code provision for redistribution factor, would have allowed moment redistribution in this case, however.

Now, from before, the elastic \( M_2 = 3.82 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \) and we have

\[
M_a = 1.2(M_D + M_{GL}) + 1.6M_L - M_2
\]
or

\[
M_a = 1.2 \times 5.34 \times 10^6 + 1.6M_L - 3.82 \times 10^6
\]

\[
= 2.59 \times 10^6 + 1.6M_L
\]

Next,

\[
\text{Required } M_a = \frac{M_a}{\phi} = \frac{2.59 \times 10^6}{0.9} + 1.6M_L = 2.88 \times 10^6 + 1.78M_L
\]

\[
a = \frac{A_{pl}f_{pl} + A_s f_s - A_i' f_i'}{0.85f'_{pl} b}
\]

If \( A_i' \) yielded \( f_i' = 60,000 \text{ psi} \), then

\[
a = \frac{3.366 \times 240,000 + (1.76 - 0.40)60,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 22} = 9.51 \text{ in. (24.2 cm)}
\]

which is less than the flange depth up to the 7-in.-wide web section. Hence, the neutral axis is inside the flange, and the section behaves like a rectangular section. Accordingly, we have

\[
\text{Available } M_a = A_{pl} f_{pl} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_s \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_i' f_i' \left( \frac{a}{2} - d' \right)
\]

\[
= 3.366 \times 240,000 \left( 31.77 - \frac{9.51}{2} \right) + 1.76
\]

\[
\times 60,000 \left( 48.5 - \frac{9.51}{2} \right) + 0.40 \times 60,000 \left( \frac{9.51}{2} - 3 \right)
\]

\[
= 26.49 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} - 2.89 \times 10^6 + 1.78M_L
\]

Hence,

\[
M_L = \frac{(26.49 - 2.89) \times 10^6}{1.78} = 13.26 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}
\]

If \( M_L = 0.1167W_L l^2 \), then

\[
13.26 \times 10^6 = 0.1167W_L (64)^2 \times 12
\]

So \( W_L = 2312 \text{ plf} < W_L = 4995 \text{ plf} \) from the service-load analysis. Hence, \( W_L = 2312 \text{ plf} \) controls, and the percent increase in live load is

\[
\frac{(2312 - 1,514)}{1,514} \times 100 = 52.7\% \approx 50\%, \text{ O.K.}
\]

Thus, we can adopt the new profile of the tendon with four \#6 bars at the support top fibers in the situ-cast slab and two \#4 bars at the bottom precast section fibers. Note that if the mild steel is changed from 4 \#6 to 4 \#8, the increase in \( W_L \) would have been 70%.
6.11 DESIGN FOR CONTINUITY USING NONPRESTRESSED STEEL AT SUPPORT

Example 6.6
Design the beam in Example 6.5 such that the section and tendon profile of the AASHTO type-III bridge beam used in Example 4.7 is made continuous through the use of nonprestressed mild steel reinforcement to carry the superimposed service dead load \( W_{sd} = 503 \text{ plf} \) and service live load \( W_L = 2,290 \text{ plf} \) \((33.4 \text{ kN/m})\). Assume that the tendon profile in the precast simply supported section is the same as the one in Example 4.7, namely, with midspan eccentricity \( e_s = 16.27 \text{ in.} \) \((41.3 \text{ cm})\) and end eccentricity \( e_e = 10.0 \text{ in.} \) \((25.4 \text{ cm})\). Sketch the prestressing tendon and other reinforcement details if the maximum allowable concrete compressive fiber stress at service load is \( f_c = 2,250 \text{ psi} \) \((15.5 \text{ MPa})\).

Solution:

1. Data for strength design at support
   Because continuity is obtained in this case through the use of reinforced concrete at the supports, it is suggested that the topping concrete also be of \( f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \) compressive strength. Thus, we have
   \[
   f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \quad (34.5 \text{ MPa}), \text{ normal weight}
   \]
   \[
   f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi} \quad (413.7 \text{ MPa})
   \]
   Design the continuity to resist the superimposed dead and live loads only, and not the self-weight:
   \[
   d = 50.75 - (1.5 \text{ in. cover} + 0.5 \text{ in. for stirrup} + 0.5 \text{ in. for half-bar dia})
   = 48.25 \text{ in.} \quad (123 \text{ cm})
   \]
   \[
   b_0 = 22 \text{ in.} \text{ at bottom}
   \]
   \[
   b_1 = 84 \text{ in.} \text{ at top (modified } b_m = 65 \text{ in.)}
   \]

2. Nominal moment strength
   From Example 6.5, the required moment strength due to \((W_{sd} + W_L)\) at support B is \( M_u = 1.2 \times 2.47 \times 10^6 + 1.6 \times 13.03 \times 10^6 = 23.81 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}\). The bonded prestressed steel does not extend through the supports; hence, consider \( \omega_p = 0 \) for calculating the moment redistribution factor. Assume
   \[
   A_p = 9.0 \text{ in.}^2
   \]
   \[
   \omega = \frac{9.0}{22 \times 48.25} \times \frac{60,000}{5,000} = 0.1012
   \]
   \[
   A_p' = \text{two } #4 = 0.40 \text{ in.}^2
   \]
   and
   \[
   \omega' = 0.0961 \times \frac{0.4}{8.5} = 0.0045
   \]
   Then from Example 6.4, \( d_p = 35.87 \text{ in.} \), \( d = 48.25 \text{ in.} \), \( \omega + [d/d_p] \omega_p = 0 + [48.25/35.87](0.1012 - 0.0045) = 0.1300 < 0.24 \beta_t = 0.192 \). Hence, redistribution is possible, based on elastic moment analysis giving \( M_u = 23.81 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \).

   Using the ACI Code redistribution test, the tensile strain at the extreme tensile steel reinforcement is
   \[
   e_t = 0.003 \left( \frac{d_t}{c} - 1 \right)
   \]
   \[
   c = \left( \frac{a}{\beta_t} \right) = \frac{9.51}{0.80} = 11.89 \text{ in.; } d_t = 48.5 \text{ in.}
   \]
   \[
   e_t = 0.003 \left( \frac{48.5}{11.89} - 1 \right) = 0.0092; \text{ hence, redistribution percentage } = 1000e_t = 9.2\%.
   \]
   Use 9% redistribution, reducing the negative moment by this amount, and increasing the positive midspan moment by the same magnitude. A \( \phi \) factor of 0.90 is to be used since \( e_t > 0.005 \).
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So use a distribution factor of 0.09:

\[ R_d = (1 - 0.09) \times 23.81 \times 10^6 = 21.67 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} (2.0 \times 10^6 \text{ kN-m}) \]

Using \[ M_n = \frac{M_a}{\phi} = \frac{21.67 \times 10^6}{0.9} = 24.07 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ M_n = A_r f_y \left( d = \frac{a}{2} \right) \]

Assume for the first trial that \( d = a/2 \approx 0.9 d \). Then

\[ 24.07 \times 10^6 = A_r \times 60,000 \times 0.9(48.25) \]

\[ A_r = \frac{24.07 \times 10^6}{60,000 \times 0.9 \times 48.25} = 9.24 \text{ in.}^2 \]

\[ a = \frac{A_r f_y}{0.85 f_y b} = \frac{9.24 \times 60,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 22} = 5.93 \text{ in.} \]

The depth of the flange to the web is 5.75 + 7 + 4.5/2 for the AASHTO type-3 section = 15 in. > 5.80/0.9 = 6.44 in. The neutral axis is inside the flange, and the section behaves like a rectangular section. Therefore,

\[ A_r = \frac{M_n}{f_y (d - a/2)} = \frac{24.07 \times 10^6}{60,000(48.25 - 5.93/2)} = 8.86 \text{ in.}^2 \]

Since the assumed \( A_r = 9.0 \text{ in.}^2 \) is very close to 8.86 in.\(^2\), the moment distribution factor is satisfactory.

The area \( A_r \) is to be distributed over the total actual flange width of 84 in. \( A_r \) per ft width = \( (8.86/84) \times 12 = 1.26 \text{ in.}^2/12 \) in. Using #6 bars, \( A_r \) per bar = 0.44 in.\(^2\) (2.82 cm\(^2\)), and the spacing is

\[ s = \frac{\text{Bar} \ A_r}{R_d \ A_r/12 \text{ in.}} = \frac{0.44}{1.26/12} = 4.19 \text{ in.} \]

Thus, use #6 bars at 4 in. center to center over the 84-in. width (19.1 mm dia. bars at 10.8 cm). The total number of bars over the 84-in.-width flange is

\[ \frac{84 - (2 \times 1.5\text{-in. cover})}{4.25} + 1 \approx 20 \]

so that we have

\[ \text{Total} \ A_r = 20 \times 0.44 = 8.80 \text{ in.}^2, \text{O.K.} \]

Accordingly, adopt the design for flexure. Note that the complete design would involve dowel design for composite action, stirrup design for web shear, end block design, and design for serviceability requirements in deflection and crack control as detailed in earlier examples. Note also that continuity on three spans in this example using mild steel only at the supports allowed a 50% increase in the live-load intensity from 1,514 plf to 2,329 plf.

3. Beam geometry schematic details

Figure 6.21 gives the reinforcing and tendon profile details of the continuous beam of this example. Note how the normal-weight concrete and mild steel provide continuity at the supports for the superimposed dead and live loads.

6.12 INDETERMINATE FRAMES AND PORTALS

6.12.1 General Properties

Concrete frames are indeterminate structures consisting of horizontal, vertical, or inclined members joined in such a manner that the connection can withstand the stresses and bending moments that act on it. The degree of indeterminacy depends upon the
Figure 6.21 Schematic geometry details of continuous beam, in Example 6.6 (see also Example 4.7). (a) Support section B-B. (b) Midspan section A-A.
number of spans, the number of vertical members, and the type of end reactions. Typical frame configurations are shown in Figure 6.22. If \( n \) is the number of joints, \( b \) the number of members, \( r \) the number of reactions, and \( s \) the number of indeterminacies, the degree of indeterminacy is determined from the following inequalities:

\[
\begin{align*}
3n + s &> 3b + r \quad \text{(unstable)} \quad (6.7a) \\
3n + s &= 3b + r \quad \text{(statically determinate)} \quad (6.7b) \\
3n + s &< 3b + r \quad \text{(statically indeterminate)} \quad (6.7c)
\end{align*}
\]

The degree of indeterminacy is

\[
s = 3b + r - 3n \quad (6.9)
\]

where \( 3n \) equations of static equilibrium are always available and the total number of unknowns is \( 3b + r \). As an example, the degree of indeterminancy of the frame in Figure 6.22(a) is

\[
s = 3 \times 3 + 2 \times 2 - 3 \times 4 = 1
\]

and for the frame in part (g) of the same figure it is

\[
s = 3 \times 10 + 2 \times 3 - 3 \times 9 = 9
\]

Note that in order for a frame to perform satisfactorily, the following conditions have to be satisfied:

1. The design must be based on the most unfavorable moment and shear combinations. If moment reversal is possible due to reversal of live-load direction, the highest values of positive and negative bending moments have to be considered in the design.
2. Proper foundation support for horizontal thrust has to be provided. If the frame is designed as hinged, an expensive construction procedure, an actual hinge system has to be provided.

6.12.2 Forces and Moments in Portal Frames

The behavior of concrete frames before cracking can be considered reasonably elastic, as was done in the case of a continuous beam at service-load and slight-overload conditions. Consequently, well before the development of plastic hinges, the bending moment diagrams shown in Figures 6.23 and 6.24 will be used in the design of indeterminate prestressed concrete frames. The usual methods of analyses of indeterminate structures including frames, such as virtual work, stiffness matrix, and flexibility matrix procedures, as well as the clapeyron three- or four-moment equations, are assumed familiar in this text, so that only the minimum guidelines and simplifications are presented.

6.12.2.1 Uniform Gravity Loading on Single-Bay Portal. Suppose that the moments of inertia \( I_x \) of the vertical columns and \( I_y \) of the horizontal beam of the portal in Figure 6.25(a) are not equal. The following values of the moments and thrusts can be inferred:

**End Shear in Beam**

\[
V_p = V_c = \frac{1}{2}wl
\]

(6.9a)

![Diagram of portal frame](image)

**Figure 6.23** Right-angled portal frame loaded with gravity load intensity \( w \) (\( T \) indicates tension fibers). (a) Load intensity. (b) Bending moment (hinged-base frame). (c) Bending moment (fixed-base frame). (d) Deformation of frame in (b). (e) Deformation of frame in (c).
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Figure 6.24 Right-angled portal frame loaded with wind load intensity $p$ ($T$ indicates tension fibers). (a) Bending moment (hinged-base frame). (b) Bending moment (fixed-base frame). (c) Deformation of frame in (a). (d) Deformation of frame in (b).

**Horizontal Thrust**

$$H = \frac{1}{8} C_1 \, w l^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.9b)

where

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{12 \left( \frac{2}{3} \frac{I_x \, h}{I_y} \frac{l}{l+1} \right)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.9c)

**Maximum Negative Moment at Corner**

$$M_B = M_c = -Hh = -C_1 \, w l^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.9d)

**Maximum Positive Moment at Midspan**

$$M_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{8} \, w l^2 - Hh = \left( \frac{1}{8} - C_1 \right) \, w l^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.9e)

**Bending Moments at Any Point $x$**

$$M_x = \frac{1}{2} \, x(l-x)w - C_1 \, w l^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.9f)

where the points of contraflexure from either corner of the portal are

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8C_1} \right) l = C_x l$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.9g)
Figure 6.25 Bending moment ordinates in single-bay frame. (a) Uniform gravity loading. (b) Concentrated gravity loading. (c) Uniform horizontal pressure.

and

\[ C_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8C_1} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.9h)}

6.12.2.2 Concentrated Gravity Loading on Single-Bay Portal. Since the concentrated load \( P \) does not have to act at midspan, nonsymmetry of shears results. From Figure 6.25(b), the end shears are

\[ V_b = \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) P \]

and

\[ V_c = \frac{a}{l} P \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.10a)}

\textbf{Horizontal Thrust}

\[ H = C_3 \frac{a}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) P \frac{l}{h} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.10b)}
where
\[ C_3 = \frac{1}{2 \left( \frac{2}{3} \frac{I_k}{I_e} \frac{h}{l} + 1 \right)} \] (6.10c)

**Bending Moments at Corners**
\[ M_B = M_c = -Hh = -C_3 \frac{a}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) Pl \] (6.10d)

**Bending Moments at Any Point along BC.** For \( x < a, \)
\[ M_x = \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) \left( \frac{x}{l} - C_3 \frac{a}{l} \right) Pl \] (6.10e)

For \( x > a, \)
\[ M_x = \frac{a}{l} \left[ 1 - \frac{x}{l} - \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) C_3 \right] Pl \] (6.10f)

**Maximum Positive Moment at** \( x = a \)
\[ M_{\text{max}} = \frac{a}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) Pl - Hh = \left( 1 - C_3 \right) \frac{a}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) Pl \] (6.10g)

**Horizontal Thrust for Several Concentrated Gravity Loads**
\[ H = \frac{1}{h} C_3 \left[ P_1 \frac{a_1}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{a_1}{l} \right) + P_2 \frac{a_2}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{a_2}{l} \right) + \ldots \right] \] (6.10h)

or
\[ H = \frac{1}{h} C_3 \sum P \frac{a}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{a}{l} \right) \] (6.10i)

### 6.12.2.3 Uniform Horizontal Pressure on Single-Bay Portal
From Figure 6.25(c), we have the following:

**Vertical Reactions at Supports**
\[ R_A = -\frac{1}{2} ph \frac{h}{l} \]

and
\[ R_D = +\frac{1}{2} ph \frac{h}{l} \] (6.11a)

**Horizontal Reactions.** For windward hinge \( A, \)
\[ H_A = \frac{11 I_k}{8 \frac{I_k}{I_e} \frac{h}{l} + 3} ph = C_s ph \] (6.11b)
where

\[ C_4 = \frac{1}{18} \left( \frac{I_b}{I_c} \frac{h}{l} + 3 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11c)

For leeward hinge \( D \),

\[ H_D = ph - H_A = (1 - C_4)ph \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11d)

The bending moments at any point \( y \) along the column height due to horizontal pressure, with \( y \) being measured from the bottom, are

\[ M_y = H_A y - \frac{1}{2} py^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11e)

**Maximum Moment at Windward Column**

\[ M_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{I_b}{I_c} \frac{h}{l} + 18 \right) \right) \frac{ph^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} C_4 ph^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11f)

**Point of Maximum Bending Moment above Support A**

\[ y_1 = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{I_b}{I_c} \frac{h}{l} + 18 \right) h = C_4 h \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11g)

**Bending Moments in Corners of Portal**

\[ M_B = H_A h - \frac{1}{2} ph^2 = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{I_b}{I_c} \frac{h}{l} + 2 \right) \frac{ph^2}{2} \]

\[ = (C_4 - 0.5)ph^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11h)

\[ M_c = -H_B h = -(1 - C_4)ph^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11i)

The constants \( C_1 \), \( C_2 \), \( C_3 \), and \( C_4 \) in Equations 6.9, 6.10, and 6.11 can be graphically represented as shown in Figure 6.26. Canned computer programs for the analysis of indeterminate beams and frames render the use of charts such as this unnecessary except for a quick check of numerical values.

**6.12.3 Application to Prestressed Concrete Frames**

As with continuous beams, a tendon profile has to be assumed at the start in order to determine the secondary bending moments \( M_z \) for the portal frame horizontal beam and vertical legs. A concordant tendon is assumed for the horizontal beam for symmetrical
gravity loading, and the vertical columns or legs are proportioned to resist the horizontal pressure and the extra moment caused by the shortening of the beam.

Longitudinal shortening of the horizontal beam caused by the prestressing force results in tensile stresses at the outside face of the frame columns. The prestressing vertical tendon should be designed to resist these stresses as well as others. The longitudinal shortening also results in horizontal reactions at the column’s supports. Consequently, in order to obtain a prestressing force \( P \) in the longitudinal member, a force \( P + \Delta P \) has to be applied to the frame. The incremental force \( \Delta P \) can be evaluated by means of the following expressions.

**Frame with Two Hinges at Supports**

\[
\Delta P = \frac{M_B}{h} = \frac{3}{2k + 3} \frac{E_c I_c}{h^2} \epsilon_{BC}
\]  
(6.12)
where \( k = (I_y/I_z)(h/l) \) and \( \epsilon_{BC} \) is the total strain due to elastic shortening and movement due to shrinkage and creep. The subscripts \( B \) and \( C \) denote the member extremities of the frame in Figures 6.25 and 6.27.

**Frame with Fixed Supports**

\[
\Delta P = \frac{M_B - M_A}{h} = \frac{E_c I_c}{h} \left( \frac{3}{k + 2} + \frac{k + 3}{k(k + 2)} \right) \\
= \frac{3(2k + 1)}{k(k + 2)} \frac{E I_c}{h^2}
\]

(6.13)

Figure 6.27 shows the axial deformation due to the strain \( \epsilon_{BC} = \Delta l/l \) caused by shortening, creep, and shrinkage.

The tributary moments \( M_A \) and \( M_B \) due to the longitudinal shortening of member \( BC \) in Figure 6.27 are as follows.

**Frame with Two Hinges at Supports**

\[
M_B = \frac{6}{2k + 3} \frac{E_c I_c \theta}{l} = \frac{3}{2k + 3} \frac{E_c I_c}{h} \epsilon_{BC} 
\]

(6.14)

as \( k \to 0, M_B \to \frac{EI_c}{h} \epsilon_{BC} \).

**Frame with Fixed Supports**

\[
M_B = \frac{6}{k + 2} \frac{E_c I_c \theta}{h} = \frac{3}{k + 2} \frac{E_c I_c}{h} \epsilon_{BC} 
\]

(6.15)

as \( k \to 0, M_B \to \frac{1.5EI_c}{h} \epsilon_{BC} \) and \( M_A \to \infty \).

The reason for the drastic change in moment values \( M_B \) and \( M_A \) is that as \( k \) approaches zero, \( \Delta P \) approaches infinity. In such a case, the stiffness of the vertical members relative to the horizontal member approaches infinity, and the horizontal member becomes very flexible, as shown in Figure 6.28. The effects of the horizontal reactions on
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**Figure 6.27** Longitudinal deformation of beam \( BC \) due to elastic shortening, creep, and shrinkage.
the prestressing force are schematically shown in Figure 6.29 for both hinged-base and fixed-base frames.

Note that the discussion here and in the previous section applies equally to continuously cast and precast prestressed composite frames. Continuity at the corner of the frames has to be accomplished in the construction process. A typical prestressing tendon profile for a frame is shown in Figure 6.30. The prestressing force $P_1$ is assumed to be less than $P_2$ in order to allow for the frictional losses in prestress.

### 6.12.4 Design of Prestressed Concrete Bonded Frame

#### Example 6.7

A warehouse structure is made of a prestressed single-bay hinged-base portal frame made of standard double-T-sections for both the horizontal beam and the two vertical columns. The units are 8-ft. wide (2.44 m). The frame has a clear span of 80 ft (24.4 m) and is subjected to a uniform gravity live-load intensity $W_L = 240$ plf (3.5 kN/m) and a uniform horizontal wind pressure of intensity $p_w = 65$ plf (0.95 kN/m) at the windward side and a suction of intensity $p_u = 40$ plf (0.58 kN/m) at the leeward side, as shown in Figure 6.31. Design the frame, the profile, and the location of the prestressing tendons for service-load and ultimate load conditions given the following data:

- $f_{pu} = 270,000$ psi (1,862 MPa) for low-relaxation tendons
- $f_{ps} = 235,000$ psi (1,620 MPa)

#### Figure 6.29  Horizontal reaction effect on prestressing force. (a) Hinged-base frame. (b) Fixed-base frame.
Figure 6.30  Tendon profile in a prestressed frame.

\[ f_{pi} = 189,000 \text{ psi (1,303 MPa)} \]

Total losses = 21%, losses after one month of prestressing = 17%

\[ f_{pu} = (\text{one month}) = (1 - 0.17)189,000 = 156,870 \text{ psi (1,082 MPa)} \]

\[ f_{pu} \text{ (final)} = (1 - 0.21)189,000 = 149,310 \text{ psi (1,029 MPa)} \]

\[ f' = 5,000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa)} \]

\[ f_e = 0.45f' = 2,250 \text{ psi (15.5 MPa)} \]

\[ f'_{di} = 0.70f' = 3,500 \text{ psi (24.1 MPa)} \]

\[ f_{di} = 0.6f'_{di} = 2,100 \text{ psi (14.5 MPa)} \]

\[ f_d \text{ (midspan)} = 3 \sqrt{f_{di}} = 177 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_d \text{ (support)} = 6 \sqrt{f_{di}} = 355 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_e = 6 \sqrt{f_{di}} \text{ to } 12 \sqrt{f_{di}} = 425 \text{ to } 849 \text{ psi (5.85 MPa)} \]

Figure 6.31  Portal frame in Example 6.7.
Solution:

**Frame Horizontal Beam BC**

*Preliminary Analysis.* Assume that self-weight $W_D = 600$ plf (8.8 kN/m). Then from Equation 6.9c, the stiffness coefficient is

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{12\left(\frac{2}{3}k + 1\right)}$$

where

$$k = \frac{I_b}{I_c} \frac{h}{l}$$

Assume at this stage that $l_c = l$, where $I_b$ is the moment of inertia of the beam BC and $I_c$ is the moment of inertia of the column AB or DC. Then

$$k = \frac{b}{l} \times 1.0 = \frac{36}{80} = 0.45$$

From the chart for $C_1$ in Figure 6.26, $C_1 = 0.064$. So given total losses = 21%, it follows that $\gamma = 0.79$.

Now, assume 2 in. of concrete topping ($f'_c = 3,000$ psi lightweight), 5 psf insulation, and a waterproofing width of the segment = 8 ft. Then

$$W_{SD} = \left(\frac{2}{12} \times 110 + 5\right)8 \text{ ft} = 187 \text{ plf}$$

Beam BC is to be designed as a concordant cable, i.e., it is to behave as a simply supported beam for self-weight $W_D$. But it would be considered continuous for the superimposed dead load $W_{SD}$ and live load $W_L$ as part of the rigid portal frame. The C-line would then coincide with the chs line due to concordance. Accordingly, if $W_D = 600$ plf, then from Equation 6.9c, the *midspan* moment is

$$M = \left(\frac{1}{8} - C_1\right)wl^2$$

so that

$$M_D = \frac{wl^2}{8} = \frac{600(80)^2}{8} \times 12 = 5.760 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$M_{SD} = \left(\frac{1}{8} - 0.064\right)187(80)^2 \times 12 = 0.876 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$M_L = \left(\frac{1}{8} - 0.064\right)240(80)^2 \times 12 = 1.124 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

Assume $f'_c = 0$. Then from Equation 4.4b, the minimum section modulus at the bottom fibers for an efficient section is given by

$$S_b \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)M_D + M_{SD} + M_L}{f'_c - \gamma f''_c}$$

or

$$S_b = \frac{(1 - 0.79)5.760 \times 10^6 + 0.876 \times 10^6 + 1.124 \times 10^6}{0 - 0.79(-2,100)} = 1,935 \text{ in.}^3$$

The closest section is PCI 8DT32 + 2 Double-T type 168-D1 with 2 in. concrete topping (Ref. 6.15).
Properties of Preliminary Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Untopped</th>
<th>Topped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_c$ (in.$^2$)</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_c$ (in.$^4$)</td>
<td>55,464</td>
<td>71,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^2 = I_c/A_c$ (in.$^2$)</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_b$ (in.)</td>
<td>21.21</td>
<td>23.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_t$ (in.)</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S'$ (in.$^3$)</td>
<td>5.140</td>
<td>6.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_b$ (in.$^3$)</td>
<td>2.615</td>
<td>3.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_D$ (plf)</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$e_c = 8.21$ in. (20.9 cm)
$e_c = 17.46$ in. (44.3 cm)

sixteen $\frac{1}{2}$-in. dia (12.7-mm dia) 270-K, stress-relieved strands
$A_{ps} = 16 \times 0.153 = 2.45$ in.$^2$ (15.8 cm$^2$)

Analysis of Section at Transfer

(a) Midspan Section ($e_c = 17.46$ in.)

$$M_D = 5.760 \times 10^6 \times \frac{591}{600} = 5.673 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

where

$$591 = \frac{567}{12 \times 12} \times 150 \text{ plf}$$

From Equation 4.1a,

$$f' = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{ec}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'} \leq f_u$$

$$P_i = A_{ps} f_u = 2.45 \times 189,000 = 463,050 \text{ lb}$$

$$f' = -\frac{463,050}{567} \left( 1 - \frac{17.46 \times 10.79}{97.8} \right) - \frac{5.673 \times 10^6}{5.140}$$

$$= -347 \text{ psi (C)}, \text{ no tension, O.K.}$$

Provide nonprestressed steel at the top fibers at midspan to account for any possible tensile stresses. Then, from Equation 4.1b,

$$f_b = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{ec}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b}$$

$$= -\frac{463,050}{567} \left( 1 + \frac{17.46 \times 21.21}{97.8} \right) + \frac{5.673 \times 10^6}{2.615}$$

$$= -1,740 \text{ psi (C)} < f_u = 2,100 \text{ psi, O.K.}$$

(b) Support Section ($e_c = 8.21$ in.)

$$f' = -\frac{463,050}{567} \left( 1 - \frac{8.21 \times 10.79}{97.8} \right) - 0$$

$$= -76.9 \text{ psi (C)}, \text{ no tension, O.K.}$$
\[ f_b = \frac{463,050}{567} \left( 1 + \frac{8.21 \times 21.21}{97.8} \right) + 0 = -2,271 \text{ psi (C) (15.7 MPa)} > f_o = 2,100 \text{ psi, unsatisfactory} \]

Hence, lower the magnitude of the prestressing force by debonding some strands over a length of 15% of span from the support face, or change the eccentricity of the tendon. If the former technique is employed, debond four strands over a length = 0.15 × 80 ft = 12 ft (366 m) from the support, releasing the anchorage of the four grouted strands. We obtain

\[ A_{ps} = (16 - 4)0.153 = 1.836 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ P_i = 1.836 \times 189,000 = 347,004 \text{ lb (1,543 kN)} \]
\[ f_b = \frac{347,004}{567} \left( 1 + \frac{8.21 \times 21.21}{97.8} \right) + 0 = -1702 \text{ psi} < 2,100 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

**Frame Vertical Column Analysis.** Choose a double-T as walls for the frame and suppose that \( e_1 \) and \( S_1 \) refer to the outer face and that \( e_2 \) and \( S_2 \) refer to the inner face of the vertical T-section. Since it was assumed, in calculating the stiffness coefficient \( k \) in the previous section, that \( I_s = I_e \), choose also 8DT32, hinged at the base. This vertical member will act as a compression member subject to large axial load and bending. The bending moments are caused by wind load and moments from the frame horizontal beam \( BC \). In such a case it is preferable to spread the tendon across the section, as shown in Figure 6.32 comparing the beam section and the column section.

Assume that the center of gravity of the prestressing strands coincides with the cgc line, and design the distribution of the strands according to

\[ e_c = e_r = 0 \]

Try using twenty \( \frac{1}{8} \)-in. dia 270-K low-relaxation strands:

\[ A_{ps} = 20 \times 0.153 = 3.06 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ P_i = A_{ps} f_p = 3.06 \times 189,000 = 578,340 \text{ lb} \]
\[ f^t = f_b = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} = 0 = -\frac{578,340}{567} = -1,020 \text{ psi (C)} < f_o = 2,100 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

**Frame Moments and Reactions at Service-Load Level**

**Horizontal Portal Beam \( BC \)**

*Free Support \( W_D \) Stage.* Assume that the length of precasts beams is 80 – 1.3 = 78.7 ft. Then the midspan moment \( M_g = \frac{wL^2}{8} = \frac{[591(78.7)^{1/8}] \times 12 = 5.491 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}}{8} \) and the reaction at the column-wall bracket support is \( R_D = 591 \times 78.7/2 = 23,256 \) lb.

*Composite Topping \( W_{SD} \) Stage.* From before, the midspan moment is \( M_{SD} = 0.876 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}. \) The support moment is then

\[ M_B = M_e = \frac{wL^2}{8} - 0.876 \times 10^6 \]
\[ = \frac{187(80)^2 \times 12}{8} - 0.876 \times 10^6 = 0.919 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

**Redistribution of Moments.** The maximum distribution percentage is
Figure 6.32 Details of beam and wall double-T's in Example 6.7. (a) Horizontal beam standard PCI section 8DT32 + 2 (168-D1). (b) Vertical wall section 8DT32 with twenty $\frac{1}{8}$-in. dia. strands with $e_w = e_p = 0$.

\[
d = 32 + 2 - 2.5 = 31.5 \text{ in.}
\]

compression side $b = 2 \times 4.75 = 9.50$ in.

\[
d_p = c_p + e_p = 21.21 + 8.21 = 29.42 \text{ in.}\]

or $d_p = 0.8h = 0.8 \times 32 = 25.6$ in. whichever is larger.

Use $d_p = 29.42$ in., and assume two #5 bars per rib at the compression side and two #7 bars per rib at the tension side of both the horizontal roof beams and the vertical wall beams. We obtain

\[
A'_i = 4 \times 0.305 = 1.22 \text{ in}^2
\]

\[
\omega' = \frac{A'_i f_p}{bd f'_c} = \frac{1.22}{9.5 \times 31.5} \times \frac{60,000}{5,000} = 0.0489
\]
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\[ A_t = 4 \times 0.60 = 2.40 \text{ in.}^2 \]

\[ \omega = \frac{A_t f_y}{bd f_y} = \frac{2.40}{9.5 \times 31.5} \times 60,000 = 5,000 = 0.0962 \]

Use \( \omega_p = (A_p/bd_p)(f_p/f_y) = 0 \) since the prestressing steel is not continuous over corners of the portal frame. Then
\[ \omega + (d/d_c)(\omega - \omega_p) = 0 + (31.5/29.42)(0.0962 - 0.0489) = 0.0506. \]
Also, \( 0.2485 = 0.24 \times 0.80 = 0.192 > 0.0506. \) Hence, \( \epsilon_i \) is larger than 0.0075.

\[ a = \frac{A_t f_y}{0.85 f_y b} = \frac{4 \times 0.60 \times 60,000}{0.85 \times 5000 \times 9.5} = 3.57 \text{ in.; } c = \frac{3.57}{0.80} = 4.46 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \epsilon_i = 0.003 \left( \frac{d_c}{c} - 1 \right) = 0.003 \left( \frac{31.5}{4.46} - 1 \right) = 0.0181 \]

\[ 1000 \epsilon_i = 1000 \times 0.0181 = 18.1 \% < 20 \% \text{ allowable limit, O.K.} \]

Because of the possible large rotations at the composite joint of this frame, use a reduced redistribution percentage of 12 percent in the horizontal member of the frame.

Accordingly, use a moment distribution factor of 0.12 for transferring 12\% of the moment from the frame corners \( B \) and \( C \) to midspan \( BC \). Also, rigid connecting steel plates should be used at the portal upper joints and be so designed to provide a moment connection capable of transferring at least 12\% of the support moment to the midspan. Then the adjusted \( M_B = M_c = (1 - 0.12) \times 0.919 \times 10^6 = 0.809 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \), the adjusted midspan moment \( M_E = 0.876 \times 10^6 \times 1.12 = 0.981 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \), and the superimposed dead-load reaction \( R_{1D} \) at the support = \((187 \times 80)/2 = 7,480 \text{ lb} \).

**Live-load \( W_L \) Stage.** From before, the midspan is \( M_L = 1.124 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \). So the support moment is

\[ M_B = M_c = \frac{240(80)^2 \times 12}{8} - 1.124 \times 10^6 \]

\[ = 1.180 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

The adjusted elastic moment \( M_c = (1 - 0.12) \times 1.180 \times 10^6 = 1.038 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \), and the adjusted midspan \( M_L = 1.124 \times 10^6 \times 1.12 = 1.259 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \). The live-load reaction at the vertical support is

\[ R_L = \frac{240 \times 80}{2} = 9,600 \text{ lb} \]

**Wind Pressure Stage.** From Equations 6.11b and i,

\[ M_B = (C_4 - 0.5)ph^2 \]

\[ M_c = -(1 - C_4)ph^2 \]

From before,

\[ k = \frac{I_h h}{I_c l} = 0.45 \text{ for } I_h = I_c \]

From the chart for \( C_4 \) in Figure 6.26, \( C_4 = 0.73 \).

**Windward side moment \( M_B \)**

\[ M_{B1} = (0.73 - 0.5)65(36)^2 \times 12 = 232,502 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ M_{B2} = (1 - 0.73)40(36)^2 \times 12 = 167,961 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Total \( M_B = 232,502 + 167,961 = 400,463 \text{ in.-lb} \)
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Leeward side moment $M_e$

$$M_A = -(1 - 0.73)65(36)^2 	imes 12 = -272,938 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$M_C = -(0.73 - 0.5)40(36)^2 \times 12 = -143,078 \text{ in.-lb}$$

Total $M_e = -272,938 - 143,078 = -416,016 \text{ in.-lb}$

The controlling wind moment $M_w = 416,016 \text{ in.-lb}$, since wind can blow from either the left or the right.

From Equation 6.11a, the vertical reactions at $A$ and $D$ due to wind are

$$R_{WA} = -\frac{1}{2} ph \frac{h}{l} = \frac{(65 + 40)(36)^2}{2 \times 80} = -851 \text{ lb}$$

$$R_{WD} = +\frac{1}{2} ph \frac{h}{l} = +851 \text{ lb}$$

Loads and Moments Due to Long-Term Effects

Moments to Restrain End Rotations at $B$ and $C$ Due to Long-Term Prestress Losses. Figure 6.33 shows the moment distributions on horizontal member $BC$. One month after prestressing we have:

$$f_{pet} = 156,870 \text{ psi}$$

Midspan $P_x = 16 \times 0.153 \times 156,870 = 384,018 \text{ lb}$

Support $P_x = (16 - 4) \times 0.153 \times 156,870 = 288,013 \text{ lb}$

Midspan moment $M_x = 384,018 \times 17.46 = 6.705 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$

Support moment $M_B = 288,013 \times 8.21 = 2.364 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$

The eccentricity at section $F$, where four strands were debonded, is

$$e_F = (17.46 - 8.21) \frac{12}{40} + 8.21 = 10.99 \text{ in.}$$

and the moment at section $F$ is

$$M_F = 384,018 \times 10.99 = 4.220 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

The reduced $M_F$ due to debonding is $288,013 \times 10.99 = 3.165 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$.

The service load after all losses have occurred is as follows:

$$f_{se} = 149,310 \text{ psi}$$

$$\frac{f_{se}}{f_{pet}} = \frac{149,310}{156,870} = 0.952$$

$$M_x = 6.705 \times 10^6 \times 0.952 = 6.383 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$M_F = 4.220 \times 10^6 \times 0.952 = 4.017 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$M_B = 3.165 \times 10^6 \times 0.952 = 3.013 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$M_B = M_C = 2.364 \times 10^6 \times 0.952 = 2.251 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

Slopes at $B$ and $C$ at Beam Erection One Month After Prestressing

$$\text{Slope } \theta = \frac{1}{E_e I_p} [ML]$$

To find the areas of the moment diagrams for half the span due to symmetry, (i) add half of Figure 6.33(b) to half of Figure 6.33(d), and (ii) add half of Figure 6.33(c) to half of Figure 6.33(d). Then subtract (ii) from (i) to get the rotation of the beam at $B$ or
Figure 6.33  Bending moment diagrams for primary and self-weight moments for beam BC. (a) Tendon profile. (b) Prestressing moments one month after initial prestress. (c) Effective prestressing moment after all losses. (d) Beam BC self-weight moments.

C that would have to be restrained by a welded connection to develop continuity at the portal frame corners B and C. We have:

\[
\theta E_c I_b \times 10^{-6} = M_{0ij} \text{ at beam erection}
\]
\[
= 2.364 \times 12 \times 12 + (3.165 - 2.364) \times 12 \times 12 \times \frac{1}{2}
\]
\[
+ 4.220 \times 28 \times 12 + (6.705 - 4.220) \times 28 \times 12 \times \frac{1}{2}
\]
\( - \frac{5.491 \times 40 \times 12 \times \frac{2}{3}}{3} = 2,233.49 - 1,757.12 = 476.7 \)

(ii)

\[ \theta E_c I_b \times 10^{-6} = M_{(0)} l \text{ at service load} \]

\[ = 2.251 \times 12 \times 12 + (3.013 - 2.251) \times 12 \times 12 \times \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ + 4.017 \times 28 \times 12 + (6.383 - 4.017) \times 28 \times 12 \times \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ - 5.491 \times 40 \times 12 \times \frac{2}{3} = 2,126.21 - 1,757.12 = 369.4 \]

The rotational angle \( \theta \) at \( B \) or \( C \) caused by the reduction in the prestressing force due to long-term losses is

\[ \frac{1}{E_c I_b} (476.7 - 369.4)10^6 = \frac{107.3 \times 10^6}{E_c I_b} \]

If \( M_r \) is the resisting moment at the connection weld to restrain the member against this rotation,

\[ \text{Slope } \theta = \frac{M_r l/2}{E_c I_b} = \frac{M_r \times 480}{E_c I_b} \]

Equating the right sides of the preceding equations yields

\[ \frac{107.3 \times 10^6}{E_c I_b} = \frac{480M_r}{E_c I_b} \]

\[ M_r = \frac{107.3 \times 10^6}{480} = 0.224 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

**Moments Resulting from Creep and Shrinkage Long-Term Losses**

(a) Creep

\[ P_i = 463,050 \text{ lb} \]

\[ P_e \text{ at erection = 384,018 lb} \]

It is reasonable to take the creep force as the average of \( P_i \) and \( P_e \). Thus,

\[ \epsilon_{CR} = \frac{1}{A_e E_e} \left[ \frac{P_i + P_e}{2} C_u \right] \]

Use the creep coefficient \( C_u = 2.25 \):

\[ E_e = 57,000 \sqrt{f_y} = 57,000 \sqrt{5,000} = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]

\[ \epsilon_{CR} = \frac{1}{567 \times 4.03 \times 10^6} \left( \frac{463,050 + 384,018}{2} \times 2.25 \right) \]

\[ = 417 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

(b) Shrinkage

From Equation 3.14, the shrinkage strain from the time of erection (30 days after prestressing) to one year later is

\[ \epsilon_{SR} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} K_{SR} \left( 1 - 0.06 \frac{V}{S} \right) (100 - RH) \]

Now, \( V/S = 1.79 \), and if we assume that \( RH = 75\% \), then, from Table 3.6, which states that after 30 days to within one year \( K_{SR} = 0.45 \), we have
\[ \epsilon_{SH} = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} \times 0.45(1 - 0.06 \times 1.79)(100 - 75) \]
\[ = 82.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

So the total deformation strain due to creep and shrinkage is \((417 + 82.3)10^{-6} = 499.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}\).

From Equation 6.14,
\[ M_B = M_C = \frac{3}{(2k + 3)} \frac{E_cI_c}{h} \epsilon_{BC} \]

From before, the stiffness coefficient \(k = 0.45\), and \(E_c = 4.03 \times 10^6\) psi. Also, precast \(I_c = 55,464\) in.\(^4\). Consequently,
\[ M_B = M_C = \frac{3}{(2 \times 0.45 + 3)} \times \frac{4.03 \times 10^6 \times 55,464}{36 \times 12} \times 499.3 \times 10^{-6} \]
\[ = 198,724 \text{ in.-lb} = 0.199 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

These moments due to long-term effects will produce tensile stresses at the inside face of the vertical member and bottom face of the horizontal member. Elastic shortening should also be considered often for accuracy.

**Final Moments and Stresses in the Horizontal Beam BC**

*Midspan Section (\(e_x = 17.46\) in.)*

\[ M_D = 5.491 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (0.62 \times 10^3 \text{ kN-m})} \]
\[ M_{SD} = 0.981 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_L = 1.259 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_r = 0.224 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_{CR+SH} = 0.199 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\(P_r\) after all losses = \(2.45 \times 149,310 = 365,810\) lb

The total superimposed moments are

\[ M_T = M_{SD} + M_L + M_r + M_{CR+SH} \]
\[ = (0.981 + 1.259 + 0.244 + 0.199) \times 10^6 \]
\[ = 2.663 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon_c \epsilon_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} + \frac{M_T}{S_{bc}} \]
\[ = \frac{365,810}{567} \left( 1 + \frac{17.46 \times 21.21}{97.8} \right) + \frac{5.491 \times 10^6}{2,615} + \frac{2.663 \times 10^6}{3,038} \]
\[ = -112 \text{ psi (C), no tension, O.K.} \]

\[ f^* = \frac{P}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_c \epsilon_b}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'} - \frac{M_T}{S_{b}'} \]
\[ = -\frac{365,810}{567} \left( 1 - \frac{17.46 \times 10.79}{97.8} \right) - \frac{5.491 \times 10^6}{5,140} - \frac{2.663 \times 10^6}{6,952} \]
\[ = -854 \text{ psi (C) < } f_e = 2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

*Support Section (\(e_x = 8.21\) in.)*

\[ M_D = 0 \]
\[ M_{SD} = 0.809 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
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\[ M_L = 1.038 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_W = 0.416 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Not including the relief moments due to rotation, creep, and shrinkage, which cause compressive stresses, the total negative moments at supports B or C are

\[ -M_T = (0.809 + 1.038 + 0.416)10^5 = 2.26 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

The sections at supports B and C are virtually reinforced concrete. \( P_s \) for 12 strands at either support after all losses = 274,133 lb, and

\[ f'_s = \frac{247,133}{567} \left( 1 - \frac{8.21 \times 10.79}{97.8} \right) + 0 + \frac{2.26 \times 10^6}{6,952} \]

\[ = +280 \text{ psi} \]

\( f'_s < f_t = 12\sqrt{f'_s} = 849 \text{ psi}, \text{ O.K.} \)

Provide nonprestressed steel to accommodate all the tensile stress. Also,

\[ f_s = \frac{247,133}{567} \left( 1 + \frac{8.21 \times 21.21}{97.8} \right) - 0 - \frac{2.26 \times 10^6}{3,038} \]

\[ = -2,088 \text{ psi} \]

\( f_s < f_t = 2,250 \text{ psi}, \text{ O.K.} \)

From Eq. 4.31d,

\[ M_s = 1.2 \times 0.809 \times 10^6 + 1.6(1.038 \times 10^6) + 1.0(0.416 \times 10^6) \]

\[ = 3.05 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Rqd. \( M_s = \frac{M_s}{\phi} = \frac{3.05 \times 10^6}{0.90} = 3.39 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \)

\[ M_s = A_s f_s \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) \]

Assume a moment arm \( d - a/2 = 0.9d = 0.9 \times 31.5 = 28.35 \text{ in.} \). Then

\[ 3.39 \times 10^6 = A_s \times 60,000 \times 28.35 \]

\[ A_s = \frac{3.39 \times 10^6}{60,000 \times 28.35} = 1.99 \text{ in}^2 (12.0 \text{ cm}^2) \]

\[ a = \frac{A_s f_s}{0.85 f'_s b} = \frac{1.99 \times 60,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 96} \]

\[ = 0.29 \text{ in.} (0.81 \text{ cm}) < h_f = 4 \text{ in.} \]

Hence, treat as a rectangular section:

\[ d - \frac{a}{2} = 31.5 - \frac{0.29}{2} = 31.3 \text{ in.} (79.5 \text{ cm}) \]

\[ A_s = \frac{3.39 \times 10^6}{60,000 \times 31.3} = 1.81 \text{ in}^2 (12.3 \text{ cm}^2) \]

Use two #7 bars (22-mm dia) in each rib. Then

\[ A_s = 4 \times 0.60 = 2.40 \text{ in}^2 > 1.81 \text{ in}^2, \text{ O.K.} \]

**Final Moments and Stresses in the Vertical Column Walls AB and DC.** The direct load on the column is

\[ R_D + R_{SD} + R_L + R_W = 23,256 + 7,480 + 9,600 + 851 = 41,187 \text{ lb (183 kN)} \]

Assuming 15 in eccentricity, the moment \( M_D \) becomes

\[ M_D = 41,187 \times 15 = 0.617 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_{SD} = 0.809 \times 10^6 \]
\[ M_L = 1.038 \times 10^6 \]
\[ M_W = 0.416 \times 10^6 \]

The total moment is
\[ M_T = (0.617 + 0.809 + 1.038 + 0.416) \times 10^6 \]
\[ = 2.880 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} (0.33 \times 10^3 \text{ kN-m}) \]

For 20 strands in the wall units,
\[ P_e = A_p f_p = 3.06 \times 149,310 = 456,887 \text{ lb (2,032 kN)} \]
\[ f_o (\text{outer face}) = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} - \frac{P}{A_c} + \frac{M_T}{S_o} \]
\[ = -\frac{456,887}{567} - \frac{41,187}{567} + \frac{2.880 \times 10^6}{2,615} \]
\[ = +223 \text{ psi} (T) \leq 849 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]
\[ f_i (\text{innerface}) = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} - \frac{P}{A_c} - \frac{M_T}{S_i} \]
\[ = -\frac{456,887}{567} - \frac{41,187}{567} - \frac{2.880 \times 10^6}{5,140} \]
\[ = -1,439 \text{ psi} (C) (9.9 \text{ MPa}) < f_e = 2,250 \text{ psi} (15.5 \text{ MPa}) \]

Consequently, adopt the double-T section 8DT32 for the walls with twenty\(\frac{1}{4}\)-in. dia 7-wire 270-K low-relaxation strands arranged as shown in Figure 6.32. Also, adopt the double-T section 8DT32 + 2(168 – D1) for the horizontal top beam BC with sixteen\(\frac{1}{4}\)-in. dia 7-wire 270-K low-relaxation strands with four strands debonded 12 ft (3.66 m) from the face of the supports.

Figure 6.34 gives a schematic of the configuration details of the prestressed concrete portal frame. The total design would involve designing the vertical wall brackets, shear strength, flexural strength, and serviceability checks as well as detailing the welded connections between the horizontal beam and the supporting wall columns.

### 6.13 LIMIT DESIGN (ANALYSIS) OF INDETERMINATE BEAMS AND FRAMES

The discussions presented so far deal with proportioning the controlling sections in the design process, such as the midspan and support sections, with redistribution factors \( p_D \) for continuity empirically provided by the code. The continuity factors assume that adequate longitudinal reinforcement is provided at the critical continuity zones to properly control the cracking levels of those zones.

Such a procedure does not necessarily give the most efficient solution to a statically indeterminate continuous beam or frame, since full redistribution at ultimate load is not considered. As the applied load is gradually increased until the structure as a whole reaches its limit capacity, the critical sections, such as the supports or corners of frames, develop severe cracking, and the rotation becomes so large that, for all practical purposes, rotating plastic hinges have developed. If the number of plastic hinges that develop equals the number of indeterminacies, the structure becomes determinate, as full redistribution of moments would have taken place throughout it. With the development of an additional hinge, the structure becomes a mechanism tending toward collapse.
Analysis of the structure at full moment redistribution is termed as plastic or limit analysis. Since concrete cracks severely at high overloads, it is possible for the designer to impose the desirable locations of the plastic hinges by making the concrete member fail or making it adequately strong at any section by decreasing or increasing the reinforcement percentage without appreciably altering the stiffness of the member. This flexibility in proportioning is not available in the plastic design of steel structures, where the resulting locations of the plastic hinges are obtained from mechanisms determined by upper and lower bound solutions. Details of Baker’s theory of imposed rotations are presented in Refs. 6.5, 6.6, and 6.7.

6.13.1 Method of Imposed Rotations

The imposed locations of the plastic hinges coincide with the locations of the maximum elastic moments for combined gravity loads and horizontal wind loads. These locations occur at the intermediate supports of continuous beams and beam-column corners of frames, as seen in the portal frame of Figure 6.35. By superposing part (a) on part (b), one plainly sees that the maximum elastic moment occurs at corner C. Since plastic moments are a magnification of the elastic moments, the natural location for the development of a plastic hinge is at that corner.

Because the structure is indeterminate to the first degree, only one hinge develops, resulting in a basic frame ABC, which is the fundamental frame for the imposed hinges seen in Figure 6.35(e), numbered in the order in which they are expected to form.

The structure in Figure 6.35(e) has nine indeterminacies; hence, nine plastic hinges are formed. A tenth hinge reduces the structure to a mechanism resulting in collapse. Note that no plastic hinges are permitted to form at midspan of the horizontal members.
The plastic moments resulting in hinges 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n are denoted $\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \bar{X}_3, \ldots, \bar{X}_n$ and are assumed to remain constant throughout the progressive deformation of the structure. Hence, the derivative of the total strain energy $U$ with respect to the assumed plastic moments $\bar{X}_i$ at any hinge $i$ is set equal to the plastic rotation at the hinge, i.e.,

$$\frac{\delta U}{\delta \bar{X}_i} = -\theta_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.16)

If $\delta_{xy}$ is assumed to represent the relative rotation of the $i$th hinge due to a unit moment at the $k$th hinge, $\delta_{ik} = \delta_{ki}$ from Maxwell’s reciprocal theorem. The coefficients $\delta_{ik}$ are called influence coefficients, because they represent the displacement or rotation at a particular section due to a unit moment at another section, i.e., $\delta_{ik} = -\theta_k$.

From the principle of virtual work,

$$\delta_{ik} = \int_{0}^{l_{ik}} \frac{M_i M_k}{E_c I} \, ds$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.17)
Consequently,
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{M_s}{E_i} ds = -\theta_i
\]  
(6.18)

The left-hand side of Equation 6.18 represents the integration of the products of the areas of the \( M_i \) diagrams and the ordinates of \( M_k \) diagrams at their centroids along the horizontal distance \( s \) along the span. Substituting \( \delta_{0i} \) and \( \delta_{ik} \) for \( M_i \), we obtain
\[
\delta_{0i} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{ik} X_k = -\theta_i
\]  
(6.19)

This is a structure having \( n \) plastic hinges to reduce it to statical determinate:
\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{10} + \delta_{11} X_1 + \delta_{12} X_2 + \cdots + \delta_{1n} X_n &= -\theta_1 \\
\delta_{20} + \delta_{21} X_1 + \delta_{22} X_2 + \cdots + \delta_{2n} X_n &= -\theta_2 \\
\delta_{n0} + \delta_{n1} X_1 + \delta_{n2} X_2 + \cdots + \delta_{nn} X_n &= -\theta_n
\end{align*}
\]  
(6.20)

The number of equations is equal to the number of redundancies or indeterminacies. By trial and adjustment of the redundant plastic moments \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) in the solution of Equations 6.20 for controlled maximum allowable rotation of the largest rotating hinge \( \theta_1 \), the plastic moments at the beam supports and column ends are obtained for the plastic design of the concrete structure. The arbitrary plastic moment values \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) are chosen to result in plastic rotations \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n \) that give full redistribution of moments throughout the structure.

It can be proven that the influence coefficient \( \delta_{ik} \) in Equations 6.20 is
\[
\delta_{ik} = \frac{A_i}{E_i} \eta
\]  
(6.21)

where \( A_i \) is the area under the primary \( M_i \) bending moment diagram and \( \eta \) is the ordinate of the \( M_i \) moment diagram under the centroid of the \( M_i \) diagram (Ref. 6.5). As an example, in Figure 6.36 the influence coefficient \( \delta_{01} \) is obtained by superposing the moment diagram \( M_0 \) of the primary structure on the diagram \( X_1 \) of the redundant structure created by the development of hinge 1. We have
\[
A_i = \frac{2}{3} la
\]
and \( \eta \) under the centroid of the \( M_i \) diagram = \( c/2 \), resulting in
\[
\delta_{01} = -\frac{1}{3EI} \left( \frac{2}{3} la \right) \left( \frac{c}{2} \right) = -\frac{1}{3EI} lac
\]
\( \delta_{11} \) is obtained by superposing the redundant structure \( X_1 \) on itself:
\[
A_i = \frac{1}{2} la
\]
\[
\eta = \frac{2}{3} c
\]
\[
\delta_{11} = -\frac{1}{EI} \left( \frac{1}{2} la \times \frac{2}{3} c \right) = -\frac{1}{3EI} lac
\]
Figure 6.36 Influence coefficient determination from superposing $M_0$ and $X_1$. (a) Primary structure moment. (b) Redundant structure moment.

Table 6.1 gives the values $\int M_i M_j \, ds$ for evaluating the influence coefficient values $\delta_{ik}$ for various combinations of primary and redundant moment diagrams. It can aid the designer in easily forming and solving sets of Equations 6.20 for any indeterminate structural system.

6.13.2 Determination of Plastic Hinge Rotations in Continuous Beams

Example 6.8

Determine the required plastic hinge rotation in the four-span beam of Figure 6.37. The beam is subjected to simple-span plastic moment $M_0$ so that the midspan moment is equal to the support moment $= \frac{1}{2} M_0$ before full rotation of the hinges and full moment redistribution take place.

Solution: The structure is statically indeterminate to the third degree, so that three hinges will develop at the plastic limit. Assume the maximum ordinate $c$ of the redundant moment at hinge location to be unity. Then, from Table 6.1 and Figure 6.38,

\[
EI\delta_{010} = \frac{2}{3} M_0 l
\]

\[
\delta_{11} = \frac{2}{3} l
\]

\[
\delta_{12} = \frac{1}{6} l
\]

\[
\delta_{13} = 0
\]

From Equation 6.19,

\[-\delta_1 = \delta_{01} + \delta_{11} X_1 + \delta_{12} X_2 + \delta_{13} X_3\]
Table 6.1 Product Integral Values $\int M_i M_a \, ds$ for Various Moment Combinations $E I \delta$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_a$</th>
<th>$M_i$</th>
<th>$rac{1}{4}l$</th>
<th>$rac{1}{2}l$</th>
<th>$rac{3}{4}l$</th>
<th>$rac{1}{2}l$</th>
<th>$rac{1}{2}(a + b)c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(a + b)c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{l}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{l}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(a + b)c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{l}{4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{l}{4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(a + b)c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parabolic</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{8}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{12}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{5}(a + b)c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{8}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{12}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{5}(a + b)c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{8}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{12}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{5}(a + b)c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{1}{8}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{8}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{8}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{12}l$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{5}(a + b)c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.37 Primary moments and plastic hinge rotations in Example 6.8.
\[-EI\theta_1 = -\frac{2}{3} M_o l + 0.5M_0 \left(\frac{2l}{3}\right) + 0.5M_0 \left(\frac{l}{6}\right) + 0 = -\frac{M_o l}{4}\]

Also, again from Table 6.1 and Figure 6.38,

\[EI\theta_{21} = \frac{2}{3} M_o l \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{3} M_o l \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{2}{3} M_o l\]
\[EI\theta_{22} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = +\frac{l}{6}\]
\[EI\theta_{23} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = +\frac{l}{6}\]

From Equation 6.19,

\[-\theta_2 = \delta_{20} + \delta_{21} \overline{X}_1 + \delta_{22} \overline{X}_2 + \delta_{23} \overline{X}_3\]

\[-EI\theta_2 = -\frac{2}{3} M_o l + 0.5M_0 \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) + 0.5M_0 \left(\frac{2l}{3}\right) + 0.5M_0 \left(\frac{l}{6}\right) = -\frac{M_o l}{6}\]

From symmetry, \(\theta_3 = \theta_1\). Therefore, the required plastic hinge rotations at the support are

\[\theta_1 = \frac{M_o l}{4EI} = \theta_3\]

and

\[\theta_2 = \frac{M_o l}{6EI}\]

Since \(\theta_2 < \theta_1\), the first hinge to develop, and the controlling one in the design, is \(\theta_1 = M_o l/4EI\).
Note that the procedure used in Example 6.8 can be used in the limit design of any continuous beam or multistory frame. Also, it is important to maintain the correct sign convention by drawing all moments at the tension side of the member, as noted earlier.

The preceding discussion gives the basic imposed rotations approach embodied in Baker’s theory. Other modified approaches have been proposed by Cohn (Ref. 6.17), Sawyer (Ref. 6.18), and Furlong (Ref. 6.19). Cohn’s method is based on the requirements of limit equilibrium and serviceability, with a subsequent check of rotational compatibility. Sawyer’s method is based on the simultaneous requirements of limit equilibrium and rotational compatibility, with a subsequent check of serviceability.

Furlong’s method is based on assigning ultimate moments for various loading patterns on the continuous spans that would satisfy serviceability and limit equilibrium for the worst case. The sections are reinforced in such a manner that the ultimate moment strengths for each span are equal to or greater than the product of the maximum ultimate moment \( M_u \) in the span when the ends are free to rotate and a moment coefficient \( k_1 \) for various boundary conditions as listed in Table 6.2.

### 6.13.3 Rotational Capacity of Plastic Hinges

Rotation is the total change in slope along the short plasticity length concentrated at the hinge zone. It can also be described as the angle of discontinuity between the plastic parts of the member on either side of the plastic hinge. As Figure 6.39 shows, there are two types of hinges—tensile and compressive. In order that the first hinge that develops in the structure, usually the critical hinge, can rotate without rupture until the \( n \)th hinge develops, the concrete section at the first hinge has to be made ductile enough through section core confinement to be able to sustain the necessary rotation. This is equally applicable to both tension and compression hinges, where confinement of the concrete core is obtained through concentration of closed stirrups at the supports and column ends. A typical plot showing increase in rotation through increase in confining reinforcement is shown in Figure 6.40 (Ref. 6.14).

The plasticity length \( l_p \) determines the extent of severe cracking and the magnitude of rotation of the hinge. Therefore, it is important to limit the magnitude of \( l_p \) through the use of closely spaced ties or closed stirrups. In this manner, the strain capacity of the concrete at the confined section can be significantly increased, as experimentally demonstrated by several investigators, including Nawy (Refs. 6.12, 6.13, and 6.14). Several empirical expressions have been developed; see, for example, Baker (Ref. 6.5), Corley (Ref. 6.11), Nawy (Ref. 6.14), Sawyer (Ref. 6.18), and Mattock (Ref. 6.20). Two of them, for the plasticity length \( l_p \) and the concrete strain \( e_c \) (Ref. 6.20), are

\[
l_p = 0.5d + 0.5Z
\]  

\[ (6.22) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary condition</th>
<th>Moment type</th>
<th>Beam loaded by one concentrated load at midspan</th>
<th>All other beams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Span with ends restrained</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span with one end</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.13 Limit Design (Analysis) of Indeterminate Beams and Frames

Photo 6.2 Pretensioned T-beam with rectangular confining reinforcement at failure (Nawy, Potyondy).

and

\[ \varepsilon_c = 0.003 + 0.02 \frac{b}{Z} + 0.2\rho_c \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.23)

where \( d \) = effective depth of the beam (in.)
\( Z \) = distance from the critical section to the point of contraflexure
\( \rho_c \) = ratio of volume of confining binder steel (including the compression steel) to the volume of the concrete core
\( l_p \) = \textit{half} the plasticity length on each side of the centerline of plastic hinge.

Equation 6.22 can be more conservative for high values of \( \rho_c \).

![Diagram showing plasticity zones in hinges](image)

Figure 6.39 Plasticity zones \( l_p \) in plastic hinges. (a) Tensile hinge. (b) Compressive hinge.
Once the concrete strain $\varepsilon_c$ is determined, the angle of rotation of the plastic hinge is readily determined from the expression

$$\theta_p = \left( \frac{\varepsilon_c}{c} - \frac{\varepsilon_{ce}}{kd} \right) t_p$$

(6.24)

where $c$ = neutral axis depth at the limit state at failure
$\varepsilon_{ce}$ = strain in the concrete at the extreme compression fibers when the yield curvature is reached
$kd$ = neutral axis depth corresponding to $\varepsilon_{ce}$
$\varepsilon_c$ = concrete compressive strain at the end of the inelastic range or at the limit state at failure.

The strain $\varepsilon_{ce}$ can usually be taken at the load level when the strain in the tension reinforcement reaches the yield strain $\varepsilon_y = f_y/E_y$. It can be taken to be approximately 0.001 in./in. or higher, depending on whether the tension steel yields before the concrete crushes at the extreme compression fibers in cases of overreinforced beams, as is sometimes the case in prestressed beams. If concrete crushes first, the value of $\varepsilon_{ce}$ will have to be higher than 0.001 in./in. A limit of allowable $\varepsilon_c = 1.0\%$ for confined concrete is recommended in determining the maximum allowable plastic rotation $\theta_p$, although strains of confined concrete as high as 13% could be obtained, as shown in Ref. 6.14.

The discussion in this entire section (6.13) is equally applicable to reinforced and prestressed concrete indeterminate structures at the plastic loading range where full redistribution of moments has taken place. As the prestressed concrete section is cracked and decompression in the prestressing steel has taken place, the structural system gradually starts to behave similarly to a reinforced concrete system. As the load reaches the limit state at failure, the flexural behavior of the prestressed concrete elements is expected to closely resemble that of reinforced concrete elements.
6.13 Limit Design (Analysis) of Indeterminate Beams and Frames

6.13.4 Calculation of Available Rotational Capacity

Example 6.9

Determine the required and available rotational capacities of the critical plastic hinges in the continuous prestressed concrete beam in Example 6.8 for both confined and unconfined concrete. Given data are as follows:

\[ M_u = \frac{1}{2} M_0 = 400bd^2 \]
\[ c = 0.28d \]
\[ kd = 0.375d \]
\[ \varepsilon_{cr} = 0.001 \text{ in./in. at end of the elastic range} \]
\[ \varepsilon_c = 0.004 \text{ in./in. at end of the inelastic range for unconfined sections} \]

Max. allowable \( \varepsilon_c = 0.01 \text{ in./in. for confined sections} \)

\[ E_cI_c = 150,000bd^3 \text{ in.}^3\text{lb} \]

\[ \frac{Z}{d} = 5.5 \]

\[ f' = 5,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi for the mild steel} \]

Also, calculate the maximum allowable span-to-depth ratio \( l/d \) for the beam if full redistribution of moments is to occur at the limit state at failure.

Solution:

\[ M_0 = 2 \times 400bd^2 = 800bd^2 \]

From Example 6.8,

Required \( \theta_1 = \theta_3 = \frac{M_d}{4E_cI_c} = \frac{800bd^2}{4 \times 150,000bd^3} = \frac{1}{750} \frac{l}{d} \text{ radian} \)

Required \( \theta_2 = \frac{M_0l}{6EI} = \frac{800bd^2}{6 \times 150,000bd^3} = \frac{1}{1,125} \frac{l}{d} \text{ radian} \)

From Equation 6.22,

\[ l_p = 0.5d + 0.05Z = 0.5d + 0.05 \times 5.5d = 0.775d \]

The total plasticity length on both sides of the hinge centerline is \( 2 \times 0.775d = 1.55d \).

Unconfined Section. From Equation 6.24,

Available \( \theta_p = \left( \frac{\varepsilon_c}{c} - \frac{\varepsilon_{cr}}{kd} \right)l_p = \left( \frac{0.004}{0.28d} - \frac{0.001}{0.375d} \right)1.55d = 0.018 \text{ radian} \)

For full moment redistribution,

\[ \frac{1}{750} \frac{l}{d} \leq 0.018 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{1,125} \frac{l}{d} \leq 0.018 \]

or

\[ \frac{l}{d} \leq 13.5 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{l}{d} \leq 20.3 \]

Confined Sections

Max. allow \( \varepsilon_c = 0.01 \text{ in./in.} \)

Available \( \theta_p = \left( \frac{0.01}{0.28d} - \frac{0.001}{0.375d} \right)1.55d = 0.51 \text{ radian} \)
For full moment redistribution,

\[ \frac{1}{750 \, d} \leq 0.051 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{1,125 \, d} \leq 0.051 \]

or

\[ \frac{l}{d} \leq 38.3 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{l}{d} = 57.4 \]

Comparing the results of the unconfined sections in the first case to the confined sections in the second case, one sees that confinement of the concrete at the plastic hinging zone permits more slender sections for full plasticity and, hence, a more economical indeterminate structural system.

### 6.13.5 Check for Plastic Rotation Serviceability

**Example 6.10**

If closed-stirrup binders are used in Example 6.9 with binder ratio \( \rho_s = 0.025 \) and \( l/d = 35 \) with \( c \) at failure = 0.25, verify whether the continuous beam satisfies the rotation serviceability criteria given that \( b = \frac{l}{d} \).

**Solution:**

\[ \frac{Z}{d} = 5.5 \]

Hence,

\[ b = \frac{1}{Z} = \frac{1}{11} \]

Also,

\[ \text{Available } \epsilon_c = 0.003 + 0.02 \frac{b}{Z} + 0.2 \rho_s \]

\[ = 0.003 + 0.02 \times \frac{1}{11} + 0.2 \times 0.025 = 0.0098, \text{ say } 0.01 \text{ in./in.} \]

The maximum allowable to be utilized is \( \epsilon_c = 0.01 \text{ in./in.} \). So, use, for \( \epsilon_c = 0.01 \), the corresponding available plastic rotation:

\[ \theta_p = \left( \frac{0.01}{0.25d} - \frac{0.001}{0.375d} \right) 1.55d = 0.058 \text{ radian} \]

Rqd. \( \theta_1 = \frac{1}{750 \, d} = \frac{35}{750} = 0.046 \text{ radian} \)

Rqd. \( \theta_2 = \frac{1}{1,125 \, d} = \frac{35}{1,125} = 0.031 \text{ radian} \)

Available \( \theta_p = 0.058 \text{ radian} > \text{required } \theta = 0.046 \text{ radian. Thus, the beam satisfies the serviceability criteria for plastic rotation.} \)

The foregoing discussion for the limit design of reinforced and prestressed concrete indeterminate beams and frames permits the design engineer to provide ductile connections at beam-column supports and generate full moment redistribution throughout the structure, resulting in full utilization of the strength of the prestressed system. Also, continuity in both pretensioned and post-tensioned systems to withstand seismic loading can be effectively utilized through the appropriate confinement of the connecting zones by means of the procedures presented in this section.
6.13.6 Transverse Confining Reinforcement for Seismic Design

Transverse reinforcement in the form of closely spaced hoops (ties) or spirals has to be adequately provided for concrete frame structural elements in seismic regions. The aim is to produce *adequate rotational capacity* within the plastic hinges that may develop as a result of the seismic forces. The Uniform Building Code, the International Building Code (IBC2000), and the ACI Code on seismic design require design and detailing of closed ties at the beam-column connection zones and in shear walls to be governed by the following (See Chapter 15 of Ref. 6.8 by the author and Chapter 13 to follow):

1. For column spirals, the minimum volumetric ratio of the spiral hoops needed for the concrete core confinement is

\[
\rho_s \geq \frac{0.12f'_c}{f_{yh}}
\]  

or

\[
\rho_s \geq 0.45 \left( \frac{A_g}{A_{ch}} - 1 \right) \frac{f'_c}{f_{yh}}
\]

whichever is greater, where

- \(\rho_s\) = ratio of volume of spiral reinforcement to the core volume measured out to out.
- \(A_g\) = gross area of the column section.
- \(A_{ch}\) = core area of section measured to the outside of the transverse reinforcement (sq. in.).
- \(f_{yh}\) = specified yield of transverse reinforcement, psi.

2. For column rectangular area within spacing \(s\) is

\[
A_{sh} \geq 0.09sh_c \frac{f'_c}{f_{yh}}
\]

or

\[
A_{sh} \geq 0.3sh_c \left( \frac{A_L}{A_{ch}} - 1 \right) \frac{f'_c}{f_{yh}}
\]

whichever is greater, where

- \(A_{sh}\) = total cross-sectional area of transverse reinforcement (including cross ties) within spacing \(s\) and perpendicular to dimension \(h_c\).
- \(h_c\) = cross-sectional dimension of column core measured center to center of confining reinforcement, in.
- \(A_{ch}\) = cross-sectional area of structural member, measured out-to-out of transverse reinforcement.
- \(s\) = spacing of transverse reinforcement measured along the longitudinal axis of the member, in.
- \(s_{max} = \frac{1}{4}\) of the smallest cross-sectional dimension of the member or 4 in., whichever is smaller (IBC requires 4 in.).
3. The confining transverse reinforcement in columns should be placed on both sides of a potential hinge over a distance \( l_0 \). The largest of the following three conditions govern:
   (a) depth of member at joint face
   (b) \( \frac{1}{4} \) of the clear span
   (c) 18 in.

4. For beam confinement, the confining transverse reinforcement at beam ends should be placed over a length equal to twice the member depth \( h \) from the face of the joint on either side or of any other location where plastic hinges can develop. The maximum hoop spacing should be the smallest of the following four conditions:
   (a) \( \frac{1}{4} \) effective depth \( d \).
   (b) 8 x diameter of longitudinal bars.
   (c) 24 x diameter of the hoop.
   (d) 12 in. (300 mm).

Figure 6.41 from Reference 6.8 gives a typical detailing example of confining reinforcement at a joint to resist seismic forces.

5. Reduction in confinement at joints: A 50% reduction in confinement and an increase in the minimum tie spacing to 6 in. are allowed by the ACI Code if a joint is confined on all four faces by adjoining beams with each beam wide enough to cover three quarters of the adjoining face.

6.13.7 Selection of Confining Reinforcement

**Example 6.11**

Design the confining reinforcement in the column at the beam-column joint of Figure 6.41. Given:

- column size = 15 x 24 in. (380 x 610 mm)
- \( f'_c = 4,000 \text{ psi (27.6 MPa)} \), normal weight
- \( f_{sh} = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \)
- clear cover = 1\( \frac{3}{4} \) in. (38 mm)

**Solution:** From Equations 6.27 and 6.28, whichever is greater,

\[ A_{sh} \geq 0.098 h_c \left( \frac{f'_c}{f_{sh}} \right) \]

or

\[ A_{sh} \geq 0.3 h_c \left( \frac{f'_c}{f_{sh}} \right) \left( \frac{A_e}{A_{eh}} - 1 \right) \]

\( h_c = \) column core dimension = 24 - 2(1.5 + 0.5) = 20 in.

\[ A_{eh} = 0.09 \times 3.5 \times 20 \left( \frac{4,000}{60,000} \right) = 0.42 \text{ in}^2 \]

\[ A_{sh} = 0.3 \times 3.5 \times 20 \left( \frac{15 \times 24}{11 \times 20} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{4,000}{60,000} \right) = 0.89 \text{ in}^2 \] controls

Trying \( s = 3\frac{1}{4} \) in., maximum allowance \( s = \frac{1}{4} \) smallest column dimension or 4 in., \( b/4 = 0.25 \times 15 = 3.75 \) in.

Use No. 4 hoops plus two No. 4 crossties at 3\( \frac{1}{4} \) in. center to center. Place the confining hoops in the column on both sides of potential hinge over a distance \( l_0 \) being the largest of

(a) depth of member = 24 in. (610 mm)
(b) \( \frac{1}{4} \times \) clear span = (24 x 12)/6 = 48 in. (1220 mm)
(c) 18 in. (450 mm)
Figure 6.41  Confining reinforcement for seismic resistance (Example 6.11).

Use $l_0 = 48$ in. ($1220$ mm), spacing the No. 4 hoops and crossties at 3.5 in. center to center over this distance ($12.7$-mm dia. bars at $89$ mm center to center) as shown in Figure 6.41.
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PROBLEMS

6.1 A two-span continuous beam has a parabolic tendon profile shown in Figure P6.1. The prestressing force $P_e$ after all losses is 450,000 lb (2,002 kN). The beam has a rectangular section 15-in. (38.1 cm) wide.

(a) Find the final profile of the thrust C-line and the beam reactions at all supports.
(b) Design the beam depth such that the concrete fiber stresses due only to prestressing do not exceed the maximum allowable for normal-weight concrete having cylinder strength $f_{cy} = 6,000$ psi (41.4 MPa).
(c) Determine the shape of the concordant tendon, and draw a beam elevation of the tendon profile.

6.2 Solve Problem 6.1 for a tendon profile harped at midspan points D, but having the same eccentricities. Compare the results with those of Problem 6.1.

6.3 Solve Problem 6.1 for a tendon profile which has eccentricities $e_A = e_B = 3$ in. (7.6 cm) at the exterior supports above the cgc line.

6.4 Develop the tendon profile for the continuous beam in Example 6.4 if the beam is continuous over two equal spans of 64 ft (19.4 m).

6.5 Solve Problem 6.4 if the beam is continuous over four equal spans of 64 ft (19.4 m).

6.6 Design, for service loading, the frame in Example 6.7 using the same loading conditions if the span of the horizontal beam is 90 ft (27.4 m) and the height of the portal is 25 ft (7.6 m).

6.7 Design the portal frame of an aircraft hangar having the dimensions and the loading shown in Figure P6.7. Detail the connections and the configuration of the prestressing tendons of the horizontal member. Use the same allowable stresses as in Example 6.7.
7

CAMBER, DEFLECTION, AND CRACK CONTROL

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Serviceability of prestressed concrete members in their deflection and cracking behavior is at least as important a criterion in design as serviceability of reinforced concrete elements. The fact that prestressed concrete elements are more slender than their counterparts in reinforced concrete, and their behavior more affected by flexural cracking, makes it more critical to control their deflection and cracking. The primary design involves proportioning the structural member for the limit state of flexural stresses at service load and for limit states of failure in flexure, shear, and torsion, including anchorage development strength. Such a design can only become complete if the magnitudes of long-term deflection, camber (reverse deflection), and crack width are determined to be within allowable serviceability values.

Prestressed concrete members are continuously subjected to sustained eccentric compression due to the prestressing force, which seriously affects their long-term creep deformation performance. Failure to predict and control such deformations can lead to high reverse deflection, i.e., camber, which can produce convex surfaces detrimental to proper drainage of roofs of buildings, to uncomfortable ride characteristics in bridges and aqueducts, and to cracking of partitions in apartment buildings, including misalignment of windows and doors.

Transamerica Pyramid, San Francisco, California.
The difficulty of predicting very accurately the total long-term prestress losses makes it more difficult to give a precise estimate of the magnitude of expected camber. Accuracy is even more difficult in partially prestressed concrete systems, where limited cracking is allowed through the use of additional non prestressed reinforcement. Creep strain in the concrete increases camber, as it causes a negative increase in curvature which is usually more dominant than the decrease produced by the decrease in prestress losses due to creep, shrinkage, and stress relaxation. A best estimate of camber increase should be based on accumulated experience, span-to-death ratio code limitations, and a correct choice of the modulus $E_c$ of the concrete. Calculation of the moment-curvature relationships at the major incremental stages of loading up to the limit state at failure would also assist in giving a more accurate evaluation of the stress-related load deflection of the structural element.

The cracking aspect of serviceability behavior in prestressed concrete is also critical. Allowance for limited cracking in "partial prestressing" through the additional use of non prestressed steel is prevalent. Because of the high stress levels in the prestressing steel, corrosion due to cracking can become detrimental to the service life of the structure. Therefore, limitations on the magnitudes of crack widths and their spacing have to be placed, and proper crack width evaluation procedures used. The presented discussion of the state of the art emphasizes the extensive work of the author on cracking in pretensioned and post-tensioned prestressed beams.

Prestressed concrete flexural members are classified into three classes in the new ACI 318 Code.

(a) **Class U:**

$$f_i \leq 7.5 \sqrt{f_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.1a)

In this class, the gross section is used for section properties when both stress computations at service loads, and deflection computations are made. No skin reinforcement needs to be used in the vertical faces.

(b) **Class T:**

$$7.5 \sqrt{f_c} < f_i \leq 12 \sqrt{f_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.1b)

This class is a transition between uncracked and cracked sections. For stress computations at service loads, the gross section is used. The cracked bi-linear section is used in the deflection computations. No skin reinforcement needs to be used in the vertical faces.

(c) **Class C:**

$$f_i > 12 \sqrt{f_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.1c)

This class denotes cracked sections. Hence, a cracked section analysis has to be made for evaluation of the stress level at service, and for deflection. Computation of $\Delta f_{ps}$ or $f_{ps}$ for crack control is necessary, where $\Delta f_{ps}$ = stress increase beyond the decompression state, and $f_{ps}$ = stress in the mild reinforcement when mild steel reinforcement is also used. Prestressed two-way slab systems are to be designed as Class U.

### 7.2 BASIC ASSUMPTIONS IN DEFLECTION CALCULATIONS

Deflection calculations can be made either from the moment diagrams of the prestressing force and the external transverse loading, or from the moment-curvature relationships. In either case, the following basic assumptions have to be made:

1. The concrete gross cross-sectional area is accurate enough to compute the moment of inertia except when refined computations are necessary.
2. The modulus of concrete $E_c = 330w^{1.5} \sqrt{f_c}$, where the value of $f_c$ corresponds to the cylinder compressive strength of concrete at the age at which $E_c$ is to be evaluated.
3. The principle of superposition applies in calculating deflections due to transverse load and camber due to prestressing.
4. All computations of deflection can be based on the center of gravity of the prestressing strands (cgs), where the strands are treated as a single tendon.
5. Deflections due to shear deformations are disregarded.
6. Sections can be treated as totally elastic up to the decompression load. Thereafter, the cracked moment of inertia $I_c$ can give a more accurate determination of deflection and camber.

7.3 SHORT-TERM (INSTANTANEOUS) DEFLECTION OF UNCRACKED AND CRACKED MEMBERS

7.3.1 Load-Deflection Relationship

Short-term deflections in prestressed concrete members are calculated on the assumption that the sections are homogeneous, isotropic, and elastic. Such an assumption is an approximation of actual behavior, particularly that the modulus $E_c$ of concrete varies

![Graph showing load-deflection relationship](image)

**Figure 7.1** Beam load-deflection relationship. Region I, precracking stage; region II, postcracking stage; region III, post-serviceability stage.
with the age of the concrete and the moment of inertia varies with the stage of loading, i.e., whether the section is uncracked or cracked.

Ideally, the load-deflection relationship is trilinear, as shown in Figure 7.1. The three regions prior to rupture are:

*Region I.* Precracking stage, where a structural member is crack free.

*Region II.* Postcracking stage, where the structural member develops acceptable controlled cracking in both distribution and width.

*Region III.* Postserviceability cracking stage, where the stress in the tensile reinforcement reaches the limit state of yielding.

### 7.3.1.1 Precracking stage: region I.

The precracking segment of the load-deflection curve is essentially a straight line defining full elastic behavior, as in Figure 7.1. The maximum tensile stress in the beam in this region is less than its tensile strength in flexure, i.e., it is less than the modulus of rupture \( f'_r \) of concrete. The flexural stiffness \( EI \) of the beam can be estimated using Young's modulus \( E_c \) of concrete and the moment of inertia of the uncracked concrete cross section. The load-deflection behavior significantly depends on the stress-strain relationship of the concrete. A typical stress-strain diagram of concrete is shown in Figure 7.2.

The value of \( E_c \) can be estimated using the ACI empirical expression given in Chapter 2, viz.,

\[
E_c = 33w^{0.5} \sqrt{f'_c} \quad (7.2a)
\]

or

\[
E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f'_c} \quad \text{for normal-weight concrete}
\]

The precracking region stops at the initiation of the first flexural crack, when the concrete stress reaches its modulus of rupture strength \( f_r \). Similarly to the direct tensile splitting strength, the modulus of rupture of concrete is proportional to the square root of its compressive strength. For design purposes, the value of the modulus of rupture for concrete may be taken as

\[
f_r = 7.5 \lambda \sqrt{f'_c} \quad (7.2b)
\]

where \( \lambda = 1.0 \) for normal-weight concrete. If all-lightweight concrete is used, then \( \lambda = 0.75 \), and if sand-lightweight concrete is used, \( \lambda = 0.85 \).

![Stress-strain diagram of concrete](image)
If one equates the modulus of rupture \( f_r \) to the stress produced by the cracking moment \( M_{cr} \) (decompression moment), then

\[
f_b = f_r = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{Ec_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_{cr}}{S_b} \tag{7.3a}
\]

where subscript \( b \) stands for the bottom fibers at midspan of a simply supported beam. If the distance of the extreme tension fibers of concrete from the center of gravity of the concrete section is \( y_c \), then the cracking moment is given by

\[
M_{cr} = \frac{I_e}{y_c} \left[ \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{Ec_b}{r^2} \right) + 7.5\sqrt{f_e} \right] \tag{7.3b}
\]

or

\[
M_{cr} = S_b \left[ 7.5\lambda \sqrt{f_e} + \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{Ec_b}{r^2} \right) \right] \tag{7.3c}
\]

where \( S_b \) = section modulus at the bottom fibers. More conservatively, from Equation 5.12, the cracking moment due to that portion of the applied live load that causes cracking is

\[
M_{cr} = S_b[6.0\lambda \sqrt{f_e} + f_{c}\lambda - f_d] \tag{7.4a}
\]

where \( f_{c} \) = compressive stress at the center of gravity of concrete section due to effective prestress only after losses when tensile stress is caused by applied external load

\( f_d \) = concrete stress at extreme tensile fibers due to unfactored dead load when tensile stresses and cracking are caused by the external load.

A factor 7.5 can also be used instead of 6.0 for deflection purposes for beams. Equation 7.3a can be transformed to the PCI format (Ref. 7.7) giving identical results:

\[
\frac{M_{cr}}{M_s} = 1 - \left( \frac{f_d - f_r}{f_e} \right) \tag{7.4b}
\]

where \( M_s \) = maximum service unfactored live load moment

\( f_d \) = final calculated total service load concrete stress in the member

\( f_r \) = modulus of rupture

\( f_e \) = service live load of concrete stress in the member.

### 7.3.1.2 Calculation of cracking moment \( M_{cr} \)

**Example 7.1**

Compute the cracking moment \( M_{cr} \) for a prestressed rectangular beam section having a width \( b = 12 \) in. (305 mm) and a total depth \( h = 24 \) in. (610 mm), given that \( f_e = 4,000 \) psi (27.6 MPa). The concrete stress \( f_c \) due to eccentric prestressing is 1,850 psi (12.8 MPa) in compression. Use a modulus of rupture value of 7.5 \( \sqrt{f_e} \).

**Solution:** The modulus of rupture \( f_r = 7.5\sqrt{f_e} = 7.5\sqrt{4,000} = 474 \) psi (3.27 MPa). Also, \( I_e = bh^3/12 = 12(24)^3/12 = 13,824 \) in^4 (575,400 cm^4); \( y_c = 24/2 = 12 \) in. (305 mm) to the tension fibers; and \( S_b = I_e/y_c = 13,824/12 = 1,152 \) in^3 (18,878 cm^3).

\[
M_{cr} = S_b \left[ 7.5\lambda \sqrt{f_e} + \frac{P_e}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{Ec_b}{r^2} \right) \right] = 1,152[474 + 1850]
\]

\[
= 2.68 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb (302.9 kN-m)}
\]
If the beam were not prestressed, the moment would be $M_{cr} = f_l I_c y_c = 474 \times 13,824/12 = 0.546 \times 10^6$ in-lb (61.7 kN-m).

7.3.1.3 Postcracking service-load stage: region II. The precracking region ends at the initiation of the first crack and moves into region II of the load-deflection diagram of Figure 7.1. Most beams lie in this region at service loads. A beam undergoes varying degrees of cracking along the span corresponding to the stress and deflection levels at each section. Hence, cracks are wider and deeper at midspan, whereas only narrow, minor cracks develop near the supports in a simple beam.

When flexural cracking develops, the contribution of the concrete in the tension area diminishes substantially. Hence, the flexural rigidity of the section is reduced, making the load-deflection curve less steep in this region than in the precracking stage segment. As the magnitude of cracking increases, stiffness continues to decrease, reaching a lower bound value corresponding to the reduced moment of inertia of the cracked section. The moment of inertia $I_{cr}$ of the cracked section can be calculated from the basic principles of mechanics.

7.3.1.4 Postserviceability cracking stage and limit state of deflection behavior at failure: region III. The load-deflection diagram of Figure 7.1 is considerably flatter in region III than in the preceding regions. This is due to substantial loss in stiffness of the section because of extensive cracking and considerable widening of the stabilized cracks throughout the span. As the load continues to increase, the strain $\epsilon_t$ in the steel at the tension side continues to increase beyond the yield strain $\epsilon_y$ with no additional stress. The beam is considered at this stage to have structurally failed by initial yielding of the tension steel. It continues to deflect without additional loading, the cracks continue to open, and the neutral axis continues to rise toward the outer compression fibers. Finally, a secondary compression failure develops, leading to total crushing of the concrete in the maximum moment region followed by rupture.

7.3.2 Uncracked Sections

7.3.2.1 Deflection calculations. Deflection calculations for uncracked prestressed sections tend to be more accurate than those for cracked sections since the assumptions of elastic behavior are more applicable. The use of the moment of inertia of the gross section rather than the transformed section does not appreciably affect the accuracy sought in the calculations.

Suppose a beam is prestressed with a constant eccentricity tendon as shown in Figure 7.3. Use the sign convention of plotting the primary moment diagram on the tension side of the beam, and employ the elastic weight method by converting the moment diagram ordinates to elastic weights $M_i/(E_c I_c)$ on a beam span $l$. Then the moment of the weight intensity $(Pe)/E_c I_c$ of the half-span AC in Figure 7.3(c) about the midspan point C gives

$$\delta_x = \frac{Pe l}{2E_c I_c} \left( \frac{l}{2} \right) - \frac{Pe}{E_c I_c} \left( \frac{l \times l}{4} \right) = \frac{Pe l^3}{8E_c I_c} \quad (7.5)$$

Notice that the deflection diagram in Figure 7.3(d) is drawn above the base line, as the beam cambers upwards due to prestressing.

Similar computations can be performed for any tendon profile and any type of transverse loading regardless of whether the tendon geometry or loading is symmetrical or not. The final camber or deflection is the superposition of the deflections due to prestressing on the deflections due to external loads.

7.3.2.2 Strain and curvature evaluation. The distribution of strain across the depth of the section at the controlling stages of loading is linear, as is shown in Figure 7.4, with
the angle of curvature dependent on the top and bottom concrete extreme fiber strains $\epsilon_{ct}$ and $\epsilon_{cb}$. From the strain distributions, the curvature at the various stages of loading can be expressed as follows:

1) Initial prestress:

$$\phi_i = \frac{\epsilon_{cbi} - \epsilon_{cti}}{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.6a)

2) Effective prestress after losses:

$$\phi_e = \frac{\epsilon_{cbe} - \epsilon_{cte}}{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.6b)

3) Service load:

$$\phi = \frac{\epsilon_{ct} - \epsilon_{cb}}{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.6c)
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Figure 7.4 Strain distribution and curvature at controlling stages. (a) Initial prestress, $\phi_i = (\epsilon_{ci} - \epsilon_{ci})/h$. (b) Effective prestress after losses, $\phi_p = (\epsilon_{ce} - \epsilon_{ce})/h$. (c) Service load, $\phi = (\epsilon_c - \epsilon_{ce})/h$. (d) Failure, $\phi_f = \epsilon_u/c$.

(4) Failure:

$$\phi_f = \frac{\epsilon_u}{c} \quad (7.6d)$$

Use a plus sign for tensile strain and a minus sign for compressive strain. Figure 7.4(c) denotes the stress distribution for uncracked section. It has to be modified to show tensile stress at the bottom fibers if the section is cracked.

The effective curvature $\phi_e$ in Figure 7.4(b) after losses is the sum, using the appropriate sign, of the initial curvature $\phi_i$, the change in curvature $d\phi_1$ due to loss of prestress from creep, relaxation, and shrinkage, and the change in curvature $d\phi_2$ due to creep of concrete under sustained prestressing force, i.e.,

$$\phi_e = \phi_i + d\phi_1 + d\phi_2 \quad (7.7)$$

Photo 7.2 Priest Point Park Bridge in Olympia, Washington, a cast-in-place prestressed concrete structure. (Courtesy, Arvid Grant and Associates, Inc.)
where, from the basic mechanics of materials,

\[ \phi = \frac{M}{E_c I_c} \]  

(7.8a)

For the primary moment, \( M_1 = P_x e \), so that

\[ \phi = \frac{P_x e}{E_c I_c} \]  

(7.8b)

Substituting into Equation 7.5 for simply supported beams with constant-eccentricity tendons yields

\[ \delta_c = \frac{\phi I^2}{8} \]  

(7.9a)

The general expression for deflection in terms of curvature as proposed by Tadros in Ref. 7.3 gives

\[ \delta = \phi_c I^2 - (\phi_c - \phi_s) \frac{a^2}{6} \]  

(7.9b)

where \( \phi_c \) = curvature at midspan

\( \phi_e \) = curvature at the support

\( a \) = length parameter as a function of the tendon profile.

### 7.3.2.3 Immediate deflection of simply supported beam prestressed with parabolic tendon

**Example 7.2**

Find the immediate midspan deflection of the beam shown in Figure 7.5 prestressed by a parabolic tendon with maximum eccentricity \( e \) at midspan and effective prestressing force \( P_e \).

Use both the elastic weight method and the equivalent weight method. The span of the beam is \( I \) ft, and its stiffness is \( E_c I_c \).

![Figure 7.5: Deflection of beam in Example 7.2. (a) Tendon profile. (b) Elastic weight \( M/E_c I_c \). (c) Deflection.](image)
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Solution:

**Elastic Weight Method.** From Figure 7.5(b),

\[ R' = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{P_{el}}{E_c I_c} \times \frac{2}{3} \right) = \frac{P_{el}}{3E_c I_c} \]

The moment due to the elastic weight \( W_e \) about the midspan point C is

\[ M_c = \delta_c = R' \left( \frac{l}{2} \right) - \frac{P_{el}}{E_c I_c} \times \frac{2}{6} \left( \frac{3}{8} \times \frac{1}{2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{E_c I_c} \left( \frac{P_{el} l}{6} - \frac{3P_{el} l^3}{48} \right) = \frac{5P_{el} l^2}{48E_c I_c} \]

Then

\[ \delta_c = \frac{5P_{el} l^2}{48E_c I_c} \]  
(a)

**Equivalent Weight Method.** From Chapter 1, the equivalent balancing load intensity \( W \) resulting from the pressure of the parabolic tendon on the concrete is

\[ W = \frac{8P_{el}}{l^2} \]

Also, from the basic mechanics of materials, the midspan deflection of a uniformly loaded simply supported beam is

\[ \delta_c = \frac{5w l^4}{384E_c I_c} \]  
(b)

Substituting for the load intensity \( W \) from the previous equation into this one yields

\[ \delta_c = \frac{5P_{el} l^2}{48E_c I_c} \]  
(c)

As expected, Equation (c) is identical to Equation (a) for the midspan deflection of the beam. Figure 7.6 shows typical midspan deflection expressions for simply supported beams, complementing the shear and moment expressions for continuous beams given earlier in Figure 6.12.

7.3.3 Cracked Sections

7.3.3.1 Effective-moment-of-inertia computation method. As the prestressed element is overloaded, or in the case of partial prestressing where limited controlled cracking is allowed, the use of the gross moment of inertia \( I_g \) understimates the camber or deflection of the prestressed beam. Theoretically, the cracked moment of inertia \( I_c \) should be used for the section across which the cracks develop while the gross moment of inertia \( I_g \) should be used for the beam sections between the cracks. However, such refinement in the numerical summation of the deflection increases along the beam span is sometimes unwarranted because of the accuracy difficulty of deflection evaluation. Consequently, an effective moment of inertia \( I_e \) can be used as an average value along the span of a simply supported bonded tendon beam, a method developed by Branson in Refs. 7.4 and 7.5. According to this method,

\[ I_e = I_g + \left( \frac{M_c}{M_a} \right)^3 \left( I_g - I_c \right) \leq I_g \]  
(7.10a)

Equation 7.10a can also be written in the form

\[ I_e = \left( \frac{M_c}{M_a} \right)^3 I_g + \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{M_c}{M_a} \right)^3 \right] I_c \leq I_g \]  
(7.10b)

The ratio \( M_c/M_a \) from Equation 7.4b can be substituted into Equations 7.10a and b to get the effective moment of inertia.
### Load deflection

\[
\delta = \frac{wL^4}{48EI} + \phi_e \frac{l^2}{12}
\]

### Prestress camber

\[
\delta = -\frac{P^2l^2}{8EI} \left[ \phi_e + \frac{3}{8} (\phi_e - \phi_s) \right]
\]
\[
= \phi_e \frac{l^2}{8} + (\phi_e - \phi_s) \frac{l^2}{48}
\]

\[
\delta = \frac{P^2 l^2}{8EI} \left[ \phi_e + (\phi_s - \phi_e) \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right]
\]
\[
= \phi_e \frac{l^2}{8} + (\phi_e - \phi_s) \frac{a^2}{6}
\]

\[
\delta = \frac{5wl^4}{384EI} + \phi_e \frac{5l^2}{48}
\]

\[
\delta = -\frac{P^2 l^2}{8EI} - \phi_e \frac{l^2}{8}
\]

**Figure 7.6** Short-term deflection in prestressed beams. Subscript c indicates midspan; subscript e indicates support.
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\[
\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a} = 1 - \left(\frac{f_a - f_c}{f_c}\right)
\]

(7.11)

where \( I_{cr} \) = moment of inertia of the cracked section, from Equation 7.13 to follow

\( I_e \) = gross moment of inertia

Note that both \( M_{cr} \) and \( M_a \) are the unfactored moments due to live load only such that \( M_{cr} \) is taken as that portion of the live load moment which causes cracking. The effective moment of inertia \( I_e \) in Equations 7.10a and b thus depends on the maximum moment \( M_a \) along the span in relation to the cracking moment capacity \( M_{cr} \) of the section.

In the case of uncracked continuous beams with both ends continuous,

\[
\text{Avg. } I_e = 0.70I_m + 0.15(I_{e1} + I_{e2})
\]

(7.12a)

and for continuous uncracked beams with one end continuous,

\[
\text{Avg. } I_e = 0.85I_m + 0.15(I_{cont. \ end})
\]

(7.12b)

where \( I_m \) is the midspan section moment of inertia and \( I_{e1} \) and \( I_2 \) are the end-section moments of inertia.

7.3.3.2 Bilinear computation method. In graphical form, the bilinear moment-deflection relationship follows stages I and II described in Section 7.3.1 in accordance with ACI code. The idealized diagram for the \( I_e \) and \( I_{cr} \) zones is shown in Figure 7.7. Branson's effective \( I_e \) gives the average total immediate deflection \( \delta_{tot} = \delta_e + \delta_{cr} \) described in the previous section.

The ACI code requires that computation of deflection in the cracked zone in the bonded tendon beams be based on the transformed section whenever the tensile stress \( f_t \) in the concrete exceeds \( 6\sqrt{f'_c} \). Hence, \( \delta_e \) in Figure 7.7 is evaluated using the transformed Icr utilizing the contribution of the reinforcement in the bilinear method of deflection computation. The cracking moment of inertia can be calculated by the PCI approach (Ref. 7.7) for fully prestressed members by means of the equation

\[
I_{cr} = n_p A_p d_p^2 (1 - 1.6\sqrt{n_p \rho_p})
\]

(7.13a)

where \( n_p = E_p / E_c \). If nonprestressed reinforcement is used to carry tensile stresses, namely, in "partial prestressing," Equation 7.13 can be modified to give

![Figure 7.7 Moment-deflection relationship.](image)
\[ I_{cr} = \left( n_p A_{ps} d_p^2 + n_s A_s d_s^2 \right) (1 - 1.6 \sqrt{n_p \nu_p + n_s \nu_s}) \] (7.13b)

where \( n_i = E_i / E_c \) for the nonprestressed steel, \( d \) = effective depth to center of mild steel or nonprestressed strand steel.

**7.3.3.3 Incremental moment-curvature method.** The cracked moment of inertia can be calculated more accurately from the moment-curvature relationship along the beam span and from the stress and, consequently, strain distribution across the depth of the critical sections. As shown in Figure 7.4(d) for strain \( \varepsilon_{cr} \) at first cracking,

\[ \phi_{cr} = \frac{\varepsilon_{cr}}{c} = \frac{M}{E_c I_{cr}} \] (7.14)

where \( \varepsilon_{cr} \) is the strain at the extreme concrete compression fibers and \( M \) is the total moment, including the prestressing primary moment \( M_1 \), about the centroid cgc of the section under consideration. Equation 7.14 can be rewritten to give

\[ I_{cr} = \frac{M c}{E_c \varepsilon_{cr}} = \frac{M c}{f} \] (7.15)

where \( f \) is the concrete stress at the extreme compressive fibers of the section.

A flowchart for instantaneous deflection calculation and construction of the moment-curvature diagram in step-by-step increments is given in Figure 7.8.
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Subroutine for $I_g$ method

\[ I_g = \left( \frac{M_{el}}{M_g} \right)^3 I_g + \left( 1 - \left( \frac{M_{el}}{M_g} \right)^3 \right) I_0 \leq I_g \]

where
\[ \frac{M_{el}}{M_g} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{f_{el}}{f_{g}} \right) \right] \]

$f_{el}$ = final stress due to all loads
$f_g$ = service live load concrete stress
$I_g = (n_p A_d d_0^2 + n_l A_l d^2) (1 - 1.8 \sqrt{n_p p + n_l p})$

\[ \delta_{SD+L} = \frac{5(W_{SD} + W_L) L^4}{384 E_I I_g} \]

\[ \delta_{inst} = -\delta_i + \delta_0 + \delta_{SD+L} \]

Note: if bottom stress at working load $f_b$ is less than $7.5 \lambda \sqrt{\delta_i}$ use $I_g$ instead of $I_g$ in previous equation of $\delta_{SD+L}$

where
\[ f_b = -\frac{P_b}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma_{cr}}{\sigma_c} \right) + \frac{M_r}{S_0} \]

Subroutine for bilinear method

\[ f_{bc} = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma_{cr}}{\sigma_c} \right) + \frac{M_r}{S_0} \]

Figure 7.8 Continued
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Figure 7.8 Continued

Subroutine for incremental moment-curvature method

START

Obtain the loss in the prestressing force, $\Delta P$

Obtain stress change due to prestress loss

Midspan: $\Delta f' = -\frac{\Delta P}{A_e} \left(1 - \frac{c}{r^2}\right)$

$\Delta f_b = -\frac{\Delta P}{A_e} \left(1 + \frac{c}{r^2}\right)$

Support: $\Delta f' = -\frac{\Delta P}{A_e} \left(1 - \frac{c}{r^2}\right)$

$\Delta f_b = -\frac{\Delta P}{A_e} \left(1 - \frac{c}{r^2}\right)$
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7.4 SHORT-TERM DEFLECTION AT SERVICE LOAD

7.4.1 Example 7.3 Non-Composite Uncracked Double T-Beam Deflection

Evaluate the total short-term (immediate) elastic deflection of the 12 DT 34 beam in Example 4.1 using (a) applicable moment of inertia \( I_e \) or \( I_s \) method, (b) incremental moment-curvature method. The beam carries a superimposed service live load of 1,100 plf (16.1 kN/m) and superimposed dead load of 100 plf (1.5 kN/m). It is bonded pretensioned, with \( A_{ps} = \frac{1}{16} \text{in. diameter 7-wire 270-ksi (f}_{ps} = 270 \text{ksi = 1,862 MPa) stress-relieved strands = 2.448 in.}^2 \) Disregard the contribution of the nonprestressed steel in calculating the moment of inertia in this example. Assume that strands are jacked to 0.70\( f_{ps} \), resulting in the initial prestress \( P_s = 462,672 \text{ lb.} \) The effective prestress \( P_s = 379,391 \text{ lb} \) occurs at the first load application 30 days after erection and does not include all the time-dependent losses.

Data

(a) Geometrical Properties (Fig. 7.9)

\[ A_e = 978 \text{ in}^2 (6.310 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ I_e = 86,072 \text{ in}^4 (3.59 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^4) \]
\[ S_e = 3,340 \text{ in}^3 (5.47 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^3) \]
\[ S^* = 10,458 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ W_D = 1.019 \text{ plf, self-weight} \]
\[ W_{SD} = 100 \text{ plf (1.46 kN/m)} \]
\[ W_E = 1,100 \text{ plf (16.05 kN/m)} \]
\[ e_s = 22.02 \text{ in.} \]
\[ c_s = 12.77 \text{ in.} \]
\[ c_b = 25.77 \text{ in.} \]
\[ c_t = 8.23 \text{ in.} \]
\[ A_{ps} = 16 \times 0.153 = 2.448 \text{ in}^2 (15.3 \text{ cm}^2) \]
\[ P_s = 462,672 \text{ (2,058 kN) at transfer} \]
\[ P_e = 379,391 \text{ (1,688 kN) } \]

(b) Material Properties

\[ V / S = 2.39 \text{ in} \]
\[ R_H = 70\% \]
Figure 7.9  Beam geometry of Example 4.1.

\[ f_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_p = 3,750 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_y = 270,000 \text{ psi} (1,862 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_p = 189,000 \text{ psi} (1,303 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_y = 154,980 \text{ psi} (1,067 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_p = 230,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ E_p = 28.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} (196 \text{ GPa}) \]

(c) Allowable Stresses
\[ f_o = 2,250 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_c = 2,250 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_o = 184 \text{ psi (midspan)} \]
\[ f_c = 849 \text{ psi (midspan)} \]

Solution: (a)

1. Midspan Section Stresses
\[ e_c = 22.02 \text{ in. (559 mm)} \]

Maximum self-weight moment
\[ M_p = \frac{1.019(60)^2}{8} \times 12 = 5,502,600 \text{ in.-lb} \]

(a) At transfer, calculated fiber stresses are
From Equation 4.1a,
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\[ f' = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S'} \]
\[ = -\frac{462.672}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{22.02 \times 8.73}{88.0} \right) - \frac{5,502,600}{10,458} \]
\[ = +501 - 526 = -25 \text{ psi (C)} < f_i = +184 \text{ psi (T)}, \text{ O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c e_i}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \]
\[ = -\frac{462.672}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{22.02 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) + \frac{5,502,600}{3,340} \]
\[ = -3,524 + 1,647 = -1,877 \text{ psi (C)} < -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

(b) At service load

\[ M_{SD} = \frac{100(60)^2 12}{8} = 540,000 \text{ in.-lb (61 kN-m)} \]
\[ M_L = \frac{1,100(60)^2 12}{8} = 5,940,000 \text{ in.-lb (672 kN-m)} \]

Live-load \( f' = \frac{5,940,000}{10,458} = -568 \text{ psi (C)} \)

Live-load \( f_b = \frac{5,940,000}{3,340} = 1,778 \text{ psi (T)} \)

Total Moment \( M_T = M_D + M_{SD} + M_L = 5,502,600 + 6,480,000 = 11,982,600 \text{ in.-lb (1,354 kN-m)} \).

From Equation 4.3a,

\[ f' = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_T}{S'} \]
\[ = -\frac{379,391}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{22.02 \times 8.23}{88.0} \right) - \frac{11,982,600}{10,458} \]
\[ f' = +411 - 1146 = -735 \text{ psi < } f_i = -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

From Equation 4.3b,

\[ f_b = -\frac{P_i}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c e_i}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_b} \]
\[ = -\frac{379,391}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{22.02 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) + \frac{11,982,600}{3,340} \]
\[ = -2,689 + 3,587 = +998 \text{ psi (T) < 849 psi, O.K.} \]

Allow using the gross moment of inertia \( I_g \) for deflection calculations. In such a case, the effective moment of inertia \( I_e \) can be taken as \( I_g \). If compared with the modules of rupture \( f_r = 7.5 \sqrt{I_g} = 7.5 \sqrt{5000} = 530 \text{ psi} \), minor cracking is expected and allowed as the 7.5 factor is conservative.

2. Support Section Stresses

From Example 4.1,

\[ f_a = 6 \sqrt{f_r} = 6 \sqrt{3,750} = 367 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_i = 12 \sqrt{f_r} = 12 \sqrt{5,000} = 849 \text{ psi} \]
\[ e_c = 12.77 \text{ in.} \]
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Follow the same steps as in the midspan section, with the moment \( M = 0 \) in the above steps. A check of support section stresses at transfer gave stresses below the allowable, hence O.K.

**Summary of Fiber Stresses (psi)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Midspan</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( f' )</td>
<td>( f_b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestress ( P_i ) only</td>
<td>+501</td>
<td>-3,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At transfer and ( W_d )</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-1,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live load ( W_c ) only</td>
<td>-568</td>
<td>+1,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At service load</td>
<td>-735</td>
<td>+698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1 psi = 6.895 kPa)

3. **Deflection and Camber Calculation at Transfer**

From basic mechanics or from Figure 7.6, for \( a = l/2 \), the camber at midspan due to a single harp or depression of the prestressing tendon is

\[
\delta = \frac{P_e l^2}{8EI} + \frac{P(e_2 - e_c)l^2}{24EI}
\]

So

\[
E_\alpha = 57,000 \sqrt{F_{ci}} = 57,000 \sqrt{3,750} = 3.49 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (24.1 MPa)}
\]

\[
E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{F_c} = 57,000 \sqrt{5,000} = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (27.8 MPa)}
\]

\[
\delta_{pi} = \delta' = \frac{462,672 \times 22.02 \times (60 \times 12)^2}{8 \times 3.49 \times 10^6 \times 86,702} + \frac{462,672 \times (12.77 - 22.02)(60 \times 12)^2}{24 \times 3.49 \times 10^6 \times 86,072}
\]

\[
= -2.20 + 0.31 = -1.89 \text{ in. (48 mm)}
\]

This upward deflection (camber) is due to prestress only. The self-weight per inch is 1,019/12 = 84.9 lb/in., and the deflection caused by self-weight is \( \delta_p = 5w l^4/384 E_1 \)

\[
\delta_p = 5 \times 84.9 \times (60 \times 12)^4 / 384 \times 3.49 \times 10^6 \times 86,072 = 0.99 \text{ in.}
\]

Thus, the net camber at transfer is \(-1.89 + 0.99 = -0.90 \text{ in. (25 mm)}.\)

4. **Total Immediate Deflection at Service Load of Uncracked Beam**

(a) Superimposed dead load deflection, using \( E_c = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \)

\[
\delta_{SD} = 0.99 \frac{E_\alpha}{E_c} \left( \frac{100}{1,019} \right) = 0.99 \left( \frac{3.49 \times 10^6}{4.03 \times 1,019} \right) = 0.08 \text{ in. (2.0 mm)}
\]

(b) Live load deflection

\[
\delta_L = \frac{5wl^4}{384E_cI_c} = \frac{5(1100)(60 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 86,072} \times \frac{1}{12} = 0.93 \text{ in.}
\]

A summary of the short-term cambers and deflections at service load is as follows:

- Camber due to initial prestress = 1.89 in. (48 mm) ↑
- Deflection due to self-weight = 0.99 in. (25 mm) ↓
- Deflection due to superimposed dead load = 0.08 in. (2 mm) ↓
- Deflection due to live load = 0.93 in. (23 mm) ↓
- Net deflection at transfer = -1.89 + 0.99 = -0.90 in. ↑
If deflection due to prestress loss from the transfer stage to erection at 30 days is considered, reduced camber is

\[
\begin{align*}
&= 1.89 \left( \frac{462,672 - 379,391}{462,672} \right) \\
&= 1.89 \left( \frac{83,281}{462,672} \right) = 0.34 \text{ in.} \downarrow
\end{align*}
\]

Solution: (b)

Alternate Solution by Incremental Moment Curvature Method

\( P_e \) at 30 days after transfer is 331,967 lb. So 30 days’ prestress loss

\[
\Delta P = P_i - P_e = 462,672 - 379,391 = 83,281 \text{ lb (370 kN)}
\]

Strains at Transfer Due to Prestressing

\( E_o \) at 7 days = \( 3.49 \times 10^6 \) psi

(i) Due to prestressing force \( (P_i) \)

Midspan:

\[
\begin{align*}
f' &= +501 \text{ psi} \\
f_b &= -3,524 \text{ psi} \\
\epsilon'_e &= \frac{+501}{3.49 \times 10^6} = +144 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \\
\epsilon_{eb} &= -1,010 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
\end{align*}
\]

Support:

\[
\begin{align*}
f' &= +92 \text{ psi} \\
f_b &= -2,242 \text{ psi} \\
\epsilon'_e &= 26 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \\
\epsilon_{eb} &= -642 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
\end{align*}
\]

(1 psi = 6.895 KPa)

(ii) Due to prestressing force and self-weight \( (P_i + W_p) \)

Midspan:

\[
\begin{align*}
f' &= -25 \text{ psi} \quad \epsilon'_e = -7.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \\
f_b &= -1,877 \text{ psi} \quad \epsilon_{eb} = -537.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
\end{align*}
\]

Support: same as in (i)

Strain change due to prestress loss

\[
-\Delta P = 83,281 \text{ lb.}
\]

\( E_o = 3.49 \times 10^{-6} \text{ psi} \)

Midspan Section

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta f' &= -\frac{(-\Delta P)}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{eb}}{r^2} \right) = \frac{83,281}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{22.02 \times 8.23}{88.0} \right) \\
&= -90 \text{ psi (C)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \epsilon'_e &= \frac{-90}{3.49 \times 10^6} = -26 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta f_b &= -\frac{(-\Delta P)}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{eb}}{r^2} \right) = \frac{83,281}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{22.02 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) = +634 \text{ psi (T)}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{sh} = \frac{634}{3.49 \times 10^6} = +182 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

**Support Section**

\[ \Delta f_s = \frac{(-\Delta P)}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_c}{\tau^2} \right) = \frac{83,281}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{12.77 \times 8.23}{88.0} \right) \]

\[ = -16.5 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_s = \frac{-16.5}{3.49 \times 10^6} = -5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ \Delta f_s = \frac{(-\Delta P)}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon_p}{\tau^2} \right) = \frac{83,281}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{12.77 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) \]

\[ = 404 \text{ psi (T)} \]

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_p = \frac{+404}{3.49 \times 10^6} = +116 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

Superimposing the strain at transfer on the strain due to prestress loss gives the strain distributions at service load after prestress due to prestress only, as shown in Figure 7.10.

From Figure 7.10

**Midspan curvature**

\[ \phi_c = \frac{-828 - 118}{34} \times 10^{-6} = -27.82 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} \]

**Support curvature**

\[ \phi_c = \frac{-526 - 21}{34} \times 10^{-6} = -16.09 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} \]

![Strain distribution](image)

---

**Figure 7.10** Strain distribution across section depth at prestress transfer in Example 7.4.
From Figure 7.6 for \( a = l/2 \), the beam camber after losses due only to \( P_n \) is

\[
\delta_n = \delta_0 \left( \frac{l^2}{8} \right) + \left( \phi_0 - \phi_2 \right) \frac{l^2}{24}
\]

\[
= -27.82 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{8} \right) + (-16.09 + 27.82)
\]

\[
	imes 10^{-6} \left( \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{24} \right) = -1.80 + 0.25
\]

\[
= -1.55 \text{ in.} \uparrow (39 \text{ mm}) \text{ (camber)}
\]

which is identical to \((-1.89 + 0.34) = -1.55 \text{ in.} \uparrow \) after losses in the previous solution. The deflections due to self-weight \( W_p \), superimposed dead load \( W_{2d} \), and live load \( W_1 \) are the same as in the previous solution.

Note that the computed deflection values can differ by 20 to 40 percent from the actual values because of the several parameters which affect the modulus of concrete. Hence, all computational values in the various steps of the solution can be rounded to three significant figures without appreciably affecting the final results.

### 7.5 SHORT-TERM DEFLECTION OF CRACKED PRESTRESSED BEAMS

#### 7.5.1 Short-Term Deflection of the Beam in Example 7.3 If Cracked

**Example 7.4**

Solve Example 7.3 by (a) the bilinear method, (b) the effective moment of inertia method for a condition of tensile stress of \( f_b = 750 \text{ psi} \) at midspan bottom fibers at service load instead of \( f_b = -56 \text{ psi} \) in the previous example, i.e., the tensile stress exceeding the modulus of rupture \( f_r = 7.5\sqrt{f_c} = 530 \text{ psi} \). Assume that the net beam camber due to prestress and self-weight is \( \delta = 0.95 \text{ in.} \)

**Solution:** The net tensile stress beyond the first cracking load at the modulus of rupture is \( f_{net} = f_b - f_c = 750 - 530 = +220 \text{ psi} (T) \). From Example 7.3, the tensile stress caused by the live load alone at the bottom fibers is +1,778 psi. Now, since \( W_L = 1,100 \text{ plf} \), the portion of the load that would not result in tensile stress at the bottom fibers is

\[
w_t = \frac{(1,778 - 220)}{(1.778)} \times 1,100 = 964 \text{ plf}
\]

\[
= \frac{964}{12} = 80 \text{ lb/in.}
\]

The deflection determined by the uncracked \( I_z \) is

\[
\delta_u = \frac{5w_t l^4}{384EI_z} = \frac{5 \times 80(60 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 86,072} = 0.8 \text{ in.} \uparrow (20 \text{ mm})
\]

(a) **Bilinear Method**

\[
I_c = n_p A_{pc} d_p^2 (1 - 1.6 \sqrt{\eta_p})
\]

\[
n_p = \frac{E_m - 28.5 \times 10^6}{E_c - 4.03 \times 10^6} = 7.07
\]

\[
d_p = e_c + c_c = 22.02 + 8.23 = 30.25 \text{ in.} > 0.8h = 27.2 \text{ in.}
\]

Used \( d_p = 30.25 \text{ in. \ and} \ A_{pc} = 2.448 \text{ in.}^2 \) Then
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\[ \rho_p = \frac{A_p}{bd_p} = \frac{2.448}{144 \times 30.25} = 0.0006 \]

\[ I_{cr} = 7.07 \times 2.448 \times (30.25)^2 (1 - 1.6 \sqrt{7.07 \times 0.0006}) \]
\[ = 14,187 \text{ in.}^4 (5.9 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^4) \]

Balance of the total load that results in cracking of the section is

\[ w_2 = \frac{1,100 - 964}{1,100 \times 12} = 11.3 \text{ lb/in.} \]

\[ \delta_{cr} = \frac{5w_2f_t^4}{384E_cI_{cr}} = \frac{5 \times 11.3 \times (60 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 14,187} \]
\[ = 0.69 \text{ in.} \downarrow (17 \text{ mm}) \]

Thus, the total deflection due to live load

\[ \delta_L = 0.80 + 0.69 = +1.49 \text{ in.} \downarrow (38 \text{ mm}) \]

(b) **Effective Moment of Inertia Method** \( I_e \) Method:

From Equation 7.10b,

\[ I_e = \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_s} \right) I_s + \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_s} \right)^3 \right] I_{cr} \equiv I_s \]

From Equation 7.11,

\[ \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_s} \right) = 1 - \left( \frac{f_u - f_s}{f_L} \right) \]

\( f_u = \text{final total stress} = +750 \text{ psi} \) (T)

\( f_s = \text{modulus of rupture} = 530 \text{ psi from before} \)

\( f_L = \text{live load stress} = 1778 \text{ psi} \)

\[ \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_s} \right) = 1 - \left( \frac{750 - 530}{1,778} \right) = 1 - 0.124 = 0.876 \]

\[ \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_s} \right)^3 = 0.67 \]

\[ I_e = 0.67 \times 86,072 + (1 - 0.67)14,187 \]
\[ = 62,350 \text{ in.}^4 \]

Total live-load intensity = \( \frac{1,100}{12} = 92 \text{ lb/in.} \)

Deflection due to live load

\[ \delta_L = \frac{5 \times 92(60 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 62,350} = 1.28 \text{ in.} \downarrow (33 \text{ mm}) \]

as compared to 1.49 in. in Solution (a). Choose \( \delta_L = +1.49 \text{ in.} \downarrow \). Use this value for the final net long-term deflection after losses as tabulated in Example 7.6.

### 7.6 CONSTRUCTION OF MOMENT-CURVATURE DIAGRAM

**Example 7.5**

Construct the moment-curvature diagram for the midspan section of the bonded double-T beam in Example 7.3 for the following incremental strain steps:
1. Strain at transfer $f_y = 189,000$ psi due to $P_i$ only.
2. Strain at $f_y = 154,980$ psi prior to gravity loads.
3. Decompression at tendon cgs level.
4. Modulus of rupture level.
5. Cracked section, strain $\epsilon_{1t}$ at top = 0.001 in./in.
6. Cracked section, strain $\epsilon_{1t}$ at top = 0.003 in./in.

Solution:

1. Prestress Transfer Stage
   From the data for Example 7.3, the midspan stresses due only to prestress $P_i$ are as follows:
   
   $$ f' = +501 \text{ psi} $$
   $$ f_o = -3,524 \text{ psi} $$
   $$ \epsilon' = \frac{+501}{3.49 \times 10^6} = +144 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} $$
   $$ \epsilon_o = \frac{-3524}{3.49 \times 10^6} = -1,010 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} $$
   $$ \phi_i = \frac{(\epsilon_o - \epsilon')}{h} = \frac{(-1010 - 144)}{34} \times 10^{-6} = -33.94 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} $$
   
   From Example 7.3, the corresponding moments due to $P_i + M_p$ are $M_i = -462,672 \times 22.02 + 5,502,600 = -4.69 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb.}$

2. Prestress Stage after Losses
   In the subsequent decompression stage a moment value $M_i$ due to gravity loads has to be found which would reduce the stress in the prestressing steel to zero. From Example 4.1, $P_t = 379,391$ lb. Hence,
   
   $$ \frac{P_t}{P_i} = \frac{379,391}{462,672} = 0.82 $$

   The stresses and strains at midspan at transfer prestress $P_i$ are
Figure 7.11 Stress-strain diagram for ½ in. (12.7 mm) dia prestressing tendons.

\[ f'_c = +501 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f'_{cb} = -3,524 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \varepsilon'_c = +144 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]
\[ \varepsilon'_{cb} = -1,010 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

Reduce the strains up to the \( P_e \) stage as follows:
\[ \varepsilon'_c = 0.82(144 \times 10^{-6}) = +118 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]
\[ \varepsilon'_{cb} = 0.82(-1010 \times 10^{-6}) = -828 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

The strain distribution becomes, as shown in Figure 7.13,
\[ \phi_2 = \frac{(\varepsilon_{cb} - \varepsilon'_c)}{h} = \frac{-828 - 118}{34} = -27.82 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} \]

Figure 7.12 Stress-strain diagram for \( f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \) concrete.
7.6 Construction of Moment-Curvature Diagram

The corresponding gravity-load moment $M_g = 0$.

Note the strain distribution in Figure 7.13 due to the prestressing force $P_e$. Use the stress-strain diagram of Figure 7.11 for the prestressing steel and that of Figure 7.12 for the concrete to determine the actual stresses through strain compatibility.

3. Decompression Stage with Zero Concrete Stress at Tendon cgs

From Figure 7.11, the decompression strain at the cgs level is

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{decomp}} = -828 \times 10^{-6} \times \frac{26.01}{26.01 + 3.75} = 723 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{pc} = \frac{f_{pc}}{E_{pc}} = \frac{154,980}{27.5 \times 10^6} = 5,636 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
$$

Compatibility of strain requires that the prestressing tendons in the bonded beam undergo the same change in strain as the surrounding concrete, increasing the tensile strain in the tendon in order to reduce the compressive stress in the concrete at the cgs level to zero. Thus,

Total $\varepsilon_{pc} = 5,636 \times 10^{-6} + 723 \times 10^{-6} = 6,359 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}$

From the stress-strain diagram in Figure 7.11 the corresponding stress $f_{pc} = 177,000$ psi. Consequently, we have

Adjusted $P_e = 177,000 \times 0.153 \times 16 = 433,296$

Adjusted $f' = \frac{433,296}{978} \left( 1 - \frac{22.02 \times 8.23}{88.0} \right) = +469 \text{ psi (T)}$

$$
\varepsilon'_c = \frac{-469}{4.03 \times 10^6} = 116 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
$$

Adjusted $f_b = \frac{433,296}{978} \left( 1 + \frac{22.02 \times 25.77}{88.0} \right) = -3,300 \text{ psi (C)}$

$$
\varepsilon_{cb} = \frac{-3,300}{4.03 \times 10^6} = -819 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
$$

$$
f_{\text{decomp}} = \frac{M_{\text{decomp}}}{I_e} = \frac{M_{\text{decomp}} \times 22.02}{86,072} = 2,884 \text{ psi}
$$
\[ M_{\text{decomp}} = \frac{2.884 \times 86,072}{22.02} = 11.27 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \ (1.27 \times 10^6 \text{ N-m}) \]

\[ f_c = \frac{M_{\text{decomp}}}{S_b} = \frac{11.27 \times 10^6}{10,458} = -1,078 \text{ psi (C)} \]

Net stress \( f' = -1,078 + 469 = -609 \text{ psi (C)} \ (4.16 \text{ MPa}) \)

\[ \varepsilon_c' = \frac{-609}{4.03 \times 10^6} = -151.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{11.27 \times 10^6}{3,340} = 3.374 \text{ psi (T)} \]

Net stress \( f_b = +3,374 - 3,300 = +74 \text{ psi (T)} \)

\[ \varepsilon_{cb} = \frac{+74}{4.03 \times 10^6} = +18.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ \phi_{\text{decomp}} = \frac{(\varepsilon_{cb} - \varepsilon_c')}{h} = \frac{(18.4 + 151.1)}{34} = +4.99 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} \]

Corresponding \( M = 11.27 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \)

Figure 7.14 gives the stress and strain distributions in this beam at the decompression state.

4. Modulus of Rupture Stage

\[ f_r = 7.5\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} = 7.5\sqrt{5,000} = 530 \text{ psi} \]

\[ M_{cr} = S_b \left[ 7.5\lambda \sqrt{f_c'} + \frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{cb}}{r_c^2} \right) \right] \]

From before, the second part of the above expression for moment gives a stress of 3,300 psi.

Therefore \( M_{cr} = 3,340(530 + 3,300) = 12.8 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \)

Net bottom concrete stress = modulus of rupture \( f_r \) for this stage = +530 psi \( (T) \)

\[ \varepsilon_{cb} = \frac{+530}{4.03 \times 10^6} = +132 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ f' = \frac{12.8 \times 10^6}{10,458} = -1,224 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ f = 0 \]

\[ +18.4 \times 10^{-6} \]

**Figure 7.14** Stress distribution at decompression in Example 7.6: (a) Loading stress. (b) Decompression stress. (c) Final stress. (d) Unit strain.
Figure 7.15 Strain distribution at $\varepsilon_c = 0.001$ in./in. in Example 7.5.

Net stress $f' = -1,224 + 469 = -755$ psi (C)

$$\varepsilon'_c = \frac{-755}{4.03 \times 10^6} = -187 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}$$

$$\phi_s = \frac{(\varepsilon_{cb} - \varepsilon'_c)}{h} = \frac{(132 + 187)}{34} \times 10^{-6} = +9.38 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad./in.}$$

5. **Cracked Section Stage, $\varepsilon_c = 0.001$ in./in.**

From before, $\varepsilon_{pr} = 6,359 \times 10^{-6} = 0.0064$ in./in. By trial and adjustment, assume a neutral axis depth $c = 1.5$ in. below the top fibers of the flange. Then $\Delta \varepsilon_{pr}$ is the additional strain in bonded prestressing strands due to $\varepsilon_c = 0.001$ in./in. at the top fibers, and from similar triangles in Figure 7.15,

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{pr} = \frac{(30.25 - 1.5)}{1.5} \times 0.001 = 0.0192 \text{ in./in.}$$

So the total $\varepsilon_{pr} = 0.0192 + 0.0064 = 0.0256$ in./in.

From the stress-diagram of the prestressing steel in Figure 7.11, the corresponding stress is $f_{pr} = 260,000$ psi

and

$$A_{pr} = 16 \times 0.153 = 2.448 \text{ in.}^2$$

Thus, the tensile force $T_p = 260,000 \times 2.448 = 636,480$ lb.

From Figure 7.12, $f_c = 3,000$ psi corresponds to $\varepsilon_c = 0.001$ in./in. The compressive force is then $C_c = (12 \times 12 \times 1.5)3000 = 648,000 > T = 636,480$ lb.

Hence, the neutral axis depth should be reduced.

**Second Trial**

Assume $c_c = 1.45$ in. Then

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{pr} = \frac{(30.25 - 1.45)}{1.45} \times 0.001 = 0.0199 \text{ in./in.}$$

and

Total $\varepsilon_{pr} = 0.0199 + 0.0064 = 0.0263$ in./in.

From Figure 7.12, $f_{pr} = 255,000$ psi, $T_p = 255,000 \times 2.448 = 624,240$ lb., and $C_c = (12 \times 12 \times 1.45)3000 = 624,400$ lb $\geq T_p$. Hence, assumed $c = 1.45$ in., O.K.

Moment $M_n = 624,240 \left(30.25 - \frac{1.45}{2}\right) = 18.4 \times 10^6$ in.-lb
and from Equation 7.5 d,
\[
\phi_u = \frac{\varepsilon_u}{c} = \frac{0.001}{1.45} = 690 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.}
\]

6. Fully Cracked Section Stage, \(\varepsilon_u = 0.003 \text{ in./in.} \) (Ultimate Load)
\(\varepsilon_u = 0.003 \text{ in./in.} \) is the maximum unit strain allowed by the ACI Code at ultimate load. Assume \(f_{ps} = 263,000 \text{ psi.} \) Then
\[
a = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps}}{0.85 f_c b} = \frac{2.448 \times 263,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 144} = 1.1 \text{ in.}
\]
\[
c = \frac{a}{b_1} = \frac{1.1}{0.80} = 1.38 \text{ in.}
\]
From Figure 7.15,
\[
\varepsilon_{pu} = \frac{30.25 - 1.38}{1.38} \times 0.003 = 0.0628 \text{ in./in.}
\]
Total \(\varepsilon_{pu} = 0.0628 + 0.0064 = 0.0692 \text{ in./in.} \)
From the stress diagram in Figure 7.12, \(f_{ps} \approx f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi.} \) So use \(a = 1.1 \text{ in.}, \) giving
\[
M_u = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) = 2.448 \times 270,000 \left( 30.25 - \frac{1.1}{2} \right)
\]
\[
= 19.6 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}
\]
Use \(c = 1.4 \text{ in.} \)
\[
\phi_u = \frac{\varepsilon_u}{c} = \frac{0.003}{1.4} = 2,143 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.}
\]
A schematic plot of the moment-curvature diagram is shown in Figure 7.16. The load-deflection diagram has the same form and can be inferred from the moment-curvature diagram.

7.7 Long-term Effects on Deflection and Camber

7.7.1 PCI Multipliers Method

The ACI Code provides the following equation for estimating the time-dependent factor for deflection of nonprestressed concrete members:
7.7 Long-Term Effects on Deflection and Camber

\[ \lambda = \frac{\xi}{1 + 50\rho'} \]  

where \( \xi \) = time-dependent factor for sustained load  
\( \rho' \) = compressive reinforcement ratio  
\( \lambda \) = multiplier for additional long-term deflection

In a similar manner, the PCI multipliers method provides a multiplier \( C_1 \) which takes account of long-term effects in prestressed concrete members, \( C_1 \) differs from \( \lambda \) in Equation 7.16, because the determination of long-term cambers and deflections in prestressed members is more complex due to the following factors:

1. The long-term effect of the prestressing force and the prestress losses.  
2. The increase in strength of the concrete after release of prestress due to losses.  
3. The camber and deflection effect during erection.

Because of these factors, Equation 7.16 cannot be readily used.

Table 7.1, based on Refs. 7.7 and 7.8, can provide reasonable multipliers of immediate deflection and camber provided that the upward and downward components of the initial calculated camber are separated in order to take into account the effects of loss of prestress, which only apply to the upward component.

Shaikh and Branson, in Ref. 7.6, propose that substantial reduction can be achieved in long-term camber by the addition of nonprestressed steel. In that case, a reduced multiplier \( C_2 \) can be used given by

\[ C_2 = \frac{C_1 + A_d/A_{ps}}{1 + A_d/A_{ps}} \]  

### Table 7.1  \( C_1 \) Multipliers for Long-Term Camber and Deflection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without composite topping</th>
<th>With composite topping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>At erection:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Deflection (downward) component—apply to the elastic deflection due to the member weight at release of prestress</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Camber (upward) component—apply to the elastic camber due to prestress at the time of release of prestress</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Deflection (downward) component—apply to the elastic deflection due to the member weight at release of prestress</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Camber (upward) component—apply to the elastic camber due to prestress at the time of release of prestress</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Deflection (downward)—apply to the elastic deflection due to the superimposed dead load only</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Deflection (downward)—apply to the elastic deflection caused by the composite topping</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where \( C_1 \) = multiplier from Table 7.1
\( A_t \) = area of non prestressed reinforcement
\( A_{ps} \) = area of prestressed strands.

### 7.7.2 Incremental Time-Steps Method

The incremental time-steps method is based on combining the computations of deflections with those of prestress losses due to time-dependent creep, shrinkage, and relaxation. The design life of the structure is divided into several time intervals selected on the basis of specific concrete strain limits, such as unit strain levels \( \epsilon_c = 0.001 \) and \( 0.002 \) in./in., and ultimate allowable strain \( \epsilon_u = 0.003 \) in./in. The strain distributions, curvatures, and prestressing forces are calculated for each interval together with the incremental shrinkage, creep, and relaxation strain losses during the particular time interval. The procedure is repeated for all subsequent incremental intervals, and an integration or summation of the incremental steps is made to give the total time-dependent deflection at the particular section along the span. These calculations should be made for a sufficient number of points along the span, such as midspan and quarter-span points, to be able to determine with sufficient accuracy the form of the moment-curvature diagram.

The general expression for the total rotation at the end of a time interval can be expressed as

\[
\phi_i = -\frac{P_t \epsilon_{ex}}{E_t I_c} + \sum_{0}^{t} (P_{n-1} - P_n) \frac{\epsilon_{ex}}{E_t I_c} - \sum_{0}^{t} (C_n - C_{n-1}) P_{n-1} \frac{\epsilon_{ex}}{E_t I_c}
\]

(7.18a)

where

\( P_t \) = initial prestress before losses
\( \epsilon_{ex} \) = eccentricity of tendon at any section along the span

Subscript \( n-1 \) = beginning of a particular time step
Subscript \( n \) = end of the aforementioned time step
\( C_{n-1}, C_n \) = creep coefficients at beginning and end, respectively, of a particular time step
\( P_n - P_{n-1} \) = prestress loss at a particular time interval from all causes.

Obviously, this elaborate procedure is justified only in the evaluation of deflection and camber of very large-span bridge systems such as segmental bridges, where the erec-
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...tion and assembly of the segments require a relatively accurate estimate of deflections. From Equation 7.18a, the total deflection at a particular section is

$$\delta_n = \phi_n k l^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.18b)

Now, suppose that the following strains from subsequent Example 7.7 are used to illustrate calculation of incremental and total rotations:

- $\epsilon_{n-1}^t$ = gross strain due only to prestress at the top fibers, e.g., $\epsilon_{c}^t = +144 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in. (Figure 7.19)
- $\epsilon_{b,n-1}$ = gross strain due only to prestress at the bottom fibers, e.g., $\epsilon_{cb} = -1010 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in. (Figure 7.19)
- $\Delta \epsilon_{CR,n}^t$ = gross creep incremental strain at the top fibers, e.g., $\Delta \epsilon_{CR,c} = +127 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in. (Figure 7.20)
- $\Delta \epsilon_{CHR,n}$ = gross creep incremental strain at the bottom fibers, e.g., $\Delta \epsilon_{CHR,c} = -895 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in. (Figure 7.20)
- $\epsilon_{pl,n}$ = strain reduction due to prestress loss caused by creep force $\Delta P$, $n$ (such as $169 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in., as seen from Figure 7.20)

Then the net incremental creep strain that will result in incremental rotation $\phi_n$ is

$$\Delta \epsilon_{CR,net} = (\Delta \epsilon_{CR,n} - \Delta \epsilon_{pl,n})$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.19a)

for the top fibers and

$$\Delta \epsilon_{CHR,net} = (\Delta \epsilon_{CHR,n} - \Delta \epsilon_{pl,n})$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.19b)

for the bottom fibers.

The incremental rotation is then,

$$\Delta \phi_n = \frac{\Delta \epsilon_{CR,net} - \Delta \epsilon_{CHR,net}}{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.19c)

and the total rotation becomes

$$\phi = \phi_{n-1} + \Delta \phi_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.20)

A schematic of the changes in strains and rotations from time step $n-1$ to time step $n$ is shown in Figure 7.17.

The selection of the time intervals depends on the refinement level desired in the computation of cambers. For each time step, the incremental creep and shrinkage strains and relaxation loss in prestress are computed as shown in Example 7.7 to give a curvature increment $\Delta \phi$. Thereafter, new values of stress, strain, and curvature are obtained at the end of the time interval, adding the curvature increment $\Delta \phi_n$ to the total curvature $\phi_{n-1}$ at the beginning of the desired intervals, as given in Equation 7.18. Clearly, the incremental time-step procedure is lengthy and, hence, justified only in evaluation and assembly of segments requiring relatively accurate estimates of deformations.

The total camber ($\uparrow$) or deflection ($\downarrow$) due to the prestressing force can be obtained from Equation 7.20 as

$$\delta_T = \phi_T k l^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.21)

where $k$ is a function of the span and geometry of the section and the prestressing tendon.

Several investigators have proposed different formats for estimating the additional time-dependent deflection $\Delta \delta$ from the moment-curvature relationship $\delta$ modified for creep. Both Tadros and Dilger recommend integrating the modified curvature along the beam span, while Naaman expresses the long-term deflection in terms of midspan and support curvatures at a time interval $t$ (Refs. 7.10, 7.11, 7.12). As an example, Naaman's expression gives, for a parabolic tendon,
\[
\Delta \delta(t) = \phi_1(t) \frac{I^2}{8} + [\phi_2(t) - \phi_1(t)] \frac{I^2}{48}
\]

where \( \phi_1(t) \) = midspan curvature at time \( t \)
\( \phi_2(t) \) = support curvature at time \( t \)

in which
\[
\phi(t) = \frac{M}{E_{ct}(t)l_c}
\]

where \( E_{ct}(t) \) = time adjusted modulus
\[
= \frac{E_c(t_1)}{1 + KC_c(t)}
\]

in which \( E_c(t_1) \) = modulus of concrete at start of interval
\( C_c(t) \) = creep coefficient at end of time interval.

### 7.7.3 Approximate Time-Steps Method

The approximate time-steps method is based on a simplified form of summation of constituent deflections due to the various time-dependent factors. If \( C_u \) is the long-term creep coefficient, the curvature at effective prestress \( P_e \) can be defined as

\[
\phi_e = \frac{P_e e_s}{E_c I_c} + (P_i - P_e) \left( \frac{e_s}{E_c I_c} - \left( \frac{P_i + P_e}{2} \right) \frac{e_s}{E_c I_c} \right) C_u
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.22)
The final deflection under $P_e$ is

$$\delta_{et} = -\delta_e + (\delta_i - \delta_u) - \left(\frac{\delta_i + \delta_u}{2}\right)C_u$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.23a)

or

$$\delta_{et} = -\delta_e - \left(\frac{\delta_i + \delta_u}{2}\right)C_u$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.23b)

Adding the deflection due to self-weight $\delta_{sd}$ and superimposed dead load $\delta_{sd}$, which are affected by creep gives the final time-dependent increase in deflection due to prestressing and sustained loads as

$$\Delta\delta = -\delta_e - \left(\frac{\delta_i + \delta_u}{2}\right)C_u + (\delta_{sd} + \delta_{sd})(1 + C_e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.24a)

and the final total net deflection including live-load deflection is

$$\delta_f = -\delta_e - \left(\frac{\delta_i + \delta_u}{2}\right)C_u + (\delta_{sd} + \delta_{sd})(1 + C_u) + \delta_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.24b)

Intermediate deflections are found by substituting $C_i$ for $C_u$ in Equations 7.24a and b, where

$$C_i = \frac{t^{0.60}}{10 + t^{0.60}} C_u$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.25)

in which $t^{0.60}(10 + t^{0.60})$ is the creep ratio $\alpha$.

Branson et al., in Refs. 7.4 to 7.6, proposed the following expression for predicting the time-dependent increase in deflection $\Delta\delta$ of Equation 7.24a:

$$\Delta\delta = -\left[\eta + \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)k_iC_i\right] \delta_{(P)} + k_iC_i\delta_{(D)} + K_d\delta_{(SD)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.26)

where

- $\eta = P_i/P_e$
- $C_i$ = creep coefficient at time $t$
- $K_d$ = factor corresponding to age of concrete at superimposed load application
  - $= 1.25t^{-0.18}$ for moist-cured concrete
  - $= 1.13t^{-0.05}$ for steam-cured concrete
- $t$ = age, in days, at loading
- $k_i = 1/(1 + A/A_{ps})$ when $A/A_{ps} < 1.0$
- $= 1$ for all practical purposes.

For the final deflection increment, $C_u$ is used in place of $C_i$ in Equation 7.26.

For noncomposite beams, the total deflection $\delta_{et}$ becomes (Ref. 7.9)

$$\delta_{et} = -\delta_p\left[1 - \frac{\Delta P}{P_0} + \lambda(k,C_i)\right] + \delta_D[1 + k_iC_u] + \delta_{sd}[1 + K_d,k,C_i] + \delta_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.27)

where

- $\delta_p$ = deflection due to prestressing
- $\Delta P$ = total loss of prestress excluding initial elastic loss
- $\lambda = 1 - \Delta P/2P_0$

in which $P_0$ = prestress force at transfer after elastic loss
- $= P_i$ less elastic loss.

For composite beams, the total deflection is
\[ \delta_T = - \delta_p \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta P}{P_0} + K_a k_s C_u \lambda \right] + \delta_D \left[ 1 + K_a k_s C_u \lambda \right] \\
+ \delta_{pl} \frac{I_c}{I_{comp}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta P - \Delta P_C}{P_0} + k_s C_u (\lambda - \alpha \lambda') \right] \\
+ (1 - \alpha) k_s C_u \delta_D \frac{I_c}{I_{comp}} + \delta_D \left[ 1 + \alpha k_s C_u \frac{I_c}{I_{comp}} \right] \] (7.28)

where \( \lambda' = 1 - (\Delta P / 2P_0) \)

\[ \Delta P_c = \text{loss of prestress at time composite topping slab is cast, excluding initial elastic loss} \]

\[ I_{comp} = \text{moment of inertia of composite section} \]

\[ \delta_{pl} = \text{deflection due to differential shrinkage and creep between precast section and composite topping slab} \]

\[ = F_y c_F / E_c I_{comp} \text{ for simply supported beams (for continuous beams, use the appropriate factor in the denominator)} \]

\[ \gamma_c = \text{distance from centroid of composite section to centroid of slab topping} \]

\[ F = \text{force resulting from differential shrinkage and creep} \]

\[ E_c = \text{modulus of composite section} \]

\[ \alpha = \text{creep strain at time } t \text{ divided by ultimate creep strain} \]

\[ = t^{0.06} (10 + t^{0.06}) \].

In sum, comparing the relative rigor involved in applying the three methods of Sections 7.7.1, 7.7.2, and 7.7.3, it is important to recognize that the degree of spread can be very large. Engineering judgment has to be exercised in determining a reasonable accurate concrete modulus \( E_c \) value at the various loading stages and in achieving values of creep coefficients that are neither under- nor overestimated.

### 7.7.4 Computer Methods for Deflection Evaluation

Several computer approaches and canned programs are available for deflection calculations. They lend themselves handily for such more refined methods as the time-step method in Section 7.7.2. Keep in mind, however, that the deflection under short and long-term loading is governed by a variety of possible conditions too numerous to be covered by a single set of rules for calculating deflections. These conditions are related to all properties of the concrete constituent materials which affect deflection, particularly long-term deflection. Hence, except in cases of very large span bridges such as cable-stayed bridges, deflection calculation procedures and methods should be viewed within a ±40 percent variability, if not more. The material properties input to any computer program should be carefully scrutinized based on laboratory tests if large span structures are involved.

### 7.7.5 Deflection of Composite Beams

Computing deflections for composite prestressed beams is similar to that for noncomposite sections. The process becomes more rigorous if the incremental time-steps method is used. The additional steps at the various construction stages of the precast element and the situ-cast top slab require consideration of the changes in the moments of inertia from the precast to the composite values at the appropriate stages. Moreover, the difference in the shrinkage characteristics and time-step increments due to the difference in shrinkage values of the precast section and the added concrete topping increase the rigor.
of the computational process. Fortunately, the use of computer programs facilitates speedy evaluation of camber and deflection in composite elements.

7.8 PERMISSIBLE LIMITS OF CALCULATED DEFLECTION

The ACI Code requires that the calculated deflection has to satisfy the serviceability requirement of maximum permissible deflection for the various structural conditions listed in Table 7.2. Note that long-term effects cause measurable increases in deflection and camber with time and result in excessive overstress in the concrete and the reinforcement, requiring computation of deflection and camber.

AASHTO permissible deflection requirements, shown in Table 7.3, are more rigorous because of the dynamic impact of moving loads on bridge spans.

Following is a step-by-step procedure for computing deflection:

1. Determine the properties of the concrete, including the concrete modulus $E_p$, concrete creep, and the shrinkage and prestress relationship at the various loading stages.
2. Choose the time increments to be used in the deflection calculations.
3. Compute the concrete fiber stresses at the top and bottom extreme fibers due to all loads.
4. Compute the initial strains $\varepsilon_0$ at the top and bottom fibers and the corresponding rotations, as well as subsequent strains and rotations. Use the equations

| Table 7.2 ACI Minimum Permissible Ratios of Span ($l$) to Deflection ($\delta$) ($l = $ Longer Span) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Type of member                                   | Deflection $\delta$ to be considered             | $(l/\delta)_{\text{min}}$ |
| Flat roofs not supporting and not               | Immediate deflection due to live load $L$        | 180$^a$ |
| attached to nonstructural elements                |                                                  |                               |
| likely to be damaged by large deflections         |                                                  |                               |
| Floors not supporting and not attached           | Immediate deflection due to live load $L$        | 360 |
| to nonstructural elements likely to be           |                                                  |                               |
| damaged by large deflections                      |                                                  |                               |
| Roof or floor construction supporting or         | That part of total deflection occurring after    | 480$^c$ |
| attached to nonstructural elements                | attachment of nonstructural elements; sum        |                               |
| likely to be damaged by large deflections         | of long-term deflection due to all sustained     |                               |
| Roof or floor construction supporting or          | loads (dead load plus any sustained              | 240 |
| attached to nonstructural elements                | portion of live load) and                       |                               |
| not likely to be damaged by large deflections     | immediate deflection due to any additional live  |                               |
|                                                 | load$^b$                                        |                               |

$^a$Limit not intended to safeguard against ponding. Ponding should be checked by suitably calculating deflection, including added deflections due to ponded water, and considering long-term effects of all sustained loads, camber, construction tolerances, and reliability of provisions for drainage.

$^b$Long-term deflection has to be determined, but may be reduced by the amount of deflection calculated to occur before attachment of nonstructural elements. This reduction is made on the basis of accepted engineering data relating to time-deflection characteristics of members similar to those being considered.

$^c$Ratio limit may be lower if adequate measures are taken to prevent damage to supported or attached elements, but should not be lower than tolerance of nonstructural elements.
Table 7.3  AASHTO Maximum Permissible Deflection (l = Longer Span)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of member</th>
<th>Deflection considered</th>
<th>Maximum permissible deflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vehicular traffic only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple or continuous</td>
<td>Instantaneous due to service live</td>
<td>$\frac{l}{800}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spans</td>
<td>load plus impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantilever arms</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{l}{300}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $\phi_i = \frac{\varepsilon_{eli} - \varepsilon_{ei}}{h}$
- $\phi_c = \frac{\varepsilon_{eb} - \varepsilon_{ce}}{h}$
- $\phi = \frac{\varepsilon_c - \varepsilon_{eb}}{h}$
- $\phi_u = \frac{\varepsilon_u}{c}$

Also, compute the strains at the cgs line and compute the relaxation of the strands during the first time interval.

5. Compute the total change of stress in the prestressing steel due to creep, shrinkage, and relaxation acting as a force $F$ at the cgs. Then compute the concrete fiber stresses at the cgs level due to $F$.

6. Add the result of step 5 to the result of step 3.

7. Repeat the same procedure for all time intervals, and add the effect of superimposed dead loads.

8. Add the deflections due to live load to get the total deflection $\delta_T$.

9. Verify whether the computed $\delta_T$ is within the permissible limits. If not, change the section.

Figure 7.18 presents a flowchart for computation of deflection by the approximate time-step method.

7.9 LONG-TERM CAMBER AND DEFLECTION CALCULATION
BY THE PCI MULTIPLIERS METHOD

Example 7.6

Given $f_{pu} = 189,000$ psi, evaluate the long-term camber and deflection of the bonded double T-beam in Example 7.3 by the PCI multipliers method, and verify whether the deflection values satisfy the ACI permissible limits. If the beam were to be post-tensioned, assume that $f_{pu}$ would be equal to 189,000 psi after anchorage losses and after eliminating frictional losses by jacking from both beam ends and then re-jacking so as to maintain the net $f_{pu} = 189,000$ psi prior to erection. Also, assume that the nonstructural elements attached to the structure will not be damaged by deflections and that live load is transient. Use $E_c = 4.03 \times 10^6$ psi for all loads in the solution.
1. Input section properties: $A_a, I_a, r^2, c^2, c_y, S_y, S', e_x, e_y, RH, VIS$
Input load data $W_G, W_{SG}, W_L$
Input material properties $f_p, f_c', f_y, f_u, f_v, f_{cy}, f_{cy}', E_c, E_p, f_{ps}, f_{ps}', C_u$
Time intervals $t$, prestress loss $\Delta P, k_t, \lambda_t, F_t, V_c$.

2. Calculate fiber stresses at midspan and support section at transfer

\[ f_{e1} = - \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_x c_y}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_{G1}}{S_F} \]

\[ f_{eb} = - \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_x c_y}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_{D1}}{S_D} \]

\[ \phi_{e1} = \frac{(f_{e1} - f_{e1}')}{E_{el} h} \]

\[ f_{e2} = - \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_x c_y}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ f_{eb} = - \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_x c_y}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ \phi_{e2} = \frac{(f_{e2} - f_{e2}')}{E_{el} h} \]

3. Compute

Prestress camber $\delta_p \uparrow = k_1 \frac{P_t p_{el} E_p^2}{8 E_{el} I_g} + k_2 \frac{P_t (e_y - e_x) k^2}{8 E_{el} I_g}$

Self-weight deflection $\delta_G \downarrow = \frac{5W_G k^4}{384E_I_{el}}$

$\delta_{net} = -\delta_p + \delta_G$ where $k_1, k_2$: a function for tendon as in Table 7.1

4. Compute $I_e = \left( \frac{M_{el}}{M_e} \right)^3 I_g + \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{M_{el}}{M_e} \right)^3 \right] I_p \leq I_g$

where $\left( \frac{M_{el}}{M_e} \right) = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{f_{e1} - f_{e1}'}{f_{el}} \right) \right]$

$I_p = (n_p A_p d^2 + n_s A_s d^2) \left( 1 - 1.6 \sqrt{n_p \rho_p + n_s \rho_s} \right)$

5. Compute $\delta_{SG} = \frac{5W_{el} k^4}{384E_I_{el}}$

$\delta_L = \frac{5W_{el} k^4}{384E_I_{el}}$

6. Compute time-dependent factors for each time interval

$C_t = \frac{t^{0.80}}{10 + t^{0.80}} C_u$

$K_t = 1.25 t^{-0.15}$ for moist-cured concrete

$= 1.13 t^{-0.05}$ for steam-cured concrete

Figure 7.18 Flowchart for computation of deflection.
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7. Compute total deflection at time step $t$ for noncomposite section

$$
\delta_{tr,t} = -\delta_{pl} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta P}{P_o} + \lambda (k_e C_e) \right] + \delta_D \left[ 1 + k_e C_e \right] + \delta_{SD} \left[ 1 + K_e k_e C_e \right] \uparrow + \delta_L \downarrow
$$

8. Total long-term net deflection for composite section

$$
\delta_{tr,t} = -\delta_{pl} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta P}{P_o} + K_e k_e C_e \lambda \right] + \delta_D \left[ 1 + K_e k_e C_e \right] + \delta_{SD} \left[ 1 + K_e k_e C_e \right] \uparrow + \delta_L \downarrow
$$

where $\lambda = 1 - \frac{\Delta P}{P_o} \frac{I_g}{I_{comp}} \frac{I_{eff}}{I_{comp}} \frac{L_e}{L_{comp}}$

$$
\delta_{SL} = \frac{P_{eff} \delta^2}{8E_{o} I_{comp}}
$$

9. Is there another time interval?

END

Figure 7.18  Continued

Solution:

$$
I_e = 86,072 \text{ in.}^4
$$

$$
W_D = 1,019 \text{ plf} = 84.9 \text{ lb/in.}
$$

$$
\delta_D = \frac{5W_D^6}{384E_{plf}} = \frac{5 \times 84.9 \times (60 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 3.49 \times 10^6 \times 86,072} = 0.99 \text{ in.} \downarrow (14 \text{ mm})
$$

$$
W_{SD} = 100 \text{ plf} = 8.3 \text{ lb/in.}
$$

$$
\delta_{SD} = \frac{5 \times 8.3(60 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 86,072} = 0.08 \text{ in.} \downarrow (2.0 \text{ mm})
$$

$$
W_L = 1,100 \text{ plf} = 91.7 \text{ lb/in.}
$$

the section did not crack (See Example 7.3)
7.9 Long-Term Camber and Deflection Calculation by the PCI Multipliers Method

![Photo 7.5](image) Typical deflection prior to limit state at failure (Nawy et al.).

\[ I_e = I_s = 86,072 \text{ in.}^4 \quad \text{(max. } f_s < f_e = 530 \text{ psi)} \]

\[ \delta_L = \frac{5 \times 91.7 (60 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 86,072} = 0.93 \text{ in.} \downarrow (24 \text{ mm}) \]

If the section were cracked, the effective \( I_e \) would have had to be used instead of \( I_s \). Using the PCI multipliers method for calculating deflection at construction erection time (30 days) and at the final service-load deflection (5 years), the following are the tabulated values of long-term deflection and camber obtained using the applicable PCI multipliers from Table 7.1. If the section is composite after erection, \( I_{comp} \) has to be used in calculating \( \delta_L \) and \( \delta_{sp} \) if the beam is shored during placement of concrete topping. If mild steel reinforcement \( A_s \) was also used in this prestressed beam, the reduced multiplier would be used. The \( C_i \) multiplier is reduced by the factor \( C_2 \) where

\[ C_2 = \frac{C_1 + A_d/A_{ps}}{1 + A_d/A_{ps}} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Transfer ( \delta_{tr} ) (in.)</th>
<th>Multiplier</th>
<th>Multiplier (noncomposite)</th>
<th>Final ( \delta_{net} ) (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestress</td>
<td>1.89 in. ↑</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.40 in. ↑</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_D )</td>
<td>0.99 in. ↓</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.83 in. ↓</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net ( \delta )</td>
<td>0.90 in. ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.57 in. ↑</td>
<td>1.96 in. ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_{sd} )</td>
<td>0.08 in. ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08 in. ↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net ( \delta )</td>
<td>1.49 in. ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.49 in. ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_L )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final ( \delta )</td>
<td>0.90 in. ↑</td>
<td>1.49 in. ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79 in. ↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
due to the mild steel reinforcement controlling propagation or widening of the flexural cracks at long-term loading, hence enhancing stiffness. As an example, assume three No. 5 bars were also used in the prestressed beam,

\[
\frac{A_t}{A_{ps}} = \frac{3 \times 0.31}{2.142} = 0.43 \quad \text{giving} \quad C_2 = 2.01
\]

As an example of the adjustment of values previously tabulated, the value of the original camber becomes 3.80 in. ↑ instead of 4.63 in. ↑ shown in the table as a multiplier value of 2.01 is used instead of the previous 2.45 multiplier. Similar adjustment for all deflection components can be made applying the relevant correction factor.

From Table 7.4 the camber after erection and installation of the superimposed dead load at 30 days = 1.49 in. ↑ (38 mm). Also, the final net camber after 5 years = 0.79 in. ↑ (20 mm), the live-load deflection = 0.93 in. ↓ (24 mm), and the allowable deflection = \(l/240 = (60 \times 12)/240 = 3.0\) in. (76 mm) > 0.79 in. camber if the live load is assumed all transient in this case, which is satisfactory.

### 7.10 LONG-TERM CAMBER AND DEFLECTION CALCULATION BY THE INCREMENTAL TIME-STEMPS METHOD

**Example 7.7**

Solve Example 7.6 by the incremental time-steps method assuming that \(f_{ps} = 189,000\) psi and that prestress losses are incrementally evaluated at prestressing (7 days after casting), 30 days after transfer (completion of erection and application of the superimposed dead load), 90 days, and 5 years. Assume that the ultimate creep coefficient \(C_a = 2.35\) for the concrete and \(f_{ps} = 230,000\) psi for the prestressing steel used in the beam. Plot the camber-time and deflection-time relationships for the beam, using \(E_c = 4.03 \times 10^6\) psi for all incremental steps in this solution, except at transfer, where \(f_{ps} = 3,750\) psi. Assume the beam to be post-tensioned. Use \(E_{ps} = 27.5 \times 10^6\) psi.

**Solution:**

**Instantaneous Stresses, Strains, and Deflections**

\[
E_{cs} = 57,000 \times \sqrt{3,750} = 3.49 \times 10^6 \psi i
\]

From Example 7.3 and Figure 7.9, the initial fiber stresses (psi) and strains (in./in.) for the beam at transfer due to prestress force \(P_t\) and \(P_t + W_o\) are as follows:

**Prestress Force \(P_t\)**

Midspan:

\[
f' = +501\text{ psi (3.1 MPa)}
\]

\[
f_b = -3,524\text{ psi (24.3 MPa)}
\]

\[
\epsilon'_r = \frac{+501}{3.49 \times 10^6} = +144 \times 10^{-6}\text{ in./in.}
\]

\[
\epsilon_{rb} = -1.010 \times 10^{-6}\text{ in./in.}
\]

**Support:**

\[
f' = +92\psi i (0.7 \text{ MPa})
\]

\[
f_b = -2,242\psi i (15.5 \text{ MPa})
\]

\[
\epsilon'_r = +26 \times 10^{-6}\text{ in./in.}
\]

\[
\epsilon_{rb} = -642 \times 10^{-6}\text{ in./in.}
\]

Note that unless otherwise stated, the modulus \(E_c\) of concrete should be calculated for the time change at each incremental time step.

Continuing, we have
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Midspan $\phi_m = \frac{-1010 - 144}{34} \times 10^{-6} = -33.94 \times 10^{-6}$ rad/in.

Support $\phi_s = \frac{-642 - 26}{34} \times 10^{-6} = -19.65 \times 10^{-6}$ rad/in.

From Figure 7.6,

$$\delta_t = \phi_s \left( \frac{l^2}{8} \right) + \left( \phi_s - \phi_c \right) \frac{l^2}{24}$$

$$\delta_t = -33.94 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{60 \times 12}{8} \right)^2 - 19.65 + 33.94 \times 10^{-6} \times \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{24}$$

$$= \left( \frac{60 \times 12}{24} \right) \times 10^{-6} (-33.94 \times 2 - 19.65)$$

$$= -1.89 \text{ in.} \uparrow (48 \text{ mm})$$

Notice that this value is the same as that obtained by the moment expression in Example 7.3.

Finally,

$$\delta_D = \frac{5 \times \left( \frac{1019}{12} \right) (60 \times 12)^4}{384E_s I_s} = \frac{384 \times 3.49 \times 10^6 \times 86,072}{384 \times 3.49 \times 10^6 \times 86,072} = +0.99 \text{ in.} \downarrow (25 \text{ mm})$$

Net camber at transfer $= -1.89 \uparrow + 0.99 \downarrow = -0.90 \text{ in.} \uparrow (23 \text{ mm})$

**Time Dependent Factors**

(a) **Creep.** From Equation 3.10,

$$\varepsilon_{CR} = \frac{C_t}{E_s} (f_c) = C_t \varepsilon_c$$

where $f_c$ = concrete stress at cgs level

$\varepsilon_c$ = concrete strain at cgs level

$\varepsilon_{CR}$ = unit creep strain per unit stress at ultimate creep $= C_d/E_s$

$= 2.35 / 4.03 \times 10^6 = 0.583 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in. per unit stress.

Note that creep strain has to be calculated at the centroid of the reinforcement in order to calculate the creep loss in prestress.

From Equation 3.9b, the creep coefficient at any time, in days, is

$$C_t = \frac{t^{0.60}}{10 + t^{0.60}} C_o$$

As an example, at 30 days after transfer

$$\varepsilon_{CR,30} = \varepsilon_{CR} \left( \frac{t^{0.60}}{10 + t^{0.60}} \right) = 0.583 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{30^{0.60}}{10 + 30^{0.60}} \right)$$

$$= 0.254 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in. per unit stress}$$

Creep strains at other time intervals are similarly computed.

(b) **Shrinkage of Concrete.** From Equation 3.15a for moist-cured concrete,

$$\varepsilon_{SLH} = \frac{t}{t + 35} \varepsilon_{SL}$$

where $\varepsilon_{SLH} = 800 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in. for moist-cured concrete

Thirty days after transfer, the shrinkage time $t = 30$ days if the beam is post-tensioned and $t = 30 + 7 = 37$ days if it is pretensioned. Hence,

$$\varepsilon_{SLH,30} = \frac{30}{30 + 35} \times 800 \times 10^{-6} = 369 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}$$
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In a similar manner, $\epsilon_{\text{eff}}$ may be calculated for all other steps tabulated in Table 7.5.

(c) Relaxation of Strands. From Equation 3.6,

$$\frac{f_pR}{f_{ps}} = 1 - \left(\frac{\log t_2 - \log t_1}{10}\right) \left(\frac{f_{ps}}{f_{py}} - 0.55\right)$$

where $\log t$, in hours, is to the base 10, $f_{ps}/f_{py}$ exceeds 0.55, and $f_{ps}$ is the remaining stress in the steel after relaxation for 30 days = 720 hr after prestressing. If the relaxation loss ratio is

$$R = 1 - \frac{f_pR}{f_{ps}} = \left(\frac{\log 720 - 0}{10}\right) \left(\frac{189,000}{230,000} - 0.55\right) = 0.078$$

we must find the $R$ values for all time-steps using $t_1 = 0$ as a base.

Table 7.5 gives the incremental time-dependent parameters for prestress loss factors in this example for time steps 7, 30, 90, and 365 days, and 5 years after prestressing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Days)</th>
<th>Creep $\times 10^{-6}$</th>
<th>Shrinkage $\times 10^{-6}$</th>
<th>Relaxation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{CR},t}$</td>
<td>$\Delta \epsilon_{\text{CR}}$</td>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{SH},t}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta = $ Incremental increase. Columns 2, 4, and 6 give cumulative values.

Transfer to Erection (Step end = 30 days)

(a) Concrete Fiber Stresses at the cgs Level for Calculation of Creep

The tendon eccentricities are $e_e = 22.02$ in. and $e_e = 12.77$ in. Figure 7.19 gives the instantaneous stresses and the corresponding gross strains before losses due to prestress. From the figure,

Figure 7.19 Stress and strain at transfer due only to prestress before losses in Example 7.7. (a) Midspan section. (b) Support section.
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\[ f_{ec} = -3.524 \times \frac{26.02}{26.02 + 3.75} = -3.080 \text{ psi (C) (21.2 MPa)} \]

\[ \varepsilon_{ec} = \frac{-3.080}{4.03 \times 10^6} = -764 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ f_{sec} = -2.242 \times \frac{19.66}{19.66 + 13.00} = -1.350 \text{ psi (C) (9.3 MPa)} \]

\[ \varepsilon_{sec} = \frac{-1.350}{4.03 \times 10^6} = -335 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

**Creep Incremental Strain**

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} = \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} \times \text{stress at cgs} \]

From Table 7.5 \( \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} = 0.254 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in. per unit stress. So} \)

Midspan \( \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} = \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} \times f_{sec} = 0.254 \times 10^{-6} (-3.080) = -782 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \)

Support \( \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} = \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} \times f_{sec} = 0.254 \times 10^{-6} (-1.350) = -343 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \)

**Shrinkage Incremental Strain**

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{SH} = -236 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

**Relaxation Stress Loss**

\[ \Delta f_{R30} = 0.0776 \times 189,000 = 14,666 \text{ psi (101.0 MPa)} \]

**Total Steel Stress Loss**

\[ \Delta f_s = (\Delta \varepsilon_{CR} + \Delta \varepsilon_{SH})E_p + \Delta f_b \]

Midspan \( \Delta f_{R30} = (782 + 236) \times 10^{-6} \times 27.5 \times 10^6 + 14,666 = 42,661 \text{ psi} \)

Support \( \Delta f_{R30} = (343 + 236) \times 10^{-6} \times 27.5 \times 10^6 + 14,666 = 30,589 \text{ psi} \)

Hence, use an average \( \Delta f_{R30} = \frac{1}{2} (42,661 + 30,589) = 36,625 \text{ psi (253 MPa).} \)**

(b) **Corresponding Change in Concrete Fiber Stresses and Strains**

**Prestress force loss**

\( \Delta P_R = \Delta f_{R30}A_p = 36,625 \times 2.448 = 89,658 \text{ lb. (399 kN)} \)

(i) **Midspan Section** (1 psi = 6.895 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa})

\[ \Delta f' = -\frac{\Delta P_R}{A_c} \left(1 - \frac{e_{c_l}}{r^2}\right) = \frac{-89,658}{978} \left(1 - \frac{22.02 \times 8.23}{88.0}\right) \]

\[ = 97 \text{ psi (T)} \]

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{c_l} = \frac{-97}{4.03 \times 10^6} = +24 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ \Delta f_b = -\frac{\Delta P_R}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{e_{c_b}}{r^2}\right) = \frac{-89,658}{978} \left(1 + \frac{22.02 \times 25.77}{88.0}\right) = -683 \text{ psi (C)} \]

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{c_b} = \frac{-683}{4.03 \times 10^6} = -169 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

(ii) **Support Section**

\[ \Delta f' = -\frac{89,658}{978} \left(1 - \frac{12.77 \times 8.23}{88.0}\right) = +18 \text{ psi (T)} \]

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{c_l} = \frac{+18}{4.03 \times 10^6} = +4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ \Delta f_b = -\frac{89,658}{978} \left(1 + \frac{12.77 \times 25.77}{88.0}\right) = -435 \text{ psi (C)} \]
\[ \Delta e_{ob} = \frac{-435}{403 \times 10^6} = -108 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

(c) Net Strains, Resulting Curvatures, and Camber

**Net creep strain (in./in.)**

(i) Fiber gross strain

**Midspan**

\[ \Delta e_{CRe} = f_{t1} \times \Delta e_{CR} = +0.501 \times 0.254 \times 10^{-5} = +127 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ \Delta e_{CReb} = f_{t9} \times \Delta e_{CR} = -3.524 \times 0.254 \times 10^{-5} = -895 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

**Support**

\[ \Delta e_{CRe} = +92 \times 0.254 \times 10^{-5} = +23 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

\[ \Delta e_{CReb} = -2.242 \times 0.254 \times 10^{-5} = -569 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

**Net strains (in./in.)**

\[ \Delta_{net} e_{CR} = \Delta e_{CR} - \Delta e_{ps} \]

where \( \Delta e_{ps} \) is the strain loss due to prestress loss \( \Delta f \) in part (b) of the solution.

From Figure 7.20, we have the following:

**Midspan**

\[ \Delta e_{CRe,net} = \Delta e_{CRe} - \Delta e_{ps} = (+127 - 24) \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} = +103 \times 10^{-6} \]

\[ \Delta e_{CReb,net} = \Delta e_{CReb} - \Delta e_{psb} = (-895 + 169) \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} = -726 \times 10^{-6} \]

**Support**

\[ \Delta e_{CRe,net} = \Delta e_{CRe} - \Delta e_{ps} = (+23 - 4) \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} = +19 \times 10^{-6} \]

\[ \Delta e_{CReb,net} = \Delta e_{CReb} - \Delta e_{psb} = (-569 + 108) \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} = -461 \times 10^{-6} \]

(ii) Curvatures (rad/in.)

\( \Delta \phi_{30} \) is the added curvature due to losses at the end of 30 days after transfer based on the adjusted net strains, in other words the curvature increment for this step.

**Midspan**

\[ \Delta \phi_{30} = \frac{\Delta e_{CReb,net} - \Delta e_{CRe,net}}{h} = \frac{-726 - 103}{34} \times 10^{-6} \]

![Figure 7.20](image.jpg)

**Figure 7.20** Creep incremental strains at 30 days in Example 7.7.
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\[ \Delta \phi_{30} = \frac{\Delta \epsilon_{C_{Re, \text{act}}} - \Delta \epsilon_{C_{Re, \text{act}}}}{h} = \frac{(-461 - 19) \times 10^{-6}}{34} \]
\[ = -14.12 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in. (0.54 \times 10^{-6} rad/mm)} \]

(iii) Total Curvature (rad/in.) and camber

From before, \( \phi_0 = -33.94 \times 10^{-6} \) rad/in. and \( \phi_{\text{act}} = -19.65 \times 10^{-6} \) rad/in. So the total curvature at 30 days after transfer is

\[ \phi_T = \phi_i + \Delta \phi_{30} \]

Midspan

\[ \phi_{T30} = (-33.94 - 24.38) \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} = -58.32 \times 10^{-6} (2.30 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/mm}) \]

Support

\[ \phi_{T30} = (-19.65 - 14.12) \times 10^{-6} = -33.77 \times 10^{-6} (1.33 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/mm}) \]

From Figure 7.6, the camber due to prestress at the end of 30 days for singly harped tendon beam is

\[ \delta_{30} = \left[ \frac{I^3}{8} \right] + \left( \phi_{T30} - \phi_{T30} \right) \frac{I^2}{24} \]
\[ = -58.32 \times 10^{-6} \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{8} + (-33.77 - 58.32)10^{-6} \times \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{24} \]

namely,

\[ \delta_{30 \text{camb}, i} = \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{24} \left( -58.32 \times 2 + 33.77 \right) \times 10^{-6} \]
\[ = -3.24 \text{ in. } \uparrow (82 \text{ mm}) \]

(d) Long-term Deflections Due to Gravity Loads at 30 Days after Transfer. Assume \( E_c = 4.03 \times 10^{6} \) psi as a reasonable value for the modulus of concrete for the rest of the example. We have \( W_D = 1019 \text{ plf} = 84.9 \text{ lb/in.} \). Also,

Self-weight deflection \( \delta_D = \frac{5WI^4}{384E_cI_g} = +0.99 \text{ in. } \downarrow (25 \text{ mm}) \) from before

\[ W_{SD} = 100 \text{ plf} \]
\[ \delta_{SD} = \frac{5 \times 100}{12} \frac{(60 \times 12)^4}{384 	imes 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 169,020} \]
\[ = +0.08 \text{ in. } \downarrow (1.5 \text{ mm}) \]

\[ C_r = \frac{1}{10 + 0.60} C_u = \frac{30}{10 + 30} = 1.02 \]

\( (C_r \text{ for } W_{SD} \text{ at } -15 \text{ days} = 0.80) \)

\[ \delta_{D30} = 0.99(1 + 1.02) = +2.00 \text{ in. } \downarrow (51 \text{ mm}) \]

\[ \delta_{SD30} = 0.08(1 + 0.80) = +0.14 \text{ in. } \downarrow (4 \text{ mm}) \]

\[ \delta_L = 0 \text{ (building occupied at 90 days)} \]

Total gravity load deflections = \( 2.00 + 0.14 + 0 = +2.14 \text{ in. } \downarrow (31 \text{ mm}) \)

\[ \delta_{\text{act}30} = -3.24 \uparrow + 2.14 \downarrow = -1.10 \text{ in. } \uparrow (28 \text{ mm}) \text{ (camber)} \]
Service-Load Step—90 Days after Transfer

(a) New Reduced Concrete Fiber Stresses and Strains Due to Prestress Losses in the Previous Stage

Prestressing force change = $\Delta P_e$

(i) Midspan

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma' & = +501.97 = +404 \text{ psi} \\
\sigma_b & = -3,524 + 683 = -2,841 \text{ psi} \\
\epsilon'_b & = \frac{+404}{4.03 \times 10^6} = +100 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \\
\epsilon_{eb} & = -705 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
\end{align*}
\]

(ii) Support

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma' & = +92 - 18 = +74 \text{ psi} \\
\sigma_b & = -2,242 + 435 = -1,807 \text{ psi} \\
\epsilon'_b & = +18 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \\
\epsilon_{eb} & = -448 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
\end{align*}
\]

From Figure 7.21,

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{bce} & = -2,841 \times \frac{26.02}{26.02 + 3.75} = -2,483 \text{ psi} \\
\epsilon_{bce} & = -616 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \\
\sigma'_b & = -1,807 \times \frac{19.66}{19.66 + 13.0} = -1,088 \text{ psi} \\
\epsilon_{bce} & = -270 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}
\end{align*}
\]

Creep Incremental Strain

\[
\Delta \epsilon_{CR} = 0.095 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in. per unit stress (Table 7.5)}
\]

Midspan $\Delta \epsilon_{CR} = \Delta \epsilon_{CR} \sigma_{bce} = 0.095 \times 10^{-6} (-2,483) = -236 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}$

Support $\Delta \epsilon_{CR} = \Delta \epsilon_{CR} \sigma_{bce} = 0.095 \times 10^{-6} (-1,088) = -103 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.}$

Figure 7.21 Adjusted stress and strain at 30 days due to prestress only in Example 7.7. (a) Midspan section. (b) Support section.
Shrinkage Incremental Strain
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{SH} = -207 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

Relaxation Stress Loss
\[ \Delta f_R = 0.0130(189,000 - 36,625) = 1,981 \text{ psi} \]

Total Steel Stress Loss
\[ \Delta f_T = (\Delta \varepsilon_{CR} + \Delta \varepsilon_{SH}) E_p + \Delta f_R \]
Midspan \[ \Delta f_{790} = (236 + 207) \times 10^{-6} \times 27.5 \times 10^6 + 1,981 = 14,164 \text{ psi} \]
Support \[ \Delta f_{790} = (103 + 207) \times 10^{-6} \times 27.5 \times 10^6 + 1,981 = 10,506 \text{ psi} \]

Hence, use an average \[ \Delta f_{790} = \frac{1}{2} (14,164 + 10,506) = 12,335 \text{ psi} \]

(b) Corresponding Change in Concrete Fiber Stresses and Strains. Prestress force loss \[ \Delta P \text{_{90}} = \Delta f_{790} A_p = 12,335 \times 2.448 = 30,196 \text{ lb (134 kN)} \]

(i) Midspan Section (1 psi = 6.895 \times 10^{-3} \text{ MPa})
\[ \Delta f' = +97 \times \frac{30,196}{89,658} = +33 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \Delta f_b = -230 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_c = +8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{eb} = -57 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

(ii) Support Section
\[ \Delta f' = +6 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \Delta f_b = -147 \text{ psi} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_c = +2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{eb} = -36 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

(c) Net Strains, Resulting Curvatures, and Camber

(i) Net creep strain (in./in.)
Fiber gross strain
Midspan
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,net} = f_{30} \times \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} = +404 \times 0.095 \times 10^{-6} = +38 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,b} = f_{50b} \times \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} = -2,841 \times 0.095 \times 10^{-6} = -270 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

Support
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,net} = +74 \times 0.095 \times 10^{-6} = +7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,b,net} = -1,807 \times 0.095 \times 10^{-6} = -172 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./in.} \]

Net strains (in./in.)
Midspan
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,net} = \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} - \Delta \varepsilon_{p,c} = (+38 - 8) \times 10^{-6} = +30 \times 10^{-6} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,b,net} = \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,b} - \Delta \varepsilon_{p,b} = (-270 + 57) \times 10^{-6} = -213 \times 10^{-6} \]

Support
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,net} = \Delta \varepsilon_{CR} - \Delta \varepsilon_{p,c} = (+7 - 2) \times 10^{-6} = +5 \times 10^{-6} \]
\[ \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,b,net} = \Delta \varepsilon_{CR,b} - \Delta \varepsilon_{p,b} = (-172 + 36) \times 10^{-6} = -136 \times 10^{-6} \]
(ii) Curvature (rad/in.)

**Midspan**

\[ \Delta \phi_{90} = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{C,90,net} - \Delta \varepsilon_{C,90,act}}{h} = \frac{(-213 - 30) \times 10^{-6}}{34} \]

\[ = -7.15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} \]

**Support**

\[ \Delta \phi_{90} = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{C,90,net} - \Delta \varepsilon_{C,90,act}}{h} = \frac{(-136 - 5) \times 10^{-6}}{34} \]

\[ = -4.15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} \]

(iii) Total Curvature (rad/in.) and camber

From before, \( \phi_{90} = -58.32 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rad/in.} \) and \( \phi_{90} = -33.77 \times 10^{-6} \). So the total curvature is

\[ \phi_T = \Delta \phi_{90} + \Delta \phi_{90} \]

and we also have the following:

**Midspan** \( \phi_{90} = (-58.32 - 7.15) \times 10^{-6} = -65.47 \times 10^{-6} \) (1.72 rad/mm)

**Support** \( \phi_{90} = (-33.77 - 4.15) \times 10^{-6} = -37.92 \times 10^{-6} \) (1.04 rad/mm)

\[ \delta_{900} \text{ (camber) } \uparrow = \phi_{900} \left( \frac{l^2}{8} \right) + (\phi_{90} - \phi_{900}) \frac{l^2}{24} \]

\[ = -65.47 \times 10^{-6} \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{8} + (-37.92 + 65.47) \times 10^{-6} \times \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{24} \]

\[ = \frac{(60 \times 12)^2}{24} (-65.47 \times 2 + 37.92) \times 10^{-6} \]

\[ = -3.65 \text{ in. } \uparrow (93 \text{ mm}) \]

(d) Long-term Deflections Due to Gravity Loads at 90 Days after Transfer

\( t = 90 \text{ days} \)

\[ C_t = \frac{\epsilon_{90}^{0.60}}{10 + \epsilon_{90}^{0.60}} C_u = \frac{90^{0.60}}{10 + 90^{0.60}} \times 2.35 = 1.41 \]

(\( C_t = 1.27 \text{ for } t = 60 \text{ days} \))

\[ \delta_{900} = \delta_0 (1 + C_t) = 0.99(1 + 1.41) = +2.39 \text{ in. } \downarrow (51 \text{ mm}) \]

\[ \delta_{900} = 0.08(1 + 1.27) = +0.17 \text{ in. } \downarrow \]

\( \delta_t \) (from Example 7.6) = +0.93 in. \( \downarrow \)

So the total deflection at 90 days due to gravity loads is

\[ \delta_t = +2.39 + 0.18 + 0.93 = +3.50 \text{ in. } \downarrow (89 \text{ mm}) \]

and the net deflection is

\[ \text{Net } \delta_{net,90} = -3.65 \uparrow + 3.5 \downarrow = -0.51 \text{ in. } \uparrow (4 \text{ mm} ) \text{ (camber) } \]

Service-Load Deflection at 5 Years

The same steps as the previous give the results tabulated in Tables 7.6 and 7.7, while a plot of the cambers and deflections as a function of time is shown in Figure 7.22. The deflection-time relationship becomes almost asymptotic.

From Table 7.7, the final net deflection (camber) at five years is 0.87 in., which is much less than the maximum allowable deflection or camber. From Table 7.2, \( \delta_T = l/240 = 60 \times 12 / l \).
Table 7.6 Long-Term Stress and Strain Changes in Example 7.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time at step end</th>
<th>Gross fiber stress in concrete at start of time increment</th>
<th>Creep strain increment $\times 10^{-6}$ in./in. per unit stress</th>
<th>Shrinkage strain increment $\times 10^{-6}$ in./in.</th>
<th>Steel relaxation increment psi</th>
<th>Total steel stress loss increment psi</th>
<th>Concrete stress change due to losses psi</th>
<th>Concrete strain change due to losses $\times 10^{-6}$ in./in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>$f^t$</td>
<td>$f^t$</td>
<td>$\Delta \varepsilon_{C,r}$</td>
<td>$\Delta \varepsilon_{S,h}$</td>
<td>$\Delta f_{R}$</td>
<td>$\Delta f_{T,r}$</td>
<td>$\Delta f_{b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/S*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>+501</td>
<td>-92</td>
<td>-782</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>14,666</td>
<td>36,625</td>
<td>+97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-3524</td>
<td>-2242</td>
<td>-343</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1,981</td>
<td>12,335</td>
<td>-683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>+404</td>
<td>+74</td>
<td>-236</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1,981</td>
<td>12,335</td>
<td>+33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-2841</td>
<td>-1807</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2,311</td>
<td>11,194</td>
<td>-230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>+371</td>
<td>+68</td>
<td>-235</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2,311</td>
<td>11,194</td>
<td>+30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-2611</td>
<td>-1660</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2,448</td>
<td>6,986</td>
<td>-209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 yr</td>
<td>+341</td>
<td>+62</td>
<td>-153</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2,448</td>
<td>6,986</td>
<td>+19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-2402</td>
<td>-1527</td>
<td>-67</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P/S = step at transfer of prestress at 7 days after concrete is cast; 1,000 psi = 6.895 MPa.
Table 7.7  Long-Term Curvatures and Deflections in Example 7.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time at step end</th>
<th>Net creep strain increment ( \times 10^{-6} ) in./in.</th>
<th>Curvature increment ( \times 10^{-6} ) rad/in.</th>
<th>Total cumulative curvature ( \times 10^{-6} ) rad/in.</th>
<th>Deflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midspan</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>Midspan</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta \varepsilon_{CR, net} )</td>
<td>( \Delta \varepsilon_{CR, net} )</td>
<td>( \Delta \phi_c )</td>
<td>( \Delta \phi_e )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>Midspan</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>Midspan</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/S*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-33.94</td>
<td>-19.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>+103</td>
<td>+19</td>
<td>-24.38</td>
<td>-14.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>+38</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>-7.15</td>
<td>-4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>+31</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>-7.29</td>
<td>-4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 yr</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>-4.79</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P/S = step at transfer of prestress at 7 days after concrete is cast; 1 in. = 25.4 mm.
240 = 3.09 in. >> 0.09 in. Hence, the beam satisfies the serviceability requirements for time-dependent deflection. Note that long-term creep losses can be considerably reduced by the addition of nonprestressed reinforcement to the section at the compression side.

7.11 LONG-TERM CAMBER AND DEFLECTION CALCULATION
BY THE APPROXIMATE TIME-STEPS METHOD

Example 7.8
Solve Example 7.6 by the approximate time-steps method using the same allowable steel and concrete stresses. Compare this solution with those of Examples 7.6 and 7.7.

Photo 7.6 Deflection at failure of prestressed T-beam with confining reinforcement (Nawy, Potyondy).
Solution:

Data for This Alternative Solution. From Example 7.7,

\[ P_t = 462,672 \text{ lb} \]
\[ P_e = 379,391 \text{ lb} \]
\[ A_{ps} = 2.448 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ C_u = 2.35 \]
\[ C_{go} = 1.02 \]
\[ C_{go} = 1.41 \]
\[ C_{obs} = 1.82 \]
\[ C_{o6r} = 2.12 \]

Use the same \( C_i \) value for \( \delta_{3D} \) as for \( \delta_D \), and consider it accurate enough. Then

\[ K_e = 1.25t^{-0.118} \text{ for moist-cured concrete} \]

\( t = \) age at loading, in days = 30, 90, 365, 5 yr

\[ k_r = \frac{1}{1 + A/A_{ps}} \]

where \( A/A_{ps} \ll 1.0 \) under normal conditions

Use \( k_r \equiv 1 \) as accurate enough for practical purposes, since usually \( A/A_{ps} \ll 1 \).

Instantaneous Camber and Deflections. From Example 7.3,

\[ \delta_{k(p)} = \delta_{ps} = \text{instantaneous initial prestress camber} = -1.89 \text{ in.} \uparrow \]
\[ \delta_{k(D)} = \text{instantaneous dead-load deflection} = +0.99 \text{ in.} \downarrow \]
\[ \delta_{k(3D)} = \text{instantaneous superimposed dead-load deflection} = +0.08 \text{ in.} \downarrow \]
\[ \delta_L = \text{live-load deflection} = +0.93 \text{ in.} \downarrow \]

From Equation 7.26, the incremental time-step net deflection is

\[ \Delta \delta_T = -\left[ \eta + \left( \frac{1 + \eta}{2} \right) k_r C_i \delta_{k(p)} \uparrow + k_r C_i \delta_{k(D)} \downarrow + K_e k_r C_i \delta_{k(3D)} \downarrow \right] \]

\( \delta_p \) is the deflection (camber) due to prestressing = \( \delta_{k(p)} \) and \( \eta = P_r/P_t \). From Equation 7.27, the total net deflection due to loads is

\[ \delta_T = \delta_p \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta P}{P_o} + \lambda(k_r C_i) \uparrow + \delta_D [1 + k_r C_i] \downarrow + \delta_{3D} [1 + K_e k_r C_i] \downarrow + \delta_L \downarrow \right] \]

where \( \Delta P = (P_o - P_e) \) is the total loss of prestress excluding any initial elastic loss, \( \lambda = 1 - \Delta P/P_o \), and \( \delta_p \) and \( \eta \) are as before.

Transfer to Erection (30 days). Assume \( P_o = P_t \). Then \( \Delta P = P_t - P_e = 462,672 - 379,391 = 83,281 \text{ lb} \), and \( \Delta P/P_t = 83,281/462,672 = 0.18 \). So

\[ \lambda = 1 - \frac{83,281}{2 \times 462,672} = 0.91 \]
\[ k_r = 1 \]
\[ K_e = 1.25(30)^{-0.118} = 0.84 \]
\[ C_i = 1.02 \]
7.11 Long-Term Camber and Deflection Calculation by the Approximate Time-Steps Method

\[
\delta_{730} = -1.89(1 - 0.81 + 0.91 \times 1.02) \uparrow + 0.99(1 + 1.02) \downarrow \\
+ 0.08(1 + 0.84 \times 1.02) \downarrow + 0 \\
= -3.30 \uparrow + 2.15 \downarrow = -1.15 \text{ in.} \uparrow (29 \text{ mm})
\]

**Service-Load Deflection (90 days).** The total interval from transfer is \( t = 90 \) days. So we have

\[
K_s = 1.25(90)^{\cdot 0.118} = 0.74 \\
C_t = 1.41 \\
\delta_{lt} = +0.93 \text{ in.} \downarrow
\]

\[
\delta_{790} = -1.89(1 - 0.18 + 0.91 \times 1.41) \uparrow + 0.99(1 + 1.41) \downarrow \\
+ 0.08(1 + 0.74 \times 1.41) \downarrow + 0.93 \downarrow \\
= -3.97 \uparrow + 3.48 \downarrow = -0.49 \text{ in.} \uparrow (12 \text{ mm})
\]

**Service-Load Deflection at 365 days**

\[
K_s = 1.25(365)^{\cdot 0.118} = 0.62 \\
C_t = 1.82
\]

\[
\delta_{7365} = -1.89(1 - 0.18 + 0.91 \times 1.82) \uparrow + 0.99(1 + 1.82) \downarrow \\
+ 0.08(1 + 0.62 \times 1.82) \downarrow + 0.93 \downarrow \\
= -4.68 \uparrow + 3.89 \downarrow = -0.79 \text{ in.} \uparrow (20 \text{ mm})
\]

**Service-Load Deflections at 5 Years**

\[
K_s = 1.25(1,825)^{\cdot 0.118} = 0.52 \\
C_t = 2.12
\]

\[
\delta_{75yr} = -1.89(1 - 0.18 + 0.91 \times 2.12) \uparrow + 0.99(1 + 2.12) \downarrow \\
+ 0.08(1 + 0.52 \times 2.12) \downarrow + 0.93 \downarrow \\
= -5.20 \uparrow + 4.19 \downarrow = -1.01 \text{ in.} \uparrow (26 \text{ mm})
\]

**Comparison of Deflection Calculations by the Three Methods.** Table 7.8 gives the calculated values of camber and deflection using the three methods in Examples 7.6, 7.7, and 7.8. The minus sign (−) indicates upward camber \( \uparrow \), and the plus sign (+) indicates downward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time at step, days</th>
<th>PCI multipliers</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Camber</td>
<td>( \delta_g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \delta_g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>+0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-3.40</td>
<td>+1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
<td>+3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>-4.09</td>
<td>+3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>-4.63</td>
<td>+3.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
deflection ↓. The camber is the upward deflection due to the prestress force less the reduction in deflection due to self-weight.

Comparison of the net deflections shows that the multipliers method and the approximate time-steps method give essentially comparable results, while the incremental time-steps method gives slightly lower camber values (approximately 1-in. difference). This variation is expected because incremental prestress losses are determined at each step rather than as a single lump-sum loss taken at the final stage. The incremental time step method is time-consuming, and use of computers is necessary to justify its use. A large number of incremental time steps need to be investigated in large-span major structures such as segmental or cable-stayed bridges where accuracy of deflection and computations of camber are of a major concern.

7.12 LONG-TERM DEFLECTION OF COMPOSITE DOUBLE-T CRACKED BEAM

Example 7.9

A 72-ft (21.9 m) span simply supported roof normal weight concrete double-T-beam (Figure 7.23) is subjected to a superimposed topping load \( W_{hp} = 250 \text{ plf} \) (3.65 kN/m) and a service live load \( W_L = 280 \text{ plf} \) (4.08 kN/m). Calculate the short-term (immediate) camber and deflection of this beam by (a) the \( I \) method, (b) the bilinear method as well as the time-dependent
deflections after 2-in. topping is cast (30 days) and the final deflection (5 years), using the PCI multipliers method. Given prestress losses 18%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncomposite</th>
<th>Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_p$, in.$^2$</td>
<td>615 (3,968 cm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_p$, in.$^4$</td>
<td>59,720 (24.9 x 10$^4$ cm$^4$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$, in.$^2$</td>
<td>97 (625 cm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$, in.</td>
<td>21.98 (558 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$, in.</td>
<td>10.02 (255 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_p$, in.$^3$</td>
<td>2,717 (4.5 x 10$^4$ cm$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$, in.$^3$</td>
<td>5,960 (9.8 x 10$^4$ cm$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_d$, plf</td>
<td>641 (9.34 kN/m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$V/S = 615/364 = 1.69$ in. (43 mm)

$R_H = 75$

$e = 18.73$ in. (476 mm)

$e = 12.81$ in. (325 mm)

$f'_c = 5,000$ psi (34.5 MPa)

$f'_s = 3,750$ psi (25.9 MPa)

topping $f'_t = 3,000$ psi (20.7 MPa)

$f_t$ at bottom fibers = $12 \sqrt{f'_c} = 849$ psi (5.9 MPa)

$A_p = $ twelve $\frac{1}{4}$-in. dia low-relaxation prestressing steel depressed at midspan only

$f_{pu} = 270,000$ psi (1,862 MPa), low relaxation

$f_{pu} = 189,000$ psi (1,303 MPa)

$f_{pu} = 200,000$ psi (1,380 MPa)

$f_{pu} = 260,000$ psi (1,793 MPa)

$E_{pu} = 28.5 \times 10^6$ psi (19.65 x 10$^6$ MPa)

**Solution by the $I_p$ Method**

1. **Midspan Section Stresses**

   $f_p = 200,000$ psi at Jacking

   $f_{pu} \text{ assumed} = 0.945f_{pu} = 189,000$ psi at transfer

   $e = 18.73$ in. (475 mm)

   $P_t = 12 \times 0.153 \times 189,000 = 347,004$ lbs (1,540 kN)
Self-Weight Moment

\[ M_D = \frac{641(72)^2}{8} \times 12 = 4,984,416 \text{ in.-lb} \]

(a) At Transfer
From Equation 4.1a,
\[
\begin{align*}
 f' &= \frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c c_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S' t} \\
&= \frac{-347,004}{615} \left( 1 - \frac{18.73 \times 10.02}{97} \right) - \frac{4,984,416}{5,960} \\
&= +527.44 - 836.31 \\
&= -308.87 \text{ psi (C), say 310 psi (C) (2.1 MPa) } < 0.60f'_{ct} = 0.60(3,750) \\
&= 2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.}
\end{align*}
\]

From Equation 4.1b,
\[
\begin{align*}
 f_b &= \frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_b} \\
&= \frac{-347,004}{615} \left( 1 + \frac{18.73 \times 21.98}{97} \right) + \frac{4,984,416}{2,717} \\
&= -2,958.95 + 1,834.53 \\
&= -1,124.42 \text{ psi (C), say 1,125 psi (C) } < -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.}
\end{align*}
\]

(b) After Slab Is Cast
At this load level assume 18 percent prestress loss
\[ f_{ps} = 0.82f_{p0} = 0.82 \times 189,000 = 154,980 \text{ psi} \]
\[ P_e = 12 \times 0.153 \times 154,980 = 284,543 \text{ lb} \]

For the 2-in. slab,
\[ W_{SD} = \frac{2}{12} \times 10 \text{ ft} \times 150 = 250 \text{ plf (3.6 kN/m)} \]
\[ M_{SD} = \frac{250(72)^2}{8} \times 12 = 1,944,000 \text{ in.-lb} \]
\[ M_D + M_{SD} = 4,984,416 + 1,944,000 = 6,928,416 \text{ in.-lb (783 kN-m)} \]

From Equation 4.18a,
\[
\begin{align*}
 f' &= \frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c c_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S' t} \\
&= \frac{-284,543}{615} \left( 1 - \frac{18.73 \times 10.02}{97} \right) - \frac{6,928,416}{5,960} \\
&= +432.5 - 1,162.5 = -730 \text{ psi (5.0 MPa) } < 0.45f'_{ct} = -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.}
\end{align*}
\]

From Equation 4.18b,
\[
\begin{align*}
 f_b &= \frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} \\
&= \frac{-284,543}{615} \left( 1 + \frac{18.73 \times 21.98}{97} \right) + \frac{6,928,416}{2,717}
\end{align*}
\]
7.12 Long-Term Deflection of Composite Double-T Cracked Beam

\[ f' = -2,426.33 + 2,550.02 = +123.7 \text{ (0.85 MPa), say 124 psi (T), O.K.} \]

This is a very low tensile stress when the unshored slab is cast and before the service load is applied, \(<\sqrt{f'_c} = 849 \text{ psi.} \]

(c) **At Service Load for the Precast Section**

Section modulus for composite section at the top of the precast section is

\[ S' = \frac{77,118}{9.46 - 2} = 10,337 \text{ in}^3 \]

\[ M_L = \frac{280(72)^2}{8} \times 12 = 2,177,288 \text{ in.-lb (246 kN-m)} \]

from Equation 4.19a,

\[ f' = \frac{P_L}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c}{r} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S'} - \frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S_c} \]

\[ M_{CSD} = \text{superimposed dead load} = 0 \text{ in this case} \]

\[ f' = -730 - \frac{2,177,288}{10,337} \]

\[ = -730 - 210 = -940 \text{ psi (6.5 MPa) (C), O.K.} \]

from Equation 4.19b,

\[ f_b = +123.7 + \frac{2,177,288}{3,142} = +123.7 + 693.0 \]

\[ = +816.7, \text{ say 817 psi (T) (5.4 MPa)} < f = 849 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

(d) **Composite Slab Stresses**

Precast double-T concrete modulus is

\[ E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f'_c} = 57,000 \sqrt{5,000} = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (2.8 \times 10^4 MPa)} \]

Situ-cast slab concrete modulus is

\[ E_s = 57,000 \sqrt{3,000} = 3.12 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (2.2 \times 10^4 MPa)} \]

Modular ratio

\[ n_e = \frac{3.12 \times 10^6}{4.03 \times 10^6} = 0.77 \]

\[ S'_c \text{ for 2-in. slab top fibers} = 8,152 \text{ in}^3 \text{ from data.} \]

\[ S_{cb} \text{ for 2-in. slab bottom fibers} = 10,337 \text{ in}^3 \text{ from before for top of precast section.} \]

Stress \( f'_{t_o} \) at top slab fibers = \( n \frac{M_L}{S'_c} \)

\[ = -0.77 \times \frac{2,177,288}{8,152} = -207 \text{ psi (1.4 MPa) (C)} \]

Stress \( f'_{b_o} \) at bottom slab fibers

\[ = -0.77 \times \frac{2,177,288}{10,337} = -162 \text{ psi (1.1 MPa) (C)} \]

2. **Support Section Stresses**

Check is made at the support face (a slightly less conservative check can be made at \( 50d_b \) from end).

\[ e_c = 12.81 \text{ in.} \]
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(a) At Transfer

\[ f' = \frac{-347,004}{615} \left( 1 - \frac{12.81 \times 10.02}{97} \right) - 0 \]

\[ = +182 \text{ psi (1.26 MPa) } \ll -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{-347,004}{615} \left( 1 + \frac{12.81 \times 21.98}{97} \right) + 0 \]

\[ = -2,202 \text{ psi (15.2 MPa) } < 0.60 f'_d = -2,250 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

(b) After slab is cast and at service load, the support section stresses both at top and bottom extreme fibers were found to be below the allowable, hence, O.K.

**Summary of Midspan Stresses (psi)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( f' )</th>
<th>( f_b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfer ( P_e ) only</td>
<td>+433</td>
<td>-2,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_D ) at transfer</td>
<td>-1,163</td>
<td>+2,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net at transfer</td>
<td>-730</td>
<td>+124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External load ( W_L )</td>
<td>-210</td>
<td>+693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net total at service</td>
<td>-940</td>
<td>+817</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Camber and Deflection Calculation

At Transfer

Initial

\[ E_a = 57,000 \sqrt{3.570} = 3.49 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (2.2 } \times 10^4 \text{ MPa) } \]

From before, 28 days

\[ E_e = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (2.8 } \times 10^4 \text{ MPa) } \]

Due to initial prestress only, from Figure 7.6

\[ \delta_i = \frac{P_e e_e I_L^2}{8 E_a I_e} + \frac{P_l (e_e - e_l) I^2}{24 E_a I_e} \]

\[ = \frac{-347,004 (18.73) (72 \times 12)^2}{8(3.49 \times 10^6)59,720} \]

\[ + \frac{-347,004 (12.81 - 18.73) (72 \times 12)^2}{24(3.49 \times 10^6)59,720} \]

\[ = -2.90 + 0.30 = -2.6 \text{ in. (66 mm)} \uparrow \]

Self-weight intensity \( w = 641/12 = 53.42 \text{ lb/in.} \)

Self-weight \( \delta_0 = \frac{5w a^4}{384E_a I_e} \) for uncracked section

\[ = \frac{5 \times 53.42(72 \times 12)^4}{384(3.49 \times 10^6)59,720} = 1.86 \text{ in. (47 mm) } \downarrow \]

Thus the net camber at transfer

\[ = -2.6 + 1.86 = -0.74 \text{ in. (19 mm)} \uparrow \]
4. Immediate Service Load Deflection
   (a) Effective \( I_e \), Method
      Modulus of Rupture
      \[ f_r = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_e} = 7.5 \sqrt{5,000} = 530 \text{ psi} \]
      \( f_r \) at service load = 817 psi (5.4 MPa) in tension (from before). Hence, the section is cracked and the effective \( I_e \) from Eqs. 3.19(a) or (b) should be used.
      \[ d_p = 18.73 + 10.02 + 2 \text{ (topping)} = 30.75 \text{ in. (780 mm)} \]
      \[ \rho_p = \frac{A_{ps}}{bd_p} = \frac{12(0.153)}{120 \times 30.75} = 4.98 \times 10^{-4} \]
      From Equation 7.13,
      \[ I_e = n_p A_{ps} d_p^2 \left(1 - 1.6 \sqrt{n_p \rho_p} \right) \]
      \[ n_p = 28.5 \times 10^6/4.03 \times 10^6 = 7 \] to be used in Equation 7.13
      Equation 7.13 gives \( I_e = 11,110 \text{ in.}^4 (4.63 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^4) \), use.
      From Equation 7.3a and the stress \( f_{ps} \) and \( f_d \) values already calculated for the bottom fibers at midspan with \( f_r = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_e} = 530 \text{ psi} \).
      Moment \( M_{cr} \) due to that portion of live load that causes cracking is
      \[ M_{cr} = S_d(7.5 \sqrt{f'_e} + f_\alpha - f_d) \]
      \[ = 3,142(530 + 2,426 - 2,550) \]
      \[ = 1,275,652 \text{ in.-lb} \]
      \( M_{cr} \), unfactored maximum live load moment = 2,177,288 in.-lb
      \[ \frac{M_{cr}}{M_u} = \frac{1,275,652}{2,177,288} = 0.586 \]
      where \( M_{cr} \) is the moment due to that portion of the live load that causes cracking and \( M_u \) is the maximum service unfactored live load.
      Using the preferable PCI expression of \( (M_{cr}/M_u) \) from Equation 7.11, and the stress values previously tabulated,
      \[ \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_u}\right)^3 = (0.586)^3 = 0.20 \]
      Hence, from Equation 7.10b,
      \[ I_e = \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_u}\right)^3 I_e + \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_u}\right)^3\right] I_e \leq I_e \]
      \[ I_e = 0.2(77,118) + (1 - 0.2)(11,110) \]
      \[ = 15,424 + 8,888 = 24,312 \text{ in}^4 \]
      \[ w_{3D} = \frac{1}{12} (891 - 641) = 20.83 \text{ lb/in.} \]
      \[ w_L = \frac{1}{12} \times 280 = 23.33 \text{ lb/in.} \]
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\[
\delta_L = \frac{5wl^4}{384EI_0} = \frac{5 \times 23.33(72 \times 12)^4}{384(4.03 \times 10^6)24,312} = +1.73 \text{ in. (45 mm)} \downarrow \text{ (as an average value)}
\]

When the concrete 2-in. topping is placed on the precast section, the resulting topping deflection with \( I_g = 59,720 \text{ in.}^4 \)

\[
\delta_{SD} = \frac{5 \times 20.83(72 \times 12)^4}{384(4.03 \times 10^6)59,720} = +0.63 \text{ in.} \downarrow
\]

**Solution by Bilinear Method**

\[
f_{net} = f_{ot} - 7.5k\sqrt{f_c'} = 817 - 530 = +287 \text{ psi (T) causing cracking}
\]

\( f_L \) = tensile stress caused by live load alone

\( = +693 \text{ psi (T)} \)

\( w_{L1} \) = Portion of live load not causing cracking

\[
= \left( f_L - f_{net} \right) \frac{w_L}{f_L} = \frac{693 - 287}{693} \times 280 \text{ plf}
\]

\( = 0.586 \times 280 = 164.1 \text{ plf} = 13.68 \text{ lb/in.} \)

\( \delta_{L1} \) due to uncracked \( I_g \)

\[
\delta_{L1} = \frac{5w_{L1}l^4}{384EI_0} = \frac{5 \times 13.68(72 \times 12)^4}{384(4.03 \times 10^6)77,118} = 0.32 \text{ in.} \downarrow
\]

\( w_{L2} = w_L - w_{L1} = \frac{1}{12} (280 - 164.1) = 9.66 \text{ lb/in.} \)

\( \delta_{L2} \) due to cracked \( I_{cr} \)

\[
\delta_{cr} = \frac{5w_{L2}l^4}{384EI_{cr}} = \frac{5 \times 9.66(72 \times 12)^4}{384(4.03 \times 10^6)11,110} = 1.57 \text{ in.} \downarrow
\]

Total live-load deflection prior to prestress losses

\( = \delta_{L1} + \delta_{cr} = 0.32 + 1.57 = 1.89 \text{ in.} \downarrow \)

versus 1.73 in. \downarrow obtained by the \( I_g \) method.

From before, \( \delta_i = -0.74 \uparrow \)

Net short-term deflection prior to prestress loss is

\( \delta_{\text{Total}} = -0.74 + 1.89 = 1.15 \text{ in.} \downarrow \)

5. **Long-term Deflection (Camber) by PCI Multipliers**

When the 2-in. concrete topping is placed on the precast section, the resulting topping deflection with \( I_g = 59,720 \text{ in.}^4 \) is

\[
\delta_{SD} = \frac{5 \times 20.83(72 \times 12)^4}{384(4.03 \times 10^6)59,720} = +0.63 \text{ in. (16 mm)}
\]

Using PCI multipliers at slab topping completion stage (30 days) and at the final service load (5 years), the following are the tabulated deflection values:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Transfer $\delta_p$ in.</th>
<th>PCI Multipliers</th>
<th>PCI Multiplier (Composite)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{final}}$ in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prestress</td>
<td>-2.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_D$</td>
<td>+1.86</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>+3.44</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$-0.74$ ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.24 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{SD}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.63 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1.45 ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_L$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1.89 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1.89 ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $\delta$</td>
<td>$-0.74$ ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, final deflection = 2.1 in. (53 mm) ↓

Allowable deflection = $\text{span}/180 = \frac{72 \times 12}{180} = 4.8$ in. > 2.1 in, O.K.

7.13 CRACKING BEHAVIOR AND CRACK CONTROL IN PRESTRESSED BEAMS

7.13.1 Introduction

The increased use of partial prestressing, allowing limited tensile stresses in the concrete under service-load and overload conditions while allowing nonprestressed steel to carry the tensile stresses, is becoming prevalent due to practicality and economy. Consequently, an evaluation of the flexural crack widths and spacing and control of their development become essential. Work in this area is relatively limited because of the various factors affecting crack width development in prestressed concrete. However, experimental investigations support the hypothesis that the major controlling parameter is the reinforcement stress change beyond the decompression stage. Navy, et al., have undertaken extensive research since the 1960s on the cracking behavior of prestressed pretensioned and post-tensioned beams and slabs because of the great vulnerability of the highly stressed prestressing steel to corrosion and other environmental effects and the resulting premature loss of prestress (Refs. 7.13–7.17). Serviceability behavior under service and overload conditions can be controlled by the design engineer through the application of the criteria presented in this section.

7.13.2 Mathematical Model Formulation for Serviceability Evaluation

**Crack Spacing.** Primary cracks form in the region of maximum bending moment when the external load reaches the cracking load. As loading is increased, additional cracks will form and the number of cracks will be stabilized when the stress in the concrete no longer exceeds its tensile strength at further locations regardless of load increase. This condition essentially produces the absolute minimum crack spacing that can occur at high steel stresses, here termed stabilized minimum crack spacing. The maximum possible crack spacing under this stabilized condition is twice the minimum and is termed the stabilized maximum crack spacing. Hence, the stabilized mean crack spacing $a_\omega$ is the mean value of the two extremes.

The total tensile force $T$ transferred from the steel to the concrete over the stabilized mean crack spacing can be defined as

$$ T = \gamma a_\omega \mu \Sigma \sigma $$

(7.29)

where $\gamma$ is a factor reflecting the distribution of bond stress, $\mu$ is the maximum bond stress which is a function of $\sqrt{f_c}$, and $\Sigma \sigma$ is the sum of the reinforcing elements' circum-
ferences. The resistance \( R \) of the concrete area in tension, \( A_t \), can be defined (see Figure 7.24) as

\[
R = A_t f'_t
\]

(7.30)

By equating Equations 7.29 and 7.30, the following expression for \( a_{ct} \) is obtained, where \( c \) is a constant to be developed from the tests:

\[
a_{ct} = c \frac{A_t f'_t}{\Sigma_0 \sqrt{f'_c}}
\]

(7.31)

From extensive tests (see Refs. 7.13, 7.14, and 7.15), \( c f'_c / \sqrt{f'_c} \) is found to have an average value of 1.2 for pretensioned, and 1.54 for post-tensioned prestressed beams.

**Crack Width.** If \( \Delta f \) is the net stress in the prestressed tendon or the magnitude of the tensile stress in the normal steel at any crack width load level in which the decompression load (decompression here means \( f_e = 0 \) at the level of the reinforcing steel) is taken as the reference point, then for the prestressed tendon

\[
\Delta f_s = f_{ns} - f_d \text{ ksi} (= 1,000 \text{ psi})
\]

(7.32)

where \( f_{ns} \) is the stress in the prestressing steel at any load level beyond the decompression load and \( f_d \) is the stress in the prestressing steel corresponding to the decompression load.

The unit strain \( \varepsilon_p = \Delta f / E_s \). Because it is logical to disregard as insignificant the unit strains in the concrete due to the effects of temperature, shrinkage, and elastic shortening, the maximum crack width can be defined as

\[
w_{max} = k a_{ct} \varepsilon_p^a
\]

(7.33)

or

\[
w_{max} = k' a_{ct} (\Delta f_s)^a
\]

(7.34)

where \( k \) and \( \alpha \) are constants to be established by tests.

**7.13.3 Expressions for Pretensioned Beams**

Equation 7.34 is rewritten in terms of \( \Delta f_s \) so that the following expression at the reinforcement level is obtained based on large numbers of tests:

\[
w_{max} = 1.4 \times 10^{-5} a_{ct} (\Delta f_s)^{1.31}
\]

(7.35)

![Figure 7.24](image)  
Effective concrete area in tension. (a) For even distribution of reinforcement in concrete. (b) For noneven distribution of reinforcement in concrete.
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A 40-percent band of scatter envelops all the data for the expression in Equation 7.35 for 
\(\Delta f_i = 20 \text{ to } 80 \text{ ksi}\).

Linearizing Equation 7.35 for easier use by the design engineer leads to the simplified expression

\[
w_{\text{max}} = 5.85 \times 10^{-5} \frac{A_t}{\Sigma_o} (\Delta f_i)
\]  

(7.36a)

of maximum crack width at the reinforcing steel level, and a maximum crack width (in.) at the tensile face of the concrete of

\[
w'_{\text{max}} = 5.85 \times 10^{-5} R_i \frac{A_t}{\Sigma_o} (\Delta f_i)
\]  

(7.36b)

where \(R_i\) is the ratio of distance from neutral axis to tension face to the distance from neutral axis to centroid of reinforcement.

A plot of the data and the best-fit expression for Equation 7.36a is given in Figure 7.25 with a 40-percent spread, which is reasonable in view of the randomness of crack development and the linearization of the original expression (Equation 7.35).

7.13.4 Expressions for Post-Tensioned Beams

The expression developed for the crack width in post-tensioned bonded beams which contain mild steel reinforcement is

\[
w_{\text{max}} = 6.51 \times 10^{-5} \frac{A_t}{\Sigma_o} (\Delta f_i)
\]  

(7.37a)

\[\frac{A_t}{\Sigma_o} \Delta f_i \times 10^3 \text{ lb/in.}\]

**Figure 7.25** Linearized maximum crack width versus \((A_t/\Sigma_o)\Delta f_i\) pretensioned beams.
for the width at the reinforcement level closest to the tensile face, and

\[
w'_{\text{max}} = 6.51 \times 10^{-5} \frac{R}{\sum_o} \frac{A_t}{\Delta f_t}
\]

(7.37b)

at the tensile face of the concrete lower fibers.

For nonbonded beams, the factor 6.51 in Equations 7.37a and 7.37b becomes 6.83. Figure 7.26 gives a regression plot of Equation 7.37a that shows a scatter band of ±40 percent, which is not unexpected in flexural cracking behavior. The crack spacing stabilizes itself beyond an incremental stress \( \Delta f_t \) of 30,000 psi to 35,000 psi, as shown in Figure 7.27, depending on the total reinforcement percent \( \rho_r \) of both the prestressed and the nonprestressed steel.

Recent work by Nawy et al., on the cracking performance of high strength prestressed concrete beams, both pretensioned and post-tensioned has shown that the factor 5.85 in Equation 7.36a is considerably reduced. For concrete strengths in the range of 9,000 to 14,000 psi (60 to 100 MPa), this factor reduces to 2.75, so that the expression for the maximum crack width at the reinforcement level (inch) becomes

\[
w_{\text{max}} = 2.75 \times 10^{-5} \frac{A_t}{\sum_o} (\Delta f_t)
\]

(7.38a)

In SI units, the expression is

\[
w_{\text{max}} = 4.0 \times 10^{-5} \frac{A_t}{\sum_o} (\Delta f_t)
\]

(7.38b)

where \( A_t, \text{cm}^2; \sum_o, \text{cm}; \Delta f_t, \text{MPa} \).

For more refined values in cases where the concrete cylinder compressive strength ranges between 6,000 psi and 12,000 psi or higher, a modifying factor for particular \( f'_c \) values can be obtained from the following expressions:

\[
\lambda_r = \frac{2}{\left(0.75 + 0.06 \sqrt{f'_c}\right) \sqrt{f'_c}}
\]

(7.39a)

For post-tensioned beams, the reduction multiplier \( \lambda_o \) is

\[
\lambda_o = \frac{1}{0.75 + 0.06 \sqrt{f'_c}}
\]

(7.39b)
where \( f'_{c} \) and the reinforcement stress are in ksi.

### 7.13.5 ACI New Code Provisions

The provisions used for crack control in reinforced concrete through bar spacing is extended to prestressed concrete bonded beams, on the assumption of the desirability of a "seamless transition" between serviceability requirements for non-prestressed members.
and fully prestressed members. The mechanism of crack generation differs in the prestressed beam from that in reinforced concrete due to initially imposed precompression. Also, effects of environmental conditions are considerably more serious in the case of prestressed concrete elements due to the corrosion risks to the tendons. These provisions stipulate that the spacing of the bonded tendons should not exceed 2/3 of the maximum spacing permitted for non-prestressed reinforcement. The expression for prestressed members becomes

\[ s = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{540}{\Delta f_e} - 2.5 c_v \right) \]  

(7.40)

but not to exceed \(8(36/\Delta f_e)\).

In SI units, the expression becomes

\[ s = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{95,000}{\Delta f_e} - 2.5 c_v \right) \]  

(7.41)

but not to exceed \(200(252/\Delta f_e)\), where \(\Delta f_e\) is in MPa and \(c_v\) is in mm units.

\(\Delta f_e\) = difference between the stress computed in the prestressing tendon at service load based on cracked section analysis, and the decompression stress \(f_{de}\) in the prestressing tendon. The code permits using the effective prestress \(f_{pe}\) in lieu of \(f_{de}\). \(\Delta f_e\) is limited to 36 ksi, and no check needed if \(\Delta f_e\) is less than 20 ksi.

\(c_v\) = clear cover from the nearest surface in tension to the flexural tension reinforcement, in.

While the code follows the author's definition of \(\Delta f_e\) given in Section 7.13.2 (Refs. 7.15, 7.17, 7.18, 7.26), Equations 7.40 and 7.41 still lack the practicability of use as a crack control measure and the 2/3 factor used in the expressions is arbitrary and not substantiated by test results. It should be emphasized that beams have finite flange widths. Such spacing provisions as presented in the Code are essentially unworkable, since actual spacing of the tendons in almost all practical cases is less than the code equation limits, hence almost all beams satisfy the code, though cracking levels may be detrimental in bridge decks, liquid containment vessels and other prestressed concrete structures in severe environment or subject to overload. They require additional mild steel reinforcement to control the crack width. Therefore, the expressions presented in Sections 7.13.3 and 7.13.4 in conjunction with Table 7.9 from ACI 224 Report (Ref. 7.26), should be used for safe mitigation of cracking in prestressed concrete members.

7.13.6 Long-Term Effects on Crack-Width Development

Limited studies on crack-width development and increase with time show that both sustained and cyclic loadings increase the amount of microcracking in the concrete. Also, microcracks formed at service-load levels in partially prestressed beams do not seem to have a recognizable effect on the strength or serviceability of the concrete element. Macroscopic cracks, however, do have a detrimental effect, particularly in terms of corrosion of the reinforcement and appearance. Hence, an increase of crack width due to sustained loading significantly affects the durability of the prestressed member regardless of whether prestressing is circular, such as in tanks, or linear, such as in beams. Information obtained from sustained load tests of up to two years and fatigue tests of up to one million cycles indicates that a doubling of crack width with time can be expected. Therefore, engineering judgment has to be exercised as regards the extent of tolerable crack width under long-term loading conditions.
Table 7.9  Maximum Tolerable Flexural Crack Widths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure condition</th>
<th>Crack width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry air or protective membrane</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity, moist air, soil</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-icing chemicals</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawater and seawater spray; wetting and drying</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-retaining structures (excluding nonpressure pipes)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.13.7 Tolerable Crack Widths

The maximum crack width that a structural element should tolerate depends on the particular function of the element and the environmental conditions to which the structure is liable to be subjected. Table 7.9 from the ACI Committee 224 report on cracking serves as a reasonable guide on the acceptable crack widths in concrete structures under the various environmental conditions encountered.

7.14 CRACK WIDTH AND SPACING EVALUATION IN PRETENSIONED T-BEAM WITHOUT MILD STEEL

Example 7.10

A pretensioned prestressed concrete beam has a T-section as shown in Figure 7.28. It is pretensioned with fifteen $\frac{3}{8}$-in. dia 7-wire strand 270-K grade. The locations of the neutral axis and center of gravity of steel are shown in the figure. $f'_c = 5,000$ psi, $E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f'_c}$, and $E_s = 28 \times 10^6$ psi. Find the mean stabilized crack spacing and the crack widths at the steel level as well as at the tensile face of the beam at $\Delta f_s = 30 \times 10^6$ psi. Assume that no failure in shear or bond takes place.

Solution:

$$\Delta f_s = 30,000 \text{ psi} = 30 \text{ ksi}$$

![Figure 7.28 Beam cross section in Example 7.10.](image)
Photo 7.9 New Maumee River Cable-Stayed Bridge, Toledo, Ohio night rendering. The design includes a unique single pylon clad with glass emitting LED arrays at night, single plane of stays, and a main span of 612 feet in both direction. Courtesy of the designer, the Figg Engineering Group of Tallahassee, Florida (see Photo 1.18 also)

Mean Stabilized Crack Spacing

\[ A_s = 7 \times 14 = 98 \text{ sq in.} \]
\[ \Sigma o = 15\pi D = 15\pi \left( \frac{7}{16} \right) = 20.62 \text{ in.} \]
\[ d_{cr} = 1.2 \left( \frac{A_s}{\Sigma o} \right) = 1.2 \left( \frac{98}{20.62} \right) = 5.7 \text{ in. (145 mm)} \]

Maximum Crack Width at Steel Level

\[ w_{max} = 5.85 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{A_s}{\Sigma o} \right) \Delta f_s = 5.85 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{98}{20.62} \right) 30 \]
\[ = 834.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in.} \approx 0.0083 \text{ in. (0.21 mm)} \]

Maximum Crack Width at Tensile Face of Beam

\[ R_i = \frac{25 - 10.36}{25 - 10.36 - 3.5} = 1.31 \]
\[ w'_{max} = w_{max} R_i = 0.0083 \times 1.31 = 0.011 \text{ in. (0.28 mm)} \]

7.15 CRACK WIDTH AND SPACING EVALUATION IN PRETENSIONED T-BEAM CONTAINING NONPRESTRESSED STEEL

Example 7.11

The beam in Example 7.10 also contains three #6 nonprestressed mild steel bars as shown in Figure 7.29. Find the crack spacing and width for an incremental steel stress \( \Delta f_s = 30,000 \text{ psi} = 30 \text{ ksi} (207 \text{ MPa}) \)
Solution:

**Mean Stabilized Crack Spacing**

\[
A_s = 14 \left( 3 \times 1.75 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{6} + 1.5 \right) = 14 \times 6.84 = 95.8 \text{ in}^2
\]

\[
\Sigma_o = 20.62 + 3 \times 2.36 = 27.70 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
a_o = 1.2 \left( \frac{A_s}{\Sigma_o} \right) = 1.2 \left( \frac{95.8}{27.7} \right) = 4.15 \text{ in. (105 mm)}
\]

**Maximum Crack Width at Steel Level**

\[
w_{\text{max}} = 5.85 \times 10^{-3} \left( \frac{A_s}{\Sigma_o} \right) \Delta f_p = 5.85 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{95.8}{27.7} \right) 30
\]

\[
= 606.9 \times 10^{-5} \approx 0.0061 \text{ in. (0.15 mm)}
\]

**Maximum Crack Width at Tensile Face of Beam**

\[
R_i = \frac{25 - 10.6}{25 - 10.6 - 2.75} = 1.24
\]

\[
w'_{\text{max}} = w_{\text{max}} R_i = 0.0061 \times 1.24 = 0.007 \text{ in. (0.18 mm)}
\]

**7.16 CRACK WIDTH AND SPACING EVALUATION IN PRETENSIONED I-BEAM CONTAINING NONPRESTRESSED MILD STEEL**

**Example 7.12**

A pretensioned prestressed concrete I-beam has the geometry shown in Figure 7.30. It is prestressed with twenty \( \frac{3}{8} \)-in. dia 7-wire 270-K grade low-relaxation strands and four \#7 mild steel bars having yield strength \( f_y = 60,000 \) psi. Find the mean stabilized crack spacing and the crack widths at the steel level as well as at the tensile face of the beam at incremental steel stress \( \Delta f_p = 20,000 \) psi (138 MPa). Assume that no failure in shear or bond takes place, and check whether the crack widths that develop satisfy the crack control criteria for deicing chemicals.

**Solution:** \( \Delta f_p = 20,000 \) psi = 20 ksi (138 MPa)
Mean Stabilized Crack Spacing

\[ A_c = 18 \times (3 \times 1.75 + \frac{1}{16} \times \frac{7}{16} + 1\frac{7}{8}) = 122.06 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ \Sigma \sigma = 20\pi D + 4 \times 2.75 = 20\pi \times \frac{7}{16} + 4 \times 2.75 = 38.49 \text{ in.} \]
\[ a_c = 1.2 \left( \frac{A_c}{\Sigma \sigma} \right) = 1.2 \left( \frac{122.06}{38.49} \right) = 3.8 \text{ in.} (97 \text{ mm}) \]

Maximum Crack Width at Steel Level

\[ w_{\text{max}} = 5.85 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{A_c}{\Sigma \sigma} \right) \Delta f_i = 5.85 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{122.06}{38.49} \right) 20 \]
\[ = 371.0 \times 10^{-5} \approx 0.0037 \text{ in.} (0.1 \text{ mm}) \]

Maximum Crack Width at Tensile Face of Beam

\[ R_i = \frac{36 - 19.23}{36 - 19.23 - 2.79} = 1.2 \]
\[ w_{\text{max}}' = w_{\text{max}} R_i = 0.0037 \times 1.2 = 0.0044 \text{ in.} (0.1 \text{ mm}) \]

Maximum Allowable Crack Width for Deicing. From Table 7.9, the maximum tolerable crack width for deicing is \( W_{\text{max}} = 0.007 > 0.0044 \text{ in.} (0.1 \text{ mm}) \). Hence, serviceability requirement is satisfied.

7.17 CRACK WIDTH AND SPACING EVALUATION FOR POST-TENSIONED T-BEAM CONTAINING NONPRESTRESSED STEEL

Example 7.13

A post-tensioned prestressed concrete beam has a T-section as shown in Figure 7.31. It is prestressed with twelve \( \frac{1}{8} \)-in. dia 7-wire strands of 270-K grade and additionally reinforced with four \#6 nonprestressed steel bars. The locations of the neutral axis and center of gravity
of steel are shown in the figure. Assume that $f'_{c} = 5,000$ psi, $E_{c} = 57,000\sqrt{f'_{c}}$ psi, and $E_{s} = 28,000$ ksi. Find the mean stabilized crack spacing and the crack widths at the steel level as well as at the tensile face of the beam at $\Delta f_{s} = 30,000$ psi, assuming there is no failure in shear or bond. Then determine whether the beam satisfies the serviceability criteria for crack control for humidity and moist air.

**Solution:**

\[
\Delta f_{s} = 30,000 \text{ psi} = 30 \text{ ksi}
\]

**Mean Stabilized Crack Spacing**

\[
A_{s} = 8 \times 12 = 96 \text{ in}^{2}
\]

\[
\Sigma a = 12 \times \pi \times \frac{1}{32} + 4 \times 2.36 = 25.93 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
a_{st} = 1.54 \frac{A_{s}}{\Sigma a} = 1.54 \times \frac{96}{25.93} = 5.70 \text{ in. (145 mm)}
\]

**Maximum Crack Width at Steel Level**

\[
w_{\text{max}} = 6.51 \times 10^{-5} \frac{A_{s}}{\Sigma a} (\Delta f_{s}) = 6.51 \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{96}{25.93} \times 30
\]

\[
= 0.0072 \text{ in. (0.18 mm)}
\]

**Maximum Crack Width at Tensile Face of Beam**

\[
R_{t} = \frac{22 - 9.31}{22 - 9.31 - 4} = 1.46
\]

\[
w'_{\text{max}} = w_{\text{max}} R_{t} = 0.007 \times 1.46 = 0.0102 \text{ in. (0.26 mm)}
\]

**Maximum Tolerable Crack Width for Humidity.** From Table 7.9, the maximum tolerable crack width for the stated humidity conditions is 0.012 in. (0.3 mm) > 0.0102 in. (0.26 mm), which is satisfactory.
7.18 CRACK CONTROL BY ACI CODE PROVISIONS

Example 7.14
Solve Example 7.10 by the ACI 318 code provisions for crack control.

Solution:

\[ \Delta f_c = 30 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ c_c = 1.5 \text{ in.} \]

From Eq. 7.40,
\[ s = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{540}{30} - 2 \times 1.5 \right) = 10 \text{ in.} < 12 \text{ in.}, \text{ O.K.} \]

From this solution, it is evident that every prestressed concrete beam would satisfy the ACI code requirements for crack control regardless of the loading conditions and/or overloading, or environmental conditions. It is rare that prestressed or mild steel reinforcement would ever be spaced within a flange that can violate the code spacing requirements. Hence the code provisions are not effective, and probably rarely would they be effective for crack control even in two-way prestressed concrete plates.

7.19 SI DEFLECTION AND CRACKING EXPRESSIONS

\[ E_c = w_c^{1.5} 0.043 \sqrt{f_c'} \text{ MPa} \quad (7.1a) \]
where \( f_c' \) is in MPa units and \( w_c \) is in Kg/m³ ranging between 1,500 to 2,500 Kg/m³.

For \( f_c' > 35 \text{ MPa}, < 80 \text{ MPa} \)

\[ E_c = 3.32 \sqrt{f_c'} + 6,895 \left( \frac{w_c}{2,320} \right)^{1.5} \text{ MPa} \]

For normal-weight concrete, \( E_c = 3.32 \sqrt{f_c'} + 6,895 \text{ MPa} \)

\[ f_c = 0.62 \sqrt{f_c'} \quad (7.1b) \]

\[ I_c = \frac{(M_{cr})^3}{M_a} I_k + \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_a} \right)^3 \right] I_{cr} \quad (7.10b) \]

\[ \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_a} \right) = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{f_{ul} - f_c}{f_{ul}} \right) \right] \quad (7.11) \]

\[ I_{cr} = n_p A_p d_p^2 (1 - 1.6 \sqrt{n_p \rho_p}) \quad (7.13) \]

\[ I_{cr} = (n_p A_p d_p^2 + n_s A_s d_s^2)(1 - 1.6 \sqrt{n_p \rho_p + n_s \rho}) \quad (7.14) \]

\[ \lambda = \frac{\xi}{1 + 50 \rho} \quad (7.16) \]

Equations 7.36 and 7.37 on crack control

\[ w_{\text{max}} = \alpha_w \times 10^{-5} \frac{A_t}{\Sigma o} (\Delta f_s), \text{ millimeters} \]

where \( A_t, \text{ cm}^2, \Sigma o, \text{ cm; } \Delta f_s, \text{ MPa} \)
\( \alpha = 8.48 \times 10^{-5} \) for pretensioned
\( = 9.44 \times 10^{-5} \) for post-tensioned
\( = 4.0 \times 10^{-5} \) for concretes with \( f'_{c} > 70 \) MPa

\( \text{MPa} = \text{N/mm}^2 \)

\( (\text{psi}) 0.006895 = \text{MPa} \)

\( (\text{lb/ft}) 14.593 = \text{N/m} \)

\( (\text{in.-lb}) 0.113 = \text{N-m} \)

7.20 SI DEFLECTION CONTROL

Example 7.15

Solve Example 7.9 for short-term deflection using the SI procedure.

Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) Section Geometry</th>
<th>Noncomposite</th>
<th>Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_{w} ), cm(^2)</td>
<td>3,968</td>
<td>5,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{w} ), cm(^4)</td>
<td>24.9 \times 10^4</td>
<td>32.2 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{w} ), cm</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_{w} ), cm</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_{r} ), cm</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_{w} ), cm</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{w} ), cm(^3)</td>
<td>4.5 \times 10^4</td>
<td>5.2 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{r} ), cm(^3)</td>
<td>9.8 \times 10^4</td>
<td>13.4 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_{D} ), kN/m</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t = 21.95 \text{ m} )</td>
<td>topping ( t = 5 \text{ cm} )</td>
<td>flange width ( b = 3.05 \text{ m} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_{L} )</td>
<td>4.09 kN/m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Material properties

\( V/S = 615/364 = 0.43 \text{ cm} \)

\( RH = 75\% \)

\( f'_{c} = 34.5 \text{ MPa, normal weight (2,370 kg/m}^3) \)

\( f_{c} = 0.45f'_{c} = 15.5 \text{ MPa} \)

\( f'_{a} = 25.9 \text{ MPa} \)

\( f_{a} = 0.6 \times 25.9 = 15.5 \text{ MPa} \)

topping \( f'_{c} = 20.7 \text{ MPa} \)

\( f' \) at bottom fibers = \( \sqrt{f'_{c}} = 5.9 \text{ MPa at service load} \)

\( f' \) allowable before unshored slab cast = 1.4 MPa (1\( \sqrt{f'_{c}} \))

\( A_{pu} = \) twelve tendons, 12.7-mm diameter, low-relaxation (0.99 mm²/tendon)

\( f_{pu} = 1,860 \text{ MPa, low-relaxation} \)

\( f'_{pu} = 1,300 \text{ MPa} \)

\( f_{y} = 1,380 \text{ MPa} \)

\( f_{y} = 1,790 \text{ MPa} \)

\( E_{pu} = 19.7 \times 10^4 \text{ MPa} \)
Solution:

1. Midspan section stresses

\[ f_p = 1.380 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_p \text{ assumed} = 0.945 f_p = 1.300 \text{ MPa at transfer} \]
\[ e_c = 47.5 \text{ cm} \]
\[ P_t = 12 \times 99 \times 1,300 \text{ MPa} = 1.54 \times 10^6 \text{ N} = 1,540 \text{ kN} \]
\[ \text{self-weight moment } M_D = \frac{9,340(21.95)^2}{8} = 562,500 \text{ N-m} \]

From Equation 4.1a,
\[ f^* = -\frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c e_s}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S^t} \]
\[ = -\frac{1,540,000}{3,968 \times 100} \left( 1 - \frac{47.5 \times 25.5}{626} \right) - \frac{562,500}{9.8 \times 10^4} \]
\[ = 3.6 - 5.7 = -2.1 \text{ MPa (C)} \]

From Equation 4.1b,
\[ f_s = -\frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c e_s}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S_p} \]
\[ = -\frac{1,540,000}{3,968 \times 100} \left( 1 + \frac{47.5 \times 55.8}{626} \right) + \frac{562,500}{4.5 \times 10^4} \]
\[ = -20.3 + 12.5 = -7.8 \text{ MPa (C)} \text{ < allow. 15.5 MPa, O.K.} \]

2. After unshored slab is cast

At this load level, assume 18% prestress losses.

\[ f_{ps} = 0.82 f_p = 0.82 \times 1,300 = 1,066 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ P_t = 12 \times 99 \times 1,066 = 1,266 \text{ kN} \]

For the 5 cm topping,

\[ \text{concrete weight} = 2,370 \text{ kg/m} = 2,370 \times 9.81 \text{ N/m}^3 \]
\[ = 23.3 \text{ kN/m}^3 \]

For 5 cm slab, \( w_{sd} = 0.05 \times 3.05 \times 23.3 = 3.6 \text{ kN/m} \)

\[ M_{sd} = \frac{3,600(21.95)^2}{8} = 216,800 \text{ N-m} \]
\[ M_D + M_{sd} = 562,500 + 216,800 = 780,000 \text{ N-m} \]

(In Example 7.9, \( M_D + M_{sd} = 782 \text{ kN-m since 2 in. topping is slightly more than 5 cm.} \)

For unshored case, from Equation 4.18a,
\[ f^* = -\frac{P_t}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c e_s}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{sd}}{S^t} \]
\[ = -\frac{1,266,000}{3,968 \times 100} \left( 1 - \frac{47.5 \times 25.5}{626} \right) - \frac{780,000}{9.8 \times 10^4} \]
\[ = +2.96 - 7.96 = -5.0 \text{ MPa (C)} \text{ < allow. } f_c = 15.5 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]

From Equation 4.18b,
7.20 SI Deflection Control

\[ f_b = \frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c e_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S_b} \]

\[ = \frac{1.266,000}{3.968 \times 100} \left( 1 + \frac{47.5 \times 55.8}{626} \right) + \frac{780,000}{4.5 \times 10^4} \]

\[ = -16.61 + 17.34 = 0.64 \text{ MPa (T) < allow, } f_i = 1.4 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]

3. **At service load for precast section**

Section modulus at top of precast section is

\[ S'_c = \frac{32.2 \times 10^5}{25.5 - 5.0} = 15.7 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^3 \]

\[ M_L = \frac{4,090(21.95)^2}{8} = 246,320 \text{ N-m} \]

From Equation 4.19a,

\[ f' = -\frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c e_b}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{SD}}{S'} - \frac{M_{CSD} + M_L}{S'_c} \]

\[ M_{SD} = \text{superimposed dead load} = 0 \text{ in this case} \]

\[ f' = -5.0 - \frac{246,320}{15.7 \times 10^4} = -5.0 - 1.57 = -6.57 \text{ MPa (C), O.K.} \]

From Equation 4.19b,

\[ f_b = +0.64 + \frac{246,320}{5.2 \times 10^3} = +0.74 + 4.74 \]

\[ = +5.38 \text{ MPa (T) = allow, } f_i = +5.9 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]

4. **Composite slab stresses**

Precast double-T concrete modulus

\[ E_c = w_c^{0.5} \times 0.043 \sqrt{f_{ct}} \quad w_c = 2,370 \text{ Kg/m}^3 \]

\[ E_c = 2,370^{0.5} \times 0.043 \sqrt{34.5} = 2.91 \times 10^4 \text{ MPa} \]

Situ-cast slab concrete modulus

\[ E_c = 2,370^{0.5} \times 0.043 \sqrt{20.7} = 2.25 \times 10^4 \text{ MPa} \]

Modular ratio

\[ n_p = \frac{2.25 \times 10^4}{2.91 \times 10^4} = 0.77 \]

\[ S'_c \text{ for 5 cm slab top fiber} = 13.4 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^3 \]

\[ S_{sb} \text{ for 5 cm slab bottom fiber} = 15.7 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ from before for top of precast section.} \]

Stress \( f'_{ct} \) at top slab fiber = \( n_p \frac{M_L}{S'_c} = -0.77 \times \frac{246,320}{13.4 \times 10^4} = 1.4 \text{ MPa (C)} \)

Stress \( f_{csb} \) at bottom slab fibers = \( -0.77 \times \frac{246,320}{15.7 \times 10^4} = 1.2 \text{ MPa (C)} \)

5. **Support section stresses**

Check is made at the support face (a slightly less conservative check can be made at 50d, from end).

\[ e_s = 32.5 \text{ cm} \]
At transfer

\[ f' = \frac{1540000}{3968 \times 100} \left( 1 - \frac{32.5 \times 25.5}{626} \right) - 0 \]

\[ = -1.26 \text{ MPa (C)} < \text{allow.} f_e = 15.5 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = -\frac{1540000}{3968 \times 100} \left( 1 + \frac{32.5 \times 55.8}{626} \right) + 0 \]

\[ = -15.2 \text{ MPa (C)} < \text{allow.} f_e = 15.5 \text{ MPa, O.K.} \]

After the unshored slab was cast and at service load, the support section stresses both at top and bottom extreme fibers were found to be below the allowable, hence O.K.

Summary of Midspan Stresses (MPa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Stage</th>
<th>( f' )</th>
<th>( f_b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfer ( P_s ) only</td>
<td>+2.96</td>
<td>-16.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_D ) at transfer</td>
<td>-7.96</td>
<td>+17.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net at transfer</td>
<td>-5.00</td>
<td>+0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External load ( w_L )</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>+4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net total at service</td>
<td>-6.57</td>
<td>+5.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Short-term (immediate) deflection
   (a) Deflection at transfer

Initial \( E_{at} = w_0^{1.5} 0.043 \sqrt{f'} \)

\[ = (2.370)^{1.5} \times 0.043 \sqrt{25.9} = 2.52 \times 10^4 \text{ MPa} \]

from before, 28 days \( E_c = 2.80 \times 10^4 \text{ MPa} \)

From Figure 7.6, deflection due to initial prestress only.

\[ \delta_i = \frac{P_i e_x t^2}{8 E_{at} I_g} + \frac{P_i (e_x - e_c) t^2}{24 E_{at} I_g} \]

\[ = 10^3 \left[ -\frac{1540,000 \times 47.5(21.95)^2}{2(2.52 \times 10^5)(24.9 \times 10^4)} \right] \]

\[ + 10^3 \left[ -\frac{1540,000(32.5 - 47.5)(21.95)^2}{24(2.52 \times 10^5)(24.9 \times 10^4)} \right] \]

\[ = -70.2 + 7.3 = -62.9 \text{ say 65 mm } \uparrow \]

Self-weight \( \delta_D = \frac{S w_D t^4}{384 E_{at} I_g} \)

\[ = \frac{5 \times 9340(21.95)^4 \times 10^5}{384(2.52 \times 10^5)(24.9 \times 10^4)} = 45 \text{ mm } \downarrow \]

Thus, net camber at transfer = -(65 - 45) = -20 mm \uparrow.

(b) Immediate service load deflection

From Equation 7.13,
\[ I_{cr} = n_p A_p d_p^2 (1 - 1.6 \sqrt{n_p \rho_p}) \]
\[ d_p = c_t + c_p + 5 \text{ cm (topping)} = 47.5 + 25.5 + 5 = 78 \text{ cm} \]
\[ A_p = 12 \times 99 = 1188 \text{ mm}^2 \]
\[ \rho_p = \frac{A_p}{b d_p} = \frac{1188 \text{ cm}^2}{305 \times 78} = 0.0047 \]
\[ n_p = \frac{E_{ps}}{E_c} = \frac{19.7 \times 10^6}{2.91 \times 10^6} = 6.8 \]
\[ I_{cr} = 6.8 \times 11.88(78)^2 (1 - 1.6 \sqrt{6.8 \times 4.94 \times 10^{-4}}) = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^4 \]

From Equation 7.11,
\[ \frac{M_{cr}}{M_s} = 1 - \left(\frac{f_{st}}{f_{L}}\right) \]
\[ f_{st} = 0.62 \sqrt{f_c'} = 0.62 \times \sqrt{3.45} = 3.64 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ f_{L} = +5.48 \text{ MPa} (T) \]
\[ f_{L} = +4.74 \text{ (T)} \]
\[ \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_s}\right) = 1 - \frac{(5.48 - 3.64)}{4.74} = 0.6 \]
\[ \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_s}\right)^3 = 0.216 \]

from Equation 7.10b,
\[ I_s = \left[\frac{M_s}{M_s}\right] I_s + \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_s}\right)^3\right] I_s = I_s \]
\[ = 0.216(24.9 \times 10^3) + (1 - 0.216)(4.03 \times 10^3) = 5.378 \times 10^3 + 3.160 \times 10^3 = 8.54 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^4 \]
\[ w_L = 4.090 \text{ N/m} \]
\[ \delta_L = \frac{5w_L L^4}{384 E_c I_s} = \frac{5(4.090)(21.95)^4}{384(2.91 \times 10^6)(8.54 \times 10^3)} = +50 \text{ mm} \]

When the concrete 5 cm topping is placed on the precast section, the resulting topping deflection with \( I_s = 24.9 \times 10^3, w_{SD} = 3.600 \text{ N/m} \).
\[ \delta_{SD} = \frac{5 \times 3.600(21.95)^4}{384(2.91 \times 10^6)(24.9 \times 10^3)} = +15 \text{ mm} \]

\( e \) Summary of short-term deflections

\begin{itemize}
  \item Prestress Camber \( \delta_i = 65 \text{ mm} \)
  \item Dead Load \( \delta_D = 45 \text{ mm} \)
  \item Live Load \( \delta_L = 50 \text{ mm} \)
  \item 5 cm topping Load \( \delta_{SD} = 15 \text{ mm} \)
\end{itemize}
7.21 SI CRACK CONTROL

Example 7.15
Solve Example 7.11 using SI procedure

Data

\[ \Delta f_s = 207 \text{ MPa} \]
\[ A_t = 618 \text{ cm}^2 \]
\[ \Sigma \sigma = 70.4 \text{ cm} \]

(a) Steel level

\[ w_{\text{max}} = 8.48 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{A_t}{\Sigma \sigma} \right) \Delta f_s \]
\[ = 8.48 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{618}{70.4} \right) 207 = 0.15 \text{ mm} \]

(b) Tensile beam face

\[ R_t = 1.24 \text{ from Example 7.11} \]
\[ w_{\text{max}} = 1.24 \times 0.15 = 0.19 \text{ say } 0.2 \text{ mm} \]
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**PROBLEMS**

7.1 Calculate the instantaneous and long-term cambers and deflections of the AASHTO beam of Example 4.2 for 7, 30, 180, and 365 days, and 5 years by (a) the PCI multipliers method, (b) the incremental time-steps method, and (c) the approximate time-steps method. Then tabulate and compare the results. Are the deflections within the AASHTO permissible limits on deflection? Given $f'_c = 6,000$ psi.

7.2 A 68-ft (20.7-m) span simply supported lightweight concrete double-T-beam is subjected to a superimposed topping load $W_{sd} = 250$ plf (3.65 kN/m) and a service live load $W_L = 300$ plf (4.38

![Figure P7.2](image_url)
kN/m). Calculate the immediate camber and deflection of this beam by the bilinear method and the time-dependent deflections at intervals of 7, 30, 90, and 365 days using the PCI multipliers method and verify whether they are within the permissible ACI limits on deflection for the conditions where nonstructural elements are not likely to be damaged by large deflections. Use Figure P7.2 and the following data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncomposite</th>
<th>Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_x$, in.$^2$</td>
<td>615 (3,968 cm$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_x$, in.$^4$</td>
<td>59,720 (24.9 × 10$^5$ cm$^4$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^2$, in.$^2$</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$, in.</td>
<td>21.98 (558 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$, in.</td>
<td>10.02 (255 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_p$, in.$^3$</td>
<td>2,717 (4.5 × 10$^6$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$, in.$^3$</td>
<td>5,960 (9.8 × 10$^6$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_d$, plf</td>
<td>491 (7.15 kN/m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$V/S = 615/346 = 1.69$ in. (4.3 cm)

$RH = 75\%$

$e_c = 18.73$ in. (476 mm)

$e_p = 12.81$ in. (325 mm)

$f'_c = 5,000$ psi (34.5 MPa) (lightweight)

$f'_d = 3,750$ psi (25.7 MPa)

$f_c$ at bottom fibers $= 12\sqrt{f'_c} = 849$ psi (5.6 MPa)

$A_{ps} = $ twelve $\frac{1}{4}$-in. dia low-relaxation steel depressed at midspan only

$f_{pu} = 270,000$ psi (1,862 MPa)

$f_{pi} = 189,000$ psi (1,303 MPa)

$f_{pt} = 260,000$ psi (1,793 MPa)

$E_{ps} = 28.5 \times 10^6$ psi (196 GPa)

7.3 Determine the crack width and stabilized mean crack spacing in the double-T beam of Problem 7.2 for an incremental stress of 15,000 psi (103 MPa) beyond the decompression state. Also, determine whether the maximum crack width obtained satisfies the serviceability requirement for crack control for a humid and moist environment.

7.4 A simply supported bonded double-T beam has a 50-ft span and is subjected to a uniform live load of 1,250 plf and a superimposed dead load of 200 plf. Its geometrical properties and maximum allowable stresses are as follows:

$A_x = 615$ in.$^2$

$I_x = 59,720$ in.$^4$ (77,118 in$^4$)

$S_p = 2,717$ in.$^3$ (3,142 in$^3$)

$S' = 5,960$ in.$^3$ (8,150 in$^3$)

$W_D = 641$ plf (491 plf)

$V/S = 1.69$ in.

$f'_c = 5,000$ psi (normal weight)

$f_c = 2,250$ psi

$f'_d = 3,750$ psi

$f_d = 184$ psi
\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{py} = 235,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{pc} = 195,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ E_{pu} = 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]
\[ c_0 = 21.98 \text{ in. (24.54 in.)} \]
\[ c_t = 10.02 \text{ in. (9.46 in.)} \]
\[ RH = 70\% \]
\[ f_{as} = 2,250 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_a = 849 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{pe} = 150,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_p = 60,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ A_{ps} = \text{sixteen} \frac{1}{2}\text{-in. dia 7-wire low-relaxation strands} \]

Bracketed values are for the composite section due to 2-in. topping.

(a) Find the eccentricities \( e_x \) and \( e_y \) that would result in a tensile stress \( f_x = 750 \) psi at the lower fiber at midspan at service load, and tensile stresses within the allowable limits at the support section both at initial prestress and at service load. Use nonprestressed reinforcement where necessary.

(b) Find the long-term camber and deflection of the beam by the approximate time-step procedure for \( t = 7 \) days and \( t = 180 \) days, assuming that the ultimate creep coefficient \( C_u = 2.35 \). Use the moment-curvature approach to determine the initial camber at transfer. Are the values within the allowable ACI limits?

(c) Calculate the flexural crack width at service load for a stress increment \( \Delta f_s = 15,000 \) psi beyond the decompression stress.

7.5 Find the long-term camber and deflection in Example 7.9 by the incremental time-steps method, assuming that twelve \( \frac{1}{2}\)-in. dia 7-wire 270 K stress-relieved strands are used for prestressing the beam section. Calculate the flexural crack width at service load for a stress increment \( \Delta f_s = 15,000 \) psi beyond the decompression stress.
8.1 INTRODUCTION

Although prestressing is predominantly used in flexural members such as beams and slabs, it is also used in axially loaded members such as long columns (compression members) and ties for arches and truss elements (tension members). Yet another use is in pre-tensioned and post-tensioned prestressed piles and masts.

The theory, analysis, and design of prestressed compression members are similar to those of reinforced concrete members. The internal axial prestressing force in the bonded tendon produces no column action; hence, no buckling can result as long as the prestressing steel and the surrounding concrete are in direct contact along the total length of the element. As such, the bending tendency of the concrete at midlength is neutralized by the stretching effect of the axially embedded prestressing strands.

Columns are normally subjected to bending in addition to axial load, since external loads are rarely concentric. As a result, the concrete section is subjected to tension at the side farthest from the line of action of the longitudinal load. Cracking develops, but it can be prevented through the use of prestress in the columns. If the applied load is concen-
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Eccentric, prestressing is inconsequential if not altogether disadvantageous, as the compressive stress on the concrete section is needlessly increased.

A compression member can be considered fully prestressed throughout its length if no loss in development of prestressing occurs at its ends. If partial loss occurs, the reinforcement segment in the development zone is considered nonprestressed and the section at the end zone is treated as a reinforced concrete eccentrically loaded section.

Tension members are normally subjected to direct tension only. These elements are mostly linear, as for example, railroad ties, restraining ties for arch bridges, tension members in trusses, and foundation anchorages for earthwork retaining structures. Tension members can also be circular or parabolic in shape, as witness prestressed circular containers or catenary-shaped bridge elements. The fundamental function of the pure tension members is to prevent their cracking at service load and to enable them to sustain all the necessary deformation needed to develop full resistance to the external service loads and overloads. Being crack-free would fully protect the reinforcement from corrosion and other environmental conditions.

8.2 PRESTRESSED COMPRESSION MEMBERS:
LOAD–MOMENT INTERACTION IN COLUMNS AND PILES

In order to evaluate the nominal strength of a column at various eccentric load levels, it is necessary to evaluate all possible combinations of ultimate nominal loads $P_n$ and ultimate nominal moments $M_n$ given by

$$M_n = P_n e_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1)

where $e_i$ is the eccentricity of the load at the various load–moment combinations. A plot of the relationship between $P_n$ and $M_n$ is shown in the interaction diagram of Figure 8.1 for both nonslender columns (material failure) and slender columns (stability failure). In the nonslender column, failure occurs as the load reaches the value $A$ along path OA, and the concrete arches at the compression side. In the slender column, the maximum load is reached at $B$ along the path OBC, which intersects the interaction diagram at $C$. Instability occurs once the critical load is reached. A quantitative definition of slender and nonslender columns is given later.

![Figure 8.1](image)

**Figure 8.1** Basic interaction diagram for columns. (a) Path OA for material failure in nonslender column. (b) Path OBC for buckling failure of slender column.
The basic assumptions made in regard to prestressed concrete, similar to those made in regard to reinforced concrete columns, are as follows:

1. The strain distribution in the concrete varies linearly with depth.
2. The stress distribution in the compression zone is parabolic and is replaced in analysis and design by an equivalent rectangular block.

3. The stress-strain diagrams of the concrete and the prestressing steel are known.

4. The crushing strain of the concrete in combined bending and axial load at the extreme fibers is $\varepsilon_c = 0.003 \text{ in./in.}$, and the average crushing strain at mid-depth of a concrete section subjected mainly to axial load is $\varepsilon_0 = 0.002 \text{ in./in.}$.

5. The section is considered to have failed when the strain in the concrete at the extreme compression fibers reaches $\varepsilon_u = 0.003 \text{ in./in.}$ or $\varepsilon_0 = 0.002 \text{ in./in.}$ at mid-depth. Note that $\varepsilon_u = 0.003$ is the value used in the ACI Code, whereas other codes use a higher value of 0.0035 or 0.0038.

6. Compatibility of strain is postulated between the concrete and the prestressing steel.

The modes of failure are also similar to those of reinforced concrete columns:

1. **Initial compression failure, small eccentricity.** This failure mode develops when the strain in the concrete at the loaded side reaches $\varepsilon_{cu} = 0.003 \text{ in./in.}$ while the strain in the prestressing steel at the far side is below the yield strain. The eccentricity $e$ of the axial load is smaller than the balanced eccentricity $e_b$.

2. **Initial tension failure, large eccentricity.** This failure mode is the reverse of the preceding one. The steel at the far side yields prior to the crushing of the concrete at the loaded side. The eccentricity $e$ of the axial load is larger than the balanced eccentricity $e_b$.

3. **Balanced state of strain, $\varepsilon_u = 0.002 \text{ in./in.}$, balanced eccentricity.** This mode defines the condition of maximum moment value $M_{nb}$ on the interaction curve corresponding to a maximum tensile strain in the tension layers equal to a strain increment $\Delta \varepsilon_{pt} = 0.0012$ to 0.0020 in./in. beyond the service load level. The eccentricity of the axial load is defined as the balanced eccentricity $e_b$.

The three major controlling points on the interaction diagram are:

1. $M_u = 0$, corresponding to $\varepsilon_u = 0.002 \text{ in./in.}$ at failure due to the concentric load $P_u$. The neutral axis position is at infinity.

2. No tension at the extreme concrete tensile fibers and $\varepsilon_{cu} = 0.003 \text{ in./in.}$ at the extreme concrete compression fibers. The neutral axis position is at the extreme tension fibers.

3. $P_u = 0$ and $\varepsilon_{cu} = 0.003 \text{ in./in.}$ at the extreme compression fibers. The neutral axis is inside the section and is determined by trial and adjustment, assuming a depth $c$ and then testing the assumption.

Figure 8.2 shows the strain and stress distribution for these three cases.

The remaining points on the interaction diagram are for cases that lie between stages (a), (b), and (c) of Figure 8.2, namely, from concentric loading to pure bending. In the case of columns, pure bending defines the state where the ratio of the factored axial load $P_u$ to the factored flexural moment $M_u$ is negligible. The parabolic distribution of stress for cases (b) and (c) is replaced by the equivalent rectangular block, where the block depth $a = b - c_1$ as is done in the case of flexural beams.

The typical case of a compression member lies between stages (b) and (c) of Figure 8.2. The strains, stresses, and forces for such a case are shown in Figure 8.3 for the critical section at the limit state of ultimate load by material failure. Cutting the free-body diagram at the column midheight above section 1–1, the cross-section of the member is shown in part (b) of the figure, and the strain and stress at failure in parts (c) and (d), re-
The strain \( \varepsilon_{es} \) is the uniform strain in the concrete under effective prestress after creep, shrinkage, and relaxation losses given respectively by

\[
C_{cn} = 0.85f'_{ce} b a
\]

and

\[
T_{sn} = A_{ps} f_{ps}
\]

Equilibrium of forces then gives

\[
P_n = C_{cn} - T_{sn}' - T_{sn}
\]

If the effective prestressing force after all losses is \( P_n \), the corresponding strain in the tendons prior to the application of the external load is

\[
\varepsilon_{pe} = \frac{f_{pe}}{E_{ps} (A_{ps} + A'_{ps})E_{ps}}
\]
The change in strain in the prestressing steel area $A'_{ps}$ as the compression member passes from the effective prestressing stage to the ultimate load can be defined as

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_{ps}' = \varepsilon_{cu} \left( \frac{c - d'}{c} \right) - \varepsilon_{ce} \quad (8.4b)
\]

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_{ps} = \varepsilon_{cu} \left( \frac{d - c}{c} \right) + \varepsilon_{ce} \quad (8.4c)
\]

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_p = \Delta \varepsilon_{ps} - \varepsilon_{ce} \quad (8.4d)
\]

\[
T_{in}' = A'_{ps} f_{ps}' = A'_{ps} E_p(\varepsilon_{ps} - \Delta \varepsilon_{ps}')
\]
Photo 8.2  Cable-stayed Sunshine Skyway Bridge, Tampa, Florida. (Courtesy, Figg Engineering Group Tallahassee, FL.)

Figure 8.4  Load-moment interaction diagram controlling eccentricities.
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or

$$T'_{sn} = A'_{ps} E_{ps} \left[ \varepsilon_{pe} - \varepsilon_{cu} \left( \frac{c - d'}{c} \right) + \varepsilon_{ce} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.5)

Similarly,

$$T_{sn} = A_{ps} f_{ps} = A_{ps} E_{ps} (\varepsilon_{pe} + \Delta \varepsilon_{ps})$$

or

$$T_{sn} = A_{ps} E_{ps} \left[ \varepsilon_{pe} + \varepsilon_{cu} \left( \frac{d - c}{c} \right) + \varepsilon_{ce} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.6)

Taking moments about the geometric centroid $cgc$ of the section gives

$$M_n = P_n CG = C_n \left( \frac{h}{2} - \frac{a}{2} \right) - T_{sn} \left( \frac{h}{2} - d' \right) + T_m \left( d - \frac{h}{2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.7)

From Equations 8.2a, 8.5, 8.6, and 8.7, the nominal strengths $P_n$ and $M_n$ for several eccentricities $\varepsilon_i$ can be evaluated in order to construct the P-M interaction diagram for any section or develop nondimensional series of P-M interaction diagrams for various concrete strength levels. The design strengths are evaluated from the nominal strength values as

Design $P_u = \phi P_n$

and

Design $M_u = \phi M_n = \phi P_u \varepsilon$

where $\phi$ is the strength reduction factor for compression members. Note that the design $P_u$ and $M_u$ have to have a value close to, but not less than, the factored values $P_u$ and $M_u$. The load-moment interaction diagram for the controlling eccentricities is shown in Figure 8.4.

8.3 STRENGTH REDUCTION FACTOR $\phi$

For members subject to flexure and relatively small axial loads, failure is initiated by yielding of the tension reinforcement and takes place in an increasingly ductile manner. Hence, for small axial loads it is reasonable to permit an increase in the $\phi$ factor from that required for pure compression members. When the axial load vanishes, the member is subjected to pure flexure, and the strength reduction factor $\phi$ becomes 0.90.

Figure 4.45 shows the transition zone in which the strength reduction factor, $\phi$, can be increased from 0.65 for tied columns and 0.70 for spirally reinforced columns to 0.90 for pure flexure in the strain limits approach. The balanced limit strain for the compression-controlled state is denoted by limiting strain $\varepsilon_c = 0.002$ in./in., or a neutral axis depth ratio $c/d_i = 0.60$ for compression members. The value $P_n = 0.10 f' A_s$ can be considered as a design axial load below which the $\phi$ factor can safely be increased for most compression members, within the limitations of the transition zone of Figure 4.45. To recapitulate, interpolation of the $\phi$ values for the transition zone from the limit strain state in compression ($\varepsilon_c = 0.002$) to the limit strain state in tension ($\varepsilon_t = 0.005$), as in Equations 4.36 (a) and 4.36 (b), can be made from the following expressions:

(a) $\phi$ as a function of strain,

Tied Sections:

$$0.65 \leq [\phi = 0.48 + 83 \varepsilon_t] \leq 0.90$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.8a)
Spirally-reinforced sections:
\[ 0.70 \leq [\phi = 0.57 + 67 \varepsilon_i] \leq 0.90 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.8b)

(b) \( \phi \) as a function of the neutral axis depth ratio,
Tied sections:
\[ 0.65 \leq \left( \phi = 0.23 + \frac{0.25}{c/d_t} \right) \leq 0.90 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.9a)

Spirally-reinforced sections:
\[ 0.70 \leq \left( \phi = 0.37 + \frac{0.20}{c/d_t} \right) \leq 0.90 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.9b)

Note that the balanced strain condition in prestressed concrete compression members is highly indeterminate. A reasonable approximation can be made by assuming, in trial and adjustment, a value \( \Delta_{ps} = 0.0012 \) to 0.0020 in./in. beyond the service load level and computing the stress block depth "a" of the concrete section accordingly. This assumption has to be verified, and the nominal moment for the limit stain condition \( \varepsilon_i = 0.002 \) adjusted after the interaction diagram is plotted. In this manner, it becomes possible to refine the maximum moment ordinate value for the balanced strain limit state in compression represented by the neutral axis depth \( c_n \) if needed.

### 8.4 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURE FOR THE DESIGN OF NONSLENDER PRESTRESSED COMPRESSION MEMBERS

The following steps can be carried out for the design of nonslender (short) columns where the behavior is controlled by material failure:

1. Evaluate the factored external axial load \( P_u \) and the factored moment \( M_u \). Compute the applied eccentricity \( e = M_u/P_u \).
2. Assume a cross section and type of lateral reinforcement to be used, namely, tied or spiral. Avoid fractional quantities in selecting sectional dimensions.
3. Assume the number and size of strands.
4. Assume that the strain in the extreme tensile fibers is equal to an assumed strain \( \varepsilon_{ps} \) of the prestressing steel, and then proceed to compute the balanced limit strain axial load \( P_{nb} \) and the corresponding moment \( M_{nb} \) at limit strain \( \varepsilon_i = 0.002 \). This step also enables one to verify the value of the strength reduction factor. The moment \( M_{nb} \) results from an \( \varepsilon_{ps} \) strain value which gives a maximum moment in the interaction diagram.
5. Assume a neutral axis depth \( c \), and find the corresponding \( P_n \) and \( M_n \). Then check for the adequacy of the assumed section, i.e., whether \( \phi P_n \) > the factored \( P_u \) and \( \phi M_n \) > the factored \( M_u \). If the section cannot support the factored load or is oversized and hence uneconomical, revise the cross section and the reinforcement through trial and adjustment by repeating steps 4 and 5 as necessary, including the construction of an interaction diagram.
6. Design the lateral reinforcement.

Figure 8.5 presents a flowchart of the trial-and-adjustment sequences of the design or analysis procedure.
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![Flowchart for design or analysis of prestressed concrete nonslender compression members.]

Figure 8.5 Flowchart for design or analysis of prestressed concrete nonslender compression members.

8.5 CONSTRUCTION OF NOMINAL LOAD–MOMENT \((P_n-M_n)\) AND DESIGN \((P_u-M_u)\) INTERACTION DIAGRAMS

Example 8.1

Construct the nominal load–moment interaction diagram for a prestressed concrete compression member 14-in. (356 mm) wide and 14-in. deep. The member is reinforced with eight \(\frac{1}{2}\)-in. (12.7 mm) dia 7-wire stress-relieved 270-K strands, half on each side of the two faces parallel to the neutral axis as shown in Figure 8.6. The stress-strain diagram for the strands is shown in Figure 8.7. The effective prestress after all losses is \(f_{pe} = 150,000\) psi (1,034 MPa). Additionally, draw the design interaction diagram using the appropriate strength reduction factor values. Consider the strands fully developed throughout the length of the member. Given data are as follows:

\[
f'_c = 6,000\text{ psi} (47.5\text{ MPa}), \text{ normal-weight concrete}
\]
\[
E_{ps} = 29 \times 10^6\text{ psi} (200 \times 10^3\text{ MPa})
\]
\[
f_{ps} = 240,000\text{ psi} (1,655\text{ MPa})
\]
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6 Assume c and a = β₁c
Compute $P_\text{en} = C_{\text{en}} - T_{\text{en}} - T_{\text{in}}$
where $C_{\text{en}} = 0.85 f'_{\text{ck}}$ba

$T'_{\text{en}} = A'_{\text{es}} E_P \left[ \epsilon_{\text{pe}} - \epsilon_{\text{cu}} \left( \frac{c - d'}{c} \right) + \epsilon_{\text{ce}} \right]$

$T_{\text{in}} = A_{\text{es}} E_P \left[ \epsilon_{\text{pe}} + \epsilon_{\text{cu}} \left( \frac{d-c}{c} \right) + \epsilon_{\text{ce}} \right]$

$M_{\text{en}} = C_{\text{en}} (h/2 - a/2) - T_{\text{en}}^' (h/2 - d') + T_{\text{in}} (d - h/2)$

$e = M_{\text{en}}/P_{\text{en}}$

Assume new value of c.
Use trial and adjustment to obtain new $P_{\text{en}}$, including revising section and/or steel.

7 No

$\phi P_{\text{en}} \approx \text{external factored } P_{\text{e}}$

Yes

8 Check $\phi$ and design lateral reinforcement

END

Figure 8.5  Continued

Photo 8.3  Interior of the George Moscone Convention Center, San Francisco, California, design by T. Y. Lin International. (Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.)
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\[ A_{se} = 8 - \frac{3}{2} \text{ in. dia. strands} \]

**Figure 8.6** Section geometry.

\[ \epsilon_{cu} = 0.003 \text{ in./in. at failure} \]
\[ \epsilon_{ce} = 0.0005 \text{ in./in. when } P_e \text{ acts on the section} = \frac{P_e}{A_e E_e} \left(1 + \epsilon_{y}^{2}\right) \]
\[ \epsilon_{py} = \text{strand yield strain} = 0.012 \text{ in./in. from Figure 8.9} \]

Assume a reasonable value of \( \epsilon_{p} \) and adjust as necessary.

**Solution:**

**Nominal Strength \( P_n-M_n \) Diagram**

1. **Axial Compression:** \( M_z = 0, c = \infty \) (Use \( \epsilon_{cu} = 0.003 \) since perfect axial compression is impossible.)

**Figure 8.7** Stress-strain diagram for \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. (12.7 mm) dia 270-K prestressing tendon.
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The compressive block depth $a = 14$ in. (356 mm), and the effective depth $d = 14 - 2 = 12$ in. (305 mm). So we have $C_{cn} = 0.85f'_{p}ba = 0.85 \times 6000 \times 14 \times 14 = 999,600$ lb (4,446 kN).

From Equation 8.5,

$$T_{in} = A_{pp}E_{pp}[\epsilon_{pe} - \epsilon_{cu}(\frac{c - d'}{c}) + \epsilon_{ce}]$$

$$A_{pp} = 4 \times 0.153 = 0.612 \text{ in.}^2 (3.95 \text{ cm}^2)$$

From Figure 8.7, for $E_{pp} = 29 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} (200 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa})$, $\epsilon_{pe} = 0.0052 \text{ in./in.}$ and $\epsilon_{cu} = 0.003 \text{ in./in.}$ Thus,

$$T_{in} = 0.612 \times 29 \times 10^6 \left[0.0052 - 0.003 \left(\frac{\omega - 2}{\omega}\right) + 0.0005\right]$$

$$= 0.612 \times 29 \times 10^6 (0.0052 - 0.003 + 0.0005)$$

$$= 47,920 \text{ lb (213 kN)}$$

From Equation 8.6,

$$T_{in} = A_{pp}E_{pp}[\epsilon_{pe} + \epsilon_{cu}(\frac{d - c}{c}) + \epsilon_{ce}]$$

$$= 0.612 \times 29 \times 10^6 \left[0.0052 + 0.003 \left(\frac{12 - \omega}{\omega}\right) + 0.0005\right]$$

$$= 47,920 \text{ lb (213 kN)}$$

From Equation 8.2,

$$P_{n} = C_{cn} - T_{in} - T_{in} = 999,600 - 47,920 - 47,920$$

$$= 903,760 \text{ lb (4,020 kN)}$$

From Equation 8.7,

$$M_{n} = C_{cn} \left(\frac{h}{2} - \frac{a}{2}\right) - T_{in} \left(\frac{h}{2} - d'\right) + T_{in} \left(d - \frac{h}{2}\right)$$

$$= 999,600 \left(\frac{14}{2} - \frac{14}{2}\right) - 47,920 \left(\frac{14}{2} - 2\right) + 47,920 \left(12 - \frac{14}{2}\right)$$

$$= 0$$

$$e_{t} = \frac{M_{n}}{P_{n}} = 0$$

2. Zero Tension at Tension Face, $c = 14$ in.

$$\beta_{t} = 0.85 - \frac{0.05(f'_{p} - 4,000)}{1,000} = 0.75$$

$$a = \beta_{t}c = 0.75 \times 14 = 10.5 \text{ in. (267 mm)}$$

$$C_{cn} = 0.85 \times 6,000 \times 14 \times 10.5 = 749,700 \text{ lb (3,335 kN)}$$

$$T_{in} = 0.612 \times 29 \times 10^6 \left[0.0052 - 0.003 \left(\frac{14 - 2}{14}\right) + 0.0005\right]$$

$$= 55,526 \text{ lb (247 kN)}$$

$$T_{in} = 0.612 \times 29 \times 10^6 \left[0.0052 + 0.003 \left(\frac{12 - 14}{14}\right) + 0.0005\right]$$

$$= 93,557 \text{ lb (416 kN)}$$

$$P_{n} = C_{cn} - T_{in} - T_{in} = 749,700 - 55,526 - 93,557$$
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\[
M_n = 749,700 \left( \frac{14}{2} - \frac{10.5}{2} \right)^2 - 55,526 \left( \frac{14}{2} - 2 \right)^2 + 93,557 \left( 12 - \frac{14}{2} \right)^2
\]
\[
= 1,502,130 \text{ in.-lb} (170 \text{ kN-m})
\]
\[
e_2 = \frac{1,502,130}{600,617} = 2.50 \text{ in. (63.5 mm)}
\]

3. Pure Bending: \( P_n = 0 \)
Neglecting the effect of the compression steel \( A_{ps} \), we have

\[
a = \frac{A_{ps} f_p}{0.85 f_c' b} = \frac{0.612 \times 240,000}{0.85 \times 6,000 \times 14} = 2.06 \text{ in. (52.3 mm)}
\]
\[
c = 0.75 \times 2.75 \text{ in. (69.9 mm)}
\]
\[
M_n = A_{ps} f_p \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) = 0.612 \times 240,000 \left( 12 - \frac{2.06}{2} \right)
\]
\[
= 1,611,274 \text{ in.-lb}
\]
\[
e_3 = \frac{1,611,274}{0} = \infty
\]

4. Limit Strain Condition: \( P_n, M_n, e \)
Assume the strain in the tensile strands \( A_{ps} \) to be equal to the incremental strain \( \Delta \varepsilon_p \) beyond the service-load level \( P_c \). Taking a value \( \Delta \varepsilon_p \approx 0.0014 \) to be modified by trial and adjustment, and from Figure 8.8, similar triangles give

\[
\frac{c}{d - c} = \frac{\varepsilon_{su}}{\Delta \varepsilon_p} = \frac{0.003}{0.0014}
\]

Hence \( c = 8.15 \text{ in. (207 mm)} \). So
\[
a = \beta_c c = 0.75 \times 8.15 = 6.11 \text{ in. (155 mm)}
\]
\[
C_{cu} = 0.85 \times 6,000 \times 6.10 \times 14 = 435,540 \text{ lb (1,937 kN)}
\]
\[
T_{su} = 0.612 \times 29 \times 10^6 \left[ 0.0052 - 0.003 \left( \frac{8.13 - 2}{8.13} \right) + 0.0005 \right]
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{su} = 0.003
\]

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_p
\]

**Figure 8.8** Strain distribution.
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\[ T_m = 0.612 \times 29 \times 10^6 \left[ 0.0052 + 0.003 \left( \frac{12 - 8.13}{8.13} \right) + 0.0005 \right] \]

\[ = 126,509 \text{ lb (563 kN)} \]

The limit strain state for \( P_n M_n \) and \( e \) are as follows:

\[ P_n = 435,540 - 61,018 - 126,509 = 248,013 \text{ lb (1,103 kN)} \]

\[ M_n = 435,540 \left( \frac{14}{2} - \frac{6.10}{2} \right) - 61,018 \left( \frac{14}{2} - 2 \right) + 126,509 \left( 12 - \frac{14}{2} \right) \]

\[ = 2,047,838 \text{ in.-lb (272 kN-m)} \]

\[ e_n = e = \frac{2,047,838}{248,013} = 8.26 \text{ in. (210 mm)} \]

The coordinates for the preceding four cases are the controlling points on the \( P_n-M_n \) interaction diagram. Other points need to be computed as well, in order to develop an accurate diagram to cover the entire loading range. For example, additional points between the coordinates of the second and third cases have to be determined, assuming additional values of the neutral axis depth \( c \) and computing \( P_n, M_n, \) and \( e \) for the \( c \)-values assumed. Table 8.1 summarizes the values of the coordinates used for plotting the \( P_n-M_n \) interaction diagram as well as the \( P_n-M_n \) design diagram. From the diagram, it is seen that the maximum moment ordinate seems to have a value close to \( M_n = 2,047,838 \text{ in.-lb} \). Hence, an assumption of \( c_n = 8.15 \text{ in.} \) is verified.

**Design Load-Moment (\( P_n-M_n \)) Diagram.** From Section 8.3, construct the \( P-M \) interaction diagram for the coordinates listed in Table 8.1. For step 7 in the diagram, the column is in the transition zone, as \( c/d_i = 6.0/12.0 = 0.50 < 0.60 \) for the limit balanced strain in compression.

From Equation 8.9 (a), \( \phi = 0.23 + \frac{0.25}{c/d_i} = 0.23 + \frac{0.25}{0.50} = 0.73 \).

Hence, \( P_n = 101.2 \times 10^3 \times 0.73 = 73.7 \times 10^3 \text{ lb} \),

\[ M_n = 1969.9 \times 10^3 \times 0.73 = 1438.0 \times 10^3 \text{ in.-lb} \]

\( M_{a3} \) for pure bending = \( \phi M_{a3} = 0.90 \times 1,611,274 \)

### Table 8.1  Summary of \( P-M \) Interaction Diagram Coordinates in Example 8.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>( c ) in.</th>
<th>( a ) in.</th>
<th>( P_n \times 10^3 \text{ lb} )</th>
<th>( M_n \times 10^3 \text{ in.-lb} )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( P_o \times 10^3 \text{ lb} )</th>
<th>( M_o \times 10^3 \text{ in.-lb} )</th>
<th>( e ) in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \infty )</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>903.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>587.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>826.6</td>
<td>388.9</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>537.3</td>
<td>252.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>600.6</td>
<td>1,502.1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>390.4</td>
<td>976.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>365.1</td>
<td>2,005.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>237.3</td>
<td>1303.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>2,047.9</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>1331.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>1,969.9</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>1438.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,611.3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,450.1</td>
<td>( \infty )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Max \( P_n \) allowed by the code for tied columns = 0.804\( P_n \) = 469.6 \times 10^3 \text{ lb} (2090 kN). Also,

1,000 lb = 4,448 kN

1,000 in.-lb = 1,130 kN-m

1 in. = 25.4 mm.
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Figure 8.9 Load-moment interaction plots in Example 8.1.

\[ P_u = 0.002 \times \frac{903,760}{469,955} = 0.23 \times 587,444 \text{ lb} \]

The ACI Code requires that the maximum design axial load strength \( \phi P_u \) for tied prestressed columns should not exceed 0.80\( \phi P_u \) and for spirally reinforced prestressed columns should not exceed 0.85\( \phi P_u \). We thus have

Max \( P_u = 0.8 \phi P_u = 0.8 \times 587,444 \)

\[ = 469,955 \text{ lb} \ (2090 \text{ kN}) \]

\[ P_{ud} = 0.65 \times 826,648 = 537,321 \ (2,574 \text{ kN}) \]

The remaining values of the coordinates are summarized in Table 8.1. Plots of the interaction diagrams for the nominal strength \((P_n-M_n)\) and the design strength \((P_u-M_u)\) are shown in Figure 8.9.

8.6 LIMIT STATE AT BUCKLING FAILURE OF SLENDER (LONG) PRESTRESSED COLUMNS

Considerable literature exists on the behavior of columns subjected to stability testing. If the column slenderness ratio exceeds the limits for short columns, the compression member will buckle prior to reaching its limit state of material failure. The strain in the compression face of the concrete at buckling load will then be less than the 0.003 in./in. shown in Figure 8.10. Such a column would be a slender member subjected to combined axial load and bending, deforming laterally and developing additional moment due to the \( P \Delta \)
effect, where $P$ is the axial load and $\Delta$ is the deflection of the column's buckled shape at the section being considered.

Consider a slender column subjected to axial load $P_a$ at an eccentricity $e$. The buckling effect produces an additional moment of $P_a \Delta$. This moment reduces the load capacity from point $C$ to point $B$ in the interaction diagram of Figure 8.10. The total moment
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\( P_u e + P_u \Delta \) is represented by point \( B \) in the diagram, and the column can be designed for a larger or magnified moment \( M_e \) as a nonsleender column.

The effective length \( k l_e \) shown in Figure 8.11 is used as the modified length of the column to account for end restraints other than being pinned. \( k l_e \) represents the length of an auxiliary pin-ended column which has an Euler buckling load equal to that of the column under consideration. Alternatively, it is the distance between the points of contrallexure of the member in its buckled form.

The value of the end restraint effective length factor \( k \) varies between 0.5 and 2.0 according to the nature of the restraint as follows:

- Both column ends pinned, no lateral motion \( k = 1.0 \)
- Both column ends fixed \( k = 0.5 \)
- One end fixed, other end free \( k = 2.0 \)
- Both column ends fixed, lateral motion exists \( k = 1.0 \)

Typical cases illustrating the buckled shape of the column for several end conditions and the corresponding length factors \( k \) are shown in Figure 8.11.

For members in a structural frame, the end restraint lies between the hinged and fixed conditions. The actual \( k \) value can be determined from the Jackson and Moreland alignment charts in Figure 8.12. In lieu of these charts, the following equations suggested in the ACI Code commentary can also be used for computing \( k \):

\[
\psi = \frac{\sum (EI/L_\text{col}) \text{ cols}}{\sum (EI/L_\text{beam}) \text{ beams}}
\]

**Figure 8.12** Effective length factor \( k \) for (a) braced and (b) unbraced frames.
1. **Braced compression members.** An upper bound to the effective length factor may be taken as the smaller of the expressions

\[
k = 0.7 + 0.05(\psi_A + \psi_B) \leq 1.0 \tag{8.10a}
\]

and

\[
k = 0.85 + 0.05\psi_{\text{min}} \leq 1.0 \tag{8.10b}
\]

where \(\psi_A\) and \(\psi_B\) are the values of \(\psi\) at the two ends of the column and \(\psi_{\text{min}}\) is the smaller of the two values. \(\psi\) is the ratio of the stiffness of all compression members to the stiffness of all flexural members in a plane at one end of the column. That is,

\[
\psi = \frac{\sum EI/l_e \text{ columns}}{\sum EI/l_e \text{ beams}} \tag{8.11}
\]

where \(l_e\) is the unsupported length of the column and \(l_e\) is the clear beam span.

2. **Unbraced compression members restrained at both ends.** The effective length may be taken as follows:

For \(\psi_m < 2\),
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\[ k = \frac{20 - \psi_m}{20} \sqrt{1 + \psi_m} \]  
(8.12a)

For \( \psi_m \geq 2, \)

\[ k = 0.9 \sqrt{1 + \psi_m} \]  
(8.12b)

where \( \psi_m \) is the average of the \( \psi \) values at the two ends of the compression member.

3. **Unbraced compression members hinged at one end.** The effective length factor may be taken as

\[ k = 2.0 + 0.3\psi \]  
(8.13)

where \( \psi \) is the value at the restrained end.

The radius of gyration \( r = \sqrt{I_y/A_y} \) can be taken as \( r = 0.3h \) for rectangular sections, where \( h \) is the column dimension perpendicular to the axis of bending. For circular sections, \( r \) is taken as \( 0.25h \).

8.6.1 Buckling Considerations

Frames that do not have lateral bracing such as shear walls, diaphragms, or diagonal coupling beams are more flexible than those which are braced laterally. Lateral flexibility can cause the mass of a structure to sufficiently displace horizontally above the foundations that significant additional overturning moments can result leading to loss of stability of the structure. This behavior is particularly critical when nonslender columns support the floors.

The ACI 318 Code stipulates three methods for determining the forces on slender columns and members in frames that resist lateral forces in addition to the vertical gravity loads. However, for gravity loading *without* side-sway, a first-order analysis using moment magnification factors, \( \delta_m \), is adequate. For combined gravity and side-sway forces causing the \( P-\Delta \) effect, the three code methods are:

(a) Computer programs using a second-order analysis that determines iteratively the magnitudes of the additional overturning moments in a frame.

(b) Moment magnification factors \( \delta_m \) are computed on the basis of first-order lateral displacements and the mass above each level.

(c) Moment magnification relationship similar in form to those required for computing the no-sway magnifier, \( \delta_m \), for columns in braced frames using a stability index, \( Q \). Horizontal displacement in this method need not be evaluated but moments that resist lateral forces have to be computed. This method is too cumbersome and least accurate. While the most accurate is the method in (a) using computer programs such as PCA’s Frame Program, STAAD Pro, CSI Sap2000, and others.

Consider a slender column subjected to axial load \( P_u \) at an eccentricity \( e \). The buckling effect produces an additional moment \( P_u \Delta \) where \( \Delta \) is the maximum lateral displacement of the compression member between its two ends from the vertical “plumb” position. This additional moment reduces the load capacity from point C to point B in the interaction diagram, Figure 8.10. The total moment \( (P_u e + P_u \Delta) \) is represented by point B in the diagram and the column should be designed for a larger magnified moment \( M_c \) as a nonslender column by the usual first-order analysis.

In such an analysis, the moments and axial forces in a frame are obtained by the classical elastic procedures. These procedures do not consider the effects of the lateral displacement \( \Delta \) on the axial force \( P_u \) and the bending moment \( M_c \). Consequently the re-
sulting load-deflection and load-moment relationships are linear. If the \( P-\Delta \) effect is taken into account, a second-order analysis becomes necessary with a resulting nonlinear relationship of the load to the lateral displacement (deflection) and the moment. The ACI 381-02 Code permits using either a first- or a second-order analysis for columns of intermediate slenderness and requires a second-order analysis for long columns having a slenderness ratio of 100 or more. One ACI Code method where the \( P-\Delta \) effect is ignored is termed the moment magnification method presented in Section 8.7.

### 8.7 MOMENT MAGNIFICATION METHOD: FIRST-ORDER ANALYSIS

The factored axial forces, \( P_w \), the factored moments \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) at the column ends, and, where required, the relative story deflections, are computed in this method using an elastic first-order analysis with the section properties determined taking into account the influence of axial loads, the presence of cracked regions along the length of the member and the effects of duration of the load.

As discussed in Section 8.6 in conjunction with Figure 8.10, the moment \( M_2 \) is magnified by a magnification factor \( \delta \). The column is subjected to moments \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) at its ends where \( M_2 \) is considered larger than \( M_1 \). The factored axial force, \( P_w \), and the factored moments, \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \), are resisted by analytically chosen sectional properties taking into account the cracked regions along the compression member’s length or height and the load duration. In lieu of these computations, the ACI 318-02 Code allows using the following average values for properties of members in a structure:

(a) Modulus of elasticity \( E_c = 33w_c^{1.5} f'_c \) and for concrete strength \( f'_c > 5000 \) psi \( E_c = (40,000 + 1 \times 10^6) (w_c/145)^{1.5} \)

(b) Moment of Inertia

| Beams: \( I_g \) | 0.35\( I_g \) |
| Columns \( I_g \) | 0.70\( I_g \) |
| Walls—Uncracked \( I_g \) | 0.70\( I_g \) |
| —Cracked \( I_g \) | 0.35\( I_g \) |
| Flat plates and flat slabs: \( I_g \) | 0.25\( I_g \) |

(c) Area: 1.0\( A_g \)

(d) Radius of gyration \( r = 0.30h \) for rectangular members where \( h \) is in the direction stability is being considered, or \( r = 0.25D \) for circular members where \( D \) is the diameter of the compression member.

The moments of inertia should be divided by \( (1 + \beta_d) \) when sustained lateral loads act, or for stability checks where \( \beta_d \) is a creep factor, thus

\[
\beta_d = \frac{\text{maximum factored sustained axial load}}{\text{total factored axial load}}
\]

The column load is assumed to act at an eccentricity \( (e + \Delta) \) in Figure 8.10 to produce a moment \( M_c \). The ratio \( M_c/M_2 \) is termed the magnification factor \( \delta \). The degree of magnification is dependent on the slenderness ratio \( kl/r \) where \( k \) is the effective length factor for compression members, a function of the relative stiffnesses at the joint of each end of the member.

The magnification factor is controlled by the type of the magnified moments \( \delta M_2 \) and \( \delta M_1 \) acting at the respective ends 2 and 1 of a column, namely, whether side-sway of the structural frame occurs or not. It should be noted that in the case of compression
members subjected to bending about both principal axes, the moment about each axis should be separately considered based on the restraint condition corresponding to that axis.

### 8.7.1 Moment Magnification in Non-Sway Frames

In the case of compression members in non-sway frames, namely, braced frames, the effective length factor \( k \) can be taken as 1.0, unless analysis gives a lower value. In such a case, \( k \) values are computed on the basis of the \( EI \) values tabulated in Section 8.7 and the monograms in Figure 8.12.

The slenderness effects can be disregarded if

\[
\frac{kl_w}{r} \leq 34 - 12 \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right) \tag{8.14}
\]

\( kl_w \) = effective length between points of inflection and \([34 - 12 (M_1/M_2)]\) cannot be taken greater than the limit of Eq. 8.14. The term \((M_1/M_2)\) is positive if the member is bent in a single curvature so that the two terms subtract in Equation 8.12 and negative in double curvature so that the two terms add (see Figure 8.12a). If the non-sway magnification factor is \( \delta_{ml} \) and the sway factor \( \delta_s = 0 \), the magnified moment becomes

\[
M_e = \delta_{ml} M_2 \tag{8.15}
\]

where

\[
\delta_{ml} = \frac{C_m}{\frac{P_u}{0.75P_c}} \geq 1.0 \tag{8.16a}
\]

\[
P_c = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{(kl_w)^2} \tag{8.16b}
\]

where \( P_c \) is the Euler buckling load for pin-ended columns. Stiffness \( EI \) is to be taken as

\[
EI = \frac{0.2EI_g + EI_{ax}}{1 + \beta_d} \tag{8.16c}
\]

or conservatively as

\[
EI = \frac{0.4EI_g}{1 + \beta_d}
\]

\( C_m \) = a factor relating the actual moment diagram to an equivalent uniform moment diagram. For members without transverse loads, namely, subjected to end loads only,

\[
C_m = 0.6 + \frac{M_1}{M_2} \geq 0.4 \tag{8.17}
\]

where \( M_2 \leq M_1 \) and \( M_1/M_2 > 0 \) if no inflection point exists between the column ends, Figure 8.12a (single curvature). For other conditions, such as members with transverse loads between supports, \( C_m = 1.0 \).

The minimum allowed value of \( M_2 \) is

\[
M_{2,\text{min}} = P_u (0.6 + 0.03h) \tag{8.18}
\]

where \( h \) is in inches. In SI units \( M_{2,\text{min}} = P_u (15 + 0.03h) \) where \( h \) is in millimeters. In other words, the minimum eccentricity in the slender columns is \( e_{\text{min}} = 0.6 + 0.03h \). If \( M_{2,\text{min}} \) exceeds the applied moment \( M_2 \), the value of \( C_m \) in Equation 8.17 should either be taken as 1.0 or be based on the actual computed end moments \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \).

Frames braced against side-sway or braced with shear walls, would normally have a lateral deflection less than total height \( h/1500 \). Once this ratio is exceeded, appropriate
measures have to be taken to minimize the additional moments caused by side sway and hence reduce lateral drift of the frame and its constituent columns.

8.7.2 Moment Magnification in Sway Frames

For compression members not braced against side-sway, the effective length factor $k$ can also be determined from the $EI$ values presented in Section 8.7, but its value should not exceed 1.0. The slenderness effects can be disregarded if

$$\frac{kl_n}{r} < 22$$

(8.19)

The end moments $M_1$ and $M_2$ should be magnified as follows:

$$M_1 = M_{1n} + \delta_1 M_1s$$
$$M_2 = M_{2n} + \delta_2 M_2s$$

(8.20)

On the assumption that $M_2 > M_1$, the design moment should be

$$M_c = M_{2n} + \delta_2 M_2s$$

(8.21)

where $M_{2n} = \text{factored end moment at the end of the compression member due to loads that cause no appreciable side-sway, computed using a first-order elastic frame analysis}$. $M_{2s} = \text{factored end moment at the end of the compression members due to loads that cause appreciable side-sway, computed using a first-order elastic frame analysis}$.

$$\delta_2 M_s = \frac{M}{0.75 \Sigma P_c} \geq M_c = 2.5$$

(8.22)

where $\Sigma P_u$ is the summation for all the vertical loads in a story and $\Sigma P_c$ is the summation of the Euler buckling loads, $P_c$, for pin-ended columns for all sway resisting columns in a story [$P_c = \pi^2 EI(kl_n)^2$ from Equation 8.16b] with the $EI$ values obtained from Equations 8.16c or d.

In the case of an individual compression member having

$$\frac{l_n}{r} > \frac{35}{\sqrt{P_u/f_k'A_k}}$$

the member has to be designed for a factored axial load, $P_u$, and magnified moment $M_c = \delta_2 M_2$ where $M_2$ in this case is $M_2 = \delta_2 M_{2n} + \delta_2 M_{2s}$. This condition can develop in slender columns with high axial loads when the maximum moment may develop between the ends of the column so that the end moments might not necessarily be the maximum moments.

8.7.2.1 Moment magnification in sway frames using a stability index, $Q$. In this method (method c in Section 8.6.1), the code permits assuming a column in a braced structure as non-sway if the increase in column loads and moments due to second-order effects does not exceed 5 percent of the first-order end moments. A story within a structure can be considered non-sway if a stability index, $Q$, in the following expression does not exceed a value of 0.05:

$$Q = \frac{\Sigma P_u \Delta_o}{V_u'_{el}}$$

(8.23a)
where,
\[ \Sigma P_u = \text{total vertical load at a story} \]
\[ V_u = \text{story shear} \]
\[ \Delta_v = \text{first-order relative deflection between the top and bottom of a particular story due to shear} V_u \]
\[ l_c = \text{length of compression member in a frame measured from centers of joints.} \]

The non-sway magnification factor in terms of \( Q \) is:
\[ \delta_s = \frac{1}{1 - Q} \geq 1.0 \quad (8.23b) \]

When \( Q \) exceeds a value of 0.05, one has to proceed to a second-order analysis through computer program usage. Such a computer analysis would make it possible to efficiently compute the iterating values of moments and \( \Delta_s \) sway values due to the \( P-\Delta \) effect in a reasonably accurate and speedy manner.

It should be noted that the stability index \( Q \) method, while relatively adaptable to hand computations, is too cumbersome and least accurate for effective evaluation of the \( P-\Delta \) effect on moments at the column joints in braced frames.

It is important to summarize that the moment magnification method, originally developed for prismatic columns, should work well for columns of slenderness ratio \( kl/r \) less than 100, particularly if the frame is braced. In the case of unbraced frames of comparable slenderness ratios, taking into account the \( P-\Delta \) effect on the moments and deflections through a second-order analysis should be used so as to give more accurate results. Such an analysis can be either

1. Execute several applications of the first-order analysis where the lateral load (from \( h_i \) in Figure 8.13 to follow) is incremented by \( \Sigma P_u \Delta_i \) in each cycle, and consider the final result a second-order result, or

2. Use a real second-order analysis computer program in which the reduction in the relative side-sway resistance is used in a global stiffness matrix for the elements involved.

### 8.8 SECOND-ORDER FRAMES ANALYSIS AND THE P – \( \Delta \) EFFECTS

A second-order analysis is a frame analysis which includes the internal force effects resulting from lateral displacement (deflection) of a column. When such an analysis is performed in order to evaluate \( \delta_s M_\delta \) in a non-braced frame, the deflections must be computed on the basis of fully cracked sections with reduced \( EI \) stiffness values. Approximations such as the use of several first-order analysis cycles and idealizations of non-prismatic sections can be made in the analysis. But the analysis should verify that the predicted strength of the compression members of a structural frame are in good agreement within a 15-percent range with results for columns in indeterminate reinforced concrete structures. The structure being analyzed should result in geometry of members similar to the geometry of the sections to be built. If the members in the final structure have cross-sectional dimensions differing by more than 10 percent from those assumed in the analysis, a new computation cycle has to be performed.

A second-order analysis is an iterative procedure of the \( P-\Delta \) effects on the slender column, including shear deformations. Hence, it is reasonable to expect that canned computer programs have to be used rather than long-hand computations in the design of the slender columns of a frame structure. An attempt will be made here to illustrate the iteration procedure involved in the use of several cycles of lateral load increments to the \( P-\Delta \) values. It must be stated, however, that the large majority of columns in concrete
building frames do not necessitate such an analysis since the \((kI_0/r)\) ratio is in most cases below 100.

Consider the column between the two floors \((i-1)\) and \(i\) in the frame shown in Figure 8.13. Assume that the maximum lateral displacement or drift at the upper end of the top column in the frame is \(x_{\text{max}}\) and that the total height of the building is \(h_y\). A large drift, or lateral displacement of the building upper floors results in cracking of the masonry and interior finishes. Unless precautions are taken to permit movement of interior partitions without damage, the maximum lateral deflection limitation should be \(h_y/500\). Hence, a good assumption is to choose \(x_{\text{max}}\) in the range of \(h_y/350\) to \(h_y/500\), considering that a fully braced frame has normally a ratio of maximum drift \(x_{\text{max}}\) to frame height \(h_y\) less than \(1/1,500\).

If \(x_i\) is the drift at floor level \(i\), and \(y_i\) is the height of the column between floors \((i-1)\) and \(i\) in Figure 8.13a, it can be assumed that the proportional horizontal drift for a particular floor is directly proportional to the square of the ratio of the height \(h_i\) of the floor and the total height \(h_y\) of the entire frame. Hence,

\[
x_i = x_{\text{max}}(h_i/h_y)^2
\]  

(8.24)

The procedure can be summarized as follows:

1. Choose geometrical sections of the frame and its columns and their stiffness \(EI\) by approximate procedures.
2. Compute the drifts, namely, the lateral deflections \(\Delta_i\), and the corresponding ultimate loads \(P_u\), at joints \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) (Figure 8.13).
3. Find the equivalent horizontal forces \(H_i\) from \(H_i = P_i \Delta_i/h_i\) (Figure 8.13b).
4. Add the values obtained in step 3 to the actual lateral loads acting on the frame.
5. Perform a frame analysis using the appropriate computer program.
6. The iterative computer program, using the stiffnesses, \(EI\), chosen for the input data, gives \(\Delta_i\) results that have to be compared with the \(x_i\) values allowed.
7. If all \(\Delta_i\) values are \(\leq\) all the \(x_i\) values, accept the solution and the design as a second order solution. If not, run additional computer cycles with modified stiffnesses until the desired results are achieved.
8.10 Design of Slender (Long) Prestressed Column

Any of several computer programs can be used to account for the $P$-$\Delta$ effects in frame side-sways. Strudel, PCA Frame, STAAD Pro, or CSI Sap 2000 are examples of such general-purpose programs.

8.9 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURE AND FLOWCHART
FOR THE DESIGN OF SLENDER COLUMNS

1. Determine whether the frame has an appreciable side-sway. If it does, use the magnification factors $\delta_w$ and $\delta_s$. If the side-sway is negligible, assume that $\delta_s = 0$. Then assume a cross section, compute the eccentricity, using the greater of the end moments, and check whether it is more than the minimum allowable eccentricity, that is,

$$\frac{M_2}{P_e} \geq (0.6 + 0.03h) \text{ in.}$$

If the given eccentricity is less than the specified minimum, use the minimum value.

2. Compute $\psi_A$ and $\psi_B$ using Equation 8.12 or 8.13, and then obtain $k$ using Figure 8.12 or Equations 8.13. Compute $kl/r$, and determine whether the column is a short or long column. If the column is slender and $kl/r$ is less than 100, compute the magnified moment $M_c$. Then, using the value obtained, compute the equivalent eccentricity to be used if the column is to be designed as a short column. If $kl/r$ is greater than 100, perform a second-order analysis.

3. Design the equivalent nonslender column. The flowchart in Figure 8.14 presents the sequence of calculations. The necessary equations are provided in Section 8.2 and in the flowchart.

8.10 DESIGN OF SLENDER (LONG) PRESTRESSED COLUMN

Example 8.2

A square tied prestressed bonded column is part of a $5 \times 3$ bays frame building subjected to uniaxial bending. Its clear height is $L = 15 \text{ ft (4.54 in.)}$, and it is not braced against side-way. The factored external load $P_e = 300,000 \text{ lb (1,334 kN)}$, and the factored end moments are $M_t = 425,000 \text{ in.-lb (48.0 kN-m)}$ and $M_s = 750,000 \text{ in.-lb (84.8 kN-m)}$. Design the column section and the reinforcement necessary for the following two conditions:

1. Consider gravity loads only, assuming negligible lateral side-sway due to wind.
2. Suppose side-sway wind effects cause a factored $P = 24,000 \text{ lb (107 kN)}$ and a factored $M_s = 220,000 \text{ in.-lb (24.9 kN-m)}$. The loads per floor of all columns at that level are $P_e = 4.5 \times 10^6 \text{ lb (20} \times 10^3 \text{ kN)}$ and $P_e = 31.0 \times 10^6 \text{ lb (138} \times 10^3 \text{ kN)}$.

Use $\frac{1}{4}$-in. dia 270-K stress-relieved prestressing strands. Given data are as follows:

- $\beta_d = 0.4$
- $\psi_A = 1.0$
- $\psi_B = 2.0$
- $f'_c = 6,000 \text{ psi (41.4 MPa)}$
- $f_{ps} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1,862 MPa)}$
- $f_{ps} = 240,000 \text{ psi (1,655 MPa)}$
- $f_{ps} = 150,000 \text{ psi (1,034 MPa)}$
- $E_{ps} = 28 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (200 \times 10^3 MPa)}$
Figure 8.14  Flowchart for design of slender columns.
\[ \epsilon_{cu} \text{ at failure} = 0.003 \text{ in./in.} \]
\[ \epsilon_{ce} = 0.0005 \text{ in./in. when } P_s \text{ acts on the section} \]
\[ d' = 2 \text{ in. (50.8 mm)} \]
\[ \text{Ties } f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \]

The stress-strain diagram of the prestressing steel is as in Figure 8.7.

**Solution:** \( \epsilon_{pe} = 0.0052 \text{ in./in.} \) from the stress-strain diagram of Figure 8.7, corresponding to \( f_{pe} = 150,000 \) psi. Similarly, \( \epsilon_{py} = 0.012 \text{ in./in.} \) from the same figure, corresponding to \( f_{py} = 260,000 \) psi.

1. **Gravity Loads Only**

   **Check for No Sidesway and Minimum Eccentricity (Step 1).** Since the frame has no appreciable sidesway, the entire \( M_2 \) is taken to be \( M_{2pe} \) and the magnification factor for sidesway, \( \delta_\gamma \), is taken to be equal to zero in Equation 8.15. By trial and adjustment, a column section is assumed and analyzed. Accordingly, we try a section 15 in. \times 15 in. (381 mm \times 381 mm) as shown in Figure 8.15(a) and obtain

   \[
   \text{Actual eccentricity} = \frac{M_{2pe}}{P_u} = \frac{750,000}{300,000} = 2.50 \text{ in. (63.5 mm)}
   \]

   Minimum allowable eccentricity = \( 0.6 + 0.03h = 0.6 + 0.03 \times 15 = 1.05 \text{ in. (2.67 mm)} < 2.50 \text{ in.} \)

   Hence, use \( M_{2pe} = 750,000 \text{ in.-lb} \) as the larger of the moments \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) on the column.

   **Compute the Eccentricity to Be Used for Equivalent Short Column (Step 2).** From the chart in Figure 8.12(b), \( k = 1.45 \) and the slenderness ratio is

   \[
   \frac{kl_e}{r} = \frac{1.45 \times 15 \times 12}{0.3 \times 15} = 58.0
   \]

   Since 58.0 > 22 and < 100, use the moment magnification method. We obtain

   \[
   E_s = 33\mu^{-1.5}\sqrt{f'} = 33 \times 145^{1.5}\sqrt{6,000} = 4.46 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (32 \times 10^3 MPa)}
   \]

   \[
   I_s = \frac{15(15)^3}{12} = 4,218.8 \text{ in.}^4
   \]

![Figure 8.15](image-url)  
**Figure 8.15** Proposed column section geometry in Example 8.2. (a) Cross-sectional details. (b) Strain distribution. (c) Stress block and forces.
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\[
EI = \frac{E I_g}{2.5} = \frac{4.46 \times 10^6 \times 4,218.3}{2.5} \times \frac{1}{1 + 0.4} = 5.34 \times 10^6 \text{ lb-in}^2
\]

\[
(kl_d)^2 = (1.45 \times 15 \times 12)^2 = 68.1 \times 10^3 \text{ in}^2
\]

Hence,

\[
P_c = \text{Euler buckling load} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{(kl_d)^2} = \frac{\pi^2 \times 5.34 \times 10^6}{68.1 \times 10^3} = 773,132 \text{ lb} = 773.1 \text{ Kips (3,439 kN)}
\]

Now, \(C_m = 1.0\) for a nonbraced column. Assume \(\phi = 0.65\). Then we have

\[
\text{Moment magnifier} \ \delta_m = \frac{C_m}{1 - P_n/0.75P_c} = \frac{1.0}{1 - \frac{300,000}{0.75 \times 773,132}} = 2.07
\]

Design moment \(M_c = \delta_m M_{\text{ trim}} = 2.07 \times 750,000\)

\[= 1,552,500 \text{ in.-lb (184 kN-m)}\]

\[\text{Required } P_n = \frac{P_m}{\phi} = \frac{300,000}{0.65} = 461,538 \text{ lb (2053 kN)}\]

\[\text{Required } M_n = \frac{1,552,500}{0.65} = 2,388,462 \text{ in.-lb (291 kN-m)}\]

\[\text{Eccentricity } e = \frac{2,388,462}{461,538} = 5.18 \text{ in. (131 mm)}\]

\textit{Design of an Equivalent Nonslender Column (Step 3).} The equivalent column has to carry a minimum nominal axial load \(P_n = 461,538 \text{ lb}\) and a minimum uniaxial moment \(M_n = 2,388,462 \text{ in.-lb}\).

To design the equivalent nonslender column, we analyze the assumed 15 in. \(\times\) 15 in. column section assuming five \(\frac{1}{8}\)-in. dia. 7-wire stress-relieved strands on each of the two faces parallel to the neutral axis, as in Example 8.1. Then

\[A_{ps} = A'_{ps} = 5 \times 0.153 = 0.765 \text{ in}^2 (4.94 \text{ cm}^2)\]

\textit{Balanced Limit Strain Failure Condition}

\[d = h - 2 = 15 - 2 = 13 \text{ in. (330 mm)}\]

Comparing with Example 8.1 and using trial and adjustment, a reasonable assumption of the neutral axis depth for the balanced condition would be a value of \(c_g = 8.3 \text{ in. (211 mm)}\). Then \(a_h = \beta_1 \times c_g = 0.75 \times 8.3 = 6.23 \text{ in. (158 mm)}\).

Next, from Figure 8.3,

\[C_m = 0.85 \times 6,000 \times 15 \times 6.23 = 476,595 \text{ lb (2,119 kN)}\]

From Equation 8.5,

\[
T_{m}' = 0.765 \times 28 \times 10^6 \left[ 0.0052 - 0.003 \left( \frac{8.3 - 2}{8.3} \right) + 0.0005 \right] = 73,318 \text{ lb (385 kN)}
\]

From Equation 8.6,

\[
T_{mn} = 0.765 \times 28 \times 10^6 \left[ 0.0052 + 0.003 \left( \frac{13 - 8.3}{8.3} \right) + 0.0005 \right] = 158,482 \text{ lb (704 kN)}
\]
From Equation 8.2, for the “balanced” strain limit case (c/d = 0.60):
\[ P_{nb} = C_{cn} - T'_{in} - T_{in} \]
\[ = 476,595 - 73,318 - 158,482 \]
\[ = 229,310 \text{ lb (1,020 kN)} \]

From Equation 8.7, for the “balanced” strain limit case (c/d = 0.60)
\[ M_{ab} = 476,595 \left( \frac{15}{2} - \frac{6.23}{2} \right) = 73,318 \left( \frac{15}{2} - 2 \right) + 158,482 \left( 13 - \frac{15}{2} \right) \]
\[ = 2,103,124 \text{ in.-lb (237.7 kN-m)} \]
\[ e_{b} = \frac{M_{ab}}{P_{nb}} = \frac{2,103,124}{229,310} = 9.17 \text{ in. (233 mm)} > \text{actual } e = 5.18 \text{ in.} \]

The prestressed column load has small eccentricity, and initial failure would be in compression. Also, \( \phi = 0.65 \), as assumed.

Assume Neutral Axis Depth \( c = 12 \) in.
\[ a = \beta_{1}c = 0.75 \times 12 = 9.0 \text{ in.} \]

From Equation 8.1a,
\[ C_{cn} = 0.85f'_{cu}ba = 0.85 \times 6,000 \times 15 \times 9 = 688,500 \text{ lb} \]

From Equation 8.5,
\[ T'_{in} = A_{p} \frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}} \left( \epsilon_{p} - \epsilon_{c} \left( \frac{c - d'}{c} \right) \right) + \epsilon_{cy} \]
\[ = 0.765 \times 28 \times 10^{6} \left[ 0.0052 - 0.003 \left( \frac{12 - 2}{12} \right) + 0.0005 \right] \]
\[ = 68,544 \text{ lb} \]

From Equation 8.6,
\[ T_{in} = A_{p} \frac{E_{p}}{E_{c}} \left( \epsilon_{p} + \epsilon_{c} \left( \frac{d - c}{c} \right) \right) + \epsilon_{cy} \]
\[ = 0.765 \times 28 \times 10^{6} \left[ 0.0052 + 0.003 \left( \frac{13 - 12}{12} \right) + 0.0005 \right] \]
\[ = 127,449 \text{ lb} \]

From Equation 8.2,
\[ P_{n} = C_{cn} - T'_{in} - T_{in} \]
Available \( P_{n} = 688,500 - 68,544 - 127,449 \)
\[ = 492,507 \text{ lb} > \text{required } P_{n} = 461,538 \text{ lb} \]

Accordingly, we go on to a second trial-and-adjustment cycle.

Assume Neutral Axis Depth \( c = 11.2 \) in.
\[ a = \beta_{1}c = 0.75 \times 11.2 = 8.4 \text{ in.} \]
\[ C_{cn} = 0.85f'_{cu}ba = 0.85 \times 6,000 \times 15 \times 8.4 = 642,600 \text{ lb} \]
\[ T'_{in} = 0.765 \times 28 \times 10^{6} \left[ 0.0052 - 0.003 \left( \frac{11.2 - 2}{11.2} \right) + 0.0005 \right] \]
\[ = 69,309 \text{ lb} \]
\[ T_{in} = 0.765 \times 28 \times 10^{6} \left[ 0.0052 + 0.003 \left( \frac{13 - 11.2}{11.2} \right) + 0.0005 \right] \]
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= 132,421 lb
Available \( P_a = 642,600 - 6,309 - 132,421 \)
= 440,870 lb while required \( P_a = 461,538 \) lb, O.K.,
as the moment capacity is larger than required \( M_a \). A very slight increase in section depth
would overcome the small difference between the required and available \( P_a \).
From Equation 8.7,
\[
M_a = C_w \left( \frac{h}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 - T_w \left( \frac{h}{2} - d' \right) \left( \frac{h}{2} - d'' \right) + T_w \left( d - \frac{h}{2} \right)
\]
\[
= 642,600 \left( \frac{15}{2} - \frac{8.4}{2} \right) - 69,309 \left( \frac{15}{2} - 2 \right) + 132,421 \left( 13 - \frac{15}{2} \right)
\]
\[
= 2,467,696 \text{ in.-lb} > 2,338,462 \text{ in.-lb} (278.8 \text{ kN-m} > 250 \text{ kN-m}), \text{O.K.}
\]
\[
e = \frac{2,467,696}{448,870} = 5.5 = \text{actual } e = 5.18 \text{ in., accept.}
\]
Consequently, adopt a section 15 in. \( \times 15 \) in. with five \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia. 7-wire stress-relieved 270-K
strands at each of the two faces parallel to the neutral axis. Then design the necessary trans-
verse ties.

2. Gravity and Wind Loading (Sidesway). From part 1, \( P_e = 773,132 \) lb and \( U = (1.2D + \)
\( 1.0L + 1.6W \). Also, \( U = 0.9D + 1.6W \) (did not control), \( P_e = (300,000 + 24,000) = 324,000 \) lb, \( M_{2b} = 750,000 \) in.-lb, and \( M_{2b} = 220,000 \) in.-lb.
Check if the gravity moment needs to be magnified:
\[
\frac{35}{\sqrt{P_e f'c' A_f}} = \frac{35}{\sqrt{324,000/6,000 \times 225}} = 71.4 > \frac{l_e}{r} = 40; \text{ hence, gravity moment } M_{2b} \text{ is not}
magnified
\]

Photo 8.4  One of the earliest prestressed concrete footbridges in England at the
Festival of Britain, London, 1951.
From Equation 8.16(b),
\[
\delta_s = \frac{1.0}{\frac{\Sigma P_u}{0.75 \Sigma P_u}} = \frac{1.0}{\frac{4.5 \times 10^6}{0.75 \times 31.0 \times 10^6}} = 1.24
\]

From Equation 8.15,
\[
M_e = M_{2m} + \delta_s M_{2s} = 750,000 + 1.24 \times 220,000
\]
\[
= 1,022,800 \text{ in.-lb}
\]

Required \( P_u = \frac{324,000}{0.65} = 498,462 \text{ lb} \)

Required \( M_u = \frac{1,022,800}{0.65} = 1,573,538 \text{ in.-lb} \)

Eccentricity \( e = \frac{1,573,538}{498,462} = 3.16 \text{ in.} < e_0 = 9.17 \text{ in.} < \text{actual e = 5.18 in.} \)

Hence, initial compression failure occurs. Also \( M_u = 1,573,538 \text{ in.-lb} < M_u = 2,388,462 \text{ in.-lb} \) of case 1.

The conditions for case 2 with sideways do not control, since failure is still in compression, the required \( M_u \) is less than that for case 1 and the eccentricity is smaller than in case 1. Accordingly, adopt the same 15 in. x 15 in. section of case 1, with five 1-in. dia 270-K-stress-relieved prestressing strands on each of the two faces parallel to the neutral axis.

**8.11 COMPRESSION MEMBERS IN BIAXIAL BENDING**

**8.11.1 Exact Method of Analysis**

Columns in corners of buildings are compression members subjected to biaxial bending about both the \( x \) and the \( y \) axes as shown in Figure 8.16. Also, biaxial bending occurs due to imbalance of loads in adjacent spans and almost always in bridge piers. Such columns are subjected to moment \( M_{xy} \) about the \( x \) axis creating a load eccentricity \( e_x \), and a moment \( M_{yx} \) about the \( y \) axis creating a load eccentricity \( e_y \). Thus, the neutral axis is inclined at an angle \( \theta \) to the horizontal.

The angle \( \theta \) depends on the interaction of the bending moments about both axes and the magnitude of the total \( P_u \). The compressive area in the column section can have one of the alternative shapes shown in Figure 8.16(c). Since such a column has to be designed from first principles, the trial-and-adjustment procedure has to be followed where compatibility of strain has to be maintained at all levels of the reinforcing bars. Additional computational effort is also needed, because of the position of the inclined neutral-axis plane and the four different possible forms of the concrete compression area.

Figure 8.17 shows the strain distribution and forces on a biaxially loaded rectangular column cross section. \( G_c \) is the center of gravity of the concrete compression area, having coordinates \( x_c \) and \( y_c \) from the neutral axis in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. \( G_{st} \) is the resultant position of the steel forces in the compression area having coordinates \( x_{st} \) and \( y_{st} \) from the neutral axis in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. \( G_{st} \) is the resultant position of the steel forces in the tension area having coordinates \( x_{st} \) and \( y_{st} \) from the neutral axis in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. From equilibrium of internal and external forces,

\[
P_u = 0.85 f' c A_c + F_{re} - F_{rs} \tag{8.25}
\]

where \( A_c = \text{area of the compression zone covered by the rectangular stress block} \)

\( F_{re} = \text{resultant steel compressive forces} (\Sigma A_i f_{re}) \)

\( F_{rs} = \text{resultant steel tensile force} (\Sigma A_i f_{rs}) \)
Figure 8.16 Corner column subjected to axial load. (a) Biaxially stressed column cross section. (b) Vector moments $M_{xx}$ and $M_{yy}$ in column plan. (c) Neutral axis direction.

Also, from equilibrium of internal and external moments,

\[ P_{e}e_{x} = 0.85f'cA_c x_c + F_{xc}x_{xc} + F_{xh}x_{xh} \]  
\[ P_{e}e_{y} = 0.85f'cA_c y_c + F_{yc}y_{yc} + F_{yh}y_{yh} \]  

The position of the neutral axis has to be assumed in each trial and the stress computed in each bar using

\[ f_{i} = E_{c}e_{c} = E_{c} \frac{s_{i}}{c} < f_{y} \]  

8.11.2 Load Contour Method of Analysis

One method of arriving at a rapid solution is to design the column for the vector sum of $M_{xx}$ and $M_{yy}$ and use a circular reinforcing cage in a square section for the corner column. However, such a procedure cannot be economically justified in most cases. Another de-
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Figure 8.17 Strain compatibility and forces in biaxially loaded rectangular columns. (a) Cross section. (b) Strain. (c) Forces.

The sign approach well proven by experimental verification is to transform the biaxial moments into an equivalent uniaxial moment and an equivalent uniaxial eccentricity. The section can then be designed for uniaxial bending, as previously discussed in this chapter, to resist the actual factored biaxial bending moments.

Such a method considers a failure surface instead of failure planes and is generally termed the Bresler-Parme contour method. The method involves cutting the three-dimensional failure surfaces in Figure 8.18 at a constant value \( P' \) to give an interaction plane relating \( M_{ax} \) and \( M_{ay} \). In other words, the contour surface \( S \) can be viewed as a curvilinear surface which includes a family of curves, termed the load contours.

The general nondimensional equation for the load contour at a constant load \( P' \) may be expressed as

\[
\left( \frac{M_{ax}}{M_{ax}} \right)^{\alpha_1} + \left( \frac{M_{ay}}{M_{ay}} \right)^{\alpha_2} = 1.0
\]  

(8.28)

where

- \( M_{ax} = P' e_y \)
- \( M_{ay} = P' e_x \)
- \( M_{ax} = M_{ax} \) at an axial load \( P' \) such that \( M_{ax} \) or \( e_x = 0 \)
- \( M_{ay} = M_{ay} \) at an axial load \( P' \) such that \( M_{ax} \) or \( e_y = 0 \)

The moments \( M_{ax} \) and \( M_{ay} \) are the required equivalent resisting moment strengths about the \( x \) and \( y \) axes, respectively, while \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are exponents that depend on the cross-sectional geometry and the steel percentage and its location and material stress \( f' \) and \( f_c \).

Equation 8.28 can be simplified using a common exponent and introducing a factor \( \beta \) for one particular axial load value \( P' \) such that the ratio \( M_{ax}/M_{ay} \) would have the same value as the ratio \( M_{ax}/M_{ay} \) as detailed by Parme and associates. Such simplification leads to

\[
\left( \frac{M_{ax}}{M_{ax}} \right)^{\alpha} + \left( \frac{M_{ay}}{M_{ay}} \right)^{\alpha} = 1.0
\]  

(8.29)
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where \( M_{ax} = P_a e_y \)

\( M_{ay} = P_a e_x \)

**Figure 8.18** Failure interaction surface for biaxial column bending.

where \( \alpha = \log 0.5/\log \beta \). Figure 8.19 gives a contour plot \( ABC \) from Equation 8.27.

For design purposes, the contour is approximated by two straight lines \( BA \) and \( BC \), and Equation 8.29 can be simplified to two conditions:

1. For \( AB \) when \( M_n/M_o < M_{ax}/M_{ox} \),

\[
\frac{M_{ax}}{M_{ax}} + \frac{M_{ay}}{M_{ay}} \left[ \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} \right] = 1.0
\]

(8.30a)

2. For \( BC \) when \( M_n/M_o > M_{ax}/M_{ax} \),

\[
\frac{M_{ny}}{M_{oy}} + \frac{M_{ax}}{M_{ox}} \left[ \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} \right] = 1.0
\]

(8.30b)

In both of these equations, the actual controlling equivalent uniaxial moment strength \( M_{ax} \) or \( M_{ay} \) should be at least equivalent to the required controlling moment strength \( M_{ax} \) or \( M_{ay} \) of the chosen column section.

For rectangular sections where the reinforcement is evenly distributed along all the column faces, the ratio \( M_{ay}/M_{ax} \) can be taken to be approximately equal to \( b/h \). In that case, Equations 8.30 can be modified as follows:

1. For \( \frac{M_{ny}}{M_{nx}} > b/h \),

\[
M_{ny} + M_{nx} \frac{b \left[ 1 - \beta \right]}{\beta} = M_{ay}
\]

(8.31a)
Figure 8.19 Modified interaction contour plot of constant $P_o$ for biaxially loaded column.

2. For $\frac{M_{ny}}{M_{nx}} \leq b/h$,

$$M_{nx} = \frac{M_{ny} h}{b} \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} = M_{ox} \quad (8.31b)$$

The controlling required moment strength $M_{ox}$ or $M_{oy}$ for designing the section is the larger of the two values as determined from Equations 8.31.

Plots like those of Figure 8.20 are used in the selection of $\beta$ in the analysis and design of the columns just described. In effect, the modified load-contour method can be summarized in Equation 8.31 as a method for finding equivalent required moment strengths $M_{ox}$ and $M_{oy}$ for designing the columns as if they were uniaxially loaded.

8.11.3 Step-by-Step Operational Procedure for the Design of Biaxially Loaded Columns

The following steps can be used as a guideline for the design of columns subjected to bending in both the $x$ and $y$ directions. The procedure assumes an equal area of reinforcement on all four faces.

1. Compute the uniaxial bending moments assuming an equal number of bars on each column face. Assume a value of an interaction contour $\beta$ factor between 0.50 and 0.70 and a ratio of $h/b$. This ratio can be approximated to $M_{nx}/M_{ny}$. Using Equations 8.31 determine the equivalent required uniaxial moment $M_{ox}$ or $M_{oy}$. If $M_{nx}$ is larger than $M_{ny}$, use $M_{ax}$ for the design and vice versa.

2. Assume a cross section for the column and a reinforcement ratio $\rho = \rho' = 0.01$ to 0.02 on each of the two faces parallel to the axis of bending of the larger equivalent
moment. Then make a preliminary selection of the steel bars, and verify the capacity $P_n$ of the assumed column cross section. In the completed design, the same amount of longitudinal steel should be used on all four faces.

3. Compute the actual nominal moment strength $M_{ox}$ for equivalent uniaxial bending about the $x$ axis when $M_{ox} = 0$. Its value has to be at least equivalent to the required moment strength $M_{ox}$.

4. Compute the actual nominal moment strength $M_{oy}$ for the equivalent uniaxial bending moment about the $y$ axis when $M_{oy} = 0$.

5. Find $M_{ny}$ by entering $M_{ax}/M_{ox}$ and the trial $\beta$ value into the $\beta$ factor contour plots of Figure 8.20.

6. Make a second trial and adjustment, increasing the assumed $\beta$ value if the $M_{ny}$ value obtained from entering the chart is less than the required $M_{ny}$. Repeat this step until the two values of $M_{ny}$ converge, either through changing $\beta$ or changing the section.

7. Design the lateral ties and detail the section.

A flowchart for the primary steps in evaluating the controlling moment values in biaxially loaded columns is given in Figure 8.21. A detailed computational example, together with a discussion of biaxially loaded columns, is presented in Ref. 8.2.
8.12 PRACTICAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The following guidelines are presented for the design and arrangement of reinforcement to arrive at a practical design.

8.12.1 Longitudinal or Main Reinforcement

The average effective prestress in the concrete in prestressed compression members should not be less than 225 psi (1.55 MPa). This code requirement sets a minimum reinforcement ratio such that compressive members with lower prestress values will have a minimum nonprestressed reinforcement ratio of one percent.

8.12.2 Lateral Reinforcement for Columns

8.12.2.1 Lateral Ties. Lateral reinforcement is required to prevent spalling of the concrete cover or local buckling of the longitudinal bars. The reinforcement could be in the form of ties evenly distributed along the height of the column at specified intervals. Longitudinal bars spaced more than 6 in. apart should be supported by lateral ties, as shown in Figure 8.22.
Figure 8.22 Typical arrangement of ties for four, six, and eight longitudinal bars in a column. (a) One tie. (b) Two ties. (c) Two ties.

The following guidelines are to be followed for the selection of the size and spacing of ties.

1. The size of the tie should not be less than a #3 (9.5 mm) bar.
2. The vertical spacing of the ties must not exceed
   (a) Forty-eight times the diameter of the tie
   (b) Sixteen times the diameter of the longitudinal bar
   (c) The least lateral dimension of the column

Figure 8.22 shows a typical arrangement of ties for four, six, and eight longitudinal bars in a column cross section.

8.12.2.2 Spirals. The other type of lateral reinforcement is spirals or helical lateral reinforcement, as shown in Figure 8.23. Spirals are particularly useful in increasing ductility or member toughness, and hence are mandatory in high-earthquake-risk regions. Normally, concrete outside the confined core of the spirally reinforced column can totally spall under unusual and sudden lateral forces such as earthquake-induced forces. The columns have to be able to sustain most of the load even after the spalling of the cover in order to prevent the collapse of the building. Hence, the spacing and size of spirals are designed to maintain most of the load-carrying capacity of the column, even under such severe load conditions.

Figure 8.23 Helical or spiral reinforcement for columns.
Closely spaced spiral reinforcement increases the ultimate-load capacity of columns. The spacing or pitch of the spiral is so chosen that the load capacity due to the confining spiral action compensates for the loss due to spalling of the concrete cover.

Equating the increase in strength due to confinement to the loss of capacity in spalling, and incorporating a safety factor of 1.2, we obtain the minimum spiral reinforcement ratio

$$\rho_s = 0.45 \left( \frac{A_g}{A_c} - 1 \right) \frac{f'_c}{f_{sy}} \tag{8.32}$$

where

$$\rho_s = \frac{\text{volume of the spiral steel per one revolution}}{\text{volume of concrete core contained in one revolution}} \tag{8.33a}$$

$$A_c = \frac{\pi D_c^2}{4} \tag{8.33b}$$

\(D_c\) = diameter of the column

\(A_c\) = cross-sectional area of the spiral

\(d_s\) = nominal diameter of the spiral wire

\(D_c\) = diameter of the concrete core out-to-out of the spiral

and \(f_{sy}\) = yield strength of the spiral reinforcement

To determine the pitch \(s\) of the spiral, compute \(\rho_s\) using Equation 8.33, choose a bar diameter \(d_s\) for the spiral, compute \(a_s\), and then obtain pitch \(b\) using Equation 8.35b below.

The spiral reinforcement ratio \(\rho_s\) can be written

$$\rho_s = \frac{a_s \pi (D_c - d_s)}{(\pi/4) D_c^2 s} \tag{8.34}$$

Therefore, the pitch is given by

$$s = \frac{a_s \pi (D_c - d_s)}{(\pi/4) D_c^2 \rho_s} \tag{8.35a}$$

or

$$s = \frac{4a_s (D_c - d_s)}{D_c^2 \rho_s} \tag{8.35b}$$

The spacing or pitch of spirals is limited to a range of 1 to 3 in. (25.4 to 76.2 mm), and the diameter should be at least \(\frac{3}{8}\) in. (9.53 mm). The spiral should be well anchored by providing at least \(1\frac{1}{2}\) extra turns when splicing of spirals rather than welding is used.

### 8.12.2.3 Design of Spiral Lateral Reinforcement

**Example 8.3**

Design the lateral spiral reinforcement for a circular prestressed concrete column \(h = 20\) in. (508 mm) and clear cover \(d_c = 1.5\) in. (38 mm) given that \(f_y = 60,000\) psi (414 MPa).

**Solution:** Using Equation 8.32,

$$\text{required } \rho_s = 0.45 \left( \frac{A_g}{A_c} - 1 \right) \frac{f'_c}{f_{sy}}$$
Using #3 spirals with a yield strength \( f_y = 60,000 \) psi, we obtain

- Clear concrete cover \( d_c = 1.5 \) in. (38 mm)
- \( f_{cy} = 60,000 \) psi
- \( D_c = h - 2d_c = 20.0 - 2 \times 15 = 17.0 \) in. (432 mm)
- \( A_e = \frac{\pi(17.0)^2}{4} = 226.98 \) in.\(^2\)
- \( A_s = 314.0 \) in.\(^2\)
- \( \rho_s = 0.45 \left( \frac{314.0}{226.98} - 1 \right) \frac{4.000}{60,000} = 0.0115 \)

For #3 spirals, \( a_s = 0.11 \) in.\(^2\). So using Equation 8.35b, we get

\[
\text{pitch } s = \frac{4a_s(D_c - d_b)}{D_c^2 \rho_s} = \frac{4 \times 0.11(17.0 - 0.375)}{(17.0)^2 \times 0.0115} = 2.20 \text{ in. (56 mm)}
\]

Accordingly, provide #3 spirals at 2\(l\) in. pitch (9.53 mm dia spiral at 54.0 mm pitch).

### 8.13 Reciprocal Load Method for Biaxial Bending

This method developed by Bressler relates the desired axial force \( P_u \) value to three other values on a reciprocal of the failure surface (Ref. 8.2). Assume \( S_1 \) denotes the coordinates on the failure surface in Figure 8.18 such that the values of the load and eccentricities as \( P_u, \epsilon_x \) and \( \epsilon_y \). If \( S_2 \) is a point on the compatible reciprocal surface to that in Fig. 8.18, then \( S_2 \) would define the coordinates of that point as \( 1/P_u, \epsilon_x \) and \( \epsilon_y \), where \( P_u = \phi P_n \), which is the factored (design) load.

If the desired axial load \( P_u \) under biaxial loading about the \( x \) and \( y \) axes is related to the \( P_u \) values devoted by \( P_{ux}, P_{uy} \) and \( P_{wo} \), then

\[
\frac{1}{P_u} = \frac{1}{P_{ux}} + \frac{1}{P_{uy}} - \frac{1}{P_{wo}} \tag{8.36a}
\]

or

\[
\frac{1}{\phi P_n} = \frac{1}{\phi P_{nx}} + \frac{1}{\phi P_{ny}} - \frac{1}{\phi P_{no}} \tag{8.36b}
\]

where,
- \( P_{ux} = \phi P_{nxo} \) = design strength of the column having eccentricity \( \epsilon_x \), provided \( \epsilon_y = 0 \)
- \( P_{uy} = \phi P_{nyo} \) = design strength of the same column having eccentricity \( \epsilon_y \), provided \( \epsilon_x = 0 \)
- \( P_{wo} = \phi P_{no} \) = theoretical axial load design strength for the same column having eccentricity \( \epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = 0 \)
- \( M_{ux} \) = moment about the \( x \)-axis = \( P_u \epsilon_x \)
- \( M_{uy} \) = moment about the \( y \)-axis = \( P_u \epsilon_y \)
- \( \epsilon_x \) = eccentricity measures parallel to the \( y \)-axis as in Figure 8.24 a, namely
- \( \epsilon_x = (M_{ux}/P_u) \)
- \( \epsilon_y \) = eccentricity measured parallel to the \( y \)-axis = \( (P_u \epsilon_y/P_u) \)
- \( x \) = column cross-section dimension parallel to the \( x \)-axis
- \( y \) = column cross-section dimension parallel to the \( y \)-axis

The step-by-step operational procedure essentially follows the logic in the steps presented in Sec. 8.11.3.
Figure 8.24  Failure Surface Interaction Diagram (Ref. 8.11) (a) Biaxial Bending and Compression, (b) Biaxial Bending and Tension.
8.14 MODIFIED LOAD CONTOUR METHOD FOR BIAXIAL BENDING

In lieu of Equation 8.32, Hsu in Ref. 8.11 proposed a modified expression which can represent both the strength interaction diagram and the failure surface of a reinforced concrete biaxially loaded columns as in Fig. 8.24 modifying the approach presented in Sec. 8.11.2. This method, as well as the reciprocal load method, seems to demand less computational rigor as can be seen from the two design examples to follow.

The interaction expression for the load and bending moments about the two axes is

\[
\left( \frac{P_n - P_{nb}}{P_{no} - P_{nb}} \right) + \left( \frac{M_{nx}}{M_{nbx}} \right)^{1.5} + \left( \frac{M_{ny}}{M_{nby}} \right)^{1.5} = 1.0
\]  

(8.37)

Where

\[\begin{align*}
P_n &= \text{nominal axial compression (positive), or tension (negative)} \\
M_{nx}, M_{ny} &= \text{nominal bending moments about the x- and y-axis respectively} \\
P_{no} &= \text{maximum nominal axial compression (positive) or axial tension (negative)} \\
&= 0.85 f'_c A_g/A_s + f_t A_t \\
P_{nb} &= \text{nominal axial compression at the balanced strain condition} \\
M_{nbx}, M_{nby} &= \text{nominal bending moments about the x- and y-axis respectively, at the balanced strain condition}
\end{align*}\]

The value of limit strain state \(P_{nb}\) and \(M_{nb}\) can be obtained from:

\[
P_{nb} = 0.85 f'_c \beta_1 c_b b + A_p f'_{ps} - A_p f_{ps}
\]

(8.38a)

and

\[
M_{nb} = P_{nb} c_b = C_c \left( 2 \frac{a}{c} d'' - d''^2 \right) + C_i (d - d' - d'') + T_s d''
\]

(8.38b)

where,

- \(a_b\) = depth of the equivalent block = \(\beta_1 c_b = (A_p/f_{ps})(0.85 f'_c b)\)
- \(a = \beta_1 c\)
- \(f'_{ps}\) = stress in the compressive reinforcement closest to the load = \(f_{ps}\) if \(f_{ps} \geq f_{py}\)
- \(T_s\) = force in the tensile side reinforcement

The step-by-step operational procedure for the design of biaxially loaded columns essentially follows the procedure of Sec. 8.11.3. This method seems to require less effort in the solution of biaxial bending problems.

8.14.1 Design of Biaxially Loaded Prestressed Concrete Column by the Modified Load Contour Method

Example 8.4

Assume the precast column section in Example 8.2 is a nonlender column subjected to biaxial bending without sidesway. Design the column for the following bending moments:

\[ \begin{align*}
M_{nx} &= M_{ny} = 825,000 \text{ in.-lb (93.7 kN-m)} \\
\text{and } P_{u} &= 300,000 \text{ lb (1334 kN)}
\end{align*}\]

Given:

\[\begin{align*}
f'_c &= 6,000 \text{ psi (41.4 MPa)} \text{ normal weight concrete} \\
f_{ps} &= 270,000 \text{ psi (1863 MPa)} \\
f_{ps} &= 240,000 \text{ psi (1565 MPa)}
\end{align*}\]
The section is reinforced with five \( \frac{1}{4}\)-in. 7-wire (12-mm dia. 7 wire) tendons giving a total of sixteen tendons.

**Solution:**

\[
P_u = 300,000 \text{ lbs}
\]

\[
M_{ux} = P_u e_x = 825,000 \text{ in.-lb about the x-axis}
\]

\[
M_{uy} = P_u e_y = 825,000 \text{ in.-lb about the y-axis}
\]

\[
f' = 6,000 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_{pc} = 240,000 \text{ psi}
\]

Hence:

\[
e_x = \frac{M_{ux}}{P_u} = \frac{825,000}{300,000} = 2.75 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
e_y = \frac{M_{uy}}{P_u} = \frac{825,000}{300,000} = 2.75 \text{ in.}
\]

\[x = \text{axis parallel to the shorter side } b.
\]

\[y = \text{axis parallel to the longer side } h.
\]

The column section is 15 in. \( \times \) 15 in.

\[b = 15 \text{ in.} \quad h = 15 \text{ in.} \quad d' = 2.5 \text{ in.}
\]

On each face \( A_y = \) (five \( \frac{1}{4}\)-in. dia. 7-wire tendons) \( = 5 \times 0.153 = 0.765 \text{ in.}^2 \)

Total reinforcement area \( A_y = 16 \times 0.153 = 2.448 \text{ in.}^2 \)

The small eccentricity of 2.75 in. suggests that it is compression failure. Try \( \phi = 0.65 \).

Actual \( P_u = \frac{300,000}{0.65} = 461,538 \text{ lb} \)

Actual \( M_{ux} = \frac{825,000}{0.65} = 1,269,231 \text{ lb-in.} \)

From example 8.2, for the limit strain state in compression \( (\varepsilon_y = 0.002) \)

\[P_{nb} = 229,310 \text{ lb.}
\]

\[M_{nb} = P_{nb} e_y = 2,103,124 \text{ in.-lb (237 kN-m)}
\]

\[
e_y = \frac{M_{nb}}{P_{nb}} = \frac{2,103,124}{229,310} = 9.17 \text{ in.}
\]

\[e_y > \varepsilon_y = 2.75 \text{ in., hence compression controlled state and the strength reduction factor } \phi = 0.65
\]

\[
P_{no} = 0.85f'(A_y - A_{sb}) + A_{wpc}
\]

\[= 0.85 \times 6,000 (225 - 2.448) + 1.53 \times 240,000
\]

\[= 1,502,205 \text{ lb.}
\]

Using the interaction surface expression for biaxial bending in equation 8.37,
8.15 PRESTRESSED TENSION MEMBERS

8.15.1 Service-Load Stresses

Tension elements and systems such as railroad ties, bridge truss tension members, foundation anchors for retaining walls, and ties in walls of liquid-retaining tanks combine the high strength of the prestressing strands with the stiffness of the concrete. As such, they provide tensile resistance and reduced deformations that could not be provided if the member were made of an all-steel section to carry the same load. The limited and controlled deformation of the prestressed tension member in spite of its slenderness makes it especially useful as a tie or as part of an overall structural system.

\[
\left( \frac{P_n - P_{ob}}{F_{no} - P_{ob}} \right) + \left( \frac{M_{no}}{M_{ob}} \right)^{1.5} + \left( \frac{M_{ey}}{M_{oby}} \right)^{1.5} = \frac{461,538 - 229,310}{1,502,205 - 229,310} + \left( \frac{1,269,231}{2,103,124} \right)^{1.5} + \left( \frac{1,269,231}{2,103,124} \right)^{1.5} = 0.182 + 0.468 + 0.468 = 1.118 > 1.0
\]

Acceptor the design, namely,

\( b = 15 \text{ in.} \quad h = 15 \text{ in.} \quad d = 12.5 \text{ in.} \)

\( A_s = \text{five } \frac{1}{2} \text{-in. dia. } 7\text{-wire strand tendons on each face as in Figure 8.15 giving a total of sixteen tendons.} \)

---

Figure 8.25 Relative elongation of tension members. (a) Unstressed tendon. (b) Structural steel. (c) Prestressed concrete, area \( A_n = A_y + (n - 1)A_{pc} \). (d) Prestressed concrete, area \( A_{nc} = 2A_n \).
8.15 Prestressed Tension Members

Figure 8.25 compares the elongation of a prestressed concrete member in direct tension with a structural steel member of similar capacity. The elongation of the tension tie results from application of the external force $F$, while the elongation of the unstressed tendon in part (a) due to force $F$ is, from basic mechanics,

$$\Delta L_{ps} = \frac{FL}{A_{ps}E_{ps}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.39)

If the tendon is replaced by a rolled structural member, the change in the properties of the section results in a deformation

$$\Delta L_s = \Delta L_{ps} \left( \frac{A_{ps}E_{ps}}{A_sE_s} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.40)

where $A_s$ is considerably larger than $A_{ps}$. Hence, a considerably reduced elongation is seen as shown in Figure 8.25b. The transformed area of concrete in Figure 8.25c is

$$A_{ct} = A_s + (n_p - 1)A_{ps}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.41)

and if the change in stress in the concrete is

$$\Delta f_c = \frac{F}{A_{ct}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.42)

the corresponding change in stress in the prestressing steel is

$$\Delta f_{ps} = \frac{n_pF}{A_{ct}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.43)

where $n_p$ is the modular ratio $E_{ps}/E_c$. Therefore,

$$\Delta L_{ps} = \Delta L_{pc1} \left( \frac{n_p A_{ps}}{A_{ct}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.44)

or

$$\Delta L = \frac{n_pFL}{A_{ct}E_{ps}} = \frac{FL}{A_{ct}E_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.45)

Equation 8.45 gives the elongation of the tension tie due to the external load $F$ at service load. It is readily seen that if the area of concrete is doubled, the elongation is halved for the same tensile force $F$. In sum, through a judicious choice of the geometry of the section, it is possible to reduce the elongation of prestressed tension members considerably.

Shortening of the tension tie occurs due to both the prestressing force and the long-term effects. The stress in the concrete due to initial prestress after transfer is

$$f_c = \frac{P_t}{A_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.46)

where $A_c$ is the net area of concrete in the section. The reduced stress in the concrete that results in an effective prestressing force $P_e$ after all time-dependent losses have occurred is

$$f_{ce} = \frac{P_e}{A_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.47a)

while the effective stress in the prestressing steel is

$$f_{ps} = \frac{P_e}{A_{ps}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.47b)
Superimposing Equation 8.42 on Equation 8.47a for the total effect of the external tensile force $F$ and the prestressing force $P_e$, we have, for the total stress in the concrete,

$$f_c = \frac{P_e}{A_c} + \frac{F}{A_t} \quad (8.48)$$

The corresponding stress in the tendon is

$$f_{ps} = f_{pe} + \frac{nF}{A_t} \quad (8.49)$$

If cracking is not allowed in the tension member, the change in length $\Delta L_{ps}$ (elongation) at service load, from Equation 8.49, is essentially equivalent to the reduction in length $\Delta P$ due to the effective prestressing force $P_e$ (see next).

**8.15.2 Deformation Behavior**

Evaluation of the deformation of the tension member is critical to the overall design of the entire structure. Changes in the length of the member can induce severe stresses in the adjoining members, which could lead to structural failure. If the member is post-tensioned and fully bonded, then the reduction in length due to the initial prestress $P_i$ alone is

$$\Delta_i = -\frac{P_i L}{A_c E_c} \quad (8.50a)$$

and the elastic reduction in length after losses is

$$\Delta P = -\frac{P_L}{A_c E_c} \quad (8.50b)$$

Due to creep, the initial prestressing force $P_i$ reduces to the effective force $P_e$. So using a creep coefficient $C_u$ and assuming, as in Chapter 7, an average force $(P_i + P_e)/2$ as sufficiently accurate for evaluating creep loss, the change in length due to creep is

$$\Delta_{CR} = -\frac{L}{A_c E_c} \left[ C_u \left( \frac{P_i + P_e}{2} \right) \right] \quad (8.51)$$

*Photo 8.5* Laboratory prestressing bed. (*Courtesy, Building Research Establishment, Garston, Watford, England.*)
To account for shrinkage, the change in length is

$$\Delta_{SH} = \varepsilon_{SH}L$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.52)

so that the total effective reduction in length becomes

$$\Delta_e = -\left\{ \frac{L}{A_eE_e} \left[ P_e + C_e \left( \frac{P_e + P_t}{2} \right) \right] + \varepsilon_{SH}L \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.53)

Conversely, the loss in tension due to creep and shrinkage alone, from Equations 8.51 and 8.52, is

$$\Delta P = \frac{\Delta_{CR} + \Delta_{SH}}{L} E_{ps}A_{ps}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.54)

### 8.15.3 Decompression and Cracking

In considering decompression and cracking, it has to be assumed that no cracking is allowed at service load. If the probability of cracking exists due to a possible overload, an additional prestressing force and the addition of nonprestressed reinforcement become necessary to control cracking.

Assuming that only prestressed steel is provided, the tensile stress in the concrete at the first cracking load $F_{cr}$, should not exceed the direct tensile strength of the concrete, ranging between $f' = 3\lambda \sqrt{f'_{ct}}$ and $f' = 5\lambda \sqrt{f'_{ct}}$, where $\lambda = 1, 0.85, \text{and} 0.75$ for normal-weight, sand-lightweight and all-lightweight concrete, respectively. The cracking load $F_{cr}$ can be evaluated from Equation 8.48 using the appropriate value of $f'_t$, viz.,

$$f'_t = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} + \frac{F_{cr}}{A_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.55)

Any overload beyond $F_{cr}$ is expected to cause a dynamic increase in cracking such that all the applied load starts to be carried by the prestressing steel alone, with a consequent failure of the member. Therefore, provision of an adequate level of residual compressive stress in the concrete becomes necessary in major tension members.

A decompression load, namely, the load at $f'_t = 0$ in Equation 8.55, should be the maximum allowable service load to which the member can be subjected. Equation 8.55 then becomes

$$f'_t = 0 = -\frac{P_e}{A_c} + \frac{F_{dec}}{A_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.56)

### 8.15.4 Limit State at Failure and Safety Factors

After cracking, the entire tension in the member is assumed to be carried by the pre-stressing tendon. Consequently, the nominal strength of the linear tension member is

$$F_n = A_{ps}f_{ps}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.57)

and the design ultimate load is

$$F_u = \phi_n F_n = \phi A_{ps}f_{ps}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.58)

It is important to provide a minimum safety factor of 1.5 for the decompression load $F_{dec}$.

The safety factor level is determined by the importance of the tension member in the structure, the importance of the structure itself, and the negative effects of long-term reductions in length of the tension member on the integrity of the overall structure. It is not unreasonable under certain conditions to use a safety factor of 2.0 or more in a particular design.
8.16 SUGGESTED STEP-BY-STEP PROCEDURE
FOR THE DESIGN OF TENSION MEMBERS

1. Determine the factored load \( F_u \) and the corresponding required nominal strength \( F_n = F_u / \phi \).

2. Choose a uniform concrete compressive stress value at service load due to \( P_e \) to range between \( f_c = 0.20 f_c' \) and \( f_c = 0.30 f_c' \). Select the area \( A_{np} \) and the size of the prestressing strands, and then compute the net concrete area \( A_e = A_g - A_{dant} \) and the transformed area \( A_t = A_e + (n - 1) A_{ps} \).

3. Compute the maximum allowable external force \( F \) based on decompression stress \( f_c' = 0 \), i.e., find \( F_{dec} \). Then compute the first cracking load \( F_{cr} \).

4. Find the factors of safety due to forces \( F, F_{dec}, \) and \( F_{cr} \) to verify that they exceed the value 1.5.

5. Compute the length-shortening deformations due to creep and shrinkage (Equation 8.53):

\[
\Delta_e = \frac{L}{A_e E_c} \left[ P_e + C_u \left( \frac{P_i + P_e}{2} \right) \right] + \epsilon_{sh} L
\]

Then check whether the value obtained causes excessive stress in adjoining members. Next, compute the elongation

\[
\Delta_L = \frac{F L}{A_e E_c}
\]

due to external load (Equation 8.45) and verify whether the value obtained is within the specified limits of the design.

6. Adopt the design if all requirements are satisfied; otherwise, proceed through another trial-and-adjustment cycle.

A flowchart for the step-by-step trial-and-adjustment procedure that can be used for the design and/or analysis of linear tension members is presented in Figure 8.26.

8.17 DESIGN OF LINEAR TENSION MEMBERS

Example 8.4

A linear post-tensioned fully grouted direct-tension tie for an underground shelter shown in Figure 8.29 has a length \( L = 130 \) ft (39.6 m). The tie is to be designed for a net horizontal roof and earthfill dead load of thrust \( F_L = 115,000 \) lb (512 kN) and live load of thrust \( F_L = 55,000 \) lb (245 kN). Design the tie with a safety factor not less than 1.5 against cracking and 1.2 against failure, using \( \frac{1}{8} \)-in. (12.7-mm) dia 270-K prestressing strands. The maximum allowable elongation due to external load is \( \frac{1}{8} \)-in., and given data are as follows:

- \( f_c' = 6,000 \) psi, (41.4 MPa), normal weight
- \( f_{ct} = 4,000 \) psi (31.0 MPa)
- \( f_c' = 4 \sqrt{f_c'} = 310 \) psi (2.14 MPa)
- \( E_{ct} = 4.06 \times 10^6 \) psi (28 MPa)
- \( E_c = 4.69 \times 10^6 \) psi (32.2 MPa)
- \( f_{pu} = 270,000 \) psi (1,862 MPa)
- \( f_{pt} = 190,000 \) psi (1,310 MPa)
Figure 8.26 Flowchart for the design (analysis) of linear prestressed tension members.
Chapter 8  Prestressed Compression and Tension Members

$F_p + F_L$

$F_D + F_L$

$A_{pm} = \frac{1}{2}$ dia. 7-wire 270K strands

Figure 8.27  Prestressed concrete tie in Example 8.3.

$f_{pu} = 150,000$ psi (1,034 MPa)

$E_{ps} = 29 \times 10^6$ psi (200 \times 10^6 MPa)

$n_p = E_{ps}/E_c = 29 \times 10^6/4.69 \times 10^6 = 6.18$

$C_u = 2.35$

$\epsilon_{SH} = 700 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in.

The stress-strain diagram of the strands is given in Figure 8.7. Use a load factor of 1.2 for $F_D$ and 1.6 for $F_L$.

Solution:

**Factored Loads and Choice of Strands (Steps 1 and 2).** The factored load is $F_n = 1.2F_D + 1.6F_L = 1.2 \times 115,000 + 1.6 \times 55,000 = 226,000$ lb (1005 kN), and the required nominal strength is $F_n = F_{ps}/n = 226,000/0.9 = 251,111$ lb (1116 kN). So $A_{ps} = F_n/n = 251,111/270,000 = 0.93$ in.$^2$ (6.77 cm$^2$). Hence, trying 1-in. (12.7-mm) dia 7-wire strands, we find that the number of tendons = $0.93/0.153 = 6.08$. So we use seven tendons and obtain $A_{ps} = 7 \times 0.153 = 1.07$ in.$^2$ (6.9 cm$^2$). Then the available nominal strength is $F_n = 1.07 \times 270,000 = 289,900$ lb (1,285 kN).

Now, assume that the uniform compressive stress that is caused by the prestressing force $P_x$ is $f_c = 0.25f_{ps}'$. Then $P_x = 1.07 \times 150,000 = 160,500$ lb and $A_x = 160,500/0.25 \times 6,000 = 107$ in.$^2$. Accordingly, we try a tie rod section 11 in. x 11 in. (279 mm x 279 mm) and obtain $A_e = 121$ in.$^2$. Then, assuming the tendon duct has a 2-in. diameter.

$$A_e = A_g - \frac{\pi(2)^2}{4} = 117.86 \text{ in.}^2 (760 \text{ cm}^2)$$

From Equation 8.41, the transformed concrete area is

$$A_t = A_x + (n-1)A_{ps} = 121 + (6.18 - 1)1.07 = 126.54 \text{ in.}^2 (816.4 \text{ cm}^2)$$

**Check of Forces $F$, $F_{dec}$, and $F_c$ (Steps 3 and 4).** From Equation 8.56,

$$f'_t = 0 = \frac{P_x}{A_x} + \frac{F_{dec}}{A_t}$$

or

$$0 = \frac{160,500}{121} + \frac{F_{dec}}{126.54}$$
So the maximum allowable $F_{d,e}$ is

$$\frac{160,500}{117.86} \times 126.54 = 172,320 \text{ lb (766 kN)}$$

and the actual $F = F_{d} + F_{L} = 115,000 + 55,000 = 170,000 \text{ lb} < 172,320 \text{ lb}$, which is satisfactory.

The first cracking load $F_{c}$ is obtained from Equation 8.55. Assume that the maximum tensile stress in the concrete at the first cracking load is $4 \times \sqrt{f'_{t}} = 4 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{6,000} = 310 \text{ psi}$. Then

$$f'_{t} = \frac{P_{t}}{A_{t}} + \frac{F_{c}}{A_{c}}$$

or

$$310 = \frac{160,500}{117.86} + \frac{F_{c}}{126.54}$$

$$F_{c} = 211,548 \text{ lb}$$

From before, the available nominal strength is $F_{n} = 288,900 \text{ lb}$. So the design strength is $F_{c} = \phi F_{c} = 0.9 \times 288,900 = 260,010 \text{ lb} >$ required $F_{u} = 226,000 \text{ lb}$, which is satisfactory. The cracking SF is $F_{c} / F_{n} = 260,010 / 211,548 = 1.23$. This is close to 1.2, indicating that once the member is cracked it almost reaches its ultimate state at failure. Finally, the decompression SF is $F_{c} / F_{d,e} = 260,010 / 172,320 = 1.51$ and the actual SF is $\phi F_{c} / F = 260,010 / 170,000 = 1.53$. Thus, the available SF is greater than the required SF = 1.5, which is satisfactory.

**Deformation Check (Step 5).** We know that $P_{i} = f_{w}A_{w} = 190,000 \times 1.07 = 203,300 \text{ lb}$ (904 kN). From Equation 8.50(a), the initial shortening at prestress transfer is

$$\Delta_{t} = -\frac{P_{t}L}{A_{c}E_{c}} = -\frac{203,300 \times 130 \times 12}{117.86 \times 4.69 \times 10^{6}} = -0.57 \text{ in. (15 mm)}$$

From Equation 8.53, the effective prestress shortening and long-term shortening due to creep and shrinkage is

$$\Delta_{e} = -\left\{ \frac{L}{A_{c}E_{c}} \left[ P_{t} + C_{a}\left( \frac{P_{t} + P_{e}}{2} \right) \right] + \epsilon_{sh}L \right\}$$

$$= -\left\{ \frac{130 \times 12 \times 10^{3}}{117.86 \times 4.69 \times 10^{6}} \left[ 160.5 + 2.35 \left( \frac{203.3 + 160.5}{2} \right) \right] + 700 \times 10^{-6} \times (130 \times 12) \right\}$$

$$= -2.75 \text{ in. (70 mm)}$$

From Equation 8.45, the elongation due to external load is

$$\Delta_{L} = \frac{F_{L}L}{126.54 \times 4.69 \times 10^{6}} = 0.45 \text{ in. (12 mm)}$$

which is satisfactory since the allowable $\Delta$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ in. So the net shortening is $-2.75 + 0.45 - 0.57$ $= -2.87$ in. (72 mm). Thus, a deformation of this magnitude has to be imposed on the adjoining elements in order to determine whether the resulting stresses are within the allowable limits. Assuming they are, we can adopt the design of an 11 in. x 11 in. tie prestressed with seven $\frac{1}{2}$-in. 7-wire 270-K straight tendons.
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**PROBLEMS**

8.1 Compute the nominal strengths $P_n$ and $M_n$ of the precast prestressed tied concrete nonslender column having the cross section shown in Figure P8.1 and an eccentricity $e = 9$ in. The column is prestressed with six 1-in. dia 7-wire 270-K stress-relieved prestressing strands having the stress-strain properties shown in figure 8.9. Determine the type of initial failure of the column, and design the size and spacing of the necessary ties. Given data are as follows:

- $f'_c = 7,000$ psi (48.6 MPa), normal-weight concrete
- $f'_c = 4,900$ psi (33.8 MPa)

![Figure P8.1 Column section.](image)
\( f_{ps} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \) (1,862 MPa)
\( f_{py} = 255,000 \text{ psi} \) (1,758 MPa)
\( f_{pe} = 150,000 \text{ psi} \) (1,034 MPa)
\( \epsilon_{cu} = 0.0038 \text{ in./in.} \)
\( \epsilon_{cs} = 0.0008 \text{ in./in.} \)
\( E_{ps} = 29 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \) (200 \times 10^6 MPa)
\( d' = 2 \text{ in.} \) (50.8 mm)

8.2 Construct the nominal load–moment \( P_{n} - M_{n} \) and the design \( P_{d} - M_{d} \) diagrams for the prestressed concrete columns in Example 8.1 if the overall sectional dimensions of the column are 20 in. (508 mm) \times 20 in. (508 mm) and the prestressing reinforcement is twelve \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia 7-wire 270-K, half of which are placed at each face parallel to the neutral axis.

8.3 Design a square tied prestressed bonded slender column having a clear height \( l_{a} = 20 \text{ ft} \) (6.10 m) and which is not braced against sidesway. The column is loaded with the same magnitudes of loads and moments and is constructed of the same properties as the materials used in Example 8.2. The design should cover the following two loading conditions:

1. Gravity loading only, assuming that lateral sidesway due to wind is negligible.
2. Sidesway of the force and moment magnitudes of Example 8.2.

Compare the size needed in Problem 8.2 for \( l_{a} = 20 \text{ ft} \) to the size of the column in Example 8.2 with \( l_{a} = 15 \text{ ft} \) (4.57 m).

8.4 Design the column in Problem 8.3 as a biaxially loaded non-sleender braced column subjected to factored moments \( M_{ux} = M_{uy} = 1,100,000 \text{ in.-lb} \). Use the Modified Load Contour Method in the solution.

8.5 Design the prestressed tension member in Example 8.4 if its length \( L = 90 \text{ ft} \) (27.4 m), and compare the section obtained with the section of the 130-ft (39.6-m)-long tie in the example.
9.1 INTRODUCTION: REVIEW OF METHODS

Supported floor systems are usually constructed of reinforced concrete cast in place. Two-way slabs and plates are those panels in which the dimensional ratio of length to width is less than 2. The analysis and design of framed floor slab systems represented in Figure 9.1 encompasses more than one aspect of such systems. The present state of knowledge permits reasonable evaluation of (1) the moment capacity, (2) the slab-column shear capacity, and (3) serviceability behavior, as determined by deflection control and crack control. Note that flat plates are slabs supported directly on columns without beams, as shown in part (a) of the figure, compared to part (b) for slabs on beams, and part (c) for waffle slab floors.

Essentially the same principles are used in the analysis of continuous two-way prestressed concrete flat plate systems as in the analysis of reinforced concrete plate systems. The techniques of construction differ, however, and it is often unlikely that economic considerations alone can justify using prestressed two-way floor systems of the types shown in Figures 9.1(b) and (c). The prestressing is normally post-tensioned after the two-way plate is cast. Sometimes, on-site precast two-way slabs, called lift slabs, are used...
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Figure 9.1 Two-way-action floor systems. (a) Two-way flat-plate floor. (b) Two-way slab floor on beams. (c) Waffle slab floor.
as a distinct structural system that is fast to construct and perhaps more economical than cast-in-place prestressed two-way slabs. However, the construction technique in lift slabs and the absence of the expertise required for such construction can create hazardous conditions which may result in loss of stability and structural collapse.

The technique for producing lift slabs involves casting a ground-level slab which can double as a casting bed over which all the other floor slabs are cast and stacked, separated by a membrane or sprayed parting agent. The columns, which can be steel or concrete, are built prior to the casting of the basic bottom slab extending to the building’s height. All the other slabs are cast around the columns, with steel collars having sufficient clearance to permit lifting (jacking) of the slab or plate to the appropriate floor level, as shown in Figure 9.1(d). Lifting is accomplished through the use of jacks placed on top of the columns and connected to threaded rods extending down the faces of the columns to the lifting collars embedded in the slabs. Simultaneous activation of all the jacks is essential in order to maintain the slab in a totally horizontal state to avoid imbalance.

The analysis of slab behavior in flexure up to the 1940s and early 1950s followed the classical theory of elasticity, particularly in the United States. The small-deflections theory of plates, assuming the material to be homogeneous and isotropic, formed the basis of ACI Code recommendations with moment coefficient tables. The work, principally by Westergaard, which empirically allowed limited moment redistribution, guided the
thinking of the code writers. Hence, the elastic solutions, complicated even for simple shapes and boundary conditions when no computers were available, made it mandatory to idealize, and sometimes render empirical, conditions beyond economic bounds.

In 1943, Johansen presented his yield-line theory for evaluating the collapse capacity of slabs. Since that time, extensive research into the ultimate behavior of reinforced concrete slabs has been undertaken. Studies by many investigators, such as those of Ockleston, Mansfield, Rzhanitsyn, Powell, Wood, Sawczuk, Gamble-Sozen-Siess, and Park, contributed immensely to a further understanding of the limit-state behavior of slabs and plates at failure as well as at serviceable load levels.

The various methods that are used for the analysis and design of two-way action slabs and plates are summarized in the following subsections.

9.1.1 The Semielastic ACI Code Approach

The ACI approach gives two alternatives for the analysis and design of a framed two-way action slab or plate system: the direct design method and the equivalent frame method. Both methods are discussed in more detail in Section 9.3. The equivalent frame method will be used in the design and analysis of prestressed slabs and plates.

9.1.2 The Yield-Line Theory

Whereas the semielastic code approach applies to standard cases and shapes and has an inherent excessively large safety factor with respect to capacity, the yield-line theory is a plastic theory easy to apply to irregular shapes and boundary conditions. Provided that serviceability constraints are applied, Johansen's yield-line theory represents the true behavior of concrete slabs and plates, permitting evaluation of the bending moments from an assumed collapse mechanism which is a function of the type of external load and the shape of the floor panel. This topic will be discussed in more detail in Section 9.14.

9.1.3 The Limit Theory of Plates

The interest in developing a limit solution became necessary due to the possibility of finding a variation in the collapse field which could give a lower failure load. Hence, an upper bound solution requiring a valid mechanism when applying the work equation was sought, as well as a lower bound solution requiring that the stress field satisfy everywhere the differential equation of equilibrium, that is,

\[
\frac{\partial^2 M_x}{\partial x^2} - 2\frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial y^2} = -w
\]

(9.1)

where \( M_x \), \( M_y \), and \( M_{xy} \) are the bending moments and \( w \) is the unit intensity of load. Variable reinforcement permits the lower bound solution still to be valid. Wood, Park, and other researchers have given more accurate semicexact predictions of the collapse load.

For limit-state solutions, the slab is assumed to be completely rigid until collapse. Further work at Rutgers by Nayy incorporated the deflection effect at high load levels as well as the compressive membrane force effects in predicting the collapse load.

9.1.4 The Strip Method

The strip method was proposed by Hillerborg, in attempting to fit the reinforcement to the strip fields. Since practical considerations require the reinforcement to be placed in orthogonal directions, Hillerborg set twisting moments equal to zero and transformed the slab into intersecting beam strips, hence the name "strip method."
Except for Johansen's yield-line theory, most of the other solutions are lower bound. Johansen's upper-bound solution can give the highest collapse load as long as a valid failure mechanism is used in predicting the collapse load.

9.1.5 Summary

The equivalent frame method will be the chief method discussed, because of the limitations of the use of the direct design method in its applicability to two-way prestressed floor systems and the need for more refined determination of the stiffnesses at the column-slab joints in the design process. The yield-line theory for the limit state at failure evaluation of slabs and plates will also be concisely presented.

9.2 FLEXURAL BEHAVIOR OF TWO-WAY SLABS AND PLATES

9.2.1 Two-Way Action

Consider a single rectangular panel supported on all four sides by unyielding supports such as shear walls or stiff beams. We seek to visualize the physical behavior of the panel under gravity load. The panel will deflect in a dishlike form under the external load, and its corners will lift if it is not monolithically cast with the supports. The contours shown in Figure 9.2(a) indicate that the curvatures and consequently the moments at the central area C are more severe in the shorter direction y with its steep contours than in the longer direction x.

Evaluation of the division of moments in the x and y directions is extremely complex, as the behavior is highly statically indeterminate. The simple case of the panel in part (a) of Figure 9.2 is expounded by taking strips AB and DE at midspan, as in part (b), such that the deflection of both strips at the central point C is the same.

The deflection of a simply supported uniformly loaded beam is \( 5wL^4/384EI \), i.e., \( \Delta = kwl^4 \), where \( k \) is a constant. If the thickness of the two strips is the same, the deflection of strip AB is \( kw_{AB}L^4 \) and the deflection of strip DE is \( kw_{DE}S^4 \), where \( w_{AB} \) and \( w_{DE} \) are the portions of the total load intensity \( w \) transferred to strips AB and DE, respectively, i.e., \( w = w_{AB} + w_{DE} \). Equating the deflections of the two strips at the central point C, we get

\[
\frac{w_{AB}}{L^4} = \frac{wS^4}{L^4 + S^4} \quad (9.2a)
\]

and

\[
\frac{w_{DE}}{L^4} = \frac{wL^4}{L^4 + S^4} \quad (9.2b)
\]

It is seen from these equations that the shorter span \( S \) of strip DE carries the heavier portion of the load. Hence, the shorter span of such a slab panel on unyielding supports is subjected to the larger moment, supporting the foregoing discussion of the steepness of the curvature contours in Figure 9.2(a).

9.2.2 Relative Stiffness Effects

Alternatively, one has to consider a slab panel supported by flexible supports such as beams and columns, or flat plates supported by a grid of columns. In either case, the distribution of moments in the short and long directions is considerably more complex. This complexity arises from the fact that the degree of stiffness of the yielding supports determines the intensity of steepness of the curvature contours in Figure 9.2(a) in both the x and y directions and the redistribution of moments.
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The ratio of the stiffness of the beam supports to the slab stiffness can result in curvatures and moments in the long direction larger than those in the short direction, as the total floor behaves as an orthotropic plate supported on a grid of columns without beams. If the long span $L$ in such floor systems of slab panels without beams is considerably larger than the short span $S$, the maximum moment at the center of a plate panel would approximate the moment at the middle of a uniformly loaded strip of span $L$ that is clamped at both ends.

In sum, as slabs are flexible and highly underreinforced, redistribution of moments in both the long and short directions depends on the relative stiffnesses of the supports and the supported panels. Overstress in one region is reduced by such redistribution of moments to the lesser stressed regions.

9.3 THE EQUIVALENT FRAME METHOD

9.3.1 Introduction

The following discussion of the equivalent frame method of analysis for two-way systems summarizes the ACI Code approach to the evaluation and distribution of the total moments in a two-way slab panel. The Code assumes that vertical panels cut through an en-
tire rectangularly planned multistory building along lines $AB$ and $CD$ in Figure 9.3 midway between columns. A rigid frame results in the $x$ direction. Similarly, vertical planes $EF$ and $HG$ result in a rigid frame in the $y$ direction. A solution of such an idealized frame consisting of horizontal beams or equivalent slabs and supporting columns enables the design of the slab as the beam part of the frame. The equivalent frame method thus treats the idealized frame in a manner similar to an actual frame, and hence is more exact and has fewer limitations than the direct design method. Basically, it involves a full moment distribution of many cycles as compared to the direct design method, which involves a one-cycle moment distribution approximation.

### 9.3.2 Limitations of the Direct Design Method

The following are the limitations of the direct design method:

1. There is a minimum of three continuous spans in each direction.
2. The ratio of the longer to the shorter span within a panel should not exceed 2.0.
3. Successive span lengths in each direction should not differ by more than one-third the length of the longer span.
4. Columns may be offset a maximum of 10 percent of the span in the direction of the offset from either axis between the center lines of successive columns.
5. All loads shall be due to gravity only and uniformly distributed over the entire panel. The live load shall not exceed three times the dead load.
6. If the panel is supported by beams on all sides, the relative stiffness of the beams in two perpendicular directions shall not be less than 0.2 or greater than 5.0.

Given these limitations, for prestressed concrete floor slabs, it is necessary to use the equivalent frame method.

![Figure 9.3](image_url)  
**Figure 9.3** Floor plan with equivalent frame (shaded area in $x$ direction).
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9.3.3 Determination of the Statical Moment $M_0$

There are basically four major steps in the design of the floor panels:

1. Determine the total statical moment in each of the two perpendicular directions.
2. Distribute the total moment for the design of sections for negative and positive moment.
3. Distribute the negative and positive moments to the column and middle strips and to the panel beams, if any. A column strip is a width that is 25 percent of the equivalent frame width on each side of the column center line, and whose middle strip is the balance of the equivalent frame width.
4. Proportion the size and distribution of the reinforcement in the two perpendicular directions.

Given the above, correct determination of the values of the distributed moments becomes a principal objective. Consider typical interior panels having center line dimensions $l_1$ in the direction of the moments being considered and dimensions $l_2$ in the direction perpendicular to $l_1$, as shown in Figure 9.4. The clear span $l_2$ extends from face to face of columns, capitals, or walls. Its value should not be less than 0.65$l_1$, and circular supports shall be treated as square supports having the same cross-sectional area. The total statical moment of a uniformly loaded simply supported beam as a one-dimensional member is $M_0 = \frac{wl^2}{8}$. In a two-way slab panel as a two-dimensional member, the idealization of the structure through conversion to an equivalent frame makes it possible to compute $M_0$ once in the $x$ direction and again in the orthogonal $y$ direction. If one takes as a free-body diagram the typical interior panel shown in Figure 9.5(a), symmetry reduces the shears and twisting moments to zero along the edges of the cut segment. If no restraint existed at ends $A$ and $B$, the panel would be considered simply supported in the span $l_2$ direction. If one cuts at midspan, as in Figure 9.5(b), and considers half the panel as a free-body diagram, the moment $M_0$ at midspan would be

$$M_0 = \frac{wl_2 l_{n1}}{2} - \frac{wl_2 l_{n1}}{4}$$

or

$$M_0 = \frac{wl_2 (l_{n1})^2}{8} \quad (9.3)$$

Due to the existence of restraint at the supports, $M_0$ in the $x$ direction would be distributed to the supports and midspan such that

$$M_0 = M_C + \frac{1}{2} (M_A + M_B) \quad (9.4a)$$

The distribution would depend on the degree of stiffness of the support. In a similar manner, $M_0$ in the $y$ direction would be the sum of the moments at midspan and the average of the moments at the supports in that direction.

In the orthogonal direction, Equation 9.4a becomes

$$M'_0 = M'_C + \frac{1}{2} (M'_A + M'_B) \quad (9.4b)$$

where $M'_0, M'_A, M'_B,$ and $M'_C$ are at 90 degrees to $M_0, M_A, M_B$, and $M_C$, respectively. Also, in an analogous manner to Equation 9.3,

$$M'_0 = \frac{Wl_1 (l_{n2})^2}{8} \quad (9.5)$$
Figure 9.4 Column and middle strips of the equivalent frame (y direction).

- Edge equivalent frame
- Interior equivalent frame
- Exterior span $u_{1a}$
- Interior span $u_{1b}$
- $l_2 = \frac{1}{2}(u_{ka} + u_{kb})$
- $l_3 = \frac{1}{2}(u_{ka} + u_{kb})$
- Half middle strip
- Column strip

Direction the moments are being determined
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9.3 The Equivalent Frame Method

The load intensity $W$ at service load in the prestressed concrete slab would be $W_w$ per unit area.

9.3.4 Equivalent Frame Analysis

The structure, divided into continuous frames as shown in Figure 9.6 for frames in both orthogonal directions, would have the row of columns and a wide continuous beam (slab) ABCDE for gravity loading. Each floor is analyzed separately, whereby the columns are assumed fixed at the floors above and below. To satisfy statical and equilibrium considerations, each equivalent frame must carry the total applied load; alternate span loading has to be used for the worst live-load condition.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 9.5** Simple moment $M_0$ acting on an interior two-way slab panel in $x$ direction. (a) Moment on panel. (b) Free-body diagram.
Figure 9.6 Idealized structure divided into equivalent frames. (a) Plan. (b) Section in E–W direction.

It is necessary to account for the rotational resistance of the column at the joint when running a moment relaxation or distribution, except when the columns are so slender as to have very small rigidity compared to the rigidity of the slab at the joint. In such cases, as, for example, in lift slab construction, only a continuous beam is necessary. A schematic illustration of the constituent elements of the equivalent frame is given in Figure 9.7. The slab strips are assumed to be supported by transverse slabs. The column provides a resisting torque $M_T$ equivalent to the applied torsional moment intensity $m_T$. The exterior ends of the slab strip rotate more than the central section because of torsional deformation. In order to account for this rotation and deformation, the actual column and the transverse slab strip are conceptually replaced by an equivalent column such that the flexibility of the equivalent column is equal to the sum of the flexibilities of the actual column and the slab strip. This assumption is represented by the equation

$$\frac{1}{K_{ce}} = \frac{1}{\sum K_c} + \frac{1}{K_r}$$

(9.6)

where $K_{ce}$ = flexural stiffness of the equivalent column, moment per unit rotation
$\sum K_c$ = sum of flexural stiffnesses of the upper and lower columns at the joint, moment per unit rotation
$K_r$ = torsional stiffness of the torsional beam, moment per unit rotation.
Alternatively, Equation 9.6 can be written as the stiffness equation

\[ K_{ec} = \frac{\Sigma K_c}{1 + \frac{\Sigma K_c}{K_t}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.7)

and the column stiffness for an equivalent frame (Ref. 9.9) can be defined as

\[ K_c = \frac{EI}{L'} \left[ 1 + 3 \left( \frac{L}{L'} \right)^2 \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.8)

where \( I \) is the column moment of inertia, \( L \) is the centerline span, and \( L' \) is the clear span of the equivalent beam. The carryover factors are approximated by \(-\frac{1}{2}(1 + 3h/L)\). An exact computation of the carryover factor can be made by the column-analogy method using the slab as an analogous column.

A simpler expression for \( K_c \) (Ref. 9.10) gives results within 5 percent of the more refined values from Equation 9.8, viz.,

\[ K_c = \frac{4EI}{L_n - 2h} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.9)

where \( h \) is the slab thickness. The torsional stiffness of the slab in the column line is

\[ K_t = \Sigma \frac{9E_{ct}C}{L_2 \left( 1 - \frac{c_2}{L_2} \right)^3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.10a)

where
- \( L_2 = \text{band width} \)
- \( L_n = \text{span} \)
- \( c_2 = \text{column dimensions in the direction parallel to the torsional beam and the torsional constant is} \)
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\[ C = \sum (1 - 0.63x/y)x^3 y/3 \quad (9.10b) \]

in which  
- \( x \) = shorter dimension of the rectangular part of the cross section at the column junction (such as the slab depth)
- \( y \) = longer dimension of the rectangular part of the cross section at the column junction (such as the column width).

The slab stiffness is given by the equation

\[ K_s = \frac{4E_0 I_s}{L_n - c_l/2} \quad (9.11) \]

As the effective stiffness \( K_e \) of the column and the slab stiffness \( K_s \) are established, the analysis of the equivalent frame can be performed by any applicable methods, such as relaxation or moment distribution.

The distribution factor for the fixed-end moment \( FEM \) is

\[ DF = \frac{K_s}{\Sigma K} \quad (9.12) \]

where \( \Sigma K = K_e + K_{r(left)} + K_{r(right)} \). A carryover factor of \( COF \equiv \frac{1}{4} \) can be used without loss of accuracy since the nonprismatic section causes only very small effects on fixed-end moments and carryover factors. The fixed-end moment \( FEM \) for a uniformly distributed load is \( wL(l_n)^2/12 \) at the supports, such that after moment redistribution the sum of the negative distributed moment at the support and the midspan moment is always equal to the static moment \( M_0 = wL(l_n)^2/8 \).

### 9.3.5 Pattern Loading of Spans

Loading all spans simultaneously does not necessarily produce the maximum positive and negative flexural stresses. Consequently, it is advisable to analyze the multispan frame using alternative span loading patterns for the live load. For a three-span frame, the suggested patterns for the live load are shown in Figure 9.8.
9.4 Two-Directional Load Balancing

9.4 TWO-DIRECTIONAL LOAD BALANCING

As mentioned in Chapter 1, load balancing represents the forces counteracting the external gravity load. These forces are produced by the transverse component of the longitudinal prestressing force in a parabolic or harped tendon. The load \( w \) in Equations 9.3 to 9.5 represents the *downward* external transverse load intensity, which can be either the working load intensity \( w_w \) or the factored load intensity \( w_u \). The *upward* load intensity in the slab field due to the transverse component of the prestressing force, also mentioned in Chapter 1, would reduce the effect of \( w_w \) and can be chosen so as to *exactly balance* a particular downward load intensity. Under such a condition, the two-way slab would be subjected to neither bending nor twisting moments, and the analysis would be considerably simplified.

Two-directional load balancing in two-way slabs is different from one-directional load balancing in beams. The balancing load produced by the tendons in one direction either increases or decreases the balancing load produced by the tendons in the perpendicular direction. Hence, the prestressing forces and tendon profiles in the two orthogonal directions are totally *interrelated*, fully maintaining the basic principles of statics. The ultimate benefit of load balancing is in the creation of a design of a structural prestressed floor such that the upward component of the prestressing force results in a load intensity distribution in each direction that is equivalent to the downward external load intensity. Such a design is called a pure *balanced design*. Any deviation from the balanced condition should be analyzed as a load acting on the slab without being affected by the transverse upward component of prestress.

If a two-way slab on rigid supports such as walls is prestressed in both orthogonal directions having spans \( L_s \) and \( L_L \) in the short and long directions, respectively, as shown in Figure 9.9, the intensity of the upward balancing load required to produce balanced design loads is given, from Equation 1.15a, by the equations

\[
W_{\text{bal}(\text{S})} = \frac{8P_S \varepsilon_S}{L_s^2} \quad (9.13a)
\]

and

\[
W_{\text{bal}(\text{L})} = \frac{8P_L \varepsilon_L}{L_L^2} \quad (9.13b)
\]

![Figure 9.9](image.png)

*Figure 9.9* Balancing loads in two-way prestressed panel. (a) Three-dimensional view. (b) Section L–L in the long direction. (c) Section S–S in the short direction.
where $P_S$ and $P_L$ are the effective prestressing forces after losses in the short and long directions $L_S$ and $L_L$, respectively, per unit width of slab, and $e_S$ and $e_L$ are the corresponding maximum eccentricities of the prestressing tendons. The total balancing load per unit width then becomes

$$W_{bal} = W_{bal(S)} + W_{bal(L)} = \frac{8P_S e_S}{L_S^2} + \frac{8P_L e_L}{L_L^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.14)

The designer would select the level of $W_{bal}$ and determine the values of the prestressing forces $P_S$ and $P_L$ accordingly. Many combinations of $P_S$ and $P_L$ can satisfy the statics Equation 9.14. If the slab panels were supported on beams, or if simple panels were supported on a wall, the most economical design would be to carry the load $W$ only in the short direction, or to carry $\frac{1}{2} W$ in each direction in the case of a square slab panel. The slab panel loaded by $W_{bal}$ and stressed by prestressing forces $P_S$ and $P_L$ would be subjected to a uniform stress distribution $P_S/h$ and $P_L/h$ in the respective directions, $h$ being the slab thickness. The slab panel would be totally level, with no deflection or cambers. Any deflection of the applied load from $W_{bal}$ would require the use of the usual elastic theory for the analysis of two-way plates.

As prestressed post-tensioned two-way slabs are usually flat plates supported directly on columns, all of the load has to be carried in both directions using either uniformly distributed prestressing tendons or banded tendons, with a concentration of tendons at the columns strips of the two-way plate panels.

Uniform stress distribution and zero deflection/camber are not mandatory for proportioning the floor system. If they were, load balancing would not necessarily be the most economical way of determining the prestressing forces. Instead, the designer would often use a partial balancing load $W_{bal} < W_D + W_L$ for a multipanel floor system, as will be seen in Example 9.2. If the load intensity $W_u = W_D + W_L$ is larger than the balanced load $W_{bal}$ from Equation 9.14, then unit moments $M_S$ and $M_L$ will result in the directions $S$ and $L$, respectively.

The unit stress in the concrete in the short and long directions due to the unbalanced loading is obtained by superimposing the uniform compression due to balanced loading on the flexural stress in the concrete caused by the bending moments $M_S$ and $M_L$ that result from the unbalanced load $W_u - M_{bal}$. The resulting concrete stresses at the top and bottom fibers in each direction are given as follows:

**Short direction**

$$f^t = -\frac{P_S}{bh} - \frac{M_L c}{I_S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.15a)

$$f_b = -\frac{P_S}{bh} + \frac{M_S c}{I_S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.15b)

**Long direction**

$$f^t = -\frac{P_L}{bh} - \frac{M_S c}{I_L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.16a)

$$f_b = -\frac{P_L}{bh} + \frac{M_L c}{I_L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.16b)

In these equations, superscript $t$ represents the top of the slab, subscript $b$ represents the bottom of the slab, $c = \frac{1}{2} h$, width $b = 12$ in., and

$$P_s = \frac{\text{total } P_s}{L}$$
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Photo 9.2 Kishwaukee River segmental bridge during construction. Winnebago County, Illinois. The first bridge in the U.S. constructed with launching gantry and the only precast segmental bridge post-tensioned totally with Dywidag bars. (Courtesy, H. Wilden & Associates, Macungie, Pennsylvania and the Prestressed Concrete Institute.)

and

\[ P_L = \frac{\text{total } P_L}{S} \]

are the unit prestress forces. The service-load moment coefficient for evaluating \( M_s \) and \( M_L \) can be obtained from the chart in Figure 9.10 for any boundary condition (Ref. 9.13–CP 114). The bending moment coefficients there are for the maximum positive and negative bending moments, where \( \beta x \) and \( \beta y \) apply to \( +M \) and \( -M \), respectively, on the short span \( L_s \). Similarly, \( \beta x ' \) and \( \beta y ' \) apply to the maximum positive and negative bending moments, respectively, on the long span \( L_L \). In an analogous fashion, the charts in Figure 9.11 give a rapid method for the evaluation of the ultimate bending moment coefficients (Ref. 9.13–CP 110) in continuous two-way-action concrete plates.

9.5 FLEXURAL STRENGTH OF PRESTRESSED PLATES

9.5.1 Design Moments \( M_u \)

The design moments for statically indeterminate prestressed bonded members are determined by combining the frame distributed moments \( M_u \) due to the factored dead plus live loads with the secondary moments \( M_s \) induced into the frame by the tendons. The load balancing approach discussed in the previous section directly includes both the primary moments \( M_f \) and the secondary moments \( M_s \). Hence, for service-load intensity values, only the net loads \( M_{net} \) need to be considered in the calculation of the fixed-end factored moments, while \( W_{sail} \) needs to be considered for flexural strength analysis.
Figure 9.10 Service-load moment coefficients in two-way action slabs and plates (Ref. 9.13).
Figure 9.11 Ultimate-load moment coefficients in two-way action slabs and plates (Ref. 9.13).
Fixed-end $M_u$ for moment distribution

If $M_t = P_e e = Fe$ is the primary moment, $M_{bal}$ is the balanced moment due to $W_{bal}$, $M_s$ = distributed $M_{bal} - M_t$ is the secondary moment, and $M_u$ is the fixed-end factored moment due to the factored load intensity $W_o$, then the design ultimate moment would be at least

$$\text{Design } M_u = \text{distributed } M_u - M_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.17)

and the available moment strength would be

$$M_u = \frac{M_u}{\phi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.18)

Inelastic redistribution of moments due to continuity would be applied to the available moment strength $M_u$ at the support towards the required $M_s$ at midspan.

Where bonded reinforcement is provided at the supports with minimum noncompressed steel provided in accordance with Equations 9.19 and 9.20, negative moments computed by the elastic theory for any assumed loading arrangement may be increased or decreased by not more than the percentage given by the inelastic moment redistribution factor described in Sections 4.12.2 and 6.7.2.

The modified negative moment should be used for computing moments at sections within spans, viz., the positive moments, for the same loading arrangement. Inelastic moment redistribution of the negative moments can be made only when the section at which the moment is reduced is so designed that $\omega_p + (d/d_p)(\omega - \omega')$ is not greater than 0.24$\beta_4$, and the redistribution factor not to exceed 1000 $e_r$.

Example 9.2 illustrates in detail the equivalent frame analysis procedure both for service-load and ultimate-load conditions, and the inelastic moment redistribution due to continuity that is utilized in the strength analysis.

9.6 BANDING OF PRESTRESSING TENDONS AND LIMITING CONCRETE STRESSES

9.6.1 Distribution of Prestressing Tendons

Each plate panel is assumed to be supported continuously along the transverse column center lines. The assumption is also made, as previously stated, that the slab panel behaves as an orthogonal panel of two wide beams whose width is equal to the panel width and which is supported along the column centerlines. Consequently, 100 percent of the load to be balanced is considered to be supported by the wide beam in each of the two perpendicular directions.

It is also known that the lateral distribution of moments is not uniform across the width of the panel, but tends to be more concentrated in the column strip. Consequently, it is not unreasonable to concentrate a large percentage of the tendons in the column strip, as defined in Figure 9.4, and to spread the remaining tendons in the middle strip. For continuous spans, 65 to 75 percent of the moment in each direction is carried by the respective column strip, while the total area and number of tendons required by the total applied moment are maintained.

The width of half the column strip on either side of the column is one-fourth of the smaller of the two panel dimensions. The middle strip is the slab band bound by the two column strips. Accordingly, the distribution or banding of the prestressing tendons follows the percentage distribution of the moment between the column and middle strips. Consequently, if 70 percent of the tendons are concentrated in the column strip, it is rea-
reasonable to expect that the column strip will carry essentially 70 percent, and the middle strip will carry the remaining 30 percent, of the total moment.

Since tendons exert downward loads at the high points which join adjacent parabolic profiles, the accompanying downward reactions should, as nearly as practicable, be resisted by columns, walls and/or upward tendon loads to achieve minimum deflections and maximum shear capacity. Consequently, a logical statical distribution of tendons can be one in which all tendons in one direction are placed through or immediately adjacent to the columns, and the tendons in the perpendicular direction are spaced uniformly across the bay width.

Figure 9.12 shows the resulting distribution of prestressing tendons in the two orthogonal directions. As a general guideline, the recommended spacing of the tendons in the column strip is about three to four times the slab thickness, while the maximum spacing of the tendons in the middle strip should not exceed six times the slab thickness. An average compressive stress in the concrete in each direction should be at least about 125 psi (0.90 MPa).

Investigations have verified (Ref. 9.4), through testing of the four-panel prestressed plates shown in Figure 9.13, that variation of distribution of prestressing strands does not alter the deflection behavior or magnitude and capacity for the same total prestressing steel percentage. Banding of the prestressing tendons as shown in part (b) of the figure, with about 65 to 75 percent of the tendons in the column strip, seems to be the most effective, particularly in enhancing the shear-moment transfer capacity at the column support section of the two-way slab.

9.6.2 Limiting Concrete Tensile Stresses at Service Load

9.6.2.1 Flexure. The ACI 318 Code limits the tensile stresses in the concrete for prestressed elements in order to control flexural cracking development. The following tabulated values give the maximum permissible tensile stress in the prestressed elements for the various moment regions.

1. Negative moment area with the addition of nonprestressed reinforcement  

\[ 6\sqrt{f'_{c}} \]
2. Negative moment area without the addition of nonprestressed reinforcement

3. Positive moment area with the addition of nonprestressed reinforcement

4. Positive moment area without the addition of nonprestressed reinforcement

5. Compressive stress in the concrete (Under certain conditions, 0.60f'c)

\[
\begin{align*}
A_s &= 0.004 A, \quad f_c = 0.45f'c \\
2\sqrt{f'c} &< 0
\end{align*}
\]

**9.6.2.2 Reinforcement.** The minimum area of bonded reinforcement, except as required by Equation 9.20 below, is

\[
A_s = \frac{N_c}{0.5f_y}
\]

where \(A\) is the area in square inches of that part of the cross section between the flexural tension face and the center of gravity of the gross section. In positive-moment areas where the computed tensile stress in the concrete at service load exceeds \(2\sqrt{f'c}\), the minimum area of bonded reinforcement has to be computed from

In negative-moment areas at column supports, the minimum area of bonded reinforcement in each direction has to be determined from
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\[ A_v = 0.00075hL \]  

\[(9.20)\]

where \( L \) = span length in the direction parallel to the reinforcement being determined  
\( h \) = slab thickness.

The reinforcement obtained from Equation 9.20 has to be distributed within a slab band width between the lines that are \( 1.5h \) outside opposite faces of the column support. At least four bars or wires should be provided in both directions.

The minimum length of bonded reinforcement in the positive area should be one-third the clear span, centered in the positive-moment area. The minimum length of bonded reinforcement in the negative area should extend one-sixth the clear span on each side of the support, placed at the top fibers. The stress \( f_{pu} \) in the prestressing reinforcement at nominal strength, as required by the ACI 318 Code, is governed by the following requirements.
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**Bonded Tendons.** For bonded tendons,

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_p}{\beta_1} \left[ \frac{f_{ps}}{f_c'} + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') \right] \right) \]  

(9.21)

where \( \omega' = \rho' = f_y / f_c' \)

and \( \gamma_p = 0.40 \) for \( f_{py} / f_{pu} \geq 0.85 \)

\[ = 0.28 \]  \( \) for \( f_{py} / f_{pu} \geq 0.90 \).

If any compression reinforcement is considered, the term \( [\rho_p f_{ps} / f_c' + (d / d_p)(\omega - \omega')] \) in Equation 9.21 shall be taken not less than 0.17, and \( d' \) shall be no greater than 0.15\( d_p \).

**Nonbonded Tendons.** For nonbonded tendons with a slab span-to-depth ratio \( \leq 35 \),

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 10,000 + \frac{f_c'}{1000_p} \]  

(9.22)

where \( f_{ps} \leq f_{py} \leq f_{pe} + 60,000 \).

For nonbonded tendons with a slab span-to-depth ratio \( > 35 \),

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 10,000 + \frac{f_c'}{3000_p} \]  

(9.23)

where \( f_{ps} \leq f_{py} \leq f_{pe} + 30,000 \).

**9.6.2.3 Shear**

**Column Support Sections in Flat Plates.** The nominal shear strength provided by the concrete at the column junctions of two-way prestressed slabs is given by

\[ V_c = (\beta_p \sqrt{f_c} + 0.3f_c) b_d + V_p \]  

(9.24a)

or the nominal unit shearing strength is

\[ v_c = \beta_p \sqrt{f_c} + 0.3f_c + \frac{V_p}{b_d} \]  

(9.24b)

where \( b_o \) = perimeter of the critical shear section at distance \( d/2 \) from face of support

\( f_c \) = average value of the effective compressive stress in the concrete due to externally applied load for the two orthogonal directions computed at the section centroid after all prestress losses (termed \( f_{pc} \) by the ACI Code)

\( V_p \) = vertical component of all effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section

\( \beta_p \) = the smaller of the two values 3.5 or \((\alpha, d / b_o + 1.5)\), where \( \alpha \) is 40 for interior columns, 30 for edge columns, and 20 for corner columns.

In slabs with distributed tendons, the term \( V_p \) can be conservatively disregarded; otherwise it becomes necessary to use the actual reverse curvature tendon geometry in the calculations in order to assess the shear carried by the tendons crossing the critical section. According to the ACI 318 Code, no portion of the column cross section shall be closer to a discontinuous edge than four times the slab thickness, \( f_c \) in Equations 9.24 shall not exceed 5,000 psi, and \( f_c \) in each direction shall be neither less than 125 psi nor greater than 500 psi.

If these requirements are not satisfied, \( V_c \) shall be computed by the smaller of the values obtained from the expressions
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\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad V_c &= \left(2 + \frac{4}{\beta_e}\right)\sqrt{f'_c} b_o d \\
(ii) \quad V_c &= \left(\frac{\alpha_d}{b_o} + 2\right)\sqrt{f'_c} b_o d \\
(iii) \quad V_c &= 4\sqrt{f'_c} b_o d
\end{align*}
\]

(9.25a) \quad (9.25b) \quad (9.25c)

where \(\beta_e\) = ratio of long to short side of the column or concentrated load area.

Equations 9.25(a) and (b) are the results of tests which indicate that as the ratio \(b_o/d\) increases, the available nominal shear strength \(V_c\) decreases so that in such situations Equation 9.25(c) would not control as it becomes unsafe.

**Continuous Edge Support.** For distributed load and continuous edge support such as supporting beams or wall support, if the effective prestress is not less than 40 percent of the tensile strength of the reinforcement, the maximum allowable shear stress is

\[
V_c = \left[0.60\sqrt{f'_c} + 700\frac{V_o d}{M_u}\right] b_o d_p \leq 2\sqrt{f'_c} b_o d \\
\leq 5\sqrt{f'_c} b_o d
\]

(9.26)

where \(b_o\) is taken as the strip width and \(V_o d/M_u\) at a distance \(d_p/2\) from the face of the support, \(d_p \geq 0.80h_c\).

Values of \(\sqrt{f'_c}\) in all the above equations are to be multiplied by a factor \(\lambda = 1.0\) for normal-weight concrete, \(\lambda = 0.85\) for sand-lightweight concrete, and \(\lambda = 0.75\) for all-lightweight concrete.

**Shear Force Coefficients.** The maximum shear force at the edge of a two-way slab panel carrying uniformly distributed load and supported along the length of its perimeter can be approximated as follows

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} wL_e \text{ (short edge)}
\]

(9.27a)

\[
V = kwL_e/(2k + 1) \text{ (long edge)}
\]

(9.27b)

where \(k\) is the ratio of the long span \(L_e\) to the short span \(L_s\). The same values are applicable to a panel that is fixed or continuous along all four edges. For other conditions, the distribution of shearing forces, the stresses due to which are rarely critical, have to be adjusted on the basis that the shearing force is slightly larger at a continuous edge than at a simply supported edge.

The ACI Code allows a 15 percent increase in the shear force at the first interior continuous support for one-way action.

9.7 LOAD-BALANCING DESIGN OF A SINGLE-Panel TWO-WAY FLOOR SLAB

**Example 9.1**

A two-way single-panel prestressed warehouse lift slab 20 ft × 24 ft (6.10 m × 7.32 m) has the plan shown in Figure 9.14. It is supported on masonry walls on all sides, with negligible rotational restraint at these boundaries but that the corners are held down. The slab has to carry a superimposed service dead load of 15 psf (0.72 kPa) in addition to its self-weight and a service live load of 75 psf (3.59 kPa). No deflection is allowed under full dead load.

Design the slab as a post-tensioned nonbonded prestressed two-way floor using 1-in. dia 7-wire 270-K strands (12.7-mm dia strands). Given data are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
&V = 15 \text{ psf (0.72 kPa)} \\
&M_u = \text{ not given}
\end{align*}
\]

(9.28a)
Figure 9.14  Two-way prestressed panel in Example 9.1. (a) Plan. (b) Section in longitudinal E–W direction. (c) Section in transverse N–S direction.

\[ f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa), normal weight} \]
\[ f''_c = 3,750 \text{ psi (25.9 MPa)} \]
E–W max. \( f_c \) due to net prestress after losses = 200 psi
N–S max. \( f_c \) due to net prestress after losses not to exceed 350 psi (ACI allows up to 500 psi)
Max. \( f_c \) due to combined stresses = 0.45\( f'_c \)
\[ E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f'_c} = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \ (27.8 \times 10^6 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_{ps} \leq 0.70f_{pm} = 189,000 \text{ psi} \ (1,303 \text{ MPa}), \text{ as required by the ACI Code} \]
\[ f_{py} = 240,000 \text{ psi} \ (1,655 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_{pe} = 159,000 \text{ psi} \ (1,096 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ E_{ps} = 29 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \ (200 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ f_s = 60,000 \text{ psi} \ (414 \text{ MPa}) \]
\[ E_s = 29 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \ (200 \times 10^3 \text{ MPa}) \]

Solution:

\[ e_s = e_L = \frac{6}{2} - 1 = 2.0 \text{ in.} \ (51 \text{ mm}) \]

Choose a trial slab thickness on the basis of a span-to-depth ratio \( \equiv 45 \):

\[ h = \frac{(20 + 24) \times 12}{2} \times \frac{1}{45} = 5.87 \text{ in.} \]
So try a 6-in. (152-mm)-thick slab assuming a duct diameter $d_p = 6.0 - (0.5/2 + 1/2) = 5.0$ in. (127 mm).

Balancing Load

$$W_D = 15 \text{ psf} + \frac{6}{12} \times 150 = 90 \text{ psf (4.31 kPa)}$$

Since a balancing load is required for zero deflection or camber due to dead load, assume that $W_{bal} = W_D = 90$ psf (4.31 kPa). Also, since $f_c$ due to prestressing = 200 psi (given), assume this to be the stress in the E–W direction. Then the effective prestressing force in the E–W direction is $P_L = 200 \times 6 \times 12 = 14,400$ lb per strip, and from Equation 9.13b,

$$W_{bal(L)} = \frac{8P_L \epsilon_L}{L_L^2} = \frac{8 \times 14,400 \times 2}{(24)^2 \times 12} \approx 33 \text{ psf (1.58 kPa)}$$

The uplift to be provided by the tendons in the short direction (preferably the load is to be carried by the short-direction span) becomes $W_{bal(S)} = W_D - W_{bal(L)} = 90 - 33 = 57$ psf (2.73 kPa). Then, from Equation 9.13a,

$$P_S = \frac{W_{bal(S)} L_S^2}{8 \epsilon_S} = \frac{57 \times (20)^2 \times 12}{8 \times 2} = 17,100 \text{ lb/ft (249.7 kN/m)}$$

which is satisfactory. Hence, use 4-in. dia 7-wire 270-K tendons with effective prestressing force $P_S = 159,000 \times 0.153 = 24,327$ lb (108.2 kN).

Required Spacing in the N–S Direction. The required spacing in the N–S direction is

$$s_S = \frac{24,327}{17,100} = 1.42 \text{ ft} = 17 \text{ in. (432 mm)}$$

Required Spacing in the E–W Direction. The required spacing in the E–W direction is

$$s_L = \frac{24,327}{14,400} = 1.69 \text{ ft} \approx 20 \text{ in. (508 mm)}$$

Note that both spacings correspond to the recommended spacing of 3 to 5 times the slab thickness. As an additional measure, to prevent splitting of the concrete in the anchorage zones at the wall, add two #4 nonprestressed mild steel bars (12.7-mm dia) along the anchorage line on the slab perimeter.

Service-Load Stresses. The service live load $W_s = 75$ psf (3.59 kPa), and the aspect ratio $k = L_S/L_L = 24/20 = 1.20$. From Figure 9.10, the moment coefficients for the maximum midspan moments in the short and long directions are $\alpha_{M,S} = 0.062$ and $\alpha_{M,L} = 0.035$, respectively, assuming that the corners of the two-way slab are held down, i.e., torsionally restrained.

We assume an effective $L_S = 19.5$ ft and $L_L = 23.5$ ft.

Live-load Moments. The live-load moments are

$$M_S = 0.062 \times 75 \times (19.5)^2 \times 12 = 21,218 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$

and

$$M_L = 0.035 \times 75 \times (23.5)^2 \times 12 = 17,396 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$
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The moment of inertia is

\[ I_x = \frac{12(6)^2}{12} = 216 \text{ in}^4 \]

*Concrete Stresses Due to Live Load.* In the short direction, we have

\[ f = \frac{Mc}{I_x} = \frac{21,218 \times 3}{216} = 295 \text{ psi (2.03 MPa)} \]

while in the long direction,

\[ f = \frac{17,396 \times 3}{216} = 242 \text{ psi (1.67 MPa)} \]

The combined axial stresses due to the balanced load and the flexural stresses due to the live load, from Equations 9.15 and 9.16, become, in the short direction (N–S),

\[ f' = \frac{P_S}{bh} - \frac{M_{Ec}}{I_x} = -238 - 295 = -533 \text{ psi (3.68 MPa)} \]

and

\[ f_b = -238 + 295 = +57 \text{ psi (T) (very small and, hence, negligible)} \]

and in the long direction (E–W),

\[ f' = -200 - 242 = -442 \text{ psi (C) (3.05 MPa)} \]

and

\[ f_b = -200 + 242 = +42 \text{ psi (T) (again negligible)} \]

The ACI allowable compressive stress is \( f_c = 0.45 \times 5,000 = 2,250 \text{ psi}, \) which is well above the actual stress and, hence, satisfactory. These low stress levels can justify making the slab thinner than 6 in., provided that the deflection due to live load is acceptable. Note that the slab develops zero deflection or camber under dead load in this example, due to the load balancing.

**Deflection Check.** Only the deflection due to live load is checked. From principles of mechanics, we have

\[ \Delta = \frac{5 \ ML^2}{48 \ E_c I_x} \]

\[ I_x = 216 \text{ in}^4 \]

\[ E_c = 4.03 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]

\[ \Delta_{E-W} = \frac{5 \times 17,396(24 \times 12)^2}{48 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 216} = 0.17 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \Delta_{N-S} = \frac{5 \times 21,218(20 \times 12)^2}{48 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 216} = 0.15 \text{ in.} \]

Avg. midspan deflection \( \Delta = \frac{0.17 + 0.15}{2} = 0.16 \text{ in. (4.1 mm)} \)

Acceptable deflection \( \frac{L_0}{360} = \frac{20 \times 12}{360} = 0.67 \text{ in. (17 mm)} \gg 0.16 \text{ in.} \)

Consequently, a second cycle reducing the slab thickness to 5½ in. could also be used, provided that the resulting slab nominal moment strengths are adequate to carry the load. In
this case, \( h = 5\frac{1}{2} \) in. is not adequate for nominal moment strength, as the next part of the solution indicates.

**Nominal Moment Strength**

\[
W_u = 1.2 \times 90 + 1.6 \times 75 = 228 \text{ psf (11.0 kPa)}
\]

Also,

\[
\text{Effective } L_S = 19.5 \text{ ft} \\
L_L = 23.5 \text{ ft}
\]

From Figure 9.11, the moment coefficients for maximum factored moment are

\[
\alpha_{N-S} = 0.072
\]

and

\[
\alpha_{E-W} = 0.038
\]

**N–S Direction.** In the N–S direction, we have

\[
\text{Factored } M_u = 0.072 \times 228 \text{ (19.5)}^2 \times 12 = 74,906 \text{ in.-lb/ft}
\]

\[
\text{Required } M_u = \frac{M_u}{\phi} = \frac{74,906}{0.9} = 83,229 \text{ in.-lb/ft}
\]

Note that prestressing forces in this structure do not produce any secondary moments \( M_s \) since there is no continuity at the slab boundaries. We have \( A_{ps} = 0.153 \) in.\(^2\) on 1.42 ft center to center (from before), and \( A_{ps}/\text{ft} = 0.153/1.42 = 0.11 \) in.\(^2\)/ft. Also, the effective \( f_{pe} = 159,000 \) psi. So in cases where the \( A_{ps} \) used exceeds \( P_r/\text{initial } A_{ps} \), reduce \( f_{pe} \) accordingly.

We have, further,

\[
\rho_{N-S} = \frac{0.11}{12 \times 5} = 0.0018
\]

Slab span-to-depth ratio \( \frac{20 \times 12}{6} = 40 \)

From Equation 9.23b,

\[
f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 10,000 + \frac{f_{c'}^2}{300p_p} \leq f_{ps} \leq f_{pe} + 30,000
\]

\[
f_{ps} = 159,000 + 10,000 + \frac{5,000}{300 \times 0.0018} = 178,259 \text{ psi}
\]

\(< f_{ps} = 240,000 \text{ psi} \quad < f_{ps} + 30,000 = 189,000 \text{ psi}
\]

\(< \text{ limit } f_{ps} = 189,000 \text{ psi, O.K.}
\]

\[
a = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps}}{0.85f_{c'}^2b} = \frac{0.11 \times 178,259}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 12} = 0.38 \text{ in.}
\]

Available \( M_u = A_{ps}f_{ps}\left(d = \frac{a}{2}\right) = 0.11 \times 178,259 \left(5 - \frac{0.38}{2}\right)\)

\[
= 94,316 \text{ in.-lb/ft} > \text{ req } M_u = 83,229 \text{ in.-lb/ft, O.K.}
\]

**E–W Direction.** In the E–W direction, we have

\[
\text{Factored } M_u = 0.038 \times 228 \text{ (23.5)}^2 \times 12 = 57,417 \text{ in.-lb/ft}
\]

\[
\text{Required } M_u = \frac{M_u}{\phi} = \frac{57,417}{0.90} = 63,797 \text{ in.-lb/ft}
\]
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\[ A_{ps} = 0.153 \text{ in.}^2 \text{ per 1.69 ft center to center (from before)} \]

\[ A_{ps}/\text{ft} = \frac{0.153}{1.69} = 0.09 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft} \]

\[ \rho_{e-w} = \frac{0.09}{12 \times 5} = 0.0015 \]

\[ f_{ps} = 159,000 + 10,000 + \frac{5,000}{300 \times 0.0015} = 180,111 \text{ psi, O.K.} \]

\[ a = \frac{0.09 \times 180,111}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 12} = 0.32 \text{ in.} \]

Available \( M_a = 0.09 \times 180,111 \left( 5 - \frac{0.32}{2} \right) \)

\[ = 78,456 \text{ in.-lb/ft} > \text{Req.} M_a \text{ of 63,797 in.-lb/ft, O.K.} \]

\[ (29.1 \text{ kN-m/m} > 23.6 \text{ kN/m}) \]

Shear Strength. From before, the aspect ratio \( k = 1.2 \), and from Equations 9.27,

\[ V_u = \frac{1}{2} w_u L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \times 228 \times 19.5 = 1482 \text{ lb/ft (N-S)} \]

\[ V_u = \frac{k w_u L_2}{2k + 1} \text{ (E-W)} \]

\[ = 1.2 \times 228 \times \frac{19.5}{2 \times 1.2 + 1} = 1569 \text{ lb/ft (22.9 kN/m)} \]

From Equation 9.26,

\[ 2 \sqrt{f_i b_n d_p} \leq V_c = \left( 0.6 \sqrt{f_i} + 700 \frac{V_{d'}}{M_a} \right) b_n d_p \leq 5 \sqrt{f_i} b_n d_p \]

Consider 700 \((V_{d'})/(M_a) = 0\) at the boundaries of the single-panel wall-supported slab in this example. In such a case,

\[ V_c = 0.6 \sqrt{5,000 \times 12 \times 5} = 2,546 \text{ lb/ft (37.2 kN/m)} \gg 1569 \text{ lb/ft} \]

which is satisfactory. Hence, adopt the following design:

\[ h = 6 \text{ in. (152 mm)} \]

\[ d_p = 5 \text{ in. (127 mm)} \]

Use ½-in. dia 7-wire 270-K tendons spaced 17 in. (432 mm) center-to-center in the N-S direction, and 20 in. (508 mm) center-to-center in the E-W direction. Also, use two #4 bars (12.7-mm dia) along the anchorage zone line around all the slab perimeter.

9.8 ONE-WAY SLAB SYSTEMS

One-way prestressed slabs behave similarly to beams regardless of whether they are simply supported or continuous over several supports. A one-way slab is therefore designed as a 12-in.-wide beam. The main prestressing strands are placed in the direction of the slab length, namely, spanning the continuous spans. The aspect ratio, the ratio of the span to the width of the slab band, has to have a value of 2 or greater in order for the slab to be considered one-way.

The same design and analysis procedures and examples as in Chapter 6 are to be used for the analysis and design of continuous one-way-action prestressed floor systems.
9.9 SHEAR-MOMENT TRANSFER TO COLUMNS SUPPORTING FLAT PLATES

9.9.1 Shear Strength

The shear behavior of two-way slabs and plates is a three-dimensional stress problem. The critical shear failure plane follows the perimeter of the loaded area and is located at a distance that gives a minimum shear perimeter \( b_0 \). Based on extensive analytical and experimental verification, the shear plane should not be closer than a distance \( d/2 \) from the concentrated load or reaction area.

If no special shear reinforcement is provided, the nominal shear strength \( V_c \) as required by the ACI, is defined in Equations 9.24, 9.25, and 9.26. The coefficients for evaluating the factored external shear force \( V_u \) in a continuous two-way slab supported all along the panel perimeters can be evaluated approximately from Equations 9.27.

9.9.2 Shear-Moment Transfer

The unbalanced moment at the column face support of a slab without beams is one of the more critical design considerations in proportioning a flat plate or a flat slab. To ensure adequate shear strength requires moment transfer to the column by flexure across the perimeter of the column and by eccentric shearing stress such that approximately 60 percent is transferred by flexure and 40 percent by shear.

The fraction \( \gamma_r \) of the moment transferred by eccentricity of the shear stress decreases as the width of the face of the critical section resisting the moment increases in such a manner that

\[
\gamma_r = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{b_1}{b_2} \right)}
\]

(9.28)

where \( b_2 = c_2 + d \) is the width of the face of the critical section resisting the moment and \( b_1 = c_1 + d \) is the width of the face at right angles to \( b_2 \).

The remaining portion \( \gamma_f \) of the unbalanced moment transferred by flexure is given by and acting on an effective slab width between lines that are 1\frac{1}{2} times the total slab thickness \( h \) on both sides of the column support.

\[
\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{b_1}{b_2} \right)} = 1 - \gamma_r
\]

(9.29)

For exterior columns, \( b_1 = c_1 + \frac{1}{2} d \). The value of \( \gamma_f \) can be increased to 1.0 provided that \( V_u \) is less than 0.75\( V_c \). At interior supports, \( \gamma_f \) can be increased by 25 percent provided that \( V_u \leq 0.4\phi V_c \) and \( p \leq 0.375b \).

The distribution of shear stresses around the column edges is as shown in Figure 9.15. It is considered to vary linearly about the centroid of the critical section. The factored shear force \( V_u \) and the unbalanced factored moment \( M_u \), both assumed to be acting at the column face, have to be transferred to the centroidal axis c-c of the critical section. Thus, the axis position has to be located, thereby obtaining the shear force arm \( g \) (the distance from the column face to the centroidal axis plane) of the critical section c-c for the shear moment transfer.

For computing the maximum shear stress sustained by the plate in the edge column region, the ACI Code requires using the full nominal moment strength \( M_n \), provided by the column strip in Equations 9.30 to follow as the unbalanced moment, multiplied by the transfer fraction factor \( \gamma_r \). This unbalanced moment \( M_n = M_u/\phi \) is composed of two parts: the negative end panel moment \( M_{ne} = M_e/\phi \) at the face of the column, and the mo-
Figure 9.15 Shear stress distribution around column edge. (a) Interior column. (b) End column. (c) Critical surface. (d) Transfer nominal moment strength $M_r$. 
9.9 Shear-Moment Transfer to Columns Supporting Flat Plates

Shear-moment transfer to columns supporting flat plates involves calculating the shear force due to the eccentric factored perimetric shear force $V_w$. The limiting value of the shear stress intensity is expressed as

$$\frac{V_{ud(AB)}}{\phi} = \frac{V_w}{\phi A_c} + \frac{\gamma_v M_{ud}c_{AB}}{\phi J_c}$$

(9.30a)

$$\frac{V_{ud(CD)}}{\phi} = \frac{V_w}{\phi A_c} - \frac{\gamma_v M_{ud}c_{CD}}{\phi J_c}$$

(9.30b)

where the nominal shear strength intensity is

$$v_n = \frac{V_w}{\phi}$$

(9.30c)

and where $A_c$ is the area of concrete of the assumed critical section

$= 2d(c_1 + c_2 + 2d)$

for an interior column

and $J_c$ is the property of the assumed critical section analogous to the polar moment of inertia.

The value of $J_c$ for an interior column is

$$J_c = \frac{d(c_1 + 2d)^3}{6} + \frac{d^3(c_1 + d)}{6} + \frac{d(c_2 + d)(c_1 + d)^2}{2}$$

and the value of $J_c$ for an edge column with bending parallel to the edge is

$$J_c = \frac{(c_1 + d/2)(d)^3}{6} + \frac{2(d)(c_1^2 + c_2^2)}{3} + (c_2 + d)(c_{AB})^2$$

From basic principles of the mechanics of materials, the shearing stress is

$$v_u = \frac{V_w}{A_c} + \gamma_v \frac{M_c}{J}$$

where the second term on the right-hand side is the shearing stress resulting from the torsional moment at the column face.

If the nominal moment strength $M_n$ of the shear moment transfer zone after the design of the reinforcement results in a larger value than $M_{ud}/\phi$, this value of $M_n$ should be used in Equations 9.30a and b in lieu of $M_{ud}/\phi$. In such a case, where the moment strength value $M_n = M_{nc} + (V_w/\phi)g$ is increased because of the use of flexural reinforcement in excess of what is needed to resist $M_{ud}/\phi$, the slab stiffness is raised, thereby increasing the transferred shear stress $v_u$ computed from Equations 9.30a and b for development of full moment transfer. Consequently, it is advisable to maintain a design moment $M_{ud}$ with a value close to the factored moment value $M_{ud}$, if an increase in the shear stress due to additional moment transfer needs to be avoided and a resulting need for additional increase in the plate design thickness prevented.

Example 9.2 illustrates the procedure for computing the limit perimeter shear stress in the plate at the edge column region.

A higher perimetric shearing stress $v_u$ can occur than that evaluated by Equation 9.30a or b when adjoining spans are unequal or unequally loaded in the case of an interior column. The ACI Code stipulates, in the slab section pertaining to factored moments in columns and walls, that the supporting element such as a column or a wall has to resist an unbalanced moment

$$M' = 0.07[(w_{md} + 0.5w_{ni})l_2 l_{n2} - w_{md} l_1^2 (l_u)^2]$$

(9.31)
where \( w'_{pl} \), \( l'_{2} \), and \( l'_{n} \) refer to the shorter span. Hence, an additional term is added to Equation 9.30a or b in such cases so that

\[
\nu_e = \frac{V_u}{A_c} + \frac{\gamma_v M_{AC} c_{AB}}{J_c} + \frac{\gamma_v M' c}{J'_c}
\]

where \( J'_c \) is the polar moment of inertia with moment areas taken in a direction perpendicular to that used for \( J_c \).

### 9.9.3 Deflection Requirements for Minimum Thickness: An Indirect Approach

For a preliminary estimate of the two-way slab thickness, it is necessary to use some approximate guidelines in order to expediently select a trial depth. Span-to-depth ratios in prestressed slabs are expected to be higher than those in reinforced concrete slabs if the advantages of prestressing are not to be economically lost.

Service live loads rather than total dead plus live loads should be used to evaluate deflection. Load balancing from the transverse component of the prestressing force would have to be used to neutralize the dead-load deflection or even produce camber if the live load is excessively high. An approximate guideline of a span-to-depth ratio of 16 to 25 for solid cantilever slabs and 40 to 50 for two-way continuous slabs is not unreasonable to use. For waffle slabs, a lower value of 35 to 40 is recommended. For simply supported spans and for single- and double-T's, use 90 percent of these values for the first trial.

The ACI requires that the minimum span-to-deflection ratio be restricted depending on the type of loading and conditions of use. This limitation is obviated by the need to prevent cracking of plaster in the ceilings and damage to sensitive supported equipment as well as cracking of partitions and ponding of water in roofs. Table 9.1 gives recommended values of span-to-deflection ratios for deflection control.

### Table 9.1 Minimum Permissible Ratios of Span (l) to Deflection (a) (l = longer span)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of member</th>
<th>Deflection ( a ) to be considered</th>
<th>((l/a)_{\text{min}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flat roofs not supporting and not attached to nonstructural elements likely to be damaged by large deflections</td>
<td>Immediate deflection due to live load ( L )</td>
<td>180&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floors not supporting and not attached to nonstructural elements likely to be damaged by large deflections</td>
<td>Immediate deflection due to live load ( L )</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof or floor construction supporting or attached to nonstructural elements likely to be damaged by large deflections</td>
<td>That part of total deflection occurring after attachment of nonstructural elements: sum of long-time deflection due to all sustained loads (dead load plus any sustained portion of live load) and immediate deflection due to any additional live load&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>480&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof or floor construction supporting or attached to nonstructural elements not likely to be damaged by large deflections</td>
<td></td>
<td>240&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Limit not intended to safeguard against ponding. Ponding should be checked by suitable computations of deflection, including added deflections due to ponded water, and considering long-term effects of all sustained loads, camber, construction tolerances, and reliability of provisions for drainage.

<sup>b</sup>Long-term deflection has to be determined, but may be reduced by the amount of deflection computed to occur before attachment of nonstructural elements. This reduction is made on the basis of accepted engineering data relating to time-deflection characteristics of members similar to those being considered.

<sup>c</sup>Ratio limit may be lower if adequate measures are taken to prevent damage to supported or attached elements, but should not be lower than tolerance of nonstructural elements.
A more accurate evaluation of the deflection/camber of reinforced concrete and prestressed concrete two-way-action slabs and plates is presented in Section 9.12. This approach utilizes the stiffnesses of the interconnecting members using the equivalent frame method in the deflection analysis. It is both logical and expedient to use, particularly since the stiffness factors of the various elements have already been computed in the flexural analysis of the equivalent continuous frames.

9.10 STEP-BY-STEP TRIAL-AND-ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURE FOR THE DESIGN OF A TWO-WAY PRESTRESSED SLAB AND PLATE SYSTEM

The following sequence of steps is suggested for the design or analysis of two-way action prestressed slabs:

1. Determine whether the slab geometry and loading require a two-way analysis by the equivalent frame method.
2. Select a trial slab thickness for the maximum of either the longitudinal \( h = L/45 \) or the transverse \( h = L/45 \). Compute the total service dead and live loads and the factored loads.
3. Assume a tendon profile across the continuous spans in both the E–W and N–S directions, and determine the prestressing force \( F \), the concrete stress \( f_c = F/A_c \), and the number of strands in a span. Compute the balancing load intensity \( W_{\text{bal}} = 8Fa/L^2 \) and the net load \( W_{\text{net}} = W_{\text{d}} - W_{\text{bal}} \).
4. Determine, by the equivalent frame method, the equivalent frame characteristics and the flexural and torsional stiffnesses of the slab given by

\[
K_c = \frac{4EI}{L_n - 2h}
\]

Photo 9.4 Flexural cracking in a restrained one-panel reinforced concrete slab. (Tests by Nawy et al.)
and

\[ K_e = \sum \frac{9E_c C}{L_A \left( 1 - \frac{c_2}{L_2} \right)^3} \]

where \( C = \Sigma (1 - 0.63x/y)x^3 y/3 \). Then determine

\[ K_{ec} = \left( \frac{1}{K_e} + \frac{1}{K_i} \right)^{-1} \]

for the exterior and interior columns, and the slab stiffness

\[ K_s = \frac{4EI}{L_1 - c_1/2} \]

where \( L_1 \) is the centerline span and \( c_1 \) is the column depth for each slab-column joint.

5. From the values of \( K_{ec} \) and \( K_s \) obtained at each joint, determine the moment distribution factors

\[ DF = \frac{K_s}{\Sigma K} \]

for the slabs, where \( \Sigma K = K_{ec} + K_{S(left)} + K_{S(right)} \). Then compute the fixed-end moments \( FEM \) at the joints for the net loads given by \( FEM = WL^2/12 \) for a distributed load.

6. Run a moment distribution for the net load moment \( M_{net} \), and adjust the redistribution moments to obtain the net moment values at the face of the supports; the equation is \( M_n = \) centerline \( M_n = Vc/3 \). Then verify that the concrete stresses

\[ f_t = -\frac{P}{A} + \frac{M_{net}}{S} \]

resulting from these moments are below the maximum allowable \( f_t = 6\sqrt{f'_t} \) for support sections and \( f_t = 2\sqrt{f'_t} \) for midspan sections.

7. Compute the balanced service-load fixed-end moments

\[ FEM_{bal} = \frac{W_{bal}L^2}{12} \]

and run a moment distribution of the balanced load moments \( M_{bal} \). Then find the primary moment \( M_i = P_e \) and the secondary moment \( M_s = \) Distributed \( (M_{bal} - M_s) \).

8. Compute the fixed-end factored load moments \( FEM'_{u} = (W_{u}L^2)/(12) \) and run a moment distribution of the factored moments. Then determine the required design moment \( M_u = \) Distributed \( (M_u - M_s) \) for the slabs at all joints and at maximum positive \( M_s \) along the spans.

9. Determine the required nominal moment strengths \( M_n = M_u/\phi \) for the negative support moments \(-M_s \) and the positive span moments \(+M_s\). Then check whether the available \(-M_s\) and \(+M_s\) for the slab and the prestressing steel are adequate. Next, determine the inelastic moment redistribution \( \Delta M_R \) from the procedure outlined in Sections 4.12.4 and 6.7.2.
where $\Delta M_b = \rho_B$ (support $M_b$). Add mild steel to the support and midspan where necessary, recalling that the minimum non-prestressed steel $A_s = 0.00075hL$.

10. Check the nominal shear strength of the slab at the exterior and interior supports, and compute the shear-moment transfer and the flexure-moment transfer to the columns. The moment shear factor is

$$\gamma_v = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{b_1/b_2}}$$

and the moment flexure factor is

$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{b_1/b_2}}$$

where $b_1 = c_1 + d/2$ for an exterior column

$b_1 = c_1 + d$ for an interior column

$b_2 = c_2 + d$

The value of $\gamma_f$ can be increased by 25 percent at the interior supports and can be also increased to 1.0 at other supports as indicated in the discussion of Equation 9.29. Then compute $c_{AB}$ and $c_{CD}$ for exterior columns, as well as the total nominal unbalanced moment strength $M_n = M_{ue} + V_0\delta$.

11. Compute the shear ultimate stress due to perimeter shear and effect of $\gamma_f M_n$:

$$v_n = \frac{V_u}{\phi \cdot A_c} + \frac{\gamma_f c_{AB} M_n}{J_c} \leq \text{max. allowable } v_c \text{ where}$$

max. allowable shear stress $v_c = \beta_p \sqrt{f'_c} + 0.3 \bar{f}_c + \frac{V_p}{b_o d}$

$\beta_p = \text{the smaller of the two values of 3.5 or } (\alpha_s d/b_o + 1.5)$

$\phi = 0.75 \text{ for shear and torsion}$

where $\alpha_s$ is 40 for interior columns, 30 for edge columns, and 20 for corner columns. Column section shall be at least 4 in. from the face of the discontinuous edge, and $f'_c$ shall not exceed 5000 psi and $f$ shall be 125 psi min. and 500 psi max.; otherwise $v_c$ shall be computed from the smaller of the values obtained from the following expressions.

$$v_c = (2 + 4/\beta_p) \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ or } v_c = \left(\frac{\alpha_s d}{b_o} + 2\right) \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ or } v_c = 4 \sqrt{f'_c}$$

12. Compute the factored moment value $\gamma_f M_n$, and check the available moment strength $M_n$ of the section, concentrating the steel in the column band $[c + 2(1.5h)]$.

13. Check the deflection and camber serviceability behavior of the critical slab panels.

14. Adopt the design if it satisfied all the preceding criteria. Then perform the operations for the E–W and N–S directions of the floor system. Figure 9.16 gives a flowchart for the design or analysis of two-way action prestressed concrete floor slabs and plates.
1. Input: slab plan dimensions $L_{xx}$, $L_{yy}$, $e_{xx}$, $W_{xx}$, $W_{yy}$, $f_v$, $f_{vi}$, $f_{ai}$ (allow. $f_v$ (support), allow. $f_i$ (span), $E_{xx}$, $E_{yy}$, $v_{xx}$, $f_{pm}$, $f_{pm}'$, $E_{pm}$, $f_p$, $E_s$, $f_s$, $f_v$, $f_v'$, $f_v''$

2. Select thickness $h = L/45$ for $E-W, N-S$, choose larger $h$. Assume tendon profile and assume $A_{pm}$.

3. Compute $F = f_p A_{pm}/ft.$
   $$f_b = \frac{F}{A_{pm}}$$

   No $t_b \leq f_b$ (allow) $= 2 \sqrt{f_b}$?

   Yes

4. Compute $W_w + W_{ext}$
   $$W_{ext} = W_w + W_{ext}$$

5. Compute $E_{xx}, E_{yy}, E_{xy}$
   where $c = \text{column}, s = \text{slab}, B = \text{beam}$
   $$K_s = \frac{4EI}{L - 2h}$$
   $$K_s = \Sigma \frac{9E_{ss} C}{L_3 (1-c_i/L_3)^3}$$
   where $C = \Sigma (1 - 0.63 x_i/y_i) x_i^2 y_i^2 / 3$
   Find $K_{sc} = \left( \frac{1}{K_s} + \frac{1}{K_f} \right)^{-1}$

7. Compute $K_s = \frac{4E_{ss} I}{(L_1 - c_i/2)}$
   where $L_1 = \text{center line span}$
   $c_i = \text{column depth}$
   Find $DF = \frac{K_s}{\Sigma K}$
   where $\Sigma K = K_{sc} + K_{stream} + K_{left}$

Figure 9.16 Flowchart for the design (analysis) of prestressed concrete two-way slabs and plates in flexure and shear.
8. Working load check
Compute \( FEM_{well} \) for \( W_{net} \) and run moment distribution
where \( FEM_{well} = \frac{W_{well}(L_w)^2}{12} \)
Adjust distributed \( M_{net} \) to support face values
\( M_{net} = \text{distributed} \left( M_{net} - \frac{V_c}{3} \right) \)
Calculate \( S = bh^2/6 \)
Calculate \( f_i = \frac{V}{A} + \frac{M_{net}}{S} \)

9. \( f_i \) < allow. \( f_i = 6\sqrt{\frac{S}{L}} \) (support)? \( f_i < 2\sqrt{\frac{S}{L}} \) (span)?

10. Ultimate load check
Compute \( W_{well} \) and \( FEM_{well} = \frac{W_{well}(L_w)^2}{8} \)
Run a moment distribution and compute \( M_i = P_x e \)
Find secondary moments \( M_i = (M_{well} - M_i) \)

11. Determine \( W_u = 1.4W_o + 1.7W_L \)
Compute \( FEM_u = \frac{W_u(L_u)^2}{12} \)
Run a moment distribution for \( M_u \), and compute required design moment \( M_u = (M_u - M_i) \)

12. Compute required \( M_u = M_u/\phi \) \( \phi = 0.90 \)
Choose \( A_s = 0.00075hL \) and find available moment
\( M_u = A_f f_o (d-a/2) + A_{ps} f_p (d_p - a/2) \)
Consider inelastic moment distribution,
factor \( < 1000 \sigma_s \) and \( w_o + \frac{d}{d_s} (u - \omega') < 0.248 \)

Available \( M_u < \text{required} \) \( M_u \)
at both support and midspan?

Figure 9.16 Continued
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13. Shear-moment transfer

Compute $V_c$, select $M_{pe}$ from step 11, compute $g$ and unbalanced moment $M_u = M_{pe} + V_c g$

Compute $\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + 2/3 \sqrt{b_1/b_2}}$

where $b_1 = (c_1 + d/2)$ for exterior support
$\quad = (c_1 + d)$ for interior support

$b_2 = c_2 + d$

$\gamma = 1 - \gamma$, compute $c_{AB} = \bar{\gamma}$

Determine $\nu_{c} = \frac{V_c}{\phi A_c} + \frac{\gamma c_{AB} M_{pe}}{J_c}$; $\phi = 0.75$

Max. allow. shear stress $\nu_{c} = \beta_{c} \sqrt{f_{c}} + 0.3 f_{c} + \frac{V_c}{b_d d}$

where $\beta_{c}$ is the smaller of the two values of 3.5 and $(\alpha_d d/b_d + 1.5)$,

where $c_{AB}$ is 40 for interior columns, 30 for edge columns, and 20 for corner columns.

Column section shall be at least 4 in. from the face of the discontinuous edge, and $f_{c}$ shall not exceed 5000 psi and $f_{c}$ shall be 125 psi min. and 500 psi max.; otherwise $\nu_{c}$ shall be computed from the smaller of the values obtained from the following expressions:

$\nu_{c} = (2 + 4/\beta_{c}) \sqrt{f_{c}}$ or $\nu_{c} = (2 + \alpha_d d/b_d) \sqrt{f_{c}}$ or $\nu_{c} = 4 \sqrt{f_{c}}$

Go to step 2

Increase $h$ at support

Increase $h$ at support

Figure 9.16  Continued

9.11 DESIGN OF PRESTRESSED POST-TENSIONED
FLAT-PLATE FLOOR SYSTEM

Example 9.2

A post-tensioned prestressed nonbonded flat-plate floor system for an apartment complex is shown in Figure 9.17. The end-panel centerline dimensions are 17 ft, 6 in. × 20 ft, 0 in. (5.33 m × 6.10 m), and the interior panel dimensions are 24 ft, 0 in. × 20 ft, 0 in. (7.32 m × 6.10 m). The heights $h_c$ of the intermediate floors are typically 8 ft, 9 in. (2.67 m). Design a typical floor panel to withstand a working live load $W_L = 40$ psf (1.92 kPa) and a superimposed dead load $W_{SD} = 20$ psf (0.96 kPa) due to partitions and flooring. Assume in your solution that all panels are simultaneously loaded by the live load, and verify the shear-moment transfer capacity of the floor at the column supports. Use 1/2-in. dia 7-wire 270-K prestressing strands and the equivalent frame method to arrive at your solution. Given data are as follows:
Figure 9.17 Flat-plate apartment structure in Example 9.1. (a) Plan. (b) Section A–A, N–S.

\( f'_c = 4000 \text{ psi (27.6 MPa)}, \) normal weight

\( f'_d = 3000 \text{ psi (20.7 MPa)} \)

Support \( f_s = 6 \sqrt{f'_c} = 380 \text{ psi (2.62 MPa)} \)

Midspan \( f_s = 2 \sqrt{f'_c} = 127 \text{ psi (0.88 MPa)} \)

Max. \( v_t \) required by the ACI Code

\( f_{ps} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1862 MPa)} \)
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\[ f_p, \text{ not to exceed } 185,000 \text{ psi (1,276 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{pe} = 243,000 \text{ psi (1,675 MPa)} \]
\[ f_{pe} = 159,000 \text{ psi (1,096 MPa)} \]
\[ E_{ps} = 29 \times 10^6 \text{ psi (200} \times \text{10}^6 \text{ MPa)} \]
\[ f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \]

Solution:

**N–S Direction**

1. **Service Load Analysis**

   **Loads**

   For deflection control, assume that the slab thickness \( h = \frac{L}{45} \). Then the longitudinal direction \( h = 20 \times 12/45 = 5.33 \) in. and the transverse direction \( h = 24 \times 12/45 = 6.40 \) in. So try \( h = 6\frac{1}{4} \) in. (165-mm) slabs = 81 psf. The superimposed dead load = 20 psf, and we have

   \[
   \text{Total } W_D = 101 \text{ psf} \\
   W_L = 40 \text{ psf} \\
   \text{Total } W_w = W_{D+L} = 141 \text{ psf (6.75 kPa)}
   \]

   \[
   W_u = 1.2W_D + 1.6W_L = 1.2 \times 101 + 1.6 \times 40 = 186 \text{ psf (8.9 kPa)}
   \]

   \( L_o = \) bay span (N–S for this part of the solution)

   \( L_Q = \) band width (E–W direction) = 20 ft (240 in.)

2. **Load Balancing and Tendon Profile**

   In order to make a preliminary estimate of the balanced load, assume an average intensity of compressive stress on the concrete due to load balancing of \( f_c = 170 \) psi (1.17 MPa). Then the unit \( F = 170 \times 6.5 \times 12 = 13,260 \) lb/ft (193.6 kN/m). So, trying 1/4-in. dia 270-K seven-wire strands, we find that the effective force \( P_s \) per strand = \( A_{ps}f_{ps} = 0.153 \times 159,000 = 24,327 \) lb. For the \( L = 20 \)-ft bay along the longitudinal direction of the structure, the total force is \( F_e = FL = 13,260 \times 20 = 265,200 \) lb (1,180 kN).

   The number of strands per bay is \( F_e/P_s = 265,200/24,327 \equiv 11 \) strands, and the total \( P_s = F_e = 24,327 \times 11 = 267,597 \) lb. The actual unit force \( F = 267,597/20 = 13,380 \) lb/ft (195.3 kN/m), and the actual \( f_c = F/A = 13,380/(6.5 \times 12) \equiv 172 \) psi \( \equiv 170 \) psi, which is satisfactory. Consequently, use \( f_c = 172 \) psi due to load balancing, and assume a parabolic tendon profile as shown in Figure 9.18.

   **Outside Spans AB or CD at Midspan**

   \[ a_4 = a_3 = \frac{3.25 + 5.50}{2} = 1.75 = 2.625 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 9.18 Tendon profile in N–S direction in Example 9.2.} \]
From Equation 1.16 for a parabolic tendon,
\[
W = \frac{8Fa}{L_a^2}
\]
\[
W_{\text{bal}} = \frac{8 \times 13,380 \times 2.625/12}{(18)^2} = 72 \text{ psf}
\]
The net load intensity producing bending is
\[
W_{\text{net}} = W_a - W_{\text{bal}} = 141 - 72 = 69 \text{ psf (3.30 kPa)}
\]

**Interior Span BC**
\[
a_2 = 6.5 - 1 - 1 = 4.5 \text{ in.}
\]
\[
W_{\text{bal}} = \frac{8Fa}{L_a} = \frac{8 \times 13,380 \times 4.5/12}{(24)^2} = 70 \text{ psf}
\]
\[
W_{\text{net}} = 141 - 70 = 71 \text{ psf (3.40 kPa)}
\]

3. **Equivalent Frame Characteristics**

Take the equivalent frame in the N–S direction whose plan is shown in the shaded portion of Figure 9.17. The approximate flexural stiffness of the column above and below the floor joint (the moment per unit rotation), from Ref. 9.10 and Equation 9.9, is
\[
K_e = \frac{AE_i I_e}{L_n - 2h}
\]

where \(L_n = l_a = 8 \text{ ft, 9 in. = 105 in.}\).

**a. Exterior column (14 in. \times 12 in.) stiffness**

For the exterior columns, \(b = 14 \text{ in.}\), so \(I_e = 14(12)^2/12 = 2,016 \text{ in.}^4\). Assume that \(E_{\text{col}}/E_{\text{slab}} = E_{\text{col}}/E_{\text{as}} = 1.0\), and use \(E_{\text{cs}} = E_{\text{ce}} = 1.0\) in the calculations as \(E_{\text{cs}}\) drops out in the equation for \(K_e\). Then we obtain
\[
\text{Total } K_e = \frac{4 \times 1 \times 2,016}{105 - (2 \times 6.5)} \times 2 \text{ (for top and bottom columns)}
\]
\[
= 175.3 \text{ in.-lb/rad/}E_{\text{ce}}
\]

From Equation 9.10b, the torsional constant is
\[
C = \sum \left(1 - 0.63 \frac{x}{y}\right) \frac{x^3 y}{3}
\]
\[
= \left(1 - 0.63 \times \frac{6.5}{12}\right) \times 6.5^3 \times \frac{12}{3} = 724
\]

The torsional stiffness of the slab at the column line is
\[
K_t = \sum \frac{9E_{\text{cs}}C}{L_a \left(1 - \frac{C}{L_a}\right)^3}
\]
\[
= \frac{9 \times 1 \times 724}{20 \times 12(1 - 14/(12 \times 20))^3} + \frac{9 \times 1 \times 724}{20 \times 12(1 - 14/(12 \times 20))^3}
\]
\[
= 65.0 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{\text{ce}}
\]

From Equation 9.7, the equivalent column stiffness is \(K_{\text{col}} = (1/K_e + 1/K_t)^{-1} = (1/175.3 + 1/65)^{-1} = 47 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{\text{ce}}\).
(b) Interior column (14 in. x 20 in.) stiffness
For the interior columns, $b = 14$ in., so $I = 14(20)^3/12 = 9,333$ in.\(^4\). Hence, we have

\[
\text{Total } K_e = \frac{4 \times 1 \times 9,333}{105 - 2 \times 6.5} \times 2 = 812 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{ce}
\]

\[
C = \left(1 - 0.63 \times \frac{6.5}{20}\right) \times (6.5)^3 \times \frac{20}{3} = 1,456
\]

\[
K_i = \frac{9 \times 1,456}{20 \times 12(1 - 14/(12 \times 20))} + \frac{9 \times 1,456}{20 \times 12(1 - 14/(12 \times 20))} = 131 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{ce}
\]

\[
K_{ce} = (1/812 + 1/131)^{-1} = 113 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{ce}
\]

(c) Slab stiffness
From Equation 9.9 and Ref. 9.10,

\[
K_s = \frac{4 E_{cs} I_s}{L_n - c_t/2}
\]

where $L_n$ is the centerline span and $c_t$ the column depth. The slab band width in the E–W direction is $20/2 + 20/2 = 20$ ft. Thus, $I_s = 20 \times 12(6.5)^3/12 = 5,493$ in.\(^4\), and for the slab at the right of exterior column A,

\[
K_s = \frac{4 \times 1 \times 20(6.5)^3}{12 \times 17.5 - 12/2} = 108 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{cs}
\]

while for the slab at the left of interior column B,

\[
K_s = \frac{4 \times 1 \times 20(6.5)^3}{12 \times 17.5 - 20/2} = 110 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{cs}
\]

and for the slab at the right of interior column B,

\[
K_s = \frac{4 \times 1 \times 20(6.5)^3}{12 \times 24 - 20/2} = 79 \text{ in.-lb/rad}/E_{cs}
\]

From Equation 9.12, the slab distribution factor at the joints is $DF = K_s/K_s$, where $\Sigma K_s = K_{cs} + K_{slab} + K_{slab'}$. So for the outer joint A slab, $DF = 108/(47 + 108) = 0.697$; for the left joint B slab, $DF = 110/(113 + 110 + 79) = 0.364$; and for the right joint B slab, $DF = 79/(113 + 110 + 79) = 0.262$.

4. Design Service-load Moments and Stresses

Design net load moments
For the exterior spans AB and CD, $W_{net} = 69$ psf. So the fixed-end moment is

\[
FEM = \frac{W L^2}{12} = \frac{69 \times (17.5)^2}{12} \times 12 = 21.1 \times 10^3 \text{ in.-lb}
\]

Similarly, for the interior span BC, $W_{net} = 71$ psf. So the fixed-end moment is

\[
FEM = \frac{W L^2}{12} \times 12 = 40.9 \times 10^3 \text{ in.-lb}
\]

By running a moment distribution analysis as shown in Table 9.2, a carryover factor $COF = \frac{1}{4}$ can be used for all spans. Such an assumption is justified, as the effect of non-prismatic sections would be negligible on the fixed-end moments and carryover factors. It can also be assumed in multispans frames that the frame at a joint two spans away from the left joint (joint C) can be considered fixed in the distribution of the moments.
Table 9.2  Moment Distribution of Net Load Moments $M_{net}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$DF$</th>
<th>$COF$</th>
<th>$FEM_{net}$</th>
<th>$CO$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\times 10^3$ in.-lb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-21.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>-40.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+14.71</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>-2.60</td>
<td>-2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.52</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $M_{net}$</td>
<td>$\times 10^3$</td>
<td>per ft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.30</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>-39.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Slab concrete tensile stress at support
The net moment at the interior face of column B is the difference of the centerline moment and $Vcr/3$, i.e.,

$$M_{net,max} = 39.56 \times 10^3 - \frac{20}{3} \left( \frac{71 \times 24}{2} \right) = 33,880 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$

The slab section modulus $S = bh^3/6 = 12(6.5)^3/6 = 84.5 \text{ in.}^3$, and we have, for the support concrete stress,

$$f_c = -\frac{P}{A} + \frac{M}{S} = -172 + \frac{33,880}{84.5} = +229 \text{ psi (1.63 MPa)} \text{ (T)}$$

So the allowable $f_c = 6\sqrt{f_c} = 380 \text{ psi} > 229 \text{ psi}$, which is satisfactory.

Slab concrete tensile stress at midspan
The net midspan maximum moment is $WL^3/8 - 39.56 \times 10^3$, or

$$M_{net,max} = \frac{71(24)^3}{8} \times 12 - 39.56 \times 10^3 = 21,784 \text{ in.-lb/ft (7.85 kN/m)}$$

Also,

$$\text{Midspan } f_c = -\frac{P}{A} + \frac{M}{S} = -172 + \frac{21,784}{84.5} = +86 \text{ psi (0.545 MPa)} \text{ (T)}$$

So the allowable $f_c = 2\sqrt{f_c} = 127 \text{ psi} > 86 \text{ psi}$, which is satisfactory.

If $f_c$ were to exceed the allowable $f_c$, the entire tensile force would have to be taken by mild steel reinforcement at a stress $f_s = 1/6 f_c$.

Ultimate Flexural Strength Analysis

II. Design Moments $M_{cr}$

1. Balanced moments $M_{bal}$

The secondary moment is given by $M_s = M_{bal} - M_i$, where $M_{bal}$ is the balanced moment and $M_i$ is the primary moment = $P_L e = Fe$. For the span AB or CD,

$$FEM_{bal} = \frac{72(17.5)^3}{12} \times 12 = 22,050 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$

and for the span BC,

$$FEM_{bal} = \frac{70(24)^3}{12} \times 12 = 40,320 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$
Running a moment distribution as in Table 9.3 will determine the maximum $M_{bal}$ for the exterior column joints.

2. Secondary moments $M_s$ and factored load moment $M_{se}$

**Span AB**

From the tendon profile of Figure 9.18, $e = 0$. So we have:

Primary moment $M_p/ft$ at $A = P_r e = 0$

$M_{bal} = 5,670 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (from Table 9.3)}$

$M_s = M_{bal} - M_1 = 5,670 - 0 = 5,670 \times 10^3 = 5,670 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$

Factored load $FEM_{se} = \frac{W_{pe} l^2}{12} = \frac{186(17.5)^2}{12} \times 12 = 56,963 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$

**Span BA**

From the tendon profile in Figure 9.18, $e = 6.5/2 - 1 = 2.25$ in. So we have:

$M_1 = 13,380 \times 2.25 = 30,105 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (11.16 kN-m)}$

$M_{bal} = 34,460 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (from Table 9.3)}$

$M_s = 34,460 - 30,105$

$= 4,355 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (1.61 kN-m/m)}$

Factored load $FEM_{se} = 56,963 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (21.1 kN-m/m)}$

**Span BC**

$e = 2.25$ in.

$M_1 = 30,105 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$

$M_{bal} = 39,320 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (from Table 9.3)}$

$M_s = 39,320 - 30,105 = 9,215 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (3.4 kN-m/m)}$

Factored load $FEM_{se} = \frac{186(24)^2}{12} \times 12 = 107,136 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (39.7 kN-m/m)}$

Run a moment distribution for the factored moments as in Table 9.4. Analysis of pattern loading of alternate spans should also be made to determine the worst conditions of service-load and factored-load moments.
### Table 9.4: Moment Distribution of Factored Loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$DF$</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$COF$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FEM_A \times 10^3$ in.-lb per ft Dist.</td>
<td>$-56.96$</td>
<td>$+56.96$</td>
<td>$-107.14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO Dist.</td>
<td>$+39.70$</td>
<td>$+18.27$</td>
<td>$+13.15$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $M_n \times 10^3$ per ft</td>
<td>$-14.49$</td>
<td>$+89.88$</td>
<td>$-93.44$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Design moment $M_n$**

The design moments $M_n$ are the difference of the factored-load moments $M_n^-$ and the secondary moments $M_n$, i.e., $M_n = M_n^- - M_n$ (from Equation 9.17).

**Joint A (span AB) moment $-M_n$**

For the joint A (span AB) moment, $M_n = 5,670$ in.-lb/ft (from before), and so the centerline $M_n = 12,310 - 5,670 = 6,640$ in.-lb/ft. The moment reduction to the column face of support A = $Vc/3$. Thus,

$$V_{AB} = \frac{W_u L}{2} - \frac{M_{u,bb} - M_{u,bA}}{L_n} = \frac{186 \times 17.5}{2} - \frac{10^3(89.88 - 12.31)}{17.5 \times 12}$$

$$= 1627.5 - 369.4 = 1231.1 \text{ lb/ft}$$

$c = 12$ in.

Centerline $M_n = 12,310 - 5,670 = 6,640$ in.-lb/ft

Req column face $M_n = 6,640 - \frac{1231.1 \times 12}{3}$

$$= 6,640 - 4,924 = 1,716 \text{ in.-lb/ft (0.64 kN-m/m)}$$

$\text{Req} - M_n = \frac{M_n}{\phi} = \frac{1,716}{0.9} = 1987 \text{ in.-lb/ft (0.4 kN-m/m)}$

**Joint B (span BA) moment $-M_n$**

For the joint B (span BA) moment, $M_n = 4,355$ in.-lb/ft (from before), and so the centerline $M_n = 89,880 - 4,355 = 85,525$ in.-lb/ft. Thus,

$$V_{BA} = 1,627.5 + 369.4 = 1,996.9 \text{ lb/ft.}$$

$c = 20$ in.

Req. column face $M_n = 85,525 - \frac{1,996.9 \times 20}{3}$

$$= 85,525 - 13,313 = 72,212 \text{ in.-lb/ft (26.7 kN-m/m)}$$

$\text{Req} - M_n = \frac{M_n}{\phi} = \frac{72,212}{0.9} = 80,236 \text{ in.-lb/ft (30 kN-m/m)}$
Chapter 9  Two-Way Prestressed Concrete Floor Systems

Joint B (span BC) moment $- M_u$

For the joint B (span BC) moment, $M_u = 9,215$ in.-lb/ft, and so the centrlene $M_u = 93,440 - 9,215 = 84,225$ in.-lb/ft. Thus,

$$V_{BC} = \frac{186 \times 24}{2} = 2,232 \text{ lb/ft}$$

Req. column face $- M_u = 84,225 - \frac{2232 \times 20}{3} = 84,225 - 14,880$

$$= 69,345 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (28 kN-m/m)}$$

$$Req - M_u = \frac{M_u}{\phi} = \frac{69,345}{0.9}$$

$$= 77,050 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (31 kN-m/m)}$$

Span AB maximum positive moment $+ M_u$

Assume that the point of zero shear and maximum moment is $x$ ft from face A. Then $x = V_{AB}W_u = 1231.1/186 = 6.62$ ft. Also, from Table 9.4, the end $M_u$ at A = 12,310 in.-lb/ft, and from before, $M_u = \frac{1}{2}(5,670 + 4,335) = 5,013$ in.-lb/ft. So we have

$$\text{Max.} + M_u = V_{AB}x - \frac{W_u}{2}x^2 - M_u + M_u$$

$$= 1231.1 \times 6.62 \times 12 - \frac{186(6.62)^2}{2} \times 12 - 12,310 + 5,013$$

$$= 97,799 - 48,908 - 12,310 + 5,013$$

$$= 41,594 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (15.4 kN-m/m)} \text{ at } 6.62 \text{ ft from A}$$

$$\text{Req.} + M_u = \frac{M_u}{\phi} = \frac{41,594}{0.9} = 46,216 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \text{ (17.2 kN-m/m)}$$

Span BC maximum positive moment $+ M_u$

From before, $V_{BC} = 2,520$ lb/ft and $x = \frac{L_u}{2} = 24/2 = 12$ ft. The simple span midspan moment is, then,

$$M_u = V_{BC} \times \frac{L_u}{2} - \left( W_u \times \frac{L}{2} \right) \frac{(L)}{4}$$

$$= 2232 \times \frac{24}{2} - \frac{186(24)^2}{8} = 13,392 \text{ ft.-lb/ft} = 160,704 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$

Alternatively, the simple span moment is

$$M = \frac{W_u L_u^2}{8} = \frac{186(24)^2}{8} \times 12$$

$$= 160,704 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$

Now, $+ M_u = M - M_u^* + M_u$. From Table 9.4, $M_u^* = -93,440$ in.-lb/ft, and $M_u = 9,215$ in.-lb/ft. So the required maximum $+M_u = 160,704 - 93,440 + 9215 = 76,479$ in.-lb/ft (27.13 kN-m/m) at midspan. And the required $+M_u = M_u/\phi = 76,479/0.9 = 84,977$ in.-lb/ft (30.14 kN-m/m).

Figure 9.19 gives a plot of the required design moments $M_u$ across the continuous spans and the peak values of the moments.

III. Flexural Strength $M_u$ (Nominal Moment Strength). The ACI Code requires a minimum amount of nonprestressed reinforcement. From Equation 9.20,

$$A_s = 0.00075h L_u$$

1. Interior support section at B

For the interior support section at B, the controlling required $M_u = 77,050$ in.-lb/ft.
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Figure 9.19  Maximum required design moments $M_d$ and available nominal moment strengths $M_p$ in Example 9.2, after redistribution.

Hence, the minimum area of non-prestressed steel reinforcement in each direction in the negative moment areas of slabs at column supports as in Equation 4.55(b) is $A_s = 0.00075 h l$, where $h$ = total slab thickness and $l$ = span length in the direction parallel to that of the reinforcement being determined, Section 4.12.5.3.

$$A_s = 0.00075 \times 6.5 \left(\frac{18 + 24}{2}\right) \times 12 = 1.23 \text{ in}^2 \approx 7.93 \text{ cm}^2$$

Hence, try six 44 bars of 11-ft length, and space the bars at a maximum of 6 in. (152 mm) center-to-center so that they are concentrated over the column on a band width equal to the column width plus 1/4-slab thicknesses on each side of the column. Then

$$A_s = 6 \times 0.20 = 1.20 \text{ in}^2 \approx 7.93 \text{ cm}^2$$

O.K.

Panel width = 20 ft

$$A_s/ft = \frac{1.2}{20} = 0.06 \text{ in}^2$$

From Equation 9.23b, the design stress in the tendon is

$$f_{ps} = f_{pe} + \frac{f'_c}{300 \rho_p} + 10,000 \text{ psi}$$

and

$$\rho_p = \frac{A_{ps}}{bd} = \frac{11 \times 0.153}{(20 \times 12)5.5} = 0.0013$$

$$f_{pe} = 159,000 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_{ps} = 159,000 + \frac{4000}{300 \times 0.0013} + 10,000 = 179,256 \text{ psi (1,236 MPa)}$$

$$F_{ps} = \frac{179,256 \times 0.153 \times 11}{20} = 15,084 \text{ lb/ft}$$

$$F_s = 60,000 \times A_s/ft = 60,000 \times 0.06 = 3,600 \text{ lb/ft}$$
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The total force $F/t = F_{ps} + F_y = 15,084 + 3,600 = 18,684$ lb/ft, and we also have

Compression block depth $a = \frac{A_s f_y + A_{ps} f_{ps}}{0.85 f'_c b}$

$$= \frac{18,684}{0.85 \times 4,000 \times 12} = 0.46 \text{ in. (11.7 mm)}$$

The bars and tendons are to be placed at the same level $d = 6.5 - 1 = 5.5$ in. Also, $M_n = (A_s f_y + A_{ps} f_{ps}) (d - a/2)$, the available $-M_n = 18,684 \times (5.5 - 0.46/2) = 98,465$ in.-lb/ft (36.5 MPa), and the required $M_n = 77,050$ in.-lb/ft < 98,465. Thus, no additional moment strength is needed.

No inelastic negative moment redistribution (reduction) is essential in this case, provided that the available positive moment reinforcement is adequate. If redistribution is applied, from Figure 4.46:

$$e_t = 0.003 \left( \frac{d}{c} - 1 \right) = 0.003 \left( \frac{5.5}{0.46/0.85} - 1 \right) = 0.027 \text{ in./in. > 0.0075 in./in., O.K.}$$

It is desirable to cause a moment redistribution from the support to midspan, maximum moment redistribution value $= 1000 e_t \leq 20\%$

Actual redistribution factor $= 1000 \times 0.027 = 27\%$, exceeds the maximum allowable.

Apply a 15\% redistribution factor to the positive midspan moment.

Hence, $+M_n = 1.15 \times 84,977 = 97,724$ in.-lb/ft.

A corresponding reduction in the area of mild steel reinforcement for the negative moment is warranted, provided that the minimum is satisfied.

2. Midspan section at span BC

From before, $F_{ps} = A_{ps} f_{ps} = 15,084$ lb/ft, and

$$a = \frac{A_{ps} f_{ps}}{0.85 f'_c b} = \frac{15,084}{0.85 \times 4,000 \times 12} = 0.37 \text{ in.}$$

So the available $-M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} (d - a/2) = 15,084(5.5 - 0.37/2) = 80,171$ in.-lb/ft, and the required $M_n = 97,724$ in.-lb/ft > 80,171 in.-lb/ft, and hence is unsatisfactory. Accordingly, add six #4 bars at midspan over a 20-ft width to get

$$A_s = 6 \times 0.20 = 1.20 \text{ in.}^2$$

$$A_s f_y = \frac{1.20 \times 60,000}{20} = 3600 \text{ lb/ft}$$

$$a = \frac{(15,084 + 3600)}{0.85 \times 4,000 \times 12} = 0.46 \text{ in.}$$

Available + $M_n = (A_s f_y + A_{ps} f_{ps}) \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right)$

$$= (15,084 + 3600) \left( 5.5 - \frac{0.46}{2} \right) = 98,465 \text{ in.-lb/ft}$$

$\geq$ req + $M_n = 97,724$ in.-lb/ft, O.K.

Reinforcement Summary

After moment redistribution, use six #4 (12.7-mm dia) nonprestressed mild steel bars at the bottom fibers at midspan in addition to the continuous prestressing tendon in the 20-ft segment. Also, use six #4 nonprestressed mild steel bars at the top fibers at the support, centered through the column at 6 in. center-to-center spacing (six 12.7-mm dia bars at 152 mm center-to-center) as minimum reinforcement, to be verified for shear-moment transfer.

The midspan sections of spans AB and CD would have more than adequate positive nominal moment strength to resist the positive factored moments. The nominal nega-
tive moment strength of the sections at the exterior supports A and D are governed by
the moment-shear transfer stresses.

3. **Banding the reinforcement at the column region**

There are eleven \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia strands, and the width of a column strip = \( 2(4 \times 20 \times 12) = 120 \) in. Assume that 70 percent of the tendons are concentrated in the column strip. Then the number of strands = \( 0.7 \times 11 = 7.7 \). Accordingly, concentrate seven strands in the column strip, three of which are to pass through and be centered on the column section.

There are \( 11 - 7 = 4 \) strands in the middle strip. On this basis, it can be reasonably assumed that the percentage distribution of moments between the column strip and the middle strip would be approximately as follows:

- Column strip moment factor = \( \frac{7}{11} = 0.64 \)
- Middle strip moment factor = 0.36
- Max total \(- M \) at column face B = 33,880 in.-lb/ft (see Table 9.2)
- Max total + \( M \) at midspan = 21,784 in.-lb/ft

Consequently, distribute the prestressing tendons between the column strips and middle strips as shown subsequently.

**IV. Nominal Shear Strength**

1. **Exterior columns A and D**

   a. **Geometry and external load**

   From before, \( V_{AB} = 1231.1 \) lb/ft, and the total shear is \( V_B = 1231.1 \times 20 = 24622 \) lb.

   Assume an exterior wall and glass averaging a load of 500 psf:

   - Wall \( V_w = 1.2 \times 500 \times 20 = 12000 \) lb
   - Slab \( V_s = 24622 \) lb
   - Total factored \( V_{fact} = 36622 \) lb (162.9 kN)

   The critical shear section is taken at \( d/2 \) from the face of the column, as shown in Figure 9.20. We have

   \[ d = 6.5 - 1.0 = 5.5 \text{ in.} \]

   \[ \text{Max } d_p = d_v = 0.8h = 0.8 \times 6.5 = 5.2 \text{ in. (132 mm)} \]

   \[ c_1 = 12 \text{ in.} \]

   \[ c_2 = 14 \text{ in.} \]

   \[ b_1 = c_1 + \frac{d}{2} = 12 + \frac{5.2}{2} = 14.6 \text{ in.} \]

   \[ b_2 = c_2 + d = 14 + 5.2 = 19.2 \text{ in.} \]

   \[ A_c = b_0d = 5.2(2 \times 14.6 + 19.2) = 252 \text{ in.}^2 \]

   From the figure,

   \[ d(2c_1 + c_2 + 2d)\bar{x} = d\left(c_1 + \frac{d^2}{2}\right) \]

   or

   \[ 5.2(2 \times 12 + 14 + 2 \times 5.2)\bar{x} = 5.2(14.6)^2 \]

   \[ \bar{x} = c_{AB} = 4.40 \text{ in.} \]

   \[ g = \bar{x} - \frac{d}{2} = 4.4 - \frac{5.2}{2} = 1.8 \text{ in.} \]
Figure 9.20 Critical planes for shear moment transfer in end column of Example 9.2 (line A, Figure 9.17).

Alternatively,

\[ c_{AB} = \frac{b_1^2 d}{A_c} = \frac{(14.6)^2 \times 5.2}{252} = 4.4 \]

\[ c_{CD} = b_1 - c_{AB} = 14.6 - 4.4 = 10.2 \text{ in.} \]

From the geometrical properties of the exterior column shown in Figure 9.20, and from Equations 9.28 and 9.29,

Photo 9.5 Placing concrete in a post-tensioned prestressed concrete slab.
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\[
\gamma_r = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{b_1/b_2}} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{14.6/19.2}} \\
= 1 - 0.63 = 0.37 \\
\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{b_1/b_2}} = 0.63
\]

Using \( d_s \) for \( d \), the polar moment of inertia is

\[
J_c = \frac{(c_1 + d/2)d^3}{6} + \frac{2d}{3} (c_{AB}^2 + c_{CD}^2) + (c_1 + d)(d)(c_{AB})^2 \\
= \frac{14.6(5.2)^3}{6} + \frac{2 \times 5.2}{3} (4.4^3 + 10.2^3) + 19.2 \times 5.2(4.4)^2 \\
= 342 + 3,974 + 1,933 = 6,249 \text{ in}^4
\]

From before, the unit \(-M_y = 6640 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \) at the column centerline. So the total bay moment at the column centerline is \( -M_y = 6648 \times 20 = 132,960 \text{ in.-lb}. \) Now assume that the resultant \( V_u \) acts at the face of the column for shear-moment transfer. Then the shear moment transferred by eccentricity is \( V_u g = -24,622 \times 1.8 = 44,320 \text{ in.-lb}, \) the total external factored moment \( M_e = 132,960 + 44,320 = 177,280 \text{ in.-lb}, \) and the total required unbalanced moment strength \( M_u = M_e / \phi = 177,280/0.9 = 196,978 \text{ in.-lb}. \)

(b) Shear-moment transfer

The fraction of the nominal moment strength to be transferred by shear is \( \gamma_r M_u = 0.37 \times 196,978 = 72,882 \text{ in.-lb}. \) From Equation 9.30a, the shearing stress due to perimeter shear, the effect of \( \gamma_r M_u \) and the weight of the wall, is

\[
\nu_e = \frac{V_u}{\phi A_e} + \frac{\gamma_r c_{AB} M_u}{J_c} \\
= \frac{36,622}{0.75 \times 252} + \frac{0.37 \times 4.4 \times 196,978}{6,249} \\
= 193.8 + 71.2 = 245 \text{ psi}
\]

From the load balancing part of the solution, the average compressive stress in the concrete at the cross-section centroid due to externally applied load \( P_z \) is \( f_c = P_z/A_t = 172 \text{ psi}. \)

From Equations 9.24 and 9.25 and disregarding the effect of the vertical component \( V_p \) of the prestressing force, the maximum allowable shear strength becomes

\[
\nu_c = \beta_p \sqrt{f_c} + 0.3 f_c
\]

where the factor \( \beta_p \) is the smaller of \( (\alpha_p d/b_0 + 1.5) \) and 3.5, and \( \alpha_p = 30 \) for end column support. From Figure 9.20, \( b_0 = 2 \times 14.6 + 19.2 = 48.2 \text{ in.}, \) and

\[
\alpha_p \frac{d}{b_0} + 1.5 = \frac{30 \times 5.5}{48.2} + 1.5 = 4.92 > 3.5.
\]

Hence use \( \beta_p = 3.5. \)

Max allowable \( \nu_c = 3.5 \sqrt{4,000} + 0.3 \times 172 \\
= 221 + 52 = 273 \text{ psi} \) > actual \( \nu_u = 245 \text{ psi, O.K.}. \)
If $V_s$ were accounted for, the maximum allowable $v_e$ would have been higher than 273 psi.

**Chapter 9 Two-Way Prestressed Concrete Floor Systems**

(e) **Flexure moment transfer**

The fraction of nominal moment strength to be transferred by flexure is $M_{n} = 0.63 \times 196,978 = 124,096$ in.-lb. From Equation 9.20, $\text{Min}A_p = 0.00075hL = 0.00075 \times 6.5 \times 17.5 \times 12 = 1.02$ in$^2$. So use six #4 bars $\times$ 6 ft, including the standard hook, yielding $A_p = 6 \times 0.2 = 1.2$ in$^2$. The stress in the tendon strands is computed from Equation 9.23 assuming that three strands pass the column at the exterior support at $e = 0$. We have $d_p = 6.5/2 = 3.25$ in., and the effective concrete width $b = c_2 + 2(1.5 \times h) = 14 + 2(1.5 \times 6.5) = 33.5$ in. Also,

$$
\rho_p = \frac{A_{ps}}{bd_p} = \frac{3 \times 0.153}{33.5 \times 3.25} = 0.0042
$$

$$
f_{ps} = f_{pe} + \frac{f'_c}{300\rho_p}
$$

$$
= 159,000 + 10,000 + \frac{4,000}{300 \times 0.0042}
$$

$$
= 172,174 \text{ psi}
$$

$$
A_{ps} = 3 \times 0.153 = 0.459 \text{ in.}
$$

$$
a = \frac{A_s f_s + A_p f_{ps}}{0.85 f'_c b} = \frac{1.20 \times 60,000 + 0.459 \times 172,174}{0.85 \times 4,000 \times 33.5}
$$

$$
= 1.33 \text{ in.}
$$

Available $M_n$ in the column zone = $A_s f_s \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_p f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right)$

$$
= 1.2 \times 60,000 \left( 5.5 - \frac{1.33}{2} \right) + 0.459 \times 172,174 \left( 3.25 - \frac{1.33}{2} \right)
$$

$$
= 347,400 + 203,410 = 550,810 \text{ in.-lb}
$$

$$
\gg \gamma_f M_n = 124,096 \text{ in.-lb}
$$

The available nominal moment strength is thus considerably larger than the moment being transferred by flexure. Figure 9.21 shows one scheme for banding both the prestressed and nonprestressed reinforcement to provide for shear-moment transfer at the exterior column zone.

2. **Interior columns B and C**

(a) **Geometry and external load**

From before, $V_{Bx} + V_{Bc} = 1996.9 + 2232 = 4229$ plf. The total shear is $V_{Bx} = 4229 \times 20 = 84,578$ lb (376 kN), and also, $c_1 = 20$ in., $c_2 = 14$ in., and $d = 6.5 - 1 = 5.5$ in.

Assume that $d_e = 0.8h = 5.2$ in.; compute $g = \frac{1}{3}c_1 = 20/2 = 10$ in.

$$
b_1 = c_1 + d = 20 + 5.2 = 25.2 \text{ in.}
$$

$$
b_2 = c_2 + d = 14 + 5.2 = 19.2 \text{ in.}
$$

$$
A_c = b_0 d = 2(25.2 \times 5.2 + 19.2 \times 5.2) = 462 \text{ in}^2
$$

Using $d_e$ for $d$, the polar moment of inertia is

$$
J_c = \frac{d (c_1 + d)^3}{6} + \frac{d^3 (c_1 + d)}{6} + \frac{d (c_2 + d)(c_1 + d)^2}{2}
$$

$$
= \frac{5.2(25.2)^3}{6} + \frac{(5.2)^3(25.2)}{6} + \frac{5.2(19.2)(25.2)^2}{2}
$$

$$
= 46,161 \text{ in}^4
$$
Figure 9.22 shows the geometrical properties of the interior columns:

\[ \gamma_r = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{25.2/19.2}} = 0.433 \]

\[ \gamma_f = 1 - 0.433 = 0.567 \]

The moment \( M_{\text{m}} = M_r \) for each interior column, and the net unit moment \( M_x \) = 80,236 - 77,050 = 3,186 in.-lb. The unbalanced shear moment is equal to the net \( V_a \times g = 10(2,232 - 1,996.9) = 2,351 \) in.-lb. Finally, the total moment is:

**Figure 9.21** Shear-moment transfer zone and reinforcement distribution in Example 9.2. (a) Column zone band (33.5 in. wide). (b) Reinforcement distribution plan.
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\[ M_{ue} = 3186 \times 20 + 2351 = 66,071 \text{ in.-lb}, \] and the total required unbalanced moment strength is \( M_n = M_{ue}/\phi = 66,071/0.9 = 73,412 \text{ in.-lb}. \)

(b) Shear-moment transfer

The fraction of nominal moment strength to be transferred by shear is \( \gamma_s M_n = 0.433 \times 73,412 = 31,787 \text{ in.-lb}, \) and \( c_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(c_1 + d) = \frac{1}{2}b = 25.2/2 = 12.6 \text{ in}. \)

From Equation 9.30a, the shear stress due to perimeter shear and the effect of \( M_n \) is

\[
v_s = \frac{V_s}{\phi A_c} + \frac{\gamma_s c_{AB} M_n}{J_c} = \frac{84,578}{0.75 \times 462} + \frac{0.433 \times 73,412 \times 12.6}{46,161} = \frac{244.0 + 8.68 = 253 \text{ psi (173 MPa)}}{< \text{allowable } v_s = 273 \text{ psi, O.K.}}
\]

(c) Flexure moment transfer

The fraction of nominal moment strength to be transferred by flexure is \( \gamma_f M_n = 0.567 \times 73,412 = 41,625 \text{ in.-lb}, \) and \( b = c_2 + 2(1.5 \times h) = 14 + 2(1.5 \times 6.5) = 33 \text{ in}. \)

Assume, as in the case of exterior columns, that three strands pass the interior columns B and C. We have

\[
d_p = 6.5 - 1 = 5.5
\]

\[
\rho_p = \frac{A_{ps}}{bd_p} = \frac{3 \times 0.153}{33.5 \times 5.5} = 0.0025
\]

\[
f_p = f_{ps} + 10,000 + \frac{f_c}{300 \rho_p}
\]

\[
= 159,000 + 10,000 + \frac{4,000}{300 \times 0.0025} = 174,333 \text{ psi}
\]

which is very close to \( f_{ps} \) for column A. Accordingly, using six #4 bars \( \times \) 12 ft as minimum mild steel, as for the exterior columns, \( a = 1.48 \text{ in}. \) and the available moment capacity in the column is:

![Diagram](image)

Figure 9.22 Critical plane for shear transfer in interior column of Example 9.2 (line B or C, Figure 9.17).
Figure 9.23  Schematic reinforcement distribution, partial floor plan for Example 9.2.

\[ M_n = 1.2 \times 60,000(5.5 - 1.33/2) + 0.459 \times 174,333(5.5 - 1.33/2) = 348,120 + 386,891 = 735,011 \text{ in.-lb} \]
required \( M_n = 73,412 \text{ in.-lb} \), and hence satisfactory.

Figure 9.23 shows a schematic layout of the reinforcement in the continuous flat plate. The three \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in. dia strands in each direction should pass through the critical shear perimeter of the supporting columns. Of course, serviceability requirements for deflection should be checked, as in Section 9.13.

From the analysis made, we adopt the design and use the same pattern of reinforcement for both the N-S and E-W directions of the floor system, as the spans dimensions in both directions are very close in value.

It is important to note that the contribution of the prestressing reinforcement to the available moment strength of the slab at the column zone is valid only if the strands are placed straight through the column, as shown in Figure 9.21. In many designs, the prestressing strands are diverted around the column section at a shallow slope, so that only the mild steel reinforcement develops the moment capacity to resist the unbalanced moment. Even in such cases, the available moment strength developed from the mild steel reinforcement concentrated through the column section is still considerably higher than the required moment, as the computations in this example show.
9.12 DIRECT METHOD OF DEFLECTION EVALUATION

9.12.1. The Equivalent Frame Approach

As in the equivalent frame method for flexural analysis detailed in the preceding sections, the structure is divided into continuous frames centered on the column lines in each of the two perpendicular directions. Each frame is composed of a row of columns and a broad band of slab together with column line beams, if any, between panel centerlines.

By the requirement of statics, the applied load must be accounted for in each of the two perpendicular (orthogonal) directions. In order to account for the torsional deformations of the support beams, an equivalent column is used whose flexibility is the sum of the flexibilities of the actual column and the torsional flexibility of the transverse beam or slab strips (stiffness is the inverse of flexibility). In other words,

$$\frac{1}{K_e} = \frac{1}{\sum K_e} + \frac{1}{K_t} \quad (9.33)$$

where $K_e =$ flexural stiffness of the equivalent column, bending moment per unit rotation

$\sum K_e =$ sum of flexural stiffnesses of upper and lower columns, bending moment per unit rotation

$K_t =$ torsional stiffness of the transverse beam or slab strip, torsional moment per unit rotation.

The value of $K_e$ would thus have to be known in order to compute the deflection by this procedure.

The slab–beam strips are considered supported not on the columns, but on transverse slab–beam strips on the column centerlines. Figure 9.24(a) illustrates this point. Deformation of a typical panel is considered in one direction at a time. Thereafter, the

Photo 9.6 Rectangular concrete slab at rupture. (Tests by Nawy et al.)
contributions in each of the two directions, x and y, are added to obtain the total deflection at any point in the slab or plate.

First, the deflection due to bending in the x direction is computed [Figure 9.24(b)]. Then the deflection due to bending in the y direction is found. The midpanel deflection can now be obtained as the sum of the center-span deflections of the column strip in one direction and that of the middle strip in the orthogonal direction [Figure 9.24(c)].

### 9.12.2 Column and Middle Strip Deflections

The deflection of each panel can be considered the sum of three components:

**Figure 9.24** Equivalent frame method for deflection analysis. (a) Plate panel transferred into equivalent frames. (b) Profile of deflected shape at centerline. (c) Deflected shape of panel.
Figure 9.24  Continued

1. The basic midspan deflection of the panel, assumed fixed at both ends, given by

\[ \delta' = \frac{wL^4}{384EC I_{frame}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.34)

This has to be proportioned to separate deflections \( \delta_c \) of the column strip and \( \delta_s \) of the middle strip, such that

\[ \delta_c = \delta' \frac{M_{col\;strip}}{M_{frame}} \frac{E_c I_c}{E_c I_c} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.35a)

and

\[ \delta_s = \delta' \frac{M_{slab\;strip}}{M_{frame}} \frac{E_c I_s}{E_c I_s} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.35b)

where \( I_{col} \) is the moment of inertia of the total frame, \( I_c \) the moment of inertia of the column strip, and \( I_s \) the moment of inertia of the middle slab strip.

2. The center deflection, \( \delta_{el} = \frac{\theta}{2} L \), due to rotation at the left end while the right end is considered fixed, where \( \theta L \) is the left \( M_{net}/K_{ec} \) and \( K_{ec} \) is the flexural stiffness of the equivalent column (moment per unit rotation).

3. The center deflection, \( \delta_{er} = \frac{\theta}{2} L \) due to rotation at the right end while the left end is considered fixed, where \( \theta L \) is the right \( M_{net}/K_{ec} \). Hence,

\[ \delta_{cx} \text{ or } \delta_{cy} = \delta_c + \delta_{el} + \delta_{er} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.36a)
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\[ \delta_{xy} = \delta_y + \delta_{bL} + \delta_{bR} \]  
(9.36b)

In Equations 9.36a and 9.36b, use the values of \( \delta_c \), \( \delta_{bL} \), and \( \delta_{bR} \) which correspond to the applicable span directions. From Figures 9.24(b) and (c), the total deflection is

\[ \Delta = \delta_{xy} + \delta_{cy} = \delta_{xy} + \delta_{ct} \]  
(9.37)

9.13 DEFLECTION EVALUATION OF TWO-WAY PRESTRESSED CONCRETE FLOOR SLABS

Example 9.3

Compute the central deflection of the exterior panels of the two-way post-tensioned pre-stressed concrete floor designed in Example 9.2 for both short-term and long-term loading. Assume that the maximum allowable deflection is 1/480 of the span.

Solution:

**Structural Data.** From Example 9.2, we have the following data:

- Plate thickness \( h = 61 \text{ in.} \) (165 mm)
- Loads: \( W_d = 101 \text{ psf} \) (4.84 kPa)
  - \( W_k = 40 \text{ psf} \) (1.92 kPa)
  - Span AB \( W_{bal} = 72 \text{ psf} \) (3.45 kPa)
  - \( W_{net} = W_d + W_k - W_{bal} = 101 + 40 - 72 = 69 \text{ psf} \) (3.3 kPa)
  - Span BC \( W_{bal} = 70 \text{ psf} \) (3.35 kPa)
  - \( W_{net} = 141 - 70 = 71 \text{ psf} \) (3.4 kPa)

The floor plan is shown in Figure 9.25, and the overall details and vertical section of the building are presented in Figure 9.17. The distributed bending moments in the N-S direction taken from the flexural analysis for \( W_{net} \) in Table 9.2 are shown in Figure 9.26.

**Stiffness Factors and Strip Moments**  
**N-S Direction (Span 18 ft).** The column stiffness factor \( K_w \) values were computed in Example 9.2, with the following results:

- Exterior column A: \( K_w = 47E_i \text{ in.-lb/ft} \)
- Interior column B: \( K_w = 113E_i \text{ in.-lb/ft} \)
- Net Frame \( M_A = 5.30 \times 10^3 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \)
- Net Frame \( M_B = (39.56 - 33.94)10^3 = 5.62 \times 10^3 \text{ in.-lb/ft} \)

As discussed in Example 9.2, the column strip takes 64 percent of the moment and the middle strip takes 36 percent of the moment. The frame total \( I_{ct} = bh^3/12 = 20 \times 12(6.5)^3/12 = 5,493 \text{ in.}^4 \), while the column strip \( I_c \) is the middle strip \( I_e = 5,493/2 = 2,747 \text{ in.}^4 \).

From Equation 9.34, the basic midspan deflection in the N-S direction at central point O in Figure 9.27, assuming both ends of the panel fixed, is

\[ \delta' = \frac{WL^4}{384EI_{ct}} = \frac{69 \times 20(18)^3}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^{12} \times 5,493} = 0.029 \text{ in.} \]

This deflection has to be proportioned to separate deflections \( \delta_c \) of the column strip and \( \delta_e \) of the middle strip:

\[ \delta_c = \delta' \frac{M_{col,strip} E_I_{ct}}{M_{frame} E_I_e} \]

from Example 9.2, \( M_{col,strip}/M_{frame} = 0.64 \), so N-S \( \delta_c = 0.029 \times 0.64 \times 2 = 0.037 \text{ in.} \), N-S \( \delta_e = 0.029 \times 0.36 \times 2 = 0.021 \text{ in.} \), and the rotation at end A is
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Figure 9.25  Two-way post-tensioned floor plan in Example 9.3

\[ \theta_A = \frac{M_A}{K_{ec}} = \frac{5.30 \times 10^3 \times 20}{47 \times 4.03 \times 10^6} = 5.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ rad} \]

\[ \theta_B = \frac{M_B}{K_{ec}} = \frac{5.62 \times 10^3 \times 20}{113 \times 4.03 \times 10^6} = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ rad} \]

\[ \delta = \frac{\delta_y}{8} = \frac{(5.6 + 2.5)10^{-4}(18 \times 12)}{8} = 0.022 \text{ in.} \]

Therefore, N–S net \( \delta_y = 0.037 + 0.022 = 0.059 \text{ in.} \) and N–S net \( \delta_y = 0.021 + 0.022 = 0.043 \text{ in.} \)

Figure 9.26  Service net load moments \( \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.-lb} \) in the N–S direction in Example 9.3.

\[ K_{ec} = 47E_{ec} \quad K_{ec} = 113E_{ec} \]
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![Diagram of column and middle strips immediate deflections in Example 9.3.](image)

**Figure 9.27** Column and middle strips immediate deflections in Example 9.3.

**E-W Direction (Span 20 ft).** For the E–W direction, the width \( b \) of an equivalent frame = \( \frac{1}{2} (18 + 24) = 21.0 \) ft. The frame total \( I_{aw} \) is

\[
\frac{bh^3}{12} = \frac{21 \times 12(6.5)^3}{12} = 5,767 \text{ in}^4
\]

and the column strip \( I_c = \) the middle strip \( I_c = 5,767/2 = 2,884 \) in.\(^4\).

From Equation 9.34, the fixed-end central deflection at O is

\[
\delta' = \frac{WL^4}{384EI_{aw}} = \frac{69 \times 21(20)^4(12)^3}{384 \times 4.03 \times 10^6 \times 5,767} = 0.045 \text{ in.}
\]

If the same distribution of moments is assumed to exist between the column and middle strips, then E–W \( \delta_c = 0.045 \times 0.64 \times 2 = 0.058 \) in. and E–W \( \delta_c = 0.045 \times 0.36 \times 2 = 0.032 \) in.

For the case of all panels loaded in this example, the net moments at each column due to the difference in negative moments from the spans to the left and to the right of the column are zero. Hence, consider the net rotation \( \theta = 0 \), and use E–W net \( \delta_{aw} = 0.058 \) in. and E–W net \( \delta_{aw} = 0.032 \) in.

Figure 9.27 gives the column and middle strip deflections in both the N–S and E–W directions.

**Total Immediate Central Deflection.** The total central deflection \( \Delta = \delta_{aw} + \delta_{cx} + \delta_{cy} \); therefore \( \Delta_{N-S} = \delta_{cx} + \delta_{cy} = 0.043 + 0.058 = 0.101 \) in. and \( \Delta_{E-W} = \delta_{aw} + \delta_{aw} = 0.032 + 0.059 = 0.091 \) in. Hence, the average immediate deflection due to the net load is \( \Delta_{net} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_{N-S} + \Delta_{E-W}) = \frac{1}{2} (0.101 + 0.091) = 0.096 \) in. (2.44 mm).


Long-Term Deflection. For the long-term deflection, $W_{sat} = 69$ psf and the live load $W_L = 40$ psf. Assuming that 65 percent of the live load is sustained, the total sustained load intensity is $W_{sat} = (69 - 40) + 0.65 \times 40 = 55$ psf. Assuming further a total creep factor of 2, we have

\[
\text{Long-term deflection} = \frac{55}{69} \times 0.096 \times 2 = 0.153 \text{ in. (4.09 mm)}
\]

Total deflection = 0.096 + 0.153 = 0.249 in. (6.33 mm)

The maximum allowable deflection in this structure is

\[
\Delta_{allow} = \frac{L}{480} = \frac{20 \times 12}{480} = 0.50 \text{ in. (12.7 mm)} > \text{actual } \Delta = 0.249 \text{ in.}, \text{ O.K.}
\]

9.14 YIELD-LINE THEORY FOR TWO-WAY-ACTION PLATES

A study of the hinge-field mechanism in a slab or plate at loads close to failure aids the engineering student in developing a feel for the two-way-action behavior of plates. Hinge fields are successions of hinge bands which are idealized by lines; hence the name yield-line theory by K. W. Johansen.

To do justice to this subject, an extensive discussion over several chapters or a whole textbook is necessary. The intention of this chapter is only to introduce the reader to the fundamentals of the yield-line theory and its application.

The yield-line theory is an upper-bound solution to the plate problem. This means that the predicted moment capacity of the slab has the highest expected value in comparison with test results. Additionally, the theory assumes a totally rigid-plastic behavior, namely, that the plate stays plane at collapse. Consequently, deflection is not accounted for, nor are the compressive membrane forces that will act in the plane of the slab or plate considered. The plates are assumed to be considerably underreinforced, in such a
manner that the maximum reinforcement percentage $\rho$ does not exceed $\frac{1}{2}$ percent of the section $bd$.

Since the solutions are upper bound, the slab thickness obtained by this process is in many instances thinner than what is obtained by the lower bound solutions, such as the equivalent frame method. Consequently, it is important to apply rigorously the serviceability requirements for deflection control and for crack control in conjunction with the use of the yield-line theory.

One distinct advantage of this theory is that solutions are possible for any shape of plate, whereas most other approaches are applicable only to the rectangular shapes with rigorous computations for boundary effects. The engineer can, with ease, find the moment capacity for a triangular, trapezoidal, rectangular, circular, or any other conceivable shape, provided that the failure mechanism is known or predictable. Since most failure patterns are presently identifiable, solutions can be readily obtained.


Under action of a two-dimensional system of bending moments, yielding of a rigid-plastic plate occurs when the principal moments satisfy Johansen’s square yield criterion as shown in Figure 9.28. According to this criterion, yielding is considered to have occurred when the numerically greater of the principal moments reaches the value of $\pm M$ at the yield-line cracks. The directions of the principal curvature rates are considered to coincide with the curvatures of the principal moments. The idealized moment–curvature relationship is shown as the solid line in Figure 9.29. Line $OA$ is considered almost vertical at point $O$, and strain hardening is neglected.

If one considers the simplest case of a square slab with supports, with degree of fixity $i$ varying from $i = 0$ for simply supported to $i = 1.0$ for fully restrained on all four sides, the failure mechanism would be as shown in Figure 9.30 when a uniformly distributed load is applied.

Consider the simply supported case (a). The yield-line moments along the yield lines are the principal moments. Hence, the twisting moments are zero in the yield lines and in most cases the shearing forces are also zero. Consequently, only the moment $M$ per unit length of the yield line acts about the lines $AD$ and $BE$ in Figure 9.31. The total moments can be represented by a vector in the direction of the yield line whose value is the product of $M$ and the length of the yield line, that is, $M(a/2 \cos \theta)$ in Figure 9.31(c). The virtual work of the yield moments of the shaded triangular segment $ABO$ is the

![Figure 9.28: Johansen's square yield criterion.](image-url)
Figure 9.29 Moment-curvature relationship.

The scalar product of the two moment vectors $Ma/2 \cos \theta$ on fracture lines $AO$ and $BO$ and rotation $\theta$. In other words, the internal work is

$$E_i = \Sigma \overline{M} \theta$$

If the displacement of the shaded segment at its center of gravity $c$ is $\delta$, the external work is

$$E_E = \text{force} \times \text{displacement} = \Sigma \int w_u dx \, dy \, \delta$$

where $w_u$ is the intensity of external load per unit area. But $E_i = E_E$; hence,

$$\Sigma \overline{M} \theta = \Sigma \int w_u dx \, dy \, \delta$$

(9.38)

Applying Equation 9.38 to the case under discussion gives us

$$\overline{M} \theta = Ma \frac{\Delta}{a/2}$$

since angle $\theta$ in Figure 9.31(b) is small [$(\theta = \Delta/(a/2)$].

Figure 9.30 Failure mechanism of a square slab. (a) $i = 0$. (b) $i = 0.5$. (c) $i = 1.0$. 
The work per triangular segment is

\[ E_I = \overline{M} \bar{\theta} = 2M \Delta \]

\[ E_E = \frac{w_u a^2}{4} \times \frac{\Delta}{3} \]

where the deflection at the center of gravity of the triangle is \( \Delta/3 \). Therefore,

\[ 4(2M \Delta) = 4 \left( \frac{w_u a^2}{12} \Delta \right) \]

and

\[ \text{unit } M = \frac{w_u a^2}{24} \quad (9.39) \]

If the square slab is fully fixed on all four sides, \( E_I = 4(4M \Delta) \) since fracture lines develop around not only the diagonals but also the four edges, as shown in Figure 9.30(c). Hence, for a fully fixed square slab,

\[ \text{unit } M = \frac{w_u a^2}{48} \quad (9.40) \]

Observe that a lower-bound solution as proposed by Mansfield's failure pattern in Figure 9.30(c) gives a value \( M = \frac{w_u a^2}{42.88} \). Hence, for a uniformly loaded square slab with load intensity \( w_u \) per unit area and degree of support fixity \( i \) on all sides,
Photo 9.8  Preparing prestressing tendons in the forms for a four-panel continuous prestressed two-way-action plate (Nawy, Chakrabarti et al.).

Photo 9.9  Yield-line pattern at failure at column reaction and panel boundaries of a two-way multipanel floor. (Tests by Nawy, Chakrabarti et al.)
\[ w_e a^2 = M[24(1 + i)] \quad (9.41) \]

The general equation for the yield-line moment capacity of a rectangular isotropic slab on beams and having dimensions \( a \times b \) as shown in Figure 9.32, with side \( a \) being the shorter dimension, is

\[
\text{unit } M \frac{\text{ft-lb}}{\text{ft}} = \frac{w_e a^2}{24} \left[ \sqrt{3 + \left( \frac{a_r}{b_r} \right)^2} - \frac{a_r}{b_r} \right]^2 \quad (9.42)
\]

where

\[ a_r = \frac{2a}{\sqrt{1 + i_3} + \sqrt{1 + i_4}} \]

\[ b_r = \frac{2b}{\sqrt{1 + i_2} + \sqrt{1 + i_3}} \]

\( i = \text{degree of restraint, depending on stiffness ratios as discussed in Section 9.2.} \)

---

**Figure 9.32** Rectangular slab. Note sequence of side numbers.
Note that Equation 9.42 reduces to the simplified form of Equation 9.40 or 9.41 for the case of a square slab restrained on all four sides (\(i = 1.0\)).

**Affine Slabs.** Slabs that are reinforced differently in the two perpendicular directions are called orthotropic slabs (or plates). The moment in the \(x\) direction equals \(M\) and in the \(y\) direction equals \(\mu M\), where \(\mu\) is a measure of the degree of orthotropy, or the ratio

\[
\frac{M_y}{M_x} = \frac{(A_y)}{(A_x)}.
\]

To simplify the analysis, the slab should be converted to an affine (isotropic) slab, where the strength and reinforcement area in both the \(x\) and \(y\) directions are the same. Such conversion can be made as follows:

1. **Divide** the linear dimension in the \(M\) direction by \(\sqrt{\mu}\) for a slab to be reinforced for a moment \(M\) in both directions using the same unit load intensity \(w_u\) per unit area.

2. In the case of concentrated loads or total loads, also divide such loads by \(\sqrt{\mu}\).

3. In the case of line loads, the line load has to be divided by \(\sqrt{\mu \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta}\), where \(\theta\) is the angle between the line load and the \(M\) direction.

If the slab is to be analyzed as an affine slab with the moment \(\mu M\) in both directions, the dimension in the \(\mu M\) direction has to be multiplied by \(\sqrt{\mu}\). In either case, the result is of course the same.

### 9.14.2 Failure Mechanisms and Moment Capacities of Slabs of Various Shapes SubJECTED to Distributed or Concentrated Loads

The preceding concise introduction to the virtual-work method of yield-line moment evaluation should facilitate a good understanding of the mathematical procedures of most standard rectangular shapes subjected to uniform loading. More complicated slab shapes and other types of symmetrical and nonsymmetrical loading require more advanced knowledge of the subject. Also, the assumed failure shape and minimization energy principles can give values for particular cases that differ slightly from one experimenter to another depending on the mathematical assumptions made with respect to the failure shape.

The following summary of failure patterns and the respective moment capacities in terms of load, many of them due to Mansfield (Ref. 9.14), should give the reader adequate coverage of solutions to most cases expected in today's and tomorrow's structures.

1. **Point load to corner of rectangular cantilever plates:**

   ![Diagram](image-url)
2. Square plate centrally loaded and having boundaries simply supported against both downward and upward movements:

\[ P = 8M \]

3. Regular \( n \)-sided plate with simply supported edges and centrally loaded \( (n > 4) \):

\[ P = M(2n \tan \frac{\pi}{n}) \]  

4. Square plates centrally loaded and having boundaries simply supported against downward movement, but free for upward movement:

\[ n = 8; \text{ applying case 3 expression gives} \]

\[ P = 6.63M \]

5. Circular centrally loaded plate simply supported along the edges:

\[ P = 2\pi M \]
6. Circular plate with fully restricted edges and centrally loaded by point load $P$:

(a) \hspace{2cm} (b) \hspace{2cm} P = 4\pi M \text{ for both}

7. Point load $P$ applied anywhere in arbitrarily shaped plate fully restrained on all boundaries:

$P = 4\pi M$

8. Equilateral triangular plate with simply supported edges and centrally loaded by point load $P$:

\[ P = M(6 \cot \lambda + 12\lambda - 2\pi) \]
\[ P_{\text{min}} = M(6 + \pi) \text{ for } \lambda = \pi/4 \]

9. Acute-angled triangular plate on simply supported edges loaded with point load $P$ at the center of the inscribed circle:

\[ P = M(6 + \pi) \]

10. Obtuse-angled triangular plate with simply supported edges and load $P$ at the center of the inscribed circle:

\[ P = M(4 + 2\lambda + 2 \cot 1/2\lambda), \]
where $\phi$ is in radians
As $\lambda$ approaches $\pi$, the plate degenerates into case 11
11. Long strip simply supported along the edges and loaded with point \( P \) midway between the edges:

\[
P = M(4 + 2x)
\]

12. Simply supported strip with equal loads \( P \) between the edges:

(a) Loads \( P \) sufficiently far apart; hence no mutual interaction between the two loads

\[
P = M(4 + 2x)
\]

(b) Loads \( P \) sufficiently close

\[
P = M[x + 2(1 + b/w)]
\]

Limiting spacing \( b \) between the two loads is

\[
b_{\text{lim}} = (1 + 1/2\pi)w
\]

13. Simply supported strip with unequal loads \( P \) and \( kP \) midway between the edges, where \( k < 1.0 \) and the loads are sufficiently apart:

\[
P = M \left\{ \frac{2}{1 + k} \left[ x + 2\left(1 + \frac{b}{w}\right) \right] \right\}
\]

where \( x \) is less than 1

Photo 9.11  Four-panel slab at failure showing the yield-line patterns at the negative compression face of the supports. (Tests by Nayy and Chakrabarti.)
14. Uniformly loaded square slab with degree of fixity $i$ varying between zero and 1.0:

(a) $i = 0$ and no upward movement
$$w_a a^2 = 24M$$

(b) $i = 0$ and free upward movement
$$w_a a^2 = 22.20M$$
(for $\lambda_{\min} = 1/2 \{\tan^{-1}(3)\}$)

(c) $i = 0.5$ (partial restraint)
$$w_a a^2 = 34.72M$$

(d) $i = 1.0$ (full restraint)
$$w_a a^2 = 48M$$ (upper bound)
15. Equilateral triangular plate ($\lambda = 60^\circ$) uniformly loaded:

(a) $i = 0$ (simple support)
\[ w_a a^2 = 50.85M \]

(b) $i = 1.0$ (full restraint)
\[ w_a a^2 = 86.86M \]

16. Rectangular slab uniformly loaded with unit load of intensity $w_a$ supported on all four sides with degree of restraint $i$ varying from zero to 1.0 (note the sequence of numbers assigned to the panel sides):

Yield lines on tension side

Yield lines on compression side

\[ M = \frac{w_a a^2}{24} \left[ \sqrt{3} + \frac{(b_r)^2}{b_t} - \frac{a_t^2}{b_r} \right] \]

where
\[ a_t = \frac{2a}{\sqrt{1 + i_2} + \sqrt{1 + i_4}} \]
\[ b_r = \frac{2b}{\sqrt{1 + i_1} + \sqrt{1 + i_2}} \]

As a general note, in the foregoing equations relating the load $P$ to the moment $M$, load $P$ is assumed to act at a point. To adjust for the fact that $P$ acts on a finite area, assume that it acts over a circular area of radius $p$. For a slab fully restrained on all boundaries, the hinge field would be bound by a circle touching the slab boundary (circle radius $= r$). In such a case,

\[ M + M' = \frac{P}{2\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{2p}{3r} \right) \]

(9.43)

where $M$ is the positive unit moment and $M'$ the negative unit moment.
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The reaction of columns supporting flat plates can be similarly considered for analyzing the flexural local capacity of the plate in the column area. For rectangular supports, an approximation to an equivalent circular support can be made in the use of Equation 9.43.

9.15 YIELD-LINE MOMENT STRENGTH OF A TWO-WAY PRESTRESSED CONCRETE PLATE

Example 9.4
Find the nominal moment strength of the two-way prestressed concrete plate in Example 9.2, assuming that the prestressing strands are bonded.

Solution:

Loads. The total load intensity at the limit state of failure, from Example 9.2, is \( W_e = 1.2W_D + 1.6W_L = 186 \text{ psf} \). Assuming that the column reaction is an inverted concentrated load in the continuous plate field, the required moment strength \( M_u \) can be defined from case 7 of subsection 9.14.2 as follows:

\[
P_A = 4\pi M_u
\]

Factored \( P_u = 186 \times 20 \left( \frac{24 + 18}{2} \right) = 78,120 \text{ lb (348 kN)} \)

\[
\text{(the column weight is negligible)}
\]

\[
\text{Req } P_u = \frac{P_u}{\phi} = \frac{78,120}{0.9} = 86,800 \text{ lb (38.6 kN)}
\]

Req Unit \( M_u \) for point load = \( \frac{P_u}{4\pi} = \frac{86,800}{4 \times 3.14} = 6910 \text{ lb (30.7 kN)} \)

\[
\text{Equivalent } \rho = \frac{20 \times 14}{\pi^2} = 28.4 \text{ in.}
\]

Assume \( r \equiv 17.5 \text{ ft} = 210 \text{ in. and } M' = M \). Then

\[
M' = \frac{P_u}{4\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{2\rho}{3r} \right) = 6910 \left( 1 - \frac{2 \times 28.4}{3 \times 210} \right) = 6287 \text{ lb (28 kN)}
\]

Available Moment Strength \( M_{av} \) The available column area slab reinforcement is determined as follows.

Prestressing Steel

\[
A_{ps} = \text{three } \frac{1}{2} \text{-in. dia 7-wire 270 K strands} = 3 \times 0.153 = 0.459 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\[
f_{py} = 243,000 \text{ psi (1,675 MPa)}
\]

\[
f_{pu} = 179,256 \text{ psi at interior column}
\]

\[
f_c' = 4,000 \text{ psi (27.58 MPa)}
\]

Accordingly, use \( f_{py} \) at the limit state of failure.

Nonprestressed Steel

\[
A_s = \text{six } #4 \text{ bars } = 6 \times 0.2 = 1.20 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\[
f_s = 60,000 \text{ psi}
\]
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**Moment Strength** $M_a$

\[ d_p = d = 6.5 - 1 = 5.5 \text{ in.} \]
\[ b = 33.5 \text{ in. (from Example 9.2)} \]
\[ a = \frac{A_s f_y + A_{ps} f_{ps}}{0.85 f'_c b} = \frac{1.2 \times 60,000 + 0.459 \times 243,000}{0.85 \times 4,000 \times 33.5} = 1.61 \text{ in. (40.9 mm)} \]

**Available** $M_a = A_s f_y \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right)$

\[ = 1.2 \times 60,000 \left( 5.5 - \frac{1.61}{2} \right) + 0.459 \times 243,000 \left( 5.5 - \frac{1.61}{2} \right) \]
\[ = 338,040 + 523,666 = 861,706 \text{ in.-lb} \]

Unit $M_a = \frac{861,706 \text{ in.-lb}}{33.5 \text{ in.}} = 25,723 \text{ in.-lb/in.} = 25,723 \text{ lb}$

**Check** $M_a$ **For the Entire Panel Width**

N-S slab band width $= \frac{18 + 24}{2} = 21 \text{ ft (6.4 m)}$

E-W slab band width $= 20 \text{ ft}$

So use $b = 21 \text{ ft} = 252 \text{ in.}$ Then the total $A_{ps} = \text{eleven } \frac{1}{4} \text{-in. (12.7 mm) dia 7-wire strands. Since the top prestressed steel is only in the column zone, disregarding it would be on the safe side. We then have}$

Unit $A_{ps} = \frac{11 \times 0.153}{252} = 0.0067 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.}$
\[ a = \frac{0.0067 \times 243,000}{0.86 \times 4,000 \times 1} = 0.48 \text{ in.} \]

Unit $M_a = 0.0067 \times 243,000 \left( 5.5 - \frac{0.48}{2} \right) = 8,564 \text{ in.-lb/in.}$
\[ = 8,564 \text{ lb (38.09 kN), use} \]

Req $M_a = 6287 \text{ lb} < \text{available } M_a = 8,564 \text{ lb, O.K.}$

Plainly, from this *limit theory* solution, quick analysis of a prestressed plate can be performed. Such an analysis, however, should also include an evaluation of yield-line shear strength at the support (Ref. 9.15) and serviceability checks for crack control and deflection control. The designer can easily choose the moment values for the applicable failure mechanism as presented in subsection 9.14.2. A serviceability check for crack control can be easily made using the criteria based on the extensive research reported in Refs. 9.19 to 9.21 and the discussion in Section 11.9 in this text on crack control in walls of large prestressed concrete tanks.
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**PROBLEMS**

9.1 Design the two-way prestressed floor in Example 9.2 by the equivalent frame method if the spacing of the columns in the E-W direction is changed to 24 ft (7.32 m) center to center. Analyze the floor
for flexure and shear, and find the maximum long-term deflection of both the central and the end floor panels and compare it with the maximum allowable deflection if the floor carries equipment that is sensitive to excessive deflection.

9.2 Design the flat plate in Problem 9.1 considering it as a lift slab supported on steel columns as shown in Figure P9.2. Assume that no negative moments are transferred from the slab panels to the supporting columns, and check for deflections accordingly.

![Figure P9.2 Lift slab at column support.](image)

9.3 Analyze the flat plate in Problem 9.1 by the yield-line theory, and compare the design results with the equivalent frame design used in Problem 9.1.
10.1 INTRODUCTION

The function of a connection is to economically transmit loads and stresses from one part of a structure to an adjoining part and provide stability to the structural system. The forces acting at the connection or joint are produced not only by gravity loads but by winds, seismic effects, volumetric changes due to long-term creep and shrinkage, differential movement of panels, and temperature effects.

Since a connection is the weakest link in the overall structural system, it has to be designed for nominal strength higher than the elements it connects. An additional load factor of at least 1.3 should be used in the design of connections, except in the case of insensitive connections, such as pads for column bases, where such an additional load factor is not necessary. All connections should be designed for a minimum horizontal tensile force of 0.2 times the vertical dead load, unless properly designed bearing pads are used.

The factors that have to be considered in design of a connection for strength are as follows:

1. The load transfer mechanism
2. Load factors

Gulf Life Center, Jacksonville, Florida. (Courtesy. Prestressed Concrete Institute.)
3. Volumetric changes
4. Ductility
5. Durability
6. Fire resistance
7. Required tolerances and clearances
8. Erection-related considerations
9. Considerations regarding hot weather and cold weather
10. The economics of the details of the connection

10.2 TOLERANCES

Clearances between elements must be realistically assessed. Where large tolerances are allowed in a supporting structure, or where no tolerances are specified, the clearances have to be increased to account for these factors. The following are recommended tolerances from Ref. 10.2 for deviations from idealized dimensions in beams, columns, and spandrel panels:

1. Variation in plan from specified location in plan: ±\( \frac{1}{2} \) in., any column or beam, any locations.
2. Deviation in plan from straight lines parallel to specified linear building lines: \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. per ft, any beam less than 20 ft, or adjacent columns less than 20 ft apart; \( \frac{1}{2} \) in., adjacent columns 20 ft or more apart.
3. Difference in relative position of adjacent columns from specified relative position: \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. at any deck level.
4. Deviation from plumb: \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. for every 10 ft of height; 1 in. maximum for the entire height.
5. Variation in elevation of bearing surfaces from specified elevation: ±\( \frac{1}{2} \) in., any column or beam, any location.
6. Deviation of top of spandrel from specified elevation: \( \frac{1}{2} \) in., any spandrel.
7. Deviation in elevation of bearing surfaces from lines parallel to specified grade lines: \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. per ft, any beam less than 20 ft or adjacent columns less than 20 ft apart; \( \frac{1}{2} \) in. maximum, any beam 20 ft or more in length or adjacent columns 20 ft or more apart.
8. Variation from specified bearing length on support: ±\( \frac{1}{2} \) in.
9. Variation from specified bearing width on support: ±\( \frac{1}{2} \) in.
10. Jog in alignment of matching edges: \( \frac{1}{4} \) in.

Table 10.1 gives tolerances applicable to connections.

10.3 COMPOSITE MEMBERS

As discussed in detail in Chapter 5 Sections 5.7 through 5.11, full transfer of horizontal shear forces must be assured at the interface of the precast member and the situ-cast topping. Figure 5.14 presents the interacting forces, and the flowchart of Section 5.8.2 gives the operational step-by-step design procedure and the applicable design equations. Figure 5.18 of Example 5.3 and the accompanying design give the size and spacing of the
Table 10.1  Tolerances for Connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Recommended tolerances* in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field-placed anchor bolts (transit or template)</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation of field cast footings and piers</td>
<td>±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Structural Precast Concrete</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of plates</td>
<td>±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of inserts</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of bearing plates</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of blockouts</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall depth</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of stem</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall width</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal deviation of ends from square</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical deviation of ends from square</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4}) per ft of height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing deviation from plane</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{8})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of post-tensioning ducts in precast members</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Architectural Precast Concrete</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length or width</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4}) per 10 ft, but not less than ±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>+(\frac{1}{4}) - (\frac{1}{8})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of blockouts</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of anchors and inserts</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warpage or squareness</td>
<td>±(\frac{1}{4}) in 6 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint widths</td>
<td>—specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—min. and max. dimensions</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{4}) and (\frac{1}{2})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other construction materials may control tolerances selected.

Dowels necessary to effect the full transfer of the horizontal shear forces between the interconnected elements.

10.4 REINFORCED CONCRETE BEARING IN COMPOSITE MEMBERS

A typical composite-action dowel reinforcement is shown in Figure 10.1. In order to prevent the concrete that is in direct bearing contact in such reinforcements from crushing due to excess direct compressive load, the external load has to be applied to an adequate bearing area size such that the resulting limit-state stresses do not exceed the compressive strength of concrete. The nominal bearing strength of plain concrete can be defined as

\[
V_u = C_v (0.85f'_c A_1) \sqrt{A_2/A_1} \leq 1.2f'_c A_1 \tag{10.1}
\]

where \(C_v = 1.0\) when reinforcement is provided in the direction of the horizontal frictional force \(N_u\) shown in Figure 10.2 or when \(N_u\) is taken to be zero. \(C_v\) can be defined as \((S \times W/200)^{0.5}\), where the area \(S \times W\), shown in Figure 10.3, should not exceed 90 in.\(^2\).

\[A_1 = \text{direct bearing area}\]
$A_2 = \text{maximum area of the portion of the supporting surface that is geometrically similar to and concentric with the loaded area shown in Figure 10.3.}$

The design bearing strength is

$$V_u = \phi V_n$$

where $\phi = 0.70$. In order to avoid accidental cracking or spalling at the ends of thin-stemmed members, a minimum reinforcement equal to $N_u/\phi f_y$, but not less than one #3 bar (9.52 mm dia), is recommended when the bearing area is less than 2 in.$^2$ (12.9 cm$^2$).

If the applied factored load $V_u$ exceeds the design bearing strength $V_n = \phi V_u$ as computed from Equation 10.1, reinforcement is required in the bearing area. This reinforcement can be designed by the shear-friction theory presented in Chapter 5. All precast members ought to be designed for reinforced bearing except solid and hollow-core slabs,

Figure 10.2  Reinforced bearing end in beam.
as recommended in Ref. 10.2, in order to prevent horizontal and vertical cracks from forming at the beam’s extreme ties at the supports. The inclination of the end crack can be safely assumed to be approximately 20 degrees, as in Figure 10.2. Also, if $V_s$ is equal to the applied factored shear force, in pounds, parallel to the assumed crack plane, it should be limited by the values given in Table 10.2 for the indicated maximum effective shear-friction coefficients $\mu_v$.

The reinforcement area nominally perpendicular to the assumed crack plane can be found from

Table 10.2  Maximum Applied Factored Force $V_{s\text{r}}$, lb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crack Interface Condition</th>
<th>Recommended $\mu$</th>
<th>Maximum $\mu_v$</th>
<th>Maximum $V_{s\text{r}}$, lb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Concrete to concrete, cast monolithically</td>
<td>1.4$\lambda$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>$0.30 \lambda^2 f_{c} A_{cr} \leq 1,000 \lambda^2 A_{cr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Concrete to hardened concrete with roughened surface</td>
<td>1.0$\lambda$</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>$0.25 \lambda^2 f_{c} A_{cr} \leq 1,000 \lambda^2 A_{cr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Concrete to concrete</td>
<td>0.6$\lambda$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>$0.20 \lambda^2 f_{c} A_{cr} \leq 800 \lambda^2 A_{cr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Concrete to steel</td>
<td>0.7$\lambda$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>$0.20 \lambda^2 f_{c} A_{cr} \leq 800 \lambda^2 A_{cr}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where \( V_{cp} = \) nominal strength \( V_N \)
\( f_y = \) yield strength of \( A_{ef} \), psi
\( V_{up} = \) applied factored shear force, limited by the values given in Table 10.2 and
\[
\mu_{cr} = \frac{1,000\lambda A_{cr} \mu}{V_{up}} \tag{10.3}
\]
in which \( \lambda = 1.0 \) for normal-weight, 0.85 for sand-lightweight, and 0.75 for all-lightweight concrete
\( A_{ef} = \) area of the crack plane interface (in.\(^2\)), which can be taken as \( l_d b \), where
\( l_d = \) the development length of the \( A_{ef} \) bars (in.) and \( b = \) the average member width (in.).

Table 10.3 gives the development length \( l_d \) for various bar sizes. The vertical reinforcement \( A_{sh} \) across potential horizontal cracks can be determined from
\[
A_{sh} = \frac{(A_{ef} + A_s) f_y}{\mu_{es} f_{ys}} \tag{10.4}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bar Size</th>
<th>Cross-Sectional Area (in.(^2))</th>
<th>Bar Diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Development length, ( l_d ) (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.693</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.257</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Confined

**For \( f'_{ys} \) values different from 4000 psi, multiply table values by \( \sqrt{4000/f'_y} \). For \( f'_{ys} = 4000 \) psi, multiply by \( \frac{40}{3} \). \( \sqrt{f'_y} \) should not exceed 100.

For Compression development length, \( l_d = \lambda \lambda_d \), where \( l_d = 0.02d f_y/\sqrt{f'_y} \geq 0.0003d f_y \), and
\( \lambda = \) Required \( A_{cr}/A_{cr} \), or \( \lambda = 0.75 \) for spirally confined reinforcement.

Multiply table values by:
\( \alpha = 1.3 \) for top reinforcement
\( \lambda = 1.3 \) for lightweight aggregate
\( \beta = 1.5 \) for epoxy-coated bars with cover less than 3\( d_a \) or clear spacing less than 6\( d_a \) and \( \beta = 1.5 \) for epoxy-coated bars with cover less than 3\( d_a \) or clear spacing less than 6\( d_a \) and
where

\[ \mu' = \frac{1,000 \lambda A_{cr} \mu}{(A_{cf} + A_n)f_y} \]  

(10.5)

and \( f_{yw} \) = yield strength of \( A_{dr} \), psi

\( A_s \) = area of reinforcement to resist axial tension \( N_u \) in Figure 10.2, defined as

\[ A_n = N_u/(\phi f_y) \]  

(10.6)

in which \( N_u \) = factored applied horizontal tensile force nominally perpendicular to the assumed crack plane

\( \phi \) = strength reduction factor = 0.75.

Note that all reinforcement on either side of the assumed crack plane should be properly anchored by development length or welding to angles, plates, or hooks in order to develop the computed resisting force.

10.4.1 Reinforced Bearing Design

Example 10.1

A PCI standard 16RB28 rectangular prestressed beam is subjected to a vertical factored end shear force \( V_r = 90,000 \) lb (400 kN) and a horizontal tensile force \( N_u = 21,000 \) lb (93.4 kN). The beam is supported on a teflon pad of size 4 in. x 4 in. (10 cm x 10 cm). Design the end reinforcement in the beam that can prevent the development of vertical or horizontal bearing cracks, given the following data:

\[ f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi (34.47 MPa), normal-weight concrete} \]

\[ f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi for all mild reinforcement (413.7 MPa)} \]

\( \theta = 20 \) degrees

Photo 10.1 Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center Parking Structure.  
(Courtesy, Prestressed Concrete Institute.)
10.4 Reinforced Concrete Bearing in Composite Members

Solution:

**Horizontal Reinforcement** ($A_{sf} + A_s$). For the determination of the horizontal reinforcement, try No. 6 bars.

Beam depth $h = 28$ in., $b = 16$ in.

From Table 10.3, $I_b = 29$ in.

$$A_{sf} = I_b b = 29 \times 16 = 464 \text{ in.}^2$$

From Table 10.2, $\mu_s = 1.4$, and from Equation 10.3,

$$\mu_s = \frac{1,000 A_{sf} \mu_s}{V_{wp}} = \frac{1,000 \times 1.0 \times 464 \times 1.4}{90,000} = 10.61 > \text{allowable } \mu_s = 3.4$$

Thus, use $\mu_s = 3.4$.

From Equation 10.2,

$$A_{sf} = \frac{V_{wp}}{\phi_f S_{ps} \mu_s} = \frac{90,000}{0.75 \times 60,000 \times 3.4} = 0.59 \text{ in.}^2 (3.4 \text{ cm}^2)$$

$$N_u = 21,000 \text{ lb}$$

$$\frac{N_u}{V_{wp}} = 21,000 \frac{21,000}{90,000} = 0.23 > \text{minimum 0.20}$$

Hence, use $N_u = 21,000 \text{ lb}$.

From Equation 10.6, $A_s = N_u / \phi_f S_{ps} = 21,000 / (0.75 \times 60,000) = 0.47 \text{ in.}^2 (2.94 \text{ cm}^2)$

**Total Steel**

$$A_s = A_{sf} + A_s = 0.59 + 0.47 = 1.06 \text{ in.}^2 (6.63 \text{ cm}^2)$$

So use three #6 bars = 1.32 in.$^2$ (8.52 cm$^2$).

---

**Photo 10.2** Dallas Municipal Center, Dallas, Texas. (*Courtesy, Post-Tensioning Institute.*)
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**Vertical Reinforcement** \((A_w)\). From Table 10.3, \(l_d = \text{development length of #6 bars} = 29\) in. \((74\) cm) and \(A_{yw} = l_d b = 29 \times 16 = 464\) in.\(^2\) \((3,159\) cm\(^2\)). From Equation 10.5,

\[
\mu' = \frac{1,000 \sigma_{yc} \mu}{(A_{yd} + A_y) f_y} = \frac{1,000 \times 1.0 \times 464 \times 1.4}{0.93 \times 60,000} = 11.64 > \text{allowable } \mu_c = 3.4
\]

So use \(\mu' = 3.4\). Then, from Equation 10.4,

\[
A_{sh} = \frac{(A_{yd} + A_y) f_y}{\mu' f_{ys}} = \frac{0.93 \times 60,000}{3.4 \times 60,000} = 0.27 \text{ in.}^2 \ (1.74\ \text{cm}^2)
\]

Accordingly, use three #3 stirrups = 0.66 in.\(^2\) \((4.26\) cm\(^2\)).

10.5 DAPPED-END BEAM CONNECTIONS

A dapped-end beam is a structural element with abruptly reduced depth at its ends in order to provide the necessary seating or bearing on corbels or brackets without loss of clear height between floors. A typical dapped end in a prestressed beam is shown in Figure 10.4. Two types of cracks can develop: crack 2 is a direct shear crack, while cracks 3, 4, and 5 are diagonal tension cracks caused by flexure and axial tension in the extended reduced depth and the stress concentration at the reentrant corner. Therefore, the following types of reinforcement, as shown in the figure, have to be provided:

1. Flexural reinforcement \(A_f\) plus axial tension reinforcement \(A_n\), where \(A_s = A_f + A_n\), to resist the cantilever bending stresses.

**Photo 10.3** Walt Disney World Monorail, Orlando, Florida, a series of hollow precast prestressed concrete 100-box girders that are individually post-tensioned to provide a six-span continuous structure, design by ABAM Engineers. *(Courtesy, Walt Disney World Co.)*
2. Shear-friction reinforcement $A_f + A_s$, plus axial tension reinforcement $A_n$, to resist the direct vertical shear force at the junction of the dapped and the undapped portion of the beam causing crack 2.

3. Shear reinforcement $A_{sh}$, to resist the diagonal tension generated at the reentrant corner causing crack 3.

4. Diagonal tension reinforcement $A_h + A_s$ to resist the potential diagonal tension crack 4 in the extended dapped portion of the beam.

5. Development length of $A_i = A_f + A_n$, to resist the potential diagonal tension crack 5 in the undapped portion of the beam.

10.5.1 Determination of Reinforcement to Resist Failure

10.5.1.1 Flexure and axial tension. For moment equilibrium in Figure 10.4, the total factored moment acting on the cantilever dapped portion at the plane of $A_i$ is

$$M_u = V_u a + N_u (h - d)$$

(10.7a)

where $h$ = depth of member above the dap
$d$ = effective depth of the dap to center of reinforcement $A_i$
$a$ = shear span.

$M_u$ has to be resisted by a nominal moment strength $M_n = M_u / \phi$, or

$$M_n = \frac{V_u a + N_u (h - d)}{\phi}$$

(10.7b)

Assuming that the moment arm $jd \equiv 0.9d$,

$$F_n = \frac{V_u a + N_u (h - d)}{0.9d}$$

(10.8)
where $\phi = 0.90$ for flexure. Since $0.9\phi \approx 0.81$, for simplification use a value of $\phi = 0.85$ in Equation 10.8 to obtain

$$F_n = \frac{V_u a + N_u (h - d)}{\phi d}$$ (10.9a)

or

$$F_n = \frac{V_u}{\phi} \left( \frac{a}{d} \right) + \frac{N_u}{\phi} \left( \frac{h - d}{d} \right)$$ (10.9b)

The flexural reinforcement is then

$$A_f = \frac{F_n}{f_y} = \frac{V_u a + N_u (h - d)}{\phi f_y d}$$ (10.10)

and the direct tension reinforcement due to the tensile force $N_u$ is

$$A_n = \frac{N_u}{\phi f_y}$$ (10.11)

The total area of the flexural and direct tension reinforcement then becomes, from Equations 10.10 and 10.11,

$$A_s = A_f + A_n = \frac{1}{\phi f_y} \left[ V_u \left( \frac{a}{d} \right) + N_u \left( \frac{h}{d} \right) \right]$$ (10.12)

where, again, the adjusted $\phi = 0.85$.

### 10.5.1.2 Direct Vertical Shear

The potential direct shear crack 2 is resisted by the combination of reinforcements $A_s$ and $A_n$ in Figure 10.4. The horizontal reinforcement $A_h$ needed to resist the direct shear can be evaluated as

$$A_h = 0.5(A_s - A_n)$$ (10.13)

where

$$A_s = \frac{2V_u}{3\phi f_y \mu_v} + A_n$$ (10.14a)

$$A_n = \frac{N_u}{\phi F_y}$$ (10.14b)

$$\mu_v = \frac{1,000 \lambda b h \mu}{V_u}$$ (10.14c)

with $\phi = 0.85$ and $\mu_v$ the same as in Equation 10.3. Hence,

$$A_s = \frac{1}{\phi f_y} \left( \frac{2V_u}{3\mu_v} + N_u \right)$$ (10.15)

The value of $A_s$ used in Equation 10.13 should be the greater of the two values obtained from Equations 10.12 and 10.15.

The reinforcement $A_s$ should be extended a minimum of $1.7l_d$ past the end of the dap, or $l_d$ past crack 5, and anchored at the end of the beam by welding to cross bars, angles, or plates. Horizontal bars $A_h$ should be similarly extended, and vertical bars $A_{vh}$ and vertical or inclined bars $A_s$ should be well anchored by hooks as required by the ACI Code.
10.5 Dapped-End Beam Connections

The nominal shear strength of the dapped end is limited to

\[ V_n = 0.30 f'_c bd \leq 1,000 bd \]  

(10.16a)

for normal-weight concrete, and

\[ V_n \leq \left( 0.20 - \frac{0.07a}{d} \right) f'_c bd \]  

(10.16b)

or

\[ V_n \leq \left( 800 - \frac{280a}{d} \right) bd \]  

(10.16c)

whichever is smaller, for sand-lightweight or all-lightweight concrete, where \( a \) is the shear span and \( d \) the effective depth of the beam.

10.5.1.3 Diagonal Tension at Reentrant Corner. The reinforcement needed to resist the inclined diagonal tension cracking propagating from the center of stress concentration at the reentrant corner towards the undapped portion can be obtained from the expression

\[ A_{sh} = \frac{V_n}{\phi f_y} \]  

(10.17)

where \( \phi = 0.85 \) and \( f_y \) is the yield strength of the \( A_{sh} \) reinforcement.

10.5.1.4 Diagonal Tension in the Dapped End. In order to resist the potential diagonal crack 4 in the dapped end, additional reinforcement \( A_h \) has to be provided such that the total nominal shear strength \( V_n \) satisfies the equation

\[ V_n = \frac{V_n}{\phi} = A_{h} f_y + A_{h} f_y + 2\lambda bd \sqrt{f'_c} \]  

(10.18)

At least half of the reinforcement in this area has to be placed vertically, so that Equation 10.18 gives
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\[
\text{Min } A_v = \frac{1}{2f_p} \left( \frac{V_u}{\phi} - 2\lambda bd \sqrt{f'_c} \right)
\]  

(10.19)

Note that performance considerations require the following:

1. The depth of the dapped end should be at least one-half the beam depth, unless the beam is significantly deeper than required by design for other than structural considerations.

2. If the flexural stress computed for the full depth of the section using factored loads and gross section properties exceeds \(6 \sqrt{f'_c} \), immediately beyond the dap, additional longitudinal reinforcement should be placed in the beam in order to develop the required flexural strength.

3. The diagonal tension reinforcement \(A_{th}\) should be placed as closely as practicable to the reentrant corner. This reinforcement is in addition to the design shear reinforcement required for the full-depth beam section.

10.5.2 Dapped-End Beam Connection Design

Example 10.2

A PCI standard 16RB28 prestressed beam dapped at the end for bearing on a column corbel is subjected to a factored gravity end shear \(V_u = 110,000 \text{ lb (489 kN)}\) and a horizontal axial tension \(N_u = 20,000 \text{ lb (97.9 kN)}\). Design the flexural, direct shear, and diagonal tension reinforcements \(A_v, A_s, A_{th}\), and \(A_r\), required to prevent potential cracking due to dapping the beam ends. Given data are \(f'_c = 5,000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa)}\), normal weight, and \(f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)}\). Use bars for the reinforcement.

Solution: Assume that the shear span \(a = 6 \text{ in. (152 mm)}\), the dapped-end effective \(d = 16 \text{ in. (406 mm)}\), and \(h = 18 \text{ in. (457 mm)}\).

**Flexure and Axial Tension Reinforcement** \(A_v\)

\[
\frac{N_u}{V_u} = \frac{20,000}{110,000} = 0.18 < 0.20
\]

Hence, \(N_v = 0.20 \times 110,000 = 22,000 \text{ lb (97.9 kN)}\). Thus,

\[
A_v = \frac{1}{\phi f_p} \left[ V_u \left( \frac{a}{d} \right) + N_u \left( \frac{h}{d} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{0.75 \times 60,000} \left[ 110,000 \times \frac{6}{16} + 22,000 \times \frac{18}{16} \right] = 1.46 \text{ in.}^2
\]

**Direct Shear Reinforcement** \(A_s\) and \(A_r\)

From Table 10.2 \(\mu_s = 1.4\lambda\) where \(\lambda = 1.0\). Then, from Equation 10.14c, where \(b\) for the 16RB28 section is 16 in.,

\[
\mu_v = \frac{1,000 \lambda \phi b h}{V_u} = \frac{1,000 \times 1.0 \times 16 \times 18 \times 1.4}{110,000} = 3.67 > \text{max allowable } \mu_v = 3.4
\]

Thus, use \(\mu_v = 3.4\). Then, from Equation 10.5,

\[
A_v = \frac{1}{\phi f_p} \left( \frac{2V_u}{3\mu_v} + N_u \right) = \frac{1}{0.75 \times 60,000} \left( \frac{2 \times 110,000}{3 \times 3.4} + 22,000 \right)
\]

\[
= 0.96 \text{ in.}^2 < A_v = 1.46 \text{ in.}^2 \text{ from before}
\]
Hence, use $A_s = 1.46$ in.$^2$ (9.1 cm$^2$). Then three #7 bars = 1.80 in.$^2$, which is satisfactory. From Equation 10.4b,

$$A_s = \frac{N_x}{\phi f_y} = \frac{22,000}{0.75 \times 60,000} = 0.49 \text{ in.}^2 (3.0 \text{ cm}^2)$$

From Equation 10.13, the horizontal shear reinforcement across the depth of the beam is

$$A_s = 0.5 \left( A_e - A_s \right) = 0.5(1.29 - 0.43) = 0.43 \text{ in.}^2 (2.77 \text{ cm}^2)$$

So try two #3 U bars = $2(2 \times 0.11) = 0.44$ in.$^2$ (2.84 cm$^2$), which will be verified subsequently. As a check, the nominal shear strength, from Equation 10.16a, is

$$\text{Available } V_s = 800bd = 800 \times 16 \times 16 = 204,800 \text{ lb}$$

$$\text{Required } V_s = \frac{V_u}{\phi} = \frac{110,000}{0.75} = 146,667 \text{ lb} < 204,800 \text{ lb, O.K.}$$

**Diagonal Tension Vertical Reinforcement at Reentrant Corner.** From Equation 10.17,

$$A_{sh} = \frac{V_u}{\phi f_y} = \frac{110,000}{0.75 \times 60,000} = 2.45 \text{ in.}^2 (15.3 \text{ cm}^2)$$

So try #4 closed ties, $A_t = 2 \times 0.20 = 0.40$ in.$^2$. The number of ties = 2.16/0.4 = 5.4; hence, use six #4 ties, concentrated close to the reentrant corner.

**Diagonal Tension Reinforcement $A_s$ in the Dapped End.** From Equation 10.19,

$$A_s = \frac{1}{2f_y} \left( \frac{V_s}{\phi} - 2\lambda bd \sqrt{f_y'} \right)$$

The nominal shear strength of the plain concrete is

$$2\lambda bd \sqrt{f_y'} = 2 \times 1.0 \times 16 \times 16 \sqrt{5,000} = 36,204 \text{ lb}$$

Then

$$A_s = \frac{1}{2 \times 60,000} \left( \frac{110,000}{0.75} - 36,204 \right) = 0.92 \text{ in.}^2$$

Try four #4 U stirrups = $4(2 \times 0.20) = 1.60$ in.$^2$. From before, $A_s = 0.44$ in.$^2$. So the total nominal shear strength of the section, from Equation 10.18, is

$$\text{Available } V_s = A_s f_y + A_t f_y + 2\lambda bd \sqrt{f_y'}$$

$$= (1.60 \times 60,000) + 0.44 \times 60,000 + 36,034$$

$$= 158,434 \text{ lb} > \frac{V_u}{\phi} = 146,667 \text{ lb, O.K.}$$

**Check Development Length Requirements for Anchorage.** The reinforcement $A_s$ is three #7 bars. From Table 10.3 for #7 bars, $f'_s = 5,000$ psi and $l_d = 42$ in. Also, the undapped beam depth = 2 ft, 4 in. = 28 in., and the total development length = $28 - d + l_d = 28 - 16 + 42$ = 54 in. Since the minimum $l_d = 42$ in., use 54 in. = 4 ft, 6 in. (108 cm).

The reinforcement $A_s$ is #4 U bars. So from Table 10.3, $1.7l_d = 32$ in. (81 cm) beyond the beam dap. Figure 10.5 gives the reinforcement details for the dapped beam connection.
Figure 10.5  Reinforcing details for dapped-end beam connection in Example 10.2.

Figure 10.6  Typical corbel reinforcing details (see also Example 5.7.).
10.6 REINFORCED CONCRETE BRACKETS AND CORBELS

Corbels are short cantilevers whose shear span-to-depth ratio $a/d$ does not exceed a value of 1.0. They are subjected to a direct shear $V_s$ and a horizontal tension $N_h$. Section 5.14 in Chapter 5, the design flowchart in Sec. 5.14.4 and the detailed design example 5.7 give a comprehensive discussion and application of the shear-friction theory in the design of corbels. Working out the details of reinforcement of the connection is of major significance in the success of the design of a corbel as regards its ability to resist applied loads. Typical corbel reinforcing details are shown in Figure 10.6.

10.7 CONCRETE BEAM LEDGES

Beam ledges are used to support transverse precast prestressed beam-end concentrated loads in a manner similar to the way corbels operate. Direct shear acting on the ledge can cause vertical cracks as shown in Figure 10.7. If the load is noncontinuous and comes from one side, the ledge beam in L-shaped form acts like a spandrel beam and is subjected to torsional moment in addition to direct shear. The design of the ledge beam itself follows the procedures and examples in Chapter 5. The discussion presented here covers the design of the shear reinforcement for the cantilevering ledge, which often has a shear span-to-depth ratio $l/d$ of $\frac{1}{4}$ or less.

The nominal shear strength of the ledge at the reentrant corner can be determined by the lesser of the two values obtained from the following expressions under the given conditions:

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 10.7** Connection design for beam ledges. (a) Ledge beam cross section. (b) Plan and elevation.
1. $s > b + h$

\[ V_n = 3h\lambda \sqrt{f'_c} (2l_p + b + h) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10.20a)

\[ V_n = h\lambda \sqrt{f'_c} (2l_p + b + h + 2d_e) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10.20b)

2. $s < b + h$, and equal concentrated loads

\[ V_n = 1.5h\lambda \sqrt{f'_c} (2l_p + b + h + s) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10.21a)

\[ V_n = h\lambda \sqrt{f'_c} \left( l_p + \frac{b + h}{2} + d_e + s \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10.21b)

where 
- $l_p$ = ledge projection, in.
- $b$ = width of bearing area, in.
- $h$ = depth of beam ledge, in.
- $s$ = spacing of concentrated loads, in.
- $d_e$ = distance from center of load to end of beam, in.

If the ledge supports a continuous load or closely spaced concentrated loads, the nominal shear strength of the ledge section has to be evaluated from

\[ V_n = 24h\lambda \sqrt{f'_c} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10.22)

where $V_n$ is in lb per ft of length. The design strength $V_n$ has to be at least equal to the factored force $V_n = \phi V_n$ for $\phi = 0.85$. If the applied factored load $V_n$ exceeds the design strength as determined from Equation 10.20, 10.21, or 10.22, special reinforcement has to be provided in a design similar to the reinforcement required in a dapped beam end as discussed in Section 10.5. In such a case, the flexural reinforcement $A_{fl}$ is determined from Equation 10.12, the vertical diagonal tension "hanger" reinforcement $A_{sh}$ from Equation

![Photo 10.5 Hoisting double-T prestressed roof element at the Civil Engineering Laboratory, Rutgers University.](image-url)
10.17, and the longitudinal reinforcement $A_l$ placed at the top and bottom fibers of the ledge from

$$A_l = \frac{200l_p d}{f_y} \tag{10.23}$$

where $A_l$ is the area of the longitudinal reinforcement in the ledge. The reinforcement $A_{sh}$ can be uniformly spaced over a width $6h$ on either side of the bearing, but not to exceed half the distance to the next load. The bar spacing should not exceed the ledge depth $h$ or 18 in., and the $A_{sh}$ designed for the ledge need not be additive to the shear and torsional reinforcement of the total ledge beam.

### 10.7.1 Design of Ledge Beam Connection

**Example 10.3**

A garage floor structure is composed of 10-ft-wide double-T's supported at the exterior end by standard L-beam sections. The layout of the double-T's is such that a stem can be placed at any point on the ledge. The vertical factored end shear $V_e = 24,000$ lb (107 kN) per stem, and the horizontal tensile force $N_x = 5,000$ lb (22.4 kN) per stem. Compute the nominal shear strength of the ledge and design the reinforcement if necessary, given that

- $b = 4$ in.
- $h = 12$ in.
- $d = 10.5$ in.
- $l_p = 6$ in. (15 cm)
- $s = 48$ in. (122 cm)
- $f'_c = 5,000$ psi (34.5 MPa), normal weight
- $f_y = 60,000$ psi (414 MPa)

---

**Photo 10.6** Mariners Island Office Building, San Mateo, California (Courtesy, Robert Englekirk Consulting Structural Engineers and W2MH Group Architects, Los Angeles, California. Photo by Dixie Carillo.)
Solution:

\[ V_u = 24,000 \text{ lb} \]
\[ N_u = 5,000 \text{ lb} \]
\[ s = 48 \text{ in.} \]
\[ b + h = 4 + 12 = 16 \text{ in.} \]
\[ \text{Min. } d_x = \frac{1}{2} b = 2 \text{ in.} \]
\[ 2l_p + b + h = 2 \times 6 + 4 + 12 = 28 \text{ in.} \]

Since \( s > b + h \), and \( d_x < 2l_p + b + h \), Equation 10.20b applies, and the available \( V_u = h \lambda \sqrt{f_c' (2l_p + b + h + 2d_x)} = 12 \times 1.0 \sqrt{5,000(2 \times 6 + 4 + 12 + 2 \times 2)} = 27,153 \text{ lb (120.8 kN)}}. So the design \( V_u = \phi V_u = 0.75 \times 27,153 = 20,365 \text{ lb} < \text{Factored } V_u = 24,000 \text{ lb}, and we have to use dapped section reinforcement design.

**Flexural Reinforcement** \( A_f \) The shear span \( a = 3l/4 + 1.5 = 3 \times 6/4 + 1.5 = 6 \text{ in.} \) (15 cm). Since \( N_u/V_u = 5,000/24,000 = 0.21 > 20\%\), use \( N_y = 5,000 \text{ lb} \). Then, from Equation 10.12,

\[ A_f = \frac{1}{\phi f_y} \left[ V_u \left( \frac{a}{d} \right) + N_y \left( \frac{h}{d} \right) \right] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{0.85 \times 60,000} \left[ 24,000 \times \frac{6}{10.5} + 5,000 \times \frac{12}{10.5} \right] = 0.38 \text{ in}^2 \text{ (2.45 cm}^2\text{)} \]

Since \( 6h = 6 \times 12 > s/2 = 24 \text{ in.} \), distribute the reinforcement \( s/2 = 24 \text{ in.} \) on each side of the load.

The width of the band for placement of flexural reinforcement \( A_f = 2 \times 24 = 48 \text{ in.} \), and the maximum bar spacing \( = h = 12 \text{ in.} \). So use four #3 bars in each 48-in. band width = 0.44 in.\(^2\) > required 0.38 in.\(^2\). Accordingly, place two additional bars at the beam end in order to provide equivalent reinforcement for the stem placed near the end.

**Diagonal Tension Vertical Reinforcement** \( A_{th} \) From Equation 10.17,

\[ A_{th} = \frac{V_u}{\phi f_y} = \frac{24,000}{0.75 \times 60,000} = 0.53 \text{ in}^2 \text{ (3.42 cm}^2\text{)} \]

![Figure 10.8](image)

**Figure 10.8** Ledge connection reinforcement in Example 10.3.
over a 48-in.-width band. Thus, \( A_{n}/\text{ft} = 0.47/4 = 0.12 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft} \), or \#3 bars @ 11 in. Consequently, use five \#3 bars in each 48-in. band width = 0.55 in.\(^2 \) > required 0.53 in.\(^2 \). Then, for practical considerations, use the same number and spacing for both the \( A_t \) and \( A_{n} \) steel, namely, five \#3 closed hoops. Note that only one leg of the hanger steel hoop \( A_{n} \) is accounted for in the selection of the five \#3 bars in order to provide for the required concentration of the steel near the reentrant corner.

**Longitudinal Reinforcement** \( A_t \) From Equation 10.23,

\[
A_t = \frac{200d}{f_p} = \frac{200 \times 6 \times 10.5}{60,000} = 0.21 \text{ in.}^2
\]

For practical field considerations, use \#4 bars, one on each corner of the ledge, giving four \#4 bars = 0.80 in.\(^2 \) (12.7 mm dia) > 0.21 in.\(^2 \), O.K.

The complete design of the ledge beam, of course, would require shear and torsional analysis of the total section to resist the total shear transmitted by all the double-T supported stems and the torsional moment caused by the application of the eccentric load from the supported stems. The designed ledge reinforcement area discussed in this example is in addition to the shear and torsional reinforcement required for the total beam.

Figure 10.8 gives the details of the ledge connection reinforcement, but does not include the shear and torsional reinforcement that has to be designed for the entire L-beam.

### 10.8 SELECTED CONNECTION DETAILS

As discussed in Section 10.1, connections are the major links in the overall structural system whose performance determines whether the structure will be safe and stable. Consequently, the design engineer has to be particularly cautious in the design and selection of the appropriate section for reasons of both safety and economy. Details of the design of numerous types of connections are given in Refs. 10.1 and 10.2, and Figures 10.9 through 10.16 show typical details of selected connections from these two references. The diagrams illustrate the application of the theories presented in this chapter.
Figure 10.9 Construction method and typical joint details in Gulf Life Prestressed Building, Jacksonville, Florida.
Photo 10.7 Installation of prestressed double-T elements at the rod-suspended support, Civil Engineering Laboratory, Rutgers University.

**Double T left flange**

**Double T right flange**

2 #4 or #5 bars

Figure 10.10 Connection of double-T flanges if no composite-action concrete topping is used.

Figure 10.11 Typical precast floor-to-wall connections.
Figure 10.12 Typical double-T connections.
Figure 10.13  Connections through horizontal joints. (a) Weld plates. (b) Grouted splice sleeve. (c) Plate-bolt connections. (d) Post-tensioned connection.
Figure 10.14  Moment connections.
Figure 10.15  Floor-to-bearing wall connections.
Figure 10.16  Column base connections. (a) Base plate larger than column. (b) Flush base plate.
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PROBLEMS

10.1 Design the end reinforcement required to resist the bearing stresses caused by an end vertical shear $V_e = 125,000$ lb (556 kN) and a horizontal tensile force $N_e = 24,000$ lb (107 kN) in a PCI 16R128 rectangular beam supported at its ends on 5 in. x 5 in. pads. Take $f' = 5,000$ psi (34.47 MPa), normal weight, and $f_y = 60,000$ psi (413.7 MPa).

10.2 If the beam in Problem 10.1 is dapped at its ends and rests on concrete corbels, design the flexure and direct shear reinforcement for the dapped ends in order to prevent potential shear cracking and failure.

10.3 Solve Example 10.3 for factored loads $V_a = 29,000$ lb (128 kN) and factored $N_a = 2,500$ lb (11.1 kN).
11.1 INTRODUCTION

Prestressed concrete circular tanks are usually the best combination of structural form and material for the storage of liquids and solids. Their performance over the past half-century indicates that, when designed with reasonable skill and care, they can function for 50 years or more without significant maintenance problems.

The first effort to introduce circumferential prestressing into circular structures was that of W. S. Hewett, who applied the tie rod and turnbuckle principle in the early 1920s (Ref. 11.6). But the reinforcing steel available at that time had very low yield strength, limiting the applied tension to not more than 30,000 to 35,000 psi (206.9 to 241.3 MPa). Indeed, significant long-term losses due to concrete creep, shrinkage, and steel relaxation almost neutralized the prestressing force. As higher strength steel wires became available, J. M. Crom, Sr., in the 1940s, successfully developed the principle of winding high-tensile wires around the circular walls of prestressed tanks. Since that time, over 3,000 circular storage structures have been built of various dimensions up to diameters in excess of 300 feet (92 m).

Two 583,000-bbl (92,500-m³) double-wall prestressed concrete tanks for liquefied natural gas storage, Philadelphia. (Courtesy, N.A. Legatos, Preload Technology, Inc., New York.)
The major advantage in performance and economy of using circular prestressing in concrete tanks over regular reinforcement is the requirement that no cracking be allowed. The circumferential “hugging” hoop stress in compression provided by external winding of the prestressing wires around the tank shell is the natural technique for eliminating cracking in the exterior walls due to the internal liquid, solid, or gaseous loads that the tank holds. Other techniques of circumferential prestressing using individual tendons which are anchored to buttresses have been more widely used in Europe than in North America for reasons of local economy and technological status.

Containment vessels utilizing circumferential prestressing, which can be either situ-cast or precast in segments, include water storage tanks, wastewater tanks and effluent clarifiers, silos, chemical and oil storage tanks, offshore oil platform structures, cryogenic vessels, and nuclear reactor pressure vessels. All these structures are considered thin shells because of the exceedingly small ratio of the container thickness to its diameter. Because no cracking at working-load levels is permitted, the shells are expected to behave elastically under working-load and overload conditions.

11.2 DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES

11.2.1 Internal Loads

Considering the behavior of circular tanks involves examining both the interior pressure due to the material contained therein acting on a thin-walled cylindrical shell cross section and the exterior radial and sometimes vertical prestressing forces balancing the interior forces. The interior pressure is horizontally radial, but varies vertically depending on the type of material contained in the tank. If the material is water or a similar liquid, the vertical pressure distribution against the tank walls is triangular, with maximum intensity at the base of the wall. Other liquids which are accompanied by gas would give a constant horizontal pressure throughout the height of the wall. The vertical pressure distribution in tanks used for storage of granular material such as grain or coal would be essentially similar to the gas pressure distribution, with a constant value along most of the depth of the material contained. Figure 11.1 shows the pressure distributions for these three cases of loading.

The basic elastic theory of cylindrical shells applies to the analysis and design of the walls of prestressed tanks. A ring force causes ring tension in the thin cylindrical walls, assumed unrestrained at the ends at each horizontal section. The magnitude of the force is proportional to the internally applied pressure, and no vertical moment is produced along the height of the walls. If the wall ends are restrained, the magnitude of the ring force changes and a bending moment is induced in the vertical section of the tank wall. The magnitudes of the ring forces and vertical moments are thus a function of the degree of restraint of the cylindrical shell at its boundaries and are computed from the elastic shell theory and its simplifications and idealizations to be discussed subsequently.

Liquid Load and Freely Sliding Base. From basic mechanics, the ring force is

$$ F = \frac{pd}{2} = pr $$

and the ring stress is

$$ f_r = \frac{pd}{2t} = \frac{pr}{t} $$

where $d =$ diameter of cylinder $r =$ radius of cylinder
Figure 11.1  Tank internal pressure diagrams. (a) Tank cross section, showing radial shear $Q_o$ and restraining moment $M_o$ at base for fixed-base walls. (b) Liquid pressure, triangular load. (c) Gaseous pressure, rectangular load. (d) Granular pressure, trapezoidal load.

- $t =$ thickness of wall core
- $p =$ unit internal pressure at wall base $= \gamma H$
- $\gamma =$ unit weight of material contained in vessel.

The tensile ring stress at any point below the surface of the material contained in the vessel becomes

$$f_r = \gamma (H - y) \frac{d}{2t} = \gamma (H - y) \frac{r}{t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.2a)

where $H$ is the height of the liquid contained and $y$ is the distance above the base. The corresponding ring force is

$$F = \gamma (H - y) r$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.2b)

The maximum tensile ring stress at the base of the freely sliding tank wall for $y = 0$ becomes, as in Equation 11.1b,

$$f_{r,(\text{max})} = \frac{\gamma H d}{2t} = \frac{\gamma hr}{t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.2c)

**Gaseous Load on Freely Sliding Base.** Again from basic principles of mechanics, the constant tensile ring stress is

$$f_r = \frac{pd}{2t} = \frac{pr}{t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.3)

Note that while theoretically the centerline diameter dimension is more accurate to use, the ratio $t/d$ is so small that the use of the internal diameter $d$ is appropriate.

**Liquid and Gaseous Load on a Restrained Wall Base.** If the base of the wall is fixed or pinned, the ring tension at the base vanishes. Because of the restraint imposed
on the base, the simple membrane theory of shells is then no longer applicable, due to the imposed deformations of the restraining force at the wall base. Instead, bending modifications to the membrane stresses become necessary (see Refs. 11.2 and 11.6), and the deviation of the ring tension at intermediate planes along the wall height must be approximated as in Ref. 11.2 and the discussion in Sec. 11.3.

If the vertical bending moment in the horizontal plane of the wall at any height is $M_y$, the flexural stress in compression or tension in the concrete becomes

$$f_c = f_e = \frac{M_y}{S} = \frac{6M_y}{I} \text{ per unit height} \quad (11.4)$$

The distribution of the flexural stress across the thickness of the tank wall is shown in Figure 11.2.

**Figure 11.2** Ring tension and flexural stresses. (a) Ring tension internal force $F$ in the horizontal section. (b) Flexural stress due to bending moment $M$ in the wall thickness of the vertical section.
11.2.2 Restraining Moment $M_0$ and Radial Shear Force $Q_0$

at Freely Sliding Wall Base Due to Liquid Pressure

**11.2.2.1 Membrane Theory.** The study of forces and stresses in a circular uncracked tank wall is an elasticity problem in cylindrical shell analysis. If the shell is free to deform under the influence of the internal liquid pressure, the basic membrane equations of equilibrium apply. The longitudinal unit force $N_\theta$, the “hugging” circumferential unit force $N_r$, and the central unit shears $N_{ry}$ and $N_{rz}$ are shown in the differential element of Figure 11.3(b). Note that these four unknowns all act in the plane of the shell.

The basic three equations of equilibrium for these four unknown unit forces are

$$\frac{\partial N_\theta}{\partial \theta} + r \frac{\partial N_{ry}}{\partial y} + p \rho r = 0$$

(11.5a)

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 11.3 Membrane forces in cylindrical tank.** (a) Tank shell geometry. (b) Shell membrane forces. (c) Liquid-filled tank elevation. (d) Axysymmetrical internal pressure at any horizontal plane.
where $\partial N_{y\theta} = \partial N_{y\phi}$ due to loading symmetry. The unknowns are thus reduced to three, representing a statically determinate structure subjected to direct forces only.

For axisymmetrical loading as in Figure 11.3(c), $p_\theta = p_y = 0$ and $p_z = p \cdot f(y)$, independent of $\theta$. Hence,

$$p_z = -\gamma(H - y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.6)

and the solution to Equation 11.5 is

$$N_{y\theta} = N_y = 0$$

and

$$N_\theta = \gamma(H - y)r$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.7)

11.2.2.2 Bending Theory. The introduction of restraint at the boundary of the vessel induces radial ring horizontal shear and vertical moments in the shell. Consequently, the membrane force equations presented in the previous section have to be modified by superimposing these additional moments and shears. The modified expressions are de-
noted the \textit{bending theory of circular shells}; the theory accounts for strain compatibility requirements in the induced deformations caused by the induced shears and moments.

The bending moments and central shears in the axisymmetrically loaded cylindrical shell are shown by force and moment vectors in Figure 11.4. The infinitesimal element $ABCD$ shows the points of application and sense of the unit moments $M_r$ about the $x$-axis and $M_\theta$ about the $y$-axis, the circumferential unit moments $M_{r\theta}$ and $M_{\theta y}$, the unit normal shear $Q_\theta$ acting in the plane of the vertical shell generator and perpendicularly to the shell axis, and the unit radial shear $Q_y$ acting through the shell radius in the plane of the shell parallels.

Superposition of the moments and shears in Figure 11.4 on the forces in Figure 11.3(b) results in the following equilibrium equations:

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial N_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial N_{y\theta}}{\partial y} - Q_\theta + p_\theta r &= 0 \tag{11.8a} \\
\frac{\partial N_y}{\partial y} r + \frac{\partial N_{y\theta}}{\partial \theta} + p_y r &= 0 \tag{11.8b} \\
\frac{\partial Q_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial y} r + N_\theta + p_z r &= 0 \tag{11.8c} \\
\frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} r + \frac{\partial M_{y\theta}}{\partial y} + Q_y r &= 0 \tag{11.8d} \\
\frac{\partial M_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial M_{\theta y}}{\partial y} r - Q_\theta r &= 0 \tag{11.8e}
\end{align}

Due to symmetry of loading, $N_{y\theta} = N_{\theta y} = M_{y\theta} = M_{\theta y} = 0$, and $dQ_\theta$ can be disregarded, reducing the partial differential equations 11.8 to the set of the ordinary differential equations

\begin{align}
\frac{dN_y}{dy} r + p_y r &= 0 \tag{11.9a}
\end{align}
\[
\frac{dQ_y}{dy} r + N_y + p_z r = 0 \quad (11.9b)
\]
\[
\frac{dM_y}{dy} r + Q_y r = 0 \quad (11.9c)
\]

With the central membrane forces \(N_y\) constant and taken to be zero (see Refs. 11.1 and 11.3), the remaining equations 11.9b and 11.9c can be written in the following simplified form having the three unknowns \(N_y\), \(Q_y\), and \(M_y\):

\[
\frac{dQ_y}{dy} + \frac{1}{r} N_y = -p_z \quad (11.10a)
\]
\[
\frac{dM_y}{dy} - Q_y = 0 \quad (11.10b)
\]

In order to solve these equations, displacements have to be considered and equations of geometry developed.

**Force Equations.** If \(v\) and \(w\) are the displacements in the \(y\) and \(z\) directions, then the unit strains in these directions are, respectively,

\[
\epsilon_y = \frac{dv}{dy}
\]
and

\[
\epsilon_\theta = -\frac{w}{r}
\]

which give

\[
N_y = \frac{Et}{1 - \mu^2} (\epsilon_y + \mu \epsilon_\theta) = \frac{Et}{1 - \mu^2} \left(\frac{dv}{dy} - \mu \frac{w}{r}\right) = 0 \quad (11.11a)
\]

---

Photo 11.3 250,000-bbl (39,750-m³) prestressed concrete propane gas storage container, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. *(Courtesy, N.A. Legatos, Preload Technology, Inc., New York.)*
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and

\[ N_b = \frac{E t}{1 - \mu^2} (\varepsilon_b + \mu \varepsilon_t) = \frac{E t}{1 - \mu^2} \left( \frac{w}{r} + \mu \frac{dv}{dy} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.11b)

where \( \mu = \) Poisson's ratio.

t = thickness of the wall core.

From Equation 11.11a,

\[ \frac{dv}{dy} = \mu \frac{w}{r} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.12a)

From Equation 11.11b,

\[ N_b = -E t \frac{w}{r} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.12b)

**Moment Equations.** Due to symmetry, there is no change in curvature in the circumferential direction; hence, the curvature in the \( y \) direction has to be equal to \(-d^2 w/dy^2\). Using the same moment expressions for thin elastic plates results in

\[ M_b = \mu M_y \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.13a)

\[ M_y = -D \frac{d^2 w}{dy^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.13b)

where \( D = E t^3/12(1 - \mu^2) \) is the shell or plate flexural rigidity.

Introducing Equations 11.12 and 11.13 into Equations 11.10 results in

\[ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left( D \frac{d^2 w}{dy^2} \right) + \frac{E t}{r^2} \frac{w}{r} = p_z \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.14)

If the wall thickness \( t \) is constant, Equation 11.14 becomes

\[ D \frac{d^4 w}{dy^4} + \frac{E t}{r^2} w = p_z \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.15)

Letting

\[ \beta^2 = \frac{E t}{4r^2 D} = \frac{3(1 - \mu^2)}{(rt)^2} \]

Equation 11.15 becomes

\[ \frac{d^4 w}{dy^4} + 4\beta^4 w = \frac{p_z}{D} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.16)

Equation 11.16 is the same as is obtained for a prismatic bar with flexural rigidity \( D \) supported by a continuous elastic foundation and subject to the action of a unit load intensity \( p_z \). The general solution to this equation (Ref. 11.1) for the radial displacement in the \( z \)-direction is

\[ w = e^{\beta y} (C_1 \cos \beta y + C_2 \sin \beta y) \]

\[ + e^{-\beta y}(C_3 \cos \beta y + C_4 \sin \beta y) + f(y) \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.17)

where \( f(y) \) is the particular solution of Equation 11.16 as a membrane solution giving displacement.
\[ w = \frac{p_z r^2}{E t} \]

### 11.2.3 General Equations of Forces and Displacements

Solving Equation 11.17 and introducing the notation

\[
\Phi(\beta y) = e^{-\beta y}(\cos \beta y + \sin \beta y) \\
\Psi(\beta y) = e^{-\beta y}(\cos \beta y - \sin \beta y) \\
\theta(\beta y) = e^{-\beta y} \cos \beta y \\
\zeta(\beta y) = e^{-\beta y} \sin \beta y
\]

the expression for radial deformation in the z direction and its consecutive derivatives at any height \( y \) above the wall base can be evaluated from the following simplified expressions as a function of the wall base unit moments \( M_0 \) and unit radial shears \( Q_0 \):

\[
\text{Deflection } w = -\frac{1}{2\beta^3 D} [\beta M_0 \Phi(\beta y) + Q_0 \theta(\beta y)] \tag{11.18a}
\]

\[
\text{Rotation } \frac{dw}{dy} = \frac{1}{2\beta^2 D} [2\beta M_0 \theta(\beta y) + Q_0 \Phi(\beta y)] \tag{11.18b}
\]

\[
\frac{d^2w}{dy^2} = -\frac{1}{2\beta D} [2\beta M_0 \Phi(\beta y) + 2Q_0 \zeta(\beta y)] \tag{11.18c}
\]

\[
\frac{d^3w}{dy^3} = \frac{1}{D} [2\beta M_0 \zeta(\beta y) - Q_0 \psi(\beta y)] \tag{11.18d}
\]

The shell functions \( \Phi(\beta y), \psi(\beta y), \theta(\beta y), \) and \( \zeta(\beta y) \) are given in the standard influence coefficients of Table 11.1 (Ref. 11.1), for a range \( 0 \leq \beta y \leq 3.9 \).

The maximum radial displacement or deflection at the restrained wall base, from Equation 11.18a, is

\[
(w)_{y=0} = -\frac{1}{2\beta^3 D} (\beta M_0 + Q_0) \tag{11.19a}
\]

and the maximum rotation of the wall at the base, from Equation 11.18b, becomes

\[
\left( \frac{dw}{dy} \right)_{y=0} = \frac{1}{2\beta^2 D} (2\beta M_0 + Q_0) \tag{11.19b}
\]

where \( M_0 \) and \( Q_0 \) are respectively the restraining moment and the ring shear at the base shown in Figure 11.1.

For tanks with constant wall thickness, the unit forces along the wall height are as follows:

\[
N_y = -\frac{Eiw}{r} \tag{11.20a}
\]

\[
Q_y = -D \frac{d^2w}{dy^2} \tag{11.20b}
\]

\[
M_y = \mu M_y \tag{11.20c}
\]

\[
M_y = -D \frac{d^3w}{dy^3} \tag{11.20d}
\]
### Table 11.1 Table of Functions $\Phi$, $\psi$, $\theta$, and $\zeta$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta y$</th>
<th>$\Phi$</th>
<th>$\psi$</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>$\zeta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9907</td>
<td>0.8100</td>
<td>0.9003</td>
<td>0.0903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9651</td>
<td>0.6398</td>
<td>0.8024</td>
<td>0.1627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9267</td>
<td>0.4888</td>
<td>0.7077</td>
<td>0.2189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8784</td>
<td>0.3564</td>
<td>0.6174</td>
<td>0.2610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8231</td>
<td>0.2415</td>
<td>0.5323</td>
<td>0.2908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7628</td>
<td>0.1431</td>
<td>0.4530</td>
<td>0.3099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6997</td>
<td>0.0599</td>
<td>0.3798</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6354</td>
<td>-0.0093</td>
<td>0.3131</td>
<td>0.3223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5712</td>
<td>-0.0657</td>
<td>0.2527</td>
<td>0.3185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5083</td>
<td>-0.1108</td>
<td>0.1988</td>
<td>0.3096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4476</td>
<td>-0.1457</td>
<td>0.1510</td>
<td>0.2967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3899</td>
<td>-0.1716</td>
<td>0.1091</td>
<td>0.2807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.3355</td>
<td>-0.1897</td>
<td>0.0729</td>
<td>0.2626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2849</td>
<td>-0.2011</td>
<td>0.0419</td>
<td>0.2430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2384</td>
<td>-0.2068</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>0.2226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.1959</td>
<td>-0.2077</td>
<td>-0.0059</td>
<td>0.2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.1576</td>
<td>-0.2047</td>
<td>-0.0235</td>
<td>0.1812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1234</td>
<td>-0.1985</td>
<td>-0.0376</td>
<td>0.1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0932</td>
<td>-0.1899</td>
<td>-0.0484</td>
<td>0.1415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0667</td>
<td>-0.1794</td>
<td>-0.0563</td>
<td>0.1230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0439</td>
<td>-0.1675</td>
<td>-0.0618</td>
<td>0.1057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0244</td>
<td>-0.1548</td>
<td>-0.0652</td>
<td>0.0895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>-0.1416</td>
<td>-0.0668</td>
<td>0.0748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>-0.0056</td>
<td>-0.1282</td>
<td>-0.0669</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.0166</td>
<td>-0.1149</td>
<td>-0.0658</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-0.0254</td>
<td>-0.1019</td>
<td>-0.0636</td>
<td>0.0383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-0.0320</td>
<td>-0.0895</td>
<td>-0.0608</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-0.0369</td>
<td>-0.0777</td>
<td>-0.0573</td>
<td>0.0204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-0.0403</td>
<td>-0.0666</td>
<td>-0.0534</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-0.0423</td>
<td>-0.0563</td>
<td>-0.0493</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-0.0431</td>
<td>-0.0469</td>
<td>-0.0450</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-0.0431</td>
<td>-0.0383</td>
<td>-0.0407</td>
<td>-0.0024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-0.0422</td>
<td>-0.0306</td>
<td>-0.0364</td>
<td>-0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-0.0408</td>
<td>-0.0237</td>
<td>-0.0323</td>
<td>-0.0085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-0.0389</td>
<td>-0.0177</td>
<td>-0.0283</td>
<td>-0.0106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-0.0366</td>
<td>-0.0124</td>
<td>-0.0245</td>
<td>-0.0121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-0.0341</td>
<td>-0.0079</td>
<td>-0.0210</td>
<td>-0.0131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-0.0314</td>
<td>-0.0040</td>
<td>-0.0177</td>
<td>-0.0137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-0.0286</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0147</td>
<td>-0.0140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Equations 11.18c, 11.18d, 11.20b, and 11.20d, the expressions for vertical moments and horizontal radial shears at the base of the wall, where \( y \) is zero, become (Ref. 11.1)

\[
(M_y)_{y=0} = M_0 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta H}\right) \frac{\gamma H r t}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}}
\] (11.21a)

\[
(Q_y)_{y=0} = Q_0 = -(2\beta H - 1) \frac{\gamma r t}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}}
\] (11.21b)

The expression for the vertical moment at any level \( y \) above the wall base can be obtained from

\[
M_y = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left[ \beta M_0 \Phi(\beta y) + Q_0 \theta(\beta y) \right]
\] (11.22)

The offset ring shear force \( \Delta Q_y \) corresponds to a radial displacement \( w_r \) of the wall at a height \( y \) above the base when the tank is empty and the values of \( Q_0 \) and \( M_0 \) due to a full liquid or full gas load are induced, as shown in Figure 11.5. This force can be expressed as either

\[
\Delta Q_y = + \frac{Et}{r} (w_r)
\]

or

\[
\Delta Q_y = \frac{Et}{2r^3D} \left[ \beta M_0 \psi(\beta y) + Q_0 \theta(\beta y) \right]
\]

or

\[
\Delta Q_y = + \frac{6(1 - \mu^2)}{\beta^3 r t^2} \left[ \beta M_0 \psi(\beta y) + Q_0 \theta(\beta y) \right]
\] (11.23)

The ring shear \( Q_y \) at a plane \( y \) above the base would be equal to the difference between the ring force for a freely sliding base and \( \Delta Q_y \):

\[
Q_y = F - \Delta Q_y
\] (11.24)

It is important to be consistent in the sign convention used throughout a solution. The easiest approach is to draw the deflected shape of the wall and use a positive (+) notation for the following conditions:

1. Moment causing tension on the outside extreme fibers.
2. Ring tension radial forces.
3. Thrust inwards toward the vertical axis. Here, the same sense is used as for ring tension forces in order to draw the diagram for the balancing prestressing forces on the same side as the ring tension forces for comparison.
4. Lateral wall movement inwards toward the vertical axis.
5. Anticlockwise rotation.

**Pinned Wall Base, Liquid Pressure.** When the wall base is pinned and carrying a liquid load moment \( M_0 = 0 \) at the base,

\[
Q_0 = + \frac{2\beta^3 \gamma H r t^2}{12(1 - \mu^2)}
\]
Figure 11.5 Wall base restraint in empty tank inducing $M_v$ and $Q_v$ for full liquid or gas pressure. (a) Deformed walls of empty tank. (b) Moment along vertical section (+ represents tension on outside). (c) Ring tension force $F$ in horizontal section (always positive). (d) Offset $\Delta Q_v$ for liquid pressure. (e) Offset $\Delta Q_y$ for gas pressure.

or

$$Q_v = + \frac{\gamma H}{[12(1 - \mu^2)]^{3/4}} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.25)$$

The value of the shell constants $\beta$, $\beta^2$, and $\beta^4$ for use in the preceding equations can easily be computed from the expression for $\beta^4$ as follows:

$$\beta^4 = \frac{Et}{4r^2D} = \frac{3(1 - \mu^2)}{(rt)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.26a)

$$\beta^3 = \frac{[3(1 - \mu^2)]^{3/4}}{(rt)^{3/2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.26b)

$$\beta^2 = \frac{[3(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/2}}{(rt)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.26c)
\[ \beta = \frac{\left[3(1 - \mu^2)\right]^{1/4}}{(rt)^{1/2}} \]  

(11.26d)

11.2.4 Ring Shear \( Q_0 \) and Moment \( M_0 \) Gas Containment

If the edges of the shell are free at the wall base, the internal pressure produces only hoop stress \( f_r = pr/t \) and the radius of the cylinder increases by the amount

\[ w = \frac{rf_r}{E} = \frac{pr^2}{Et} \]  

(11.27)

Also, for full restraint at the wall base,

\[ (w)_{r=0} = \frac{1}{2\beta^2 D}(\beta M_0 + Q_0) \]  

(11.28a)

and

\[ \left(\frac{dw}{dy}\right)_{y=0} = \frac{1}{2\beta^2 D}(2\beta M_0 + Q_0) = 0 \]  

(11.28b)

Solving for \( M_0 \) and \( Q_0 \) gives

\[ M_0 = -2\beta^2 Dw = -\frac{p}{2\beta^2} = -\frac{prt}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}} \]  

(11.29a)

and

\[ Q_0 = +4B^3 Dw = \frac{p}{\beta} + \frac{p(2rt)^{1/2}}{[12(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4}} \]  

(11.29b)
Table 11.2 Equations for Liquid-Retaining Tanks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexural rigidity, $D$</td>
<td>$E t^2 /[12(1 - \mu^2)]$</td>
<td>11.2 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring stress, $f_R$</td>
<td>$\gamma (H - Y) \gamma t$</td>
<td>11.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring force, $F$</td>
<td>$\gamma (H - y) r$</td>
<td>11.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure, $P_z$</td>
<td>$\gamma (H - y)$</td>
<td>11.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial deflection, $w$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2B^2 D} [\beta M_0 \psi (\beta y) + Q_0 \theta (\beta y)]$</td>
<td>11.18 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation $\frac{dw}{dy}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2B^3 D} [2\beta M_0 \theta (\beta y) + Q_0 \phi (\beta y)]$</td>
<td>11.18 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum deflection, $(w)_{y=0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2B^3 D} (\beta M_0 + Q_0)$</td>
<td>11.19 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum rotation $\frac{\left(\frac{dw}{dy}\right)_{y=0}}{\gamma t}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2B^3 D} (2\beta M_0 + Q_0) = 0$</td>
<td>11.19 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_0 = (M_y)_{y=0}$</td>
<td>$- \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta H}\right) \gamma H r t \sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}$</td>
<td>11.21 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0 = (Q_y)_{y=0}$</td>
<td>$\left(2\beta H - 1\right) \gamma t r \sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}$</td>
<td>11.21 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_y$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{\beta} [\beta M_0 \psi (\beta y) + Q_0 \phi (\beta y)]$</td>
<td>11.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty tank offset, $\Delta Q_y$</td>
<td>$\frac{6(1 - \mu)^2}{\beta^3 r^2} - \beta M_0 \psi (\beta y) + (Q_0 \theta (\beta y))$</td>
<td>11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_y$</td>
<td>$+ (F - \Delta Q_y)$</td>
<td>11.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0$ when $M_0 = 0$ (Pinned base)</td>
<td>$\frac{\gamma H \sqrt{r t}}{2} \left[12(1 - \mu^2)\right]^{1/4}$</td>
<td>11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Constants: $B^3$</td>
<td>$\left[3(1 - \mu^2)\right]^{1/4} (r t)^{3/2}$</td>
<td>11.26 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta^2$</td>
<td>$[3(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4} / r t$</td>
<td>11.26 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$[3(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4} (r t)^{3/2}$</td>
<td>11.26 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pinned Wall Base, Gas Pressure.** If the wall base is pinned and carrying a gas load moment $M_0 = 0$ at the base,

$$Q_0 = 2B^3 D \left(\frac{pr^2}{Et}\right)$$

or

$$Q_0 = \frac{p}{[12(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4}} \left(\frac{rt}{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

(11.30)

Table 11.2 presents a summary of the design equations for liquid-retaining tanks, and Table 11.3 gives a similar summary for gas-retaining tanks.

### 11.3 MOMENT $M_0$ AND RING FORCE $Q_0$ IN LIQUID RETAINING TANK

**Example 11.1**

A prestressed concrete circular tank is fully restrained at the wall base. It has an interior diameter $d = 125$ ft (38.1 m) and retains water having height $H = 25$ ft (7.62 m). The wall thick-
11.3 Moment $M_0$ and Ring Force $Q_0$ in Liquid Retaining Tank

### Table 11.3 Equations for Gas-Retaining Tanks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum deflection $(w)_{r=0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2\beta^3 D} (\beta M_0 + Q_0)$</td>
<td>11.28a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum rotation $(\frac{dw}{dy})_{r=0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2\beta^3 D} (2\beta M_0 + Q_0) = 0$</td>
<td>11.28b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_0 = (M_r)_{r=0}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{\rho rt}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}}$</td>
<td>11.29a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0 = (Q_r)_{r=0}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{p\sqrt{2rt}}{[12(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4}}$</td>
<td>11.29b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0$ when $M_0 = 0$ (Pinned base)</td>
<td>$+ \frac{p\sqrt{rt/2}}{[12(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4}}$</td>
<td>11.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values of $\beta$, $\beta^2$, and $\beta^3$ constants as in Table 11.2.

ness $t = 10$ in. (25 cm). Compute (a) the unit vertical moment $M_0$ and the radial ring force $Q_0$ at the base of the wall, and (b) the unit vertical moment $M_0$ at $7\frac{1}{4}$ ft (2.29 m) above the base. Use Poisson’s ratio $\mu = 0.2$ and unit water weight $\gamma = 62.4$ lb/ft$^3$ (1,000 kg/m$^3$).

**Solution:**

(a) *At Wall Base*

\[
r = \frac{1}{2} \times 125 = 62.5 \text{ ft (19 m)}
\]

\[
t = 10 \text{ in.} = 0.83 \text{ ft (25 m)}
\]

From Equation 11.26d,

\[
\beta = \frac{[3(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4}}{(rt)^{1/2}} = \frac{[3(1 - 0.2 \times 0.2)]^{1/4}}{(62.5 \times 0.83)^{1/2}} = 0.181
\]

From Equation 11.21a,

\[
M_0 = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta H}\right) \frac{\gamma H rt}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}}
\]
\[
= -\left(1 - \frac{1}{0.181 \times 25}\right) \times \frac{62.4 \times 25 \times 62.5 \times 0.83}{\sqrt{12(1 - 0.04)}}
\]
\[
= -18,574 \text{ ft-lb/ft (7.68 kN-m/m) of circumference}
\]

From Equation 11.21b,

\[
Q_0 = +(2\beta H - 1) \frac{\gamma rt}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}}
\]
\[
= +(2 \times 0.181 \times 25 - 1) \frac{62.4 \times 25 \times 62.5 \times 0.83}{\sqrt{12(1 - 0.04)}}
\]
\[
= +7,677 \text{ lb/ft (112 kN/m) of circumference}
\]

(b) *7\frac{1}{4}$ ft above Wall Base*

\[
y = 7.5 \text{ ft}
\]

Water height = $(H - y) = 25 - 7.5 = 17.5 \text{ ft (5.33 m)}$
Height ratio \( \beta_y = 0.181 \times 7.5 = 1.36 \)

From Equation 11.22,

\[
M_y = +\frac{1}{\beta} \left[ BM_0 \Phi(\beta_y) + Q_0 \zeta(\beta_y) \right]
\]

From Table 11.1 for \( \beta_y = 1.36 \),
\[
\Phi = 0.311
\]
\[
\zeta = 0.252
\]

\[
M_y = +\frac{1}{0.181} \left[ -0.181 \times 18,574 \times 0.311 + 7,677 \times 0.252 \right]
\]
\[
= +4,912 \text{ ft-lb/ft of circumference}
\]

11.4 RING FORCE \( Q_r \) AT INTERMEDIATE HEIGHTS OF WALL

Example 11.2

Compute the radial ring force \( Q_r \) in Example 11.1 at (a) \( y = 7\frac{1}{2} \) ft (2.29 m) and (b) \( y = 10 \) ft (3.05 m) above the wall base, for freely sliding wall.

Solution: The freely sliding base ring force \( F = \gamma Hr = 62.4 \times 25 \times 62.5 = 97,500 \text{ lb/ft (1,423 kN/m)} \). From Equation 11.23, the ring force offset is

\[
\Delta Q_y = +\frac{6(1 - \mu^2)}{\beta^3 r^2} [BM_0 \Phi(\beta_y) + Q_0 \zeta(\beta_y)]
\]

From Example 11.1, \( \beta = 0.181 \); hence, \( \beta^3 = 0.0059 \),

**a) \( Q_r \) at 7.5 ft above Wall Base**

\( \beta y = 0.181 \times 7.5 = 1.36 \)

From Table 11.1 for \( \beta y = 1.36 \),
\[
\psi = -0.1965
\]
\[
\theta = +0.0543
\]

\[
\Delta Q_y = +\frac{6(1 - 0.04)}{0.0059 \times 62.5(0.83)^2}
\]
\[
\times [0.181(-18,574)(-0.1965) + 7,677(+0.0543)]
\]
\[
= 24,431 \text{ lb/ft (356 kN/m)}
\]

From Equation 11.2b, the ring force \( F = \gamma (H - y) r = 62.4 \times (25 \times 7.5) \times 62.5 = 68,250 \text{ lb/ft} \). So \( Q_{1.5} = F - \Delta Q_y = 68,250 - 24,431 = 43,819 \text{ lb/ft (705 kN/m)} \) of circumference, as shown in Figure 11.6(a): (a) At 7\( \frac{1}{2} \) ft above the base; (b) At 10 ft above the base.

**b) \( Q_r \) at 10.0 ft above Wall Base**

\( \beta y = 0.181 \times 10 = 1.81 \)

From Table 11.1 for \( \beta y = 1.81 \),
\[
\psi = -0.1984
\]
\[
\theta = -0.0387
\]
11.5 Cylindrical Shell Membrane Coefficients

\[
\Delta Q_y = \frac{6(1 - 0.04)}{0.0059 \times 62.50(0.83)^2} \times [0.181(-18.574)(-0.1984) + 7.677(-0.0387)] = 8.387 \text{ lb/ft}
\]

The ring force \( F = \gamma(H - y)r = 62.4(25 - 10)62.5 = 58,500 \text{ lb/ft} \). So \( Q_{10} = F - \Delta Q_y = 58,500 - 8,387 = 50,113 \text{ lb/ft} \) (731 kN/m) of circumference, as shown in Figure 11.6(b). Compare how close this value is to \( Q = 50,115 \text{ lb/ft} \) obtained by using membrane coefficients in Example 11.3.

11.5 CYLINDRICAL SHELL MEMBRANE COEFFICIENTS

The bending moment at any level along the height above the base of a cylindrical tank can be computed from the bending moment expression for a cantilever beam. This is accomplished by multiplying the cantilever moment values by coefficients whose magnitudes are functions of the geometrical dimensions of the tank and which are termed membrane coefficients. The basic moment expressions developed in Section 11.2 for the circular container can be rearranged into a factor \( H^2/dt \) denoting geometry and a factor \( \gamma H^3 \) or \( p H^2 \) denoting cantilever effect, for liquid and gaseous loading, respectively (Ref. 11.2).

The tank constant \( \beta \) in Equation 11.26d is a function of \( rt \) or \( dt \), where \( d \) is the tank diameter. Using Poisson’s ratio \( \mu = 0.2 \) for concrete, we have

\[
\beta = \frac{3(1 - \mu^2)}{(rt)^{1/2}} = \frac{1.30}{(rt)^{1/2}} = \frac{1.84}{(dt)^{1/2}}
\]

The factor \( 1/\beta H \) used in the basic bending expressions of Section 11.2 can be rewritten in terms of \( (dt/H^2)^{1/2} \) since \( \beta = 1.84/(dt)^{1/2} \). The product \( \beta_y \) can also be rewritten in terms of \( \lambda(H^2/dt)^{1/2} \) using \( y = \lambda H \), where \( y \) is the height above the base.

Consequently, the moment \( M_y \) of Equation 11.22 in a wall section a distance \( y \) above the base can be represented in terms of the form factor \( H^2/dt \) and the cantilever factor \( \gamma H^3 \) or \( p H^2 \) as follows:

\[
M_y = \text{numerical variant} \times \text{form factor} \times \text{cantilever factor}
\]
or

\[ M_y = \left( \text{variant} \times \frac{H^2}{dt} \right) \times [\gamma H^3 \text{ or } p H^3] \]  

(11.31)

The form factor \( H^2/dt \) is constant for the particular structure being designed. Hence, the product of the variant and the form factor produces the membrane coefficient \( C \), so that Equation 11.31 becomes

\[ M_y = C \gamma H^3 \]  

(11.32a)

for a liquid load and

\[ M_y = C p H^3 \]  

(11.32b)

for a gaseous load.

Tables 11.4 to 11.16 from Ref. 11.5 give the membrane coefficients \( C \) for various form factors \( H^2/dt \) and most expected boundary and load conditions. They significantly reduce the computational efforts normally required in the design and analysis of shells, without loss of accuracy in the results. Using the membrane coefficients for the solution
of the circular tank forces and moments should give results reasonably close to those obtained from the bending solutions presented in Section 11.2 and the sets of equations listed in Tables 11.2 and 11.3.

11.6 PRESTRESSING EFFECTS ON WALL STRESSES FOR FULLY HINGED, PARTIALLY SLIDING AND HINGED, FULLY FIXED, AND PARTIALLY FIXED BASES

The liquid or gas contained in a cylindrical tank exerts outward radial pressure $\gamma h$ or $p$ on the tank walls, inducing ring tensions in each horizontal section of wall along its height. This ring tension in turn causes tensile stresses in the concrete at the outside extreme wall fibers, resulting in impermissible cracking. To eliminate this cracking that causes leaks and structural deterioration, external horizontal prestressing is applied which induces inward radial thrust that can balance the outward radial tension. Additionally, in order to prevent the development of cracks in the inside walls when the tank is empty, vertical prestressing is induced to reduce the residual tension within the range of the modulus of rupture of the concrete and with an adequate safety factor.

In order to ensure against the development of cracking at the outside face of the tank wall, it is good practice to apply somewhat larger horizontal prestressing forces than

(text continues on page 678)
Table 11.4  Moment Influence Coefficients, Triangular Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dt}{H}$</td>
<td>$0.1H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>+.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Tables 11.4 to 11.16 Adapted from Ref. 11.5.
2. 0.6H is the top and 1.0H is the bottom of the wall, except if wall is fixed at top and with shear and moment at top.
3. Shear acting inwards is positive; moment applied at an edge is positive when outward rotation results at that edge.
### Table 11.5  Moment Influence Coefficients, Rectangular Load

Moments in Cylindrical Wall  
Rectangular Load  
Fixed Base, Free Top  
Mom = coef. × pH² ft. lb. per ft.  
Positive sign indicates tension in the outside

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dt$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>+.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>+.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>+.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>+.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>+.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11.6  Moment Influence Coefficients, Trapezoidal Load

Moments in Cylindrical Wall
Trapezoidal Load
Hinged Base, Free Top
Mom. = coef. × (γH^2 + pH^2) ft. lb. per ft.
Positive sign indicates tension in the outside

![Diagram of a cylindrical wall with trapezoidal load and moment influence coefficients.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H^2</th>
<th>0.1H</th>
<th>0.2H</th>
<th>0.3H</th>
<th>0.4H</th>
<th>0.5H</th>
<th>0.6H</th>
<th>0.7H</th>
<th>0.8H</th>
<th>0.9H</th>
<th>1.0H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+.0020</td>
<td>+.0072</td>
<td>+.0151</td>
<td>+.0230</td>
<td>+.0301</td>
<td>+.0348</td>
<td>+.0357</td>
<td>+.0312</td>
<td>+.0197</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>+.0019</td>
<td>+.0064</td>
<td>+.0133</td>
<td>+.0207</td>
<td>+.0271</td>
<td>+.0319</td>
<td>+.0329</td>
<td>+.0292</td>
<td>+.0187</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+.0016</td>
<td>+.0058</td>
<td>+.0111</td>
<td>+.0177</td>
<td>+.0237</td>
<td>+.0280</td>
<td>+.0296</td>
<td>+.0263</td>
<td>+.0171</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+.0012</td>
<td>+.0044</td>
<td>+.0091</td>
<td>+.0145</td>
<td>+.0195</td>
<td>+.0236</td>
<td>+.0255</td>
<td>+.0232</td>
<td>+.0155</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+.0009</td>
<td>+.0033</td>
<td>+.0073</td>
<td>+.0114</td>
<td>+.0158</td>
<td>+.0199</td>
<td>+.0219</td>
<td>+.0205</td>
<td>+.0145</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
<td>+.0015</td>
<td>+.0040</td>
<td>+.0063</td>
<td>+.0092</td>
<td>+.0127</td>
<td>+.0152</td>
<td>+.0153</td>
<td>+.0111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+.0001</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>+.0016</td>
<td>+.0033</td>
<td>+.0057</td>
<td>+.0083</td>
<td>+.0109</td>
<td>+.0118</td>
<td>+.0092</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0001</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>+.0016</td>
<td>+.0034</td>
<td>+.0057</td>
<td>+.0080</td>
<td>+.0094</td>
<td>+.0078</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
<td>+.0019</td>
<td>+.0039</td>
<td>+.0062</td>
<td>+.0078</td>
<td>+.0068</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>-.0002</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>+.0020</td>
<td>+.0038</td>
<td>+.0057</td>
<td>+.0054</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>-.0002</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
<td>+.0025</td>
<td>+.0043</td>
<td>+.0045</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0002</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
<td>+.0017</td>
<td>+.0032</td>
<td>+.0039</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0012</td>
<td>+.0026</td>
<td>+.0033</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0002</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0004</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
<td>+.0022</td>
<td>+.0029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.6 Prestressing Effects on Wall Stresses

Table 11.7  Moment Influence Coefficients, Empty Tank (Shear Applied at Top Base Fixed)

Moments in Cylindrical Wall
Shear Per Ft., Q, Applied at Top
Fixed Base, Free Top
Mom. = coef. × VH ft. lb. per ft.
Positive sign indicates tension in the outside

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>$0.1H$</th>
<th>$0.2H$</th>
<th>$0.3H$</th>
<th>$0.4H$</th>
<th>$0.5H$</th>
<th>$0.6H$</th>
<th>$0.7H$</th>
<th>$0.8H$</th>
<th>$0.9H$</th>
<th>$1.0H$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+0.093</td>
<td>+0.172</td>
<td>+0.240</td>
<td>+0.300</td>
<td>+0.354</td>
<td>+0.402</td>
<td>+0.448</td>
<td>+0.492</td>
<td>+0.535</td>
<td>+0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+0.085</td>
<td>+0.145</td>
<td>+0.185</td>
<td>+0.208</td>
<td>+0.220</td>
<td>+0.224</td>
<td>+0.223</td>
<td>+0.219</td>
<td>+0.214</td>
<td>+0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+0.082</td>
<td>+0.132</td>
<td>+0.157</td>
<td>+0.164</td>
<td>+0.159</td>
<td>+0.145</td>
<td>+0.127</td>
<td>+0.106</td>
<td>+0.084</td>
<td>+0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+0.079</td>
<td>+0.122</td>
<td>+0.139</td>
<td>+0.138</td>
<td>+0.125</td>
<td>+0.105</td>
<td>+0.081</td>
<td>+0.056</td>
<td>+0.030</td>
<td>+0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+0.077</td>
<td>+0.115</td>
<td>+0.126</td>
<td>+0.119</td>
<td>+0.103</td>
<td>+0.080</td>
<td>+0.056</td>
<td>+0.031</td>
<td>+0.006</td>
<td>+0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+0.072</td>
<td>+0.100</td>
<td>+0.100</td>
<td>+0.086</td>
<td>+0.066</td>
<td>+0.044</td>
<td>+0.025</td>
<td>+0.006</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+0.068</td>
<td>+0.088</td>
<td>+0.081</td>
<td>+0.063</td>
<td>+0.043</td>
<td>+0.025</td>
<td>+0.010</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+0.064</td>
<td>+0.078</td>
<td>+0.067</td>
<td>+0.047</td>
<td>+0.028</td>
<td>+0.013</td>
<td>+0.003</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>+0.062</td>
<td>+0.070</td>
<td>+0.056</td>
<td>+0.036</td>
<td>+0.018</td>
<td>+0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>+0.057</td>
<td>+0.058</td>
<td>+0.041</td>
<td>+0.021</td>
<td>+0.007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+0.053</td>
<td>+0.049</td>
<td>+0.029</td>
<td>+0.012</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>+0.049</td>
<td>+0.042</td>
<td>+0.022</td>
<td>+0.007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>+0.046</td>
<td>+0.036</td>
<td>+0.017</td>
<td>+0.004</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>+0.044</td>
<td>+0.031</td>
<td>+0.012</td>
<td>+0.001</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11.8  Moment Influence Coefficients, Empty Tank (Shear Applied at Top Hinged Base)

Moments in Cylindrical Wall  
Moment Per Ft., $M$, Applied at Base  
Hinged Base, Free Top  
Mom. = coef. $\times$ $M$ ft. lb. per ft.  
Positive sign indicates tension in the outside

![Diagram showing moment influence coefficients for an empty tank.](Moment.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>0.1H</th>
<th>0.2H</th>
<th>0.3H</th>
<th>0.4H</th>
<th>0.5H</th>
<th>0.6H</th>
<th>0.7H</th>
<th>0.8H</th>
<th>0.9H</th>
<th>1.0H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+0.013</td>
<td>+0.051</td>
<td>+0.109</td>
<td>+0.196</td>
<td>+0.296</td>
<td>+0.414</td>
<td>+0.547</td>
<td>+0.692</td>
<td>+0.843</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+0.009</td>
<td>+0.040</td>
<td>+0.090</td>
<td>+0.164</td>
<td>+0.253</td>
<td>+0.375</td>
<td>+0.503</td>
<td>+0.659</td>
<td>+0.824</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+0.006</td>
<td>+0.027</td>
<td>+0.063</td>
<td>+0.125</td>
<td>+0.206</td>
<td>+0.316</td>
<td>+0.454</td>
<td>+0.616</td>
<td>+0.802</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+0.003</td>
<td>+0.011</td>
<td>+0.035</td>
<td>+0.078</td>
<td>+0.152</td>
<td>+0.253</td>
<td>+0.393</td>
<td>+0.570</td>
<td>+0.775</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>+0.012</td>
<td>+0.034</td>
<td>+0.096</td>
<td>+0.193</td>
<td>+0.340</td>
<td>+0.519</td>
<td>+0.748</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+0.007</td>
<td>+0.022</td>
<td>+0.030</td>
<td>+0.029</td>
<td>+0.010</td>
<td>+0.087</td>
<td>+0.227</td>
<td>+0.426</td>
<td>+0.692</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+0.008</td>
<td>+0.026</td>
<td>+0.044</td>
<td>+0.051</td>
<td>+0.034</td>
<td>+0.023</td>
<td>+0.150</td>
<td>+0.354</td>
<td>+0.645</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+0.007</td>
<td>+0.024</td>
<td>+0.045</td>
<td>+0.061</td>
<td>+0.057</td>
<td>+0.015</td>
<td>+0.095</td>
<td>+0.296</td>
<td>+0.606</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>+0.005</td>
<td>+0.018</td>
<td>+0.040</td>
<td>+0.058</td>
<td>+0.065</td>
<td>+0.037</td>
<td>+0.057</td>
<td>+0.252</td>
<td>+0.572</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>+0.001</td>
<td>+0.009</td>
<td>+0.022</td>
<td>+0.044</td>
<td>+0.068</td>
<td>+0.062</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>+0.178</td>
<td>+0.515</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>+0.123</td>
<td>+0.467</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>+0.081</td>
<td>+0.424</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>+0.048</td>
<td>+0.387</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>+0.025</td>
<td>+0.354</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.6 Prestressing Effects on Wall Stresses

Table 11.9 Shear Q Influence Coefficients

Shear at Base of Cylindrical Wall

\[ Q = \text{coef.} \times \gamma H^2 \text{ lb. (triangular)} \]
\[ Q = \text{coef.} \times \phi M/H \text{ lb. (mom. at base)} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( H^2 )</th>
<th>Triangular load, fixed base</th>
<th>Rectangular load, fixed base</th>
<th>Triangular or rectangular load, hinged base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive sign indicates shear acting inward.
Table 11.10 Ring Tension Influence Coefficients, Triangular Load (Fixed Base)

Tension in Circular Rings
Triangular Load
Fixed base, Free Top
\( F = \text{coef.} \times \gamma H R \ \text{lb. per ft.} \)
Positive sign indicates tension

![Diagram of triangular load](image)

' Liquid Load'—Fixed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( H^2 )</th>
<th>0.0H</th>
<th>0.1H</th>
<th>0.2H</th>
<th>0.3H</th>
<th>0.4H</th>
<th>0.5H</th>
<th>0.6H</th>
<th>0.7H</th>
<th>0.8H</th>
<th>0.9H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+0.149</td>
<td>+0.134</td>
<td>+0.120</td>
<td>+0.101</td>
<td>+0.082</td>
<td>+0.066</td>
<td>+0.049</td>
<td>+0.029</td>
<td>+0.014</td>
<td>+0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+0.263</td>
<td>+0.239</td>
<td>+0.215</td>
<td>+0.190</td>
<td>+0.160</td>
<td>+0.130</td>
<td>+0.096</td>
<td>+0.063</td>
<td>+0.034</td>
<td>+0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+0.283</td>
<td>+0.271</td>
<td>+0.254</td>
<td>+0.234</td>
<td>+0.209</td>
<td>+0.180</td>
<td>+0.142</td>
<td>+0.099</td>
<td>+0.045</td>
<td>+0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+0.265</td>
<td>+0.268</td>
<td>+0.268</td>
<td>+0.266</td>
<td>+0.268</td>
<td>+0.266</td>
<td>+0.266</td>
<td>+0.268</td>
<td>+0.134</td>
<td>+0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+0.234</td>
<td>+0.251</td>
<td>+0.273</td>
<td>+0.285</td>
<td>+0.285</td>
<td>+0.274</td>
<td>+0.232</td>
<td>+0.172</td>
<td>+0.104</td>
<td>+0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+0.134</td>
<td>+0.203</td>
<td>+0.267</td>
<td>+0.322</td>
<td>+0.357</td>
<td>+0.362</td>
<td>+0.330</td>
<td>+0.262</td>
<td>+0.157</td>
<td>+0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+0.067</td>
<td>+0.164</td>
<td>+0.256</td>
<td>+0.339</td>
<td>+0.403</td>
<td>+0.429</td>
<td>+0.409</td>
<td>+0.334</td>
<td>+0.210</td>
<td>+0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+0.025</td>
<td>+0.137</td>
<td>+0.245</td>
<td>+0.346</td>
<td>+0.428</td>
<td>+0.477</td>
<td>+0.469</td>
<td>+0.398</td>
<td>+0.259</td>
<td>+0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>+0.018</td>
<td>+0.119</td>
<td>+0.234</td>
<td>+0.344</td>
<td>+0.441</td>
<td>+0.504</td>
<td>+0.514</td>
<td>+0.447</td>
<td>+0.301</td>
<td>+0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>+0.011</td>
<td>+0.104</td>
<td>+0.218</td>
<td>+0.335</td>
<td>+0.443</td>
<td>+0.534</td>
<td>+0.575</td>
<td>+0.530</td>
<td>+0.381</td>
<td>+0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>+0.098</td>
<td>+0.208</td>
<td>+0.323</td>
<td>+0.437</td>
<td>+0.542</td>
<td>+0.608</td>
<td>+0.589</td>
<td>+0.440</td>
<td>+0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>+0.097</td>
<td>+0.202</td>
<td>+0.312</td>
<td>+0.429</td>
<td>+0.543</td>
<td>+0.628</td>
<td>+0.633</td>
<td>+0.494</td>
<td>+0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>+0.098</td>
<td>+0.200</td>
<td>+0.306</td>
<td>+0.420</td>
<td>+0.539</td>
<td>+0.639</td>
<td>+0.666</td>
<td>+0.541</td>
<td>+0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>+0.099</td>
<td>+0.199</td>
<td>+0.304</td>
<td>+0.412</td>
<td>+0.531</td>
<td>+0.641</td>
<td>+0.687</td>
<td>+0.582</td>
<td>+0.265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 11.11  Ring Tension Influence Coefficients, Rectangular Load (Fixed Base)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>$0.0H$</th>
<th>$0.1H$</th>
<th>$0.2H$</th>
<th>$0.3H$</th>
<th>$0.4H$</th>
<th>$0.5H$</th>
<th>$0.6H$</th>
<th>$0.7H$</th>
<th>$0.8H$</th>
<th>$0.9H$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$dt$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+0.582</td>
<td>+0.505</td>
<td>+0.431</td>
<td>+0.353</td>
<td>+0.277</td>
<td>+0.206</td>
<td>+0.145</td>
<td>+0.092</td>
<td>+0.046</td>
<td>+0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+1.052</td>
<td>+0.921</td>
<td>+0.796</td>
<td>+0.669</td>
<td>+0.542</td>
<td>+0.415</td>
<td>+0.289</td>
<td>+0.179</td>
<td>+0.089</td>
<td>+0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+1.218</td>
<td>+1.078</td>
<td>+0.946</td>
<td>+0.808</td>
<td>+0.665</td>
<td>+0.519</td>
<td>+0.378</td>
<td>+0.246</td>
<td>+0.127</td>
<td>+0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+1.257</td>
<td>+1.141</td>
<td>+1.009</td>
<td>+0.881</td>
<td>+0.742</td>
<td>+0.600</td>
<td>+0.449</td>
<td>+0.294</td>
<td>+0.153</td>
<td>+0.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+1.253</td>
<td>+1.144</td>
<td>+1.041</td>
<td>+0.929</td>
<td>+0.806</td>
<td>+0.667</td>
<td>+0.514</td>
<td>+0.345</td>
<td>+0.186</td>
<td>+0.055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+1.160</td>
<td>+1.112</td>
<td>+1.061</td>
<td>+0.998</td>
<td>+0.912</td>
<td>+0.796</td>
<td>+0.646</td>
<td>+0.459</td>
<td>+0.258</td>
<td>+0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+1.085</td>
<td>+1.073</td>
<td>+1.057</td>
<td>+1.029</td>
<td>+0.997</td>
<td>+0.887</td>
<td>+0.746</td>
<td>+0.553</td>
<td>+0.322</td>
<td>+0.105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+1.037</td>
<td>+1.044</td>
<td>+1.047</td>
<td>+1.042</td>
<td>+1.015</td>
<td>+0.949</td>
<td>+0.825</td>
<td>+0.629</td>
<td>+0.379</td>
<td>+0.128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>+1.010</td>
<td>+1.024</td>
<td>+1.038</td>
<td>+1.045</td>
<td>+1.034</td>
<td>+0.986</td>
<td>+0.879</td>
<td>+0.694</td>
<td>+0.430</td>
<td>+0.149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>+0.989</td>
<td>+1.005</td>
<td>+1.022</td>
<td>+1.036</td>
<td>+1.044</td>
<td>+1.026</td>
<td>+0.953</td>
<td>+0.788</td>
<td>+0.519</td>
<td>+0.189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+0.989</td>
<td>+0.998</td>
<td>+1.010</td>
<td>+1.023</td>
<td>+1.039</td>
<td>+1.040</td>
<td>+0.996</td>
<td>+0.859</td>
<td>+0.591</td>
<td>+0.226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>+0.994</td>
<td>+0.997</td>
<td>+1.003</td>
<td>+1.014</td>
<td>+1.031</td>
<td>+1.043</td>
<td>+1.022</td>
<td>+0.911</td>
<td>+0.652</td>
<td>+0.262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>+0.997</td>
<td>+0.998</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
<td>+1.007</td>
<td>+1.022</td>
<td>+1.040</td>
<td>+1.035</td>
<td>+0.949</td>
<td>+0.705</td>
<td>+0.294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
<td>+0.999</td>
<td>+0.999</td>
<td>+1.003</td>
<td>+1.015</td>
<td>+1.032</td>
<td>+1.040</td>
<td>+0.975</td>
<td>+0.750</td>
<td>+0.321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

- **Tension in Circular Rings**
- **Rectangular Load**
- **Fixed Base, Free Top**
- $F = \text{coef.} \times pR \text{ lb. per ft.}$
- Positive sign indicates tension

---

'Gas' Load—Fixed

---

Note: The table above shows the ring tension influence coefficients for different values of $H^2$ and $dt$. The coefficients are tabulated for various increments of point $H$. The table is designed to help in calculating the effects of ring tension on wall stresses under rectangular load conditions, with fixed base and free top. The positive sign indicates tension.
# Table 11.12  Ring Tension Influence Coefficients, Triangular Load (Pinned Base)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>0.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$dt$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+0.474</td>
<td>+0.440</td>
<td>+0.395</td>
<td>+0.352</td>
<td>+0.308</td>
<td>+0.264</td>
<td>+0.215</td>
<td>+0.165</td>
<td>+0.111</td>
<td>+0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+0.423</td>
<td>+0.402</td>
<td>+0.381</td>
<td>+0.358</td>
<td>+0.330</td>
<td>+0.297</td>
<td>+0.249</td>
<td>+0.202</td>
<td>+0.145</td>
<td>+0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+0.350</td>
<td>+0.355</td>
<td>+0.361</td>
<td>+0.362</td>
<td>+0.358</td>
<td>+0.343</td>
<td>+0.309</td>
<td>+0.256</td>
<td>+0.186</td>
<td>+0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+0.271</td>
<td>+0.303</td>
<td>+0.341</td>
<td>+0.369</td>
<td>+0.385</td>
<td>+0.385</td>
<td>+0.362</td>
<td>+0.314</td>
<td>+0.233</td>
<td>+0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+0.205</td>
<td>+0.260</td>
<td>+0.321</td>
<td>+0.373</td>
<td>+0.411</td>
<td>+0.434</td>
<td>+0.419</td>
<td>+0.369</td>
<td>+0.280</td>
<td>+0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+0.074</td>
<td>+0.179</td>
<td>+0.281</td>
<td>+0.375</td>
<td>+0.449</td>
<td>+0.506</td>
<td>+0.519</td>
<td>+0.479</td>
<td>+0.375</td>
<td>+0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+0.017</td>
<td>+0.137</td>
<td>+0.253</td>
<td>+0.367</td>
<td>+0.469</td>
<td>+0.545</td>
<td>+0.579</td>
<td>+0.553</td>
<td>+0.447</td>
<td>+0.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>+0.114</td>
<td>+0.235</td>
<td>+0.356</td>
<td>+0.469</td>
<td>+0.562</td>
<td>+0.617</td>
<td>+0.606</td>
<td>+0.503</td>
<td>+0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>+0.103</td>
<td>+0.223</td>
<td>+0.343</td>
<td>+0.463</td>
<td>+0.566</td>
<td>+0.639</td>
<td>+0.643</td>
<td>+0.547</td>
<td>+0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>+0.096</td>
<td>+0.208</td>
<td>+0.324</td>
<td>+0.443</td>
<td>+0.564</td>
<td>+0.661</td>
<td>+0.697</td>
<td>+0.621</td>
<td>+0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>+0.095</td>
<td>+0.200</td>
<td>+0.311</td>
<td>+0.428</td>
<td>+0.552</td>
<td>+0.666</td>
<td>+0.730</td>
<td>+0.678</td>
<td>+0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>+0.097</td>
<td>+0.197</td>
<td>+0.302</td>
<td>+0.417</td>
<td>+0.541</td>
<td>+0.664</td>
<td>+0.750</td>
<td>+0.720</td>
<td>+0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>+0.098</td>
<td>+0.197</td>
<td>+0.299</td>
<td>+0.408</td>
<td>+0.531</td>
<td>+0.659</td>
<td>+0.761</td>
<td>+0.752</td>
<td>+0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>+0.100</td>
<td>+0.198</td>
<td>+0.299</td>
<td>+0.403</td>
<td>+0.521</td>
<td>+0.650</td>
<td>+0.764</td>
<td>+0.776</td>
<td>+0.543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( F = \text{coef.} \times \gamma H R \text{ lb. per ft.} \)*

Negative sign indicates compression.
Table 11.13  Ring Tension Influence Coefficients, Rectangular Load (Hinged Base)

Tension in Circular Rings
Rectangular Load
Hinged Base, Free Top
$F = \text{coef.} \times \rho \text{ lb. per ft.}$
Positive sign indicates tension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+1.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+1.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+1.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+1.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+1.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>+0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>+0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>+0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>+0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>+1.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11.14  Empty Tank Ring Tension Influence Coefficients, Fixed Base

Tension in Circular Rings
Shear per Ft., Q, Applied at Top
Fixed Base, Free Top
F = coef. × VR/H lb. per ft.
Positive sign indicates tension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>$0.0H$</th>
<th>$0.1H$</th>
<th>$0.2H$</th>
<th>$0.3H$</th>
<th>$0.4H$</th>
<th>$0.5H$</th>
<th>$0.6H$</th>
<th>$0.7H$</th>
<th>$0.8H$</th>
<th>$0.9H$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-3.09</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-3.95</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-4.57</td>
<td>-3.54</td>
<td>-2.60</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-5.12</td>
<td>-3.83</td>
<td>-2.68</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-6.32</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
<td>-2.70</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>+0.19</td>
<td>+0.13</td>
<td>+0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-7.34</td>
<td>-4.73</td>
<td>-2.60</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>+0.26</td>
<td>+0.38</td>
<td>+0.33</td>
<td>+0.19</td>
<td>+0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-8.22</td>
<td>-4.99</td>
<td>-2.45</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>+0.11</td>
<td>+0.47</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
<td>+0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-9.02</td>
<td>-5.17</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>+0.34</td>
<td>+0.59</td>
<td>+0.53</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
<td>+0.17</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-10.42</td>
<td>-5.36</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
<td>+0.66</td>
<td>+0.46</td>
<td>+0.24</td>
<td>+0.09</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-11.67</td>
<td>-5.43</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>+0.36</td>
<td>+0.78</td>
<td>+0.62</td>
<td>+0.33</td>
<td>+0.12</td>
<td>+0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-12.76</td>
<td>-5.41</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
<td>+0.83</td>
<td>+0.52</td>
<td>+0.21</td>
<td>+0.04</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>-13.77</td>
<td>-5.34</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>+0.80</td>
<td>+0.81</td>
<td>+0.42</td>
<td>+0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>-14.74</td>
<td>-5.22</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>+0.96</td>
<td>+0.76</td>
<td>+0.32</td>
<td>+0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 11.15 Empty Tank Ring Tension Influence Coefficients, Hinged Base

Tension in Circular Rings  
Moment per Ft., $M$, Applied at Base  
Hinged Base, Free Top  
$F = \text{coef.} \times MR/H^2$ lb. per ft.  
Positive sign indicates tension

![Ring tension diagram](Empty Tank)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dt}{dH}$</td>
<td>0.0H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>+0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>+0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>+0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>+0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 11.16
Supplementary Influence Coefficients for Values of $H^2/\frac{dt}{dt}$ Greater Than 16 for Tables 11.4–11.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2 dt$</th>
<th>.80H</th>
<th>.85H</th>
<th>.90H</th>
<th>.95H</th>
<th>1.00H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+.0015</td>
<td>+.0014</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
<td>-.0018</td>
<td>-.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>+.0012</td>
<td>+.0012</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>-.0013</td>
<td>-.0053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>+.0009</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>-.0008</td>
<td>-.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>-.0005</td>
<td>-.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0001</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>-.0003</td>
<td>-.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 11.5a
Coefficients at Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2 dt$</th>
<th>.80H</th>
<th>.85H</th>
<th>.90H</th>
<th>.95H</th>
<th>1.00H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+.0015</td>
<td>+.0013</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
<td>-.0024</td>
<td>-.0073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>+.0012</td>
<td>+.0012</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
<td>-.0018</td>
<td>-.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
<td>+.0009</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>-.0010</td>
<td>-.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>-.0005</td>
<td>-.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>-.0003</td>
<td>-.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
<td>-.0001</td>
<td>-.0026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 11.6a
Coefficients at Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2 dt$</th>
<th>.75H</th>
<th>.80H</th>
<th>.85H</th>
<th>.90H</th>
<th>.95H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
<td>+.0014</td>
<td>+.0020</td>
<td>+.0024</td>
<td>+.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
<td>+.0010</td>
<td>+.0015</td>
<td>+.0020</td>
<td>+.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0005</td>
<td>+.0009</td>
<td>+.0014</td>
<td>+.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0003</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0001</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
<td>+.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>+.0003</td>
<td>+.0007</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 11.7a
Coefficients at Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2 dt$</th>
<th>.05H</th>
<th>.10H</th>
<th>.15H</th>
<th>.20H</th>
<th>.25H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+.0032</td>
<td>+.0039</td>
<td>+.0033</td>
<td>+.0023</td>
<td>+.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>+.0031</td>
<td>+.0035</td>
<td>+.0028</td>
<td>+.0018</td>
<td>+.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>+.0028</td>
<td>+.0029</td>
<td>+.0020</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
<td>+.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>+.0026</td>
<td>+.0025</td>
<td>+.0015</td>
<td>+.0006</td>
<td>+.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>+.0024</td>
<td>+.0021</td>
<td>+.0011</td>
<td>+.0003</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>+.0023</td>
<td>+.0018</td>
<td>+.0008</td>
<td>+.0002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 11.8a
Coefficients at Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2 dt$</th>
<th>.80H</th>
<th>.85H</th>
<th>.90H</th>
<th>.95H</th>
<th>1.00H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-.015</td>
<td>+.095</td>
<td>+.296</td>
<td>+.606</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-.037</td>
<td>+.057</td>
<td>+.250</td>
<td>+.572</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-.062</td>
<td>+.002</td>
<td>+.178</td>
<td>+.515</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-.067</td>
<td>-.031</td>
<td>+.123</td>
<td>+.467</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-.064</td>
<td>-.049</td>
<td>+.081</td>
<td>+.424</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-.059</td>
<td>-.060</td>
<td>+.048</td>
<td>+.387</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 11.9a
Coefficients at Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2 dt$</th>
<th>Tri. Fixed</th>
<th>Rect. Fixed</th>
<th>T. or R. Hinged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+.114</td>
<td>+.122</td>
<td>+.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>+.102</td>
<td>+.111</td>
<td>+.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>+.089</td>
<td>+.096</td>
<td>+.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>+.080</td>
<td>+.086</td>
<td>+.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>+.072</td>
<td>+.079</td>
<td>+.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>+.067</td>
<td>+.074</td>
<td>+.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 11.6 Prestressing Effects on Wall Stresses

#### Table 11.11a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
<th>$dt$</th>
<th>.75H</th>
<th>.80H</th>
<th>.85H</th>
<th>.90H</th>
<th>.95H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>.825</td>
<td>+0.629</td>
<td>+0.379</td>
<td>+0.128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>.879</td>
<td>+0.694</td>
<td>+0.430</td>
<td>+0.149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>.953</td>
<td>+0.788</td>
<td>+0.519</td>
<td>+0.189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>.996</td>
<td>+0.859</td>
<td>+0.591</td>
<td>+0.226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>+0.911</td>
<td>+0.652</td>
<td>+0.262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>+0.949</td>
<td>+0.705</td>
<td>+0.294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 11.12a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
<th>$dt$</th>
<th>.75H</th>
<th>.80H</th>
<th>.85H</th>
<th>.90H</th>
<th>.95H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>+0.756</td>
<td>+0.603</td>
<td>+0.344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>+0.793</td>
<td>+0.647</td>
<td>+0.377</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>+0.847</td>
<td>+0.721</td>
<td>+0.436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>+0.880</td>
<td>+0.778</td>
<td>+0.483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>+0.900</td>
<td>+0.820</td>
<td>+0.527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>+0.911</td>
<td>+0.852</td>
<td>+0.563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 11.13a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
<th>$dt$</th>
<th>.75H</th>
<th>.80H</th>
<th>.85H</th>
<th>.90H</th>
<th>.95H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td>+0.906</td>
<td>+0.703</td>
<td>+0.394</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>+0.943</td>
<td>+0.747</td>
<td>+0.427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td>+0.997</td>
<td>+0.821</td>
<td>+0.486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>+1.030</td>
<td>+0.878</td>
<td>+0.533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>+1.050</td>
<td>+0.920</td>
<td>+0.577</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>+1.061</td>
<td>+0.952</td>
<td>+0.613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 11.14a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^2$</th>
<th>Coefficients at Point</th>
<th>$dt$</th>
<th>.00H</th>
<th>.05H</th>
<th>.10H</th>
<th>.15H</th>
<th>.20H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>+25.9</td>
<td>+36.9</td>
<td>+43.3</td>
<td>+35.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>+25.9</td>
<td>+40.7</td>
<td>+51.8</td>
<td>+45.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>+23.2</td>
<td>+45.9</td>
<td>+65.4</td>
<td>+63.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>+19.2</td>
<td>+46.5</td>
<td>+77.9</td>
<td>+83.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>+14.1</td>
<td>+45.1</td>
<td>+87.2</td>
<td>+103.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>+9.2</td>
<td>+42.2</td>
<td>+94.0</td>
<td>+121.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are required to neutralize or balance the outward radial forces caused by the internal liquid or gas, thereby producing residual compression in the tank when it is full (Ref. 11.2). Such an increase in circumferential prestressing forces through the use of additional horizontal prestressing steel, and sometimes mild vertical steel, also counteracts the effects of temperature and moisture gradients across the wall thickness in an adverse environment.

11.6.1 Freely Sliding Wall Base

When the boundary condition is such that the wall at its base can freely slide when the tank is internally loaded, there is no moment in the vertical wall due either to liquid load or to prestressing when the tank is totally filled to height $H$. Only a small nominal moment develops when the tank is partially filled, partially prestressed, or empty, and no vertical prestressing is necessary. The deflected shape of the freely sliding tank is shown in Figure 11.7.

While free sliding is an ideal condition that renders the structure statically determinate and hence most economical, it is difficult to achieve in practice. Frictional forces produced at the wall base after the tank becomes operational and the difficulty of achieving liquid tightness render this alternative essentially unimplementable.

11.6.2 Hinged Wall Base

For walls with a hinged connection to the base, the maximum radial forces due to the liquid retained and the prestressing at the critical section a distance $y$ above the base are almost equal to those in the freely sliding case at height $y$. But vertical moments are introduced, and vertical prestressing becomes necessary to reduce the tensile stresses in the concrete at the outer wall face.
11.6 Prestressing Effects on Wall Stresses

The deflected shape of the hinged wall is shown in Figure 11.8. Note that the critical section for ring forces is not necessarily at the same height as the moment critical section.

In order to minimize the possibility of cracking, a residual ring compression of a minimum value of 200 psi (1.38 MPa) is necessary for wire-wrapped prestressed tanks without diaphragms, and 100 psi (0.7 MPa) for tanks with a continuous metal diaphragm. The maximum tension at the inside face of the wall should not exceed $3V/f'_c$ at working-load level as given in Table 11.17 in a later section. The deflected shape of the tank walls and the stress variations in the concrete across the thickness of the section when the tank is empty and when it is full are shown in Figure 11.8. For tanks prestressed with pretensioned and post-tensioned tendons, the minimum residual compressive stress should be as stipulated in Section 11.10.

11.6.3 Partially Sliding and Hinged Wall Base

A partially sliding and hinged wall-base system is accomplished by providing a slot in the wall-base supporting slab such that the wall can slide within its base during the prestressing. After prestressing and all losses due to creep, shrinkage, and relaxation have taken place, the slot is sealed and the tank wall behaves as hinged under service-load conditions. The magnitude of sliding can be controlled such that either full or partial sliding is allowed before hinging is accomplished. A partial slide of about 50 percent of the full slide with hinging at the end of the wall movement has the structural advantages of both full sliding and hinging, and the sealing of the wall-base slab-pinned joint against leakage of liquids or gases is more dependable than if full sliding prior to anchorage is allowed. The deformed shape of the wall during the prestressing procedure, together with the ring forces, vertical moments, and concrete stress variations across the wall thickness, is shown in Figure 11.9. The vertical prestress needed for the partial slide-pinned case can be considerably smaller than the fully pinned case without sliding.

11.6.4 Fully Fixed Wall Base

Full fixity of the wall at its base means full restraint against rotation at the wall base. This condition can be accomplished if the lower segment of the wall is cast monolithically and is well anchored into a base slab of a similar stiffness. But such an indeterminate system
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Figure 11.8  Hinged-base tank. (a) Deflected shape of tank wall. (b) Horizontal ring forces and vertical moments. (c) Concrete stresses across wall thickness. (d) Resultant wall stresses.
is difficult to fully achieve and is not economical as well, since a tank base area is very large and partial fixity becomes necessary (see shortly). The radial horizontal forces from both prestressing and the contained internal pressure are unchanged from the triangular form for liquid, rectangular for gas, and trapezoidal for granular contained material. The restraint imposed by the horizontal slab base, however, modifies the ring forces and introduces additional moment in the vertical section of the wall. Because of fixity at the base, no displacement takes place at either the bottom or the top of the wall, and a change in curvature along the height of the wall above the base takes place when the tank is empty, as is shown in Figure 11.10. Note that the wall should be designed to become essentially vertical, with a minimum residual compressive stress due to prestressing of 200
psi as in the previous cases. The vertical prestress needed for tanks with fully fixed wall bases is considerably greater than the vertical prestress needed for the other boundary conditions. This is necessary in order to offset the high tensile stresses in the wall base at the outside face caused by the large negative movement at the base [see Figure 11.10(a) and (b)] and the reverse curvature near it. It is sometimes more economical to use mild steel reinforcement at the lower portion of the wall in addition to prestressing, in order to be able to use lesser vertical prestressing and assign the excess negative moment to the nonprestressed reinforcement. The tensile stresses in the concrete can also be reduced by using eccentric vertical prestressing with the appropriate eccentricity achieved by trial and adjustment, as well as by using additional mild steel. Vertical prestressing in tanks is expensive, however, due to the required anchorages at the top and bottom of the tank wall. Thus, reducing the level of vertical prestress needed in the design adds to the economy of the total design of the system.
11.6.5 Partially Fixed Wall Base

11.6.5.1 Rotational Restraint. As indicated previously, full restraint against rotation at the wall base is difficult to achieve. The reasons are essentially threefold: (1) one has to provide the necessary stiffness in the tank floor slab at the wall junction for total fixity; (2) subsoil movement under the wall can cause rotation of the wall base; and (3) a concentration of anchorages is required, for both the vertical prestressing of the wall and the horizontal circumferential prestressing of the wall-base segment since the wall and base rings are separately prestressed.

Because the floor slab area is large, its restraining or stiffening influence is limited to the narrow peripheral toe cantilevering from the wall bottom. The choice of the correct width of the toe or base ring determines whether or not the assumed degree of fixity of the wall base gives the correct stiffness values in the design. Figure 11.11 schematically demonstrates the effect of the base ring width on the rotation of the wall and the deformation of the ring. Part (c) of the figure gives an equilibrium state where the tip of the ring is at the same level as the bottom of the wall, whereas the conditions represented in parts (a) and (b) involve deformations below the bottom of the wall and are consequently unsatisfactory.

The theoretical formulation of the solution to the critical ring base width can be attained through the use of the principle of superposition by combining the case of a freely rotating wall with that of a totally fixed wall as shown in Figure 11.12. Let

\[ M_0 = \text{theoretical fully fixed moment at the wall base} \]
\[ M_p = \text{partial moment at the wall base caused by the loaded cantilever toe} \]
\[ \theta_1 = \text{free rotation of wall base when pinned only, corresponding to deflection } \Delta_1 \text{ of a stiff unloaded toe} \]
\[ \theta_2 = \text{wall base rotation due to restraining moment } M_p, \text{ corresponding to deflection } \Delta_2 \text{ of a straight unloaded toe} \]
\[ \theta_3 = \text{rotation of the tip of the stiffening toe as a cantilever under vertical load, corresponding to deflection } \Delta_3 \text{ of the toe tip due to the vertical load} \]

Figure 11.11 Base ring effective width. (a) Full base slab. (b) Large cantilever. (c) Equilibrium condition.
Figure 11.12  Deformation and rotation of wall base. (a) Fully free wall. (b) Fully fixed wall. (c) Superposition of (a) and (b).

$L =$ width of stiffening toe
$q =$ unit load applied to the stiffening toe $= \gamma H$, where $H$ is the height of a tank whose diameter is $d$, whose wall thickness is $t$, and whose base slab thickness is $h$.

Then the unit rotation $\theta$ of the wall at its base due to moment $M_0$, but without radial displacement, can be obtained from Equation 11.18a by setting $w = 0$ to get $Q = -\beta M$. Equation 11.18b for unit rotation then becomes

$$\theta_1 = \frac{M_0}{2BD}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{M_p}{2BD}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.33)

Hence, we have

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{LM_0}{2BD}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{LM_p}{2BD}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.34)

If the stiffening wall toe is considered a cantilever subjected to a transverse load $\gamma H$, the maximum cantilever moment $M_p$ and the corresponding deflection $\Delta_3$ are, respectively,

$$M_p = \frac{\gamma HL^2}{2}, \quad \Delta_3 = \frac{3\gamma HL^3}{2Eh^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.35)
The moment at the fixed wall base can be obtained using the membrane coefficient \( C \) from Table 11.4 for the applicable form factor \( H^2/dt \) and type of load. For liquid load,

\[
M_o = C\gamma H^3
\]  
(11.36)

The deflected form due to full load, from Figure 11.12(c), is

\[
\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 + \Delta_3
\]

As a reasonable approximation, assume

\[
\mu = 0.2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 2/\sqrt{dt}.
\]

Substituting for \( \Delta_2 \) and \( \Delta_3 \) from Equation 11.34 into Equations 11.35 and 11.36 and rearranging terms gives

\[
L^2 = \frac{2CH^2}{1 + \frac{(t/h)^2}{(dt)^1/2} (L = 1)}
\]  
(11.37)

and

\[
M_o = \frac{\gamma HL^2}{2}
\]  
(11.38)

Now let the term

\[
S = \frac{(t/h)^2}{(dt)^1/2}
\]  
(11.39)

in Equation 11.37 be designated a modifying factor for partial fixity. This factor is normally small and represents the difference between the total fixity moment \( M_o \) and the partial restraint moment \( M_p \). Hence,

\[
M_p = M_o(1 - S)
\]  
(11.40)

The value of \( L \) in the denominator of Eq. 11.37 is conservatively assumed = 1 for simplification in modifying the factor \( S \).

If the value of \( S \) is very small, as is the case in large-diameter tanks (diameter larger than 125 to 150 ft), the expressions for \( L \) and \( M_p \) become expressions for full fixity, namely,

\[
L^2 = 2CH^2
\]

and

\[
M_p = C\gamma H^3
\]

**11.6.5.2 Base Radial Deformation.** The radial deformation \( \Delta_r \) of the base ring subjected to radial force in its plane can be obtained from the theory of circular plates with concentric holes. The expression for the deflection of the plate shown in Figure 11.13(a) is

\[
\Delta_r = \frac{d_yQ}{2hE} \left( \frac{d_o^2 + d^2}{d_o^2 - d^2} - \mu \right)
\]  
(11.41)

where \( \mu = \) Poisson's ratio \( \sim 0.2 \) for concrete and \( E \) is the modulus. The horizontal radial thrust per unit of circumference required to induce unit displacement in a solid circular slab is
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\[ Q_2 = \frac{2.5hE}{d_o} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.42)

and the corresponding value of the radiant thrust applied to the outer ring is

\[ Q_3 = \frac{2hE}{d_o K} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.43)

where

\[ K = \left( \frac{d_0^2 + d^2}{d_o^2 - d^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \]

and \( d \) = inside diameter of base ring \( = (d_o - 2L) \).

The relative stiffness of the wall to the base is determined in terms of the force required to produce a unit deformation in the wall and the base slab from the principles of virtual work as shown in Figures 11.13(b) and (c). The distribution of the prestressing energy between the wall and base slab ring is a function of their relative radial stiffness; hence, determining the relative stiffness is necessary. In doing so, however, one must keep in mind that the stiffness response of the base ring in a prestressed tank to radial compression in its own plane is considerably larger than the response of the cylindrical wall of the tank under radial internal pressure. Thus, the loss of prestress from the differ-

---

**Figure 11.13** Deformation of circular wall base ring. (a) Ring plan and cross section. (b) Deflected wall bottom due to radial force \( Q' \). (c) Deflected ring base due to radial force \( Q_2 \).
ence in stiffness is insignificant in large-diameter tanks (Ref. 11.2), but should be considered in small-diameter tanks.

The unit deformation $\Delta$ due to the radial force $Q'$ per unit of circumference without rotation at the foot base can be obtained from Equation 11.18b using $2\beta M = -Q$ for rotation $dw/dy = 0$. The unit deflection $\Delta$ in Equation 11.18a becomes

$$\Delta = \frac{Q_3}{4\beta^3 D}$$

or

$$\Delta = \frac{Q'}{4\beta^3 D} \quad (11.44)$$

where

$$D = \frac{Ei^3}{12(1 - \mu^2)}$$

Using $\mu \sim 0.2$, Equation 11.44 for unit radial displacement of the wall at the wall base without rotation becomes

$$Q' = 2.2E \left( \frac{t}{d} \right)^{3/2} \quad (11.45)$$

where $E$ is the modulus of concrete. From Equation 11.42, the radial force per unit of circumference required to produce unit radial displacement in the solid circular slab is

$$Q_3 = 2.5E \left( \frac{h}{d_o} \right) \quad (11.46)$$

By superimposing $Q'$ on $Q_3$, the total force exerted at the wall-slab base junction is distributed to the wall and the slab base in proportion to the relative energy required to produce unit deformation in each.

The proportion of the total force $Q' + Q_3$ to be carried by the wall is

$$R = \frac{Q'}{Q' + Q_3}$$

say

$$\frac{1}{1 + S_1}$$

Rearranging terms while combining Equations 11.45 and 11.46 results in

$$S_1 = \frac{2.5(h/d)}{2.2(t/d)^{3/2}}$$

assuming that $d = d_o$, or

$$S_1 = 1.1 \left( \frac{h}{t} \right) \times \left( \frac{d}{t} \right)^{1/2} \quad (11.47)$$

If $S_1$ is small, the proportion of the horizontal force transferred from the slab base to the wall can be taken, with sufficient accuracy, to be

$$R = \frac{100}{S_1} \text{ percent} \quad (11.48)$$
When only the outer ring of the slab is compressed by radial thrust at the rim, the value of $Q_2$ has to be modified from that obtained by Equation 11.42, and $S_1$ in Equation 11.48 becomes

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{K} \left( \frac{h}{t} \right) \times \left( \frac{d}{t} \right)^{1/2}$$

(11.49)

where, from before,

$$K = \left( \frac{d_0^2 + d^2}{d_0^2 - d^2} - \mu \right)$$

in which $d$ is the inner slab ring diameter = $d_o = 2L$ and $d_o$ is the outer diameter.

11.7 RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR SITU-CAST AND PRECAST PRESTRESSED CONCRETE CIRCULAR STORAGE TANKS

11.7.1 Stresses

General guidelines for situ-cast and precast prestressed concrete circular storage tanks are provided by the Prestressed Concrete Institute (Ref. 11.6), the American Concrete Institute (Refs. 11.7–11.9), and the Post-Tensioning Institute (Ref. 11.10) for choosing the applicable allowable stresses, dimensioning, minimum wall thickness, and construction and erection procedure. The allowable stresses in concrete and shotcrete are given in Table 11.17 (Ref. 11.7), with modifications to accommodate the recommended stresses in Ref. 11.6. Allowable stresses in the reinforcement are given in Table 11.18.
### Table 11.17 Allowable Concrete Stresses in Circular Tanks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type and limit of stress</th>
<th>Concrete situ-cast and precast</th>
<th>Shotcrete situ-cast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporary stresses ( f_{d,t} ) psi</td>
<td>Service load stresses ( f_{p} ) psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial compression, ( f_c )</td>
<td>( 0.55 f_{c,t} )</td>
<td>( 0.45 f_{c,t} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial tension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural compression, ( f_l )</td>
<td>( 0.55 f_{d,lt} )</td>
<td>( 0.4 f_{c,t} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum flexural tension(^b), ( f_l )</td>
<td>( 3 \sqrt{f_{c,t}} )</td>
<td>( 3 \sqrt{f_{c,t}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum residual compression, ( f_{r,v} )</td>
<td>( 200 \left( \frac{f_{c,t}}{f_{c,t}} \right) )</td>
<td>200 psi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Before creep and shrinkage losses.
\(^b\)Fiber stress in precompressed tension zone.

### Table 11.18 Stresses in Reinforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Stress</th>
<th>Max allowable stress*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tendon jacking force</td>
<td>( 0.94 f_{p} \leq 0.85 f_{pu} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediately after prestress transfer</td>
<td>( 0.82 f_{p} \leq 0.75 f_{pu} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-tensioning tendons at anchorage and couplers, immediately after tendon anchorage</td>
<td>( 0.70 f_{pu} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service load stress, ( f_{pu} )</td>
<td>( 0.55 f_{pu} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprestressed mild steel at initial prestressing, ( f_{pu} )</td>
<td>( f_{p}/1.6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final service load stress, ( f_{p} ) (psi), potable water storage, 60 grade steel, corrosive storage, dry storage</td>
<td>24,000, 18,000, ( f_{p}/1.8 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(*\)1,000 psi = 6,895 Pa.

### 11.7.2 Required Strength Load Factors

The structure, together with its components and foundations, would have to be designed so that the design strength exceeds the effect of factored load combinations specified by ACI 318, ANSI/ASCE 7-95, or as justified by the engineer based on rational analysis, with the following exceptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Load factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial liquid pressure</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal lateral pressure from dry material</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prestressing forces:**
- Final prestress after losses: 1.7
- Strength reduction factor for both reinforcement and concrete, \( \phi \): 0.9
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The nominal moment strength equation \( M_n \) is similar to the one used for linear prestressing, i.e.,

\[
M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right)
\]  

(11.50a)

or

\[
M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_y \left( d = \frac{a}{2} \right)
\]  

(11.50b)

when mild vertical steel \( A_s \) is used and

where \( A_{ps} \) = vertical prestressing steel per unit width of circumference, in\(^2\).
\( f_{ps} \) = stress in prestressed reinforcement at nominal strength, psi
\( f_y \) = yield strength of mild steel, psi

11.7.3 Minimum Wall-Design Requirements

11.7.3.1 Circumferential Forces

**Liquid**

Initial \( F_t = \gamma r (H - y) \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{ps}} \) per foot of wall

(11.51a)

**Backfill**

Initial \( F_{it} = p (r + t) \)

(11.51b)

where \( t \) is the total wall thickness.

11.7.3.2 Thickness and Stresses

**Core Wall Thickness**

\[
t_{co} = \frac{F_t}{f_{ct}}
\]  

(11.52)

but not less than the minimum wall thickness to be set out in subsection 11.7.3.6.

**Final Stress Due to Backfill and Initial Prestress**

\[
f = \frac{F_{it}}{t} + \frac{F_t}{t_{co} f_{ps}}
\]  

(11.53)

11.7.3.3 Deflections. The unrestrained initial elastic radial deflection of the wall due to initial prestressing is

\[
\Delta_i = \frac{F_r}{t_{co} E_c}
\]  

(11.54)

where \( r \) = tank inner radius
\( t_{co} \) = thickness of wall core at top or bottom of wall
\( E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f'_c} \) psi for both normal-weight concrete and shotcrete.

The final radial deflection \( \Delta_f \) may reach 1.5 to 3 times the initial unrestrained deflection. For normal conditions, the final permitted radial deflection can be taken as

\[
\Delta_f = 1.7 \Delta_i
\]  

(11.55)
11.7.3.4 Restraint Effects

**Maximum Vertical Wall Bending Due to Radial Shear**

\[ M_y = 0.24Q_0 \sqrt{r_{co}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.56a)

This moment occurs at a distance

\[ y = 0.68 \sqrt{r_{co}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.56b)

from the base or top edge.

**Radial Shear for Monolithic Base Details Which May be Assumed to Provide Hinged Connection**

\[ Q_0 = 0.38 F_N \sqrt{\frac{I_{co}}{r}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.57)

This type of detail should be used only with situ-cast tanks which incorporate a diaphragm in their wall construction.

11.7.3.5 Mild Steel for Base Anchorage. If a diaphragm is used, extend the full area of the inside bars in a U-shape a distance

\[ y_1 = 1.4 \sqrt{r_{co}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.58a)

above the base. If no diaphragm is used, extend to

\[ y_2 = 1.8 \sqrt{r_{co}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.58b)

above the base. Note that anchorage length has to be added to \( y_1 \) or \( y_2 \). The minimum area of nominal vertical steel at the base region is

\[ A_s = 0.005t_{co} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.59)

and should be extended above the base a distance of 3 ft or

\[ y_3 = 0.75 \sqrt{r_{co}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.60)

whichever is greater.

11.7.3.6 Minimum Wall Thickness

**Situ-Cast Walls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of tank</th>
<th>Minimum wall thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shotcrete-steel diaphragm tanks</td>
<td>3(\frac{1}{2}) in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks without vertical prestressing</td>
<td>8 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks with vertical prestressing</td>
<td>7 in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Precast Walls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of tank</th>
<th>Minimum wall thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tanks with vertical pretensioning and external circumferential prestress</td>
<td>5 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks with vertical pretensioning and internal circumferential prestress</td>
<td>6 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks with vertical post-tensioning and internal circumferential prestress</td>
<td>7 in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.8 CRACK CONTROL IN WALLS OF CIRCULAR PRESTRESSED CONCRETE TANKS

Vessey and Preston in Ref. 11.14 recommend the following expression based on Nawy's work in Ref. 11.15 for the maximum crack width at the exterior surface of the prestressed tank wall:

\[ w_{\text{max}} = 4.1 \times 10^{-6} \epsilon_{\text{cr}} E_{\text{ps}} \sqrt{I_x} \]  

(11.61)

where \( \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \) = tensile surface strain in the concrete

\[ I_x = \text{grid index} = \frac{8}{\pi} \left( \frac{s_2 s_1 t_h}{\phi_1} \right) \]

\( s_2 \) = reinforcement spacing in direction “2”
\( s_1 \) = reinforcement spacing in perpendicular direction “1” (horizontal)
\( t_h \) = concrete cover to center of steel
\( \phi_1 \) = diameter of steel in main direction “1.”

The tensile strain can be computed from

\[ \epsilon_{\text{cr}} = \frac{\alpha_s f_{\text{pi}}}{E_{\text{ps}}} \]  

(11.62)

where \( \alpha_s \) = stress parameter \( \equiv f_s/f_{\text{pi}} \)
\( f_s \) = actual stress in the prestressing steel
\( f_{\text{pi}} \) = initial prestress before losses.

For liquid-retaining tanks, the maximum allowable crack width is 0.004 in.

11.9 TANK ROOF DESIGN

Roofs for storage tanks are constructed in the form of a shell dome or as flat roofs supported internally on columns. The cost of the roof is generally about one-third of the overall cost of the structure. In the case of flat roofs, whether precast or situ cast, the design follows the normal design principles of floor systems for reinforced or prestressed concrete one-way- or two-way-action floors as stipulated in the ACI 318 Code. If the roof is made out of precast prestressed elements, and the tank diameter is not exceedingly large, no interior columns are necessary. Otherwise, the added cost of interior columns and the accompanying footings would increase the cost of the overall structure.

A shell roof in the form of a dome has distinct advantages for tanks not exceeding 150 ft. in diameter, namely, that the dome does not need supporting interior columns and can also be economical in underground storage tanks in withstandin backfill load. Hence, the shell form and the manner of its connection to the tank walls have a significant effect on cost. Preferably, the roof shell should be supported by tank walls with a completely flexible joint; otherwise the design of both the tank wall and the roof dome will have to be modified in relation to their degree of interrestraint and relative stiffness, with the concomitant added construction cost.

A spherical shell of low rise-to-diameter ratio \( h'/d \) of approximately 1 is reasonable to use. Such a flat dome or axisymmetrical shell introduces outward horizontal thrust at the springing, which has to be resisted by a properly designed prestressed ring beam at the support level. The type of support of the ring beam determines the extent to which redundant reactions and moments due to end restraint impose additional direct and bending stresses in the shell near the springing. In other words, the membrane solution
has to be adequately modified by superimposing on it the bending effects determined by
the strain compatibility requirements of the bending theory.

11.9.1 Membrane Theory of Spherical Domes

11.9.1.1 Shell of Revolution. The basic membrane equations of equilibrium for the
direct forces in a shell of revolution as shown in Figure 11.14 are used for defining the
unit meridional forces $N_\phi$, unit tangential forces $N_\theta$, and unit central shears $N_{\theta\theta}$ and $N_{\phi\phi}$
in terms of the gravity loads $p_\phi$, $p_\theta$, and $p_z$. These equations are as follows:

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 11.14** Membrane forces in a shell of revolution. (a) Meridian and parallel
lines. (b) Membrane forces on infinitesimal surface element. (c) Component of
force $N_\phi r_1 d\phi$ in the $y$ direction needed to simplify the basic equation 11.63a. (d)
Dome cross section with total gravity load $W$. 
Meridional:  \[
\frac{\partial (N_\theta r_o)}{\partial \phi} - N_\theta \frac{\partial r}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial N_\phi}{\partial \phi} r_1 + p_\theta r_o r_1 = 0
\]  \[(11.63a)\]

Tangential:  \[
\frac{\partial N_\theta}{\partial \theta} r_1 + N_\theta \frac{\partial r_o}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial N_\phi}{\partial \phi} r_1 + p_\theta r_o r_1 = 0
\]  \[(11.63b)\]

z-direction:  \[
\frac{N_\theta}{r_1} + \frac{N_\phi}{r_2} + p_z = 0
\]  \[(11.63c)\]

Because of loading symmetry, all terms involving \( \partial \theta \) vanish, and those involving \( \partial \phi \) can be rewritten as total differentials \( d\phi \) since nothing varies with respect to \( \theta \). Also, the circumferential load component \( p_\theta \) is zero, as the shear resultants vanish along the meridional and parallel circles. Hence, Equations 11.63 can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{d}{d\phi} (N_\theta r_o) - N_\theta r_1 \cos \phi + p_\theta r_o r_1 = 0
\]  \[(11.64a)\]

\[
\frac{N_\theta}{r_1} + \frac{N_\phi}{r_2} + p_z = 0
\]  \[(11.64b)\]

11.9.1.2 Spherical Dome

Membrane Analysis of the Equilibrium Forces. The spherical dome has a uniform curvature. Consequently, \( r_1 = r_2 = r_o \). Assuming that the radius of the sphere is \( a \), then \( r_o = a \sin \phi \) in Figure 11.14(c), and, setting \( p_z = w_P \) for self-weight, the general equilibrium equations 11.64 become

\[
N_\theta = aw_P \left( \frac{1}{1 + \cos \phi} - \cos \phi \right)
\]  \[(11.65a)\]

and

\[
N_\phi = - \frac{aw_P}{1 + \cos \phi}
\]  \[(11.65b)\]

where \( w_P \) is the intensity of self-weight per unit area. It is plain from Equation 11.65b that the meridional force \( N_\theta \) is always positive. Therefore, compression develops along the meridians and increases as the angle \( \phi \) increases: when \( \phi = 0 \), \( N_\theta = -aw_P \), and when \( \phi = \pi/2 \), \( N_\theta = -aw_P \).

The tangential force \( N_\phi \) is negative, i.e., compressive, only for limited values of the angle \( \phi \). Setting \( N_\theta = 0 \) in Equation 11.65a, \( 1/(1 + \cos \phi) - \cos \phi = 0 \) gives \( \phi = 51^{1}\!\!49^{\prime} \). This determination indicates that for \( \phi \) greater than \( 51^{1}\!\!49^{\prime} \), tensile stresses develop in the direction perpendicular to the meridians. The distribution of the meridional stresses \( N_\phi \) and the tangential stresses \( N_\theta \) for both the self-weight \( w_P \) and the external live load \( w_L \) is shown in Figure 11.15.

If the external load is uniform, such as snow, giving a projection intensity \( w_L \), the meridional force \( N_\theta \) is obtained from free-body equilibrium by equating the external load to the internal meridional force, i.e., \(-\pi(d/2)^2w_L = 2\pi(a \sin \phi)N_\phi \). Since \( d/2 = a \sin \phi \), we obtain

\[
N_\phi = \frac{w_L a}{2}
\]  \[(11.66a)\]

Hence, \( N_\phi \) is constant throughout the shell depth, as is plain in Figure 11.15.

\( N_\phi \) due to the live load \( w_L \) is

\[
N_\phi = -aw_L \cos^2 \phi + \frac{aw_L}{2} = aw_L \left( \frac{1}{2} - \cos^2 \phi \right) = \frac{aw_L}{2} \cos 2\phi
\]  \[(11.66b)\]
For the case of $N_\theta = 0$, the shell angle $\phi = 45^\circ$. Consequently, shell stresses due to tangential forces $N_\phi$ for $\phi$ less than 45 degrees are compressive, eliminating cracking. From the distribution of the tangential forces $N_\phi$, it can be concluded that roofs of storage tanks should be flat, i.e., the ratio $h'/d$ in Figure 11.15(b) should not exceed $\frac{1}{4}$, so that the concrete will be totally in compression due to both $N_\phi$ and $N_\theta$, as angle $\phi$ is less than $51^\circ 49'$ for meridional forces and $45^\circ$ for tangential forces.

As discussed at the outset, the support type at the springing level, if restrained, introduces indeterminate reactions that result in direct and bending stresses in the shell near the springing level. Accordingly, the bending theory, a rigorous procedure beyond the scope of this text, has to be applied. Refs. 11.1 and 11.3, on the subject of plates and shells, can be used for determining the resulting bending stresses. The following covers the design of the...
prestressed ring beam at the springing level to counter the horizontal component of the meridional compressive thrust \( N_\phi \) which causes the edge of the dome to move inwards.

From Equations 11.65b and 11.66a, the meridional thrust, \( N_\phi \), for self-weight \( w_D \) per unit surface area and uniform live load \( w_L \) per unit projected area can be written as

\[
N_\phi = -a\left(\frac{w_D}{1 + \cos \phi} + \frac{w_L}{2}\right) \tag{11.67}
\]

where \( a = d/2 \sin \phi \) is the radius of the shell.

Note that the thrust, \( N_\phi \), becomes vertical at the springing (\( \phi = \pi/2 \)) of a hemispherical dome and is equal to \( W = a/2(2w_D + w_L) \) per unit width. At other values of \( \phi \), \( N_\phi \), it is inclined and the value of its horizontal component is needed for the design of the prestressed ring beam at the springing level, namely, the shell rim. This horizontal component is \( p = N_\phi \cos \phi \). If \( P \) is the prestressing force per beam height in the ring beam, then

\[
P = \frac{d}{2}(N_\phi \cos \phi) \tag{11.68}
\]

Evidently, if the force \( P \) could be applied directly to the dome rim, the stresses in the dome would be those defined by Equation 11.67. This is usually not feasible, since the large amount of prestressing steel needed due to \( P \) cannot be accommodated in the small thickness of the shell, and the stress in the concrete in the rim zone would be very high indeed. Thus, an edge beam has to be provided, transforming the shell into a statically determinate structure.

**Prestressing the Statically Indeterminate Flat Dome.** The simplest boundary condition is obtained when the edge beam reaction is vertical and without any support restraint, as shown in Figure 11.16, where the dome thrust \( N_\phi \) passes through the beam centroid. If an imaginary cut along line \( A-A \) is made, the horizontal thrust \( N_\phi \cos \phi \) causes the dome edge to move inwards a distance (Ref. 11.16)

\[
\Delta_e = \frac{d}{2Et}(N_0 - \mu N_\phi) \tag{11.69}
\]

where \( \mu = \) Poisson's ratio = 0.2 for concrete

\( d = \) shell span

**Figure 11.16** Ring beam effects. (a) Simply supported beam with thrust line passing through ring beam centroid. (b) Shell displacements at rim; rotations disregarded.
and the tangential unit force is obtained from Equation 11.65a as

$$\frac{N_b}{2 \sin \phi \left( \frac{1}{1 + \cos \phi} - \cos \phi \right)} - \frac{w_1 d}{4 \sin \phi} (\cos 2\phi)$$

Conversely, the meridional thrust $N_b$ causes the ring beam to move outwards a distance

$$\Delta_b = \frac{N_b (\cos \phi) d^2}{4 Ebh}$$

The prestressing force must therefore be sufficient to move the ring beam inwards a total distance

$$\Delta_f = \Delta_s + \Delta_b$$

so that the total force acting on the ring beam cross section is

$$P = \frac{bh}{t} (N_b - \mu N_a) + \frac{d(N_b \cos \phi)}{2}$$

where $h$ is the total ring beam depth. A comparison of Equations 11.72 and 11.68 shows that the effective prestressing force needed in the former is greater than that required in the latter. The magnitude of this increase is about 5 to 10 percent. The same conditions also hold true for domes in which the line of thrust from the dome does not pass through the centroid of the ring beam and the beam itself is rigidly attached to the wall as in Figure 11.17(a). The required prestressing force $P$ can be obtained approximately by increasing the value of $P$ in Equation 11.68 by 10 percent (Ref. 11.16). In such a case, the stresses in the shell itself at the springing level zone can significantly differ from those obtained in the membrane solution, and the bending solution modifications have to be made as in Ref. 11.1 or 11.3.

If the horizontal radial prestressing force in the ring beam is larger than required, excessive bending deformation develops in the shell rim, as is shown in Figure 11.17(b), with a significant increase in the value of the tangential force $N_b$ as compared to the increase in the meridional force $N_a$. As a result, the bending stresses in the concrete in the affected zone could exceed the maximum allowable at service load. If the initial prestress before losses is $P_r$, the area of the beam cross section is

![Figure 11.17](image-url)
\[ A_c = \frac{P_f}{f_c} \]  

(11.73)

where \( P_f \) = initial prestressing force \( P/\gamma \)
\( f_c \) = allowable compressive stress in the concrete
\( \gamma \) = residual stress percentage.

It is desirable to maintain a low value of \( f_c \), about \( 0.2 f'c \), and not exceeding 800 to 900 psi, in order to minimize any excessive strain that develops in the edge ring beam, which in turn could produce high stresses in the shell at the springing zone.

The area of the prestressing steel in the dome ring is

\[ \text{Unit } A_{ps} = \frac{P_f}{f_{ps}} \]  

(11.74a)

where \( f_{ps} \) is the allowable stress, in psi, in the prestressing reinforcement before losses. If accurate analysis to determine \( A_{ps} \) is not required, the steel area can be taken as

\[ A_{ps} = \frac{W \cot \phi}{2\pi f_{pe}} \]  

(11.74b)

where \( W \) = total dead and live load on the dome due to \( w_D + w_L \)
\( f_{pe} \) = effective steel prestress after losses, psi.

The minimum thickness of the dome required to withstand buckling (Ref. 11.7) may be taken to be

\[ \text{Min } h_d = a\sqrt{\frac{1.5P_u}{\phi \beta_i \beta_c E_c}} \]  

(11.75)
where \( a = \) radius of dome shell \\
\( P_u = \) ultimate uniformly distributed design unit pressure due to dead load and live load = \((1.2D + 1.6L)/144\) \\
\( \phi = \) strength reduction factor for material variability in compression = 0.65 \\
\( \beta_i = \) buckling reduction factor for deviations from true spherical surface due to imperfections \\
\( \beta_r = (ar)^2, \text{ where } r \leq 1.4a \) \\
\( \beta_c = \) buckling reduction factor for creep, material nonlinearity, and cracking = \(0.44 + 0.003 W_L\), but not to exceed 0.53 \\
\( E_c = \) initial modulus of concrete = 57,000 \(\sqrt{f'_c}\) psi.

11.10 PRESTRESSED CONCRETE TANKS WITH CIRCUMFERENTIAL TENDONS

Instead of wrapping the prestressing wires or strands, as is done in the Preload System, internal or external horizontal tendons are used. These tendons are stressed after they are placed within or on the wall. Vertical post-tensioning is incorporated in the walls as part of the vertical reinforcement. The concrete walls are either cast in place or precast, and the core wall is considered to be the portion of the concrete wall that is circumferentially prestressed. No steel diaphragms are used in this type of construction as compared with wrapped-wire prestressing, where the tank walls can be either with or without steel diaphragms.

The internal prestressed reinforcement is protected by the concrete cover as required in ACI 318, and the ducts or sheathing have to be filled with corrosion-inhibiting materials or grouted. The bonded post-tensioned tendon reinforcement has to be protected by portland cement grout as required in the ACI 318 code, and external tendons should be protected by a shotcrete cover of 1-in. (25-mm) minimum thickness.

The wall design procedures are similar to those of circular tanks prestressed by wire or strand wrapping, and the same requirements for crack control and water or liquid tightness apply. A minimum residual compressive stress of 200 psi (1.4 MPa) in the concrete wall after all prestress losses has to be provided in the design when the tank is filled to the design level. If the tank is not covered, a residual compressive stress of 400 psi (2.8 MPa) has to be provided at the wall top, reducing linearly to not less than 200 psi at 0.6 \(\sqrt{R_h}\) from the top of the liquid level.

**Typical Wall Base and Dome Roof Connections.** From the foregoing discussions, it is clear that the boundary conditions at the base of the circular prestressed tank and at the ring beam support for the roof dome determine the practicality, economy, and success of the entire design. Consequently, accumulated experience in developing the connections at these boundary conditions is invaluable. A selection of connection details taken from Refs. 11.6 to 11.9 is given in Figures 11.18 through 11.22.

11.11 SEISMIC DESIGN OF LIQUID CONTAINMENT TANK STRUCTURES

Liquid containing tanks, including prestressed circular tanks, also have to be designed to resist earthquake loads in high seismic intensity zones. Such zones are in site classes C, D, E, and F, and seismic use groups II and III discussed in Sections 13.2.2 and 13.3.3 on the design of structures by IBC 2000-2003. The scope of this book precludes extension of the detailed static design of prestressed circular tanks presented in the previous sections, to seismic design aspects. However, it is important to briefly bring to the reader's attention some highlights of this topic as they appear in the recent ACI 350 Report (Ref. 11.17).
Figure 11.18 Cast-in-place tanks. (a) Monolithic base joint; monolithic and fully restrained against translation before and after wire winding. (b) Monolithic base joint; hinged with limited restraint against translation during wire winding, and monolithic and fully restrained against translation after wire winding. (c) Separated base joint, allows translation, rotation, or both (d) Monolithic dome-wall connection. (e) Separated dome-wall connection.
The general principles for ground motion in this Report are essentially similar to those of the IBC 2000, or more correctly, the UBC 1997. The walls of the liquid containment vessels have to be designed for the following dynamic forces in addition to the static pressures:

(a) Lateral inertia wall force $P_w$ and roof force $P_r$,
(b) Hydrodynamic impulsive pressure $P_i$ from the contained liquid,
(c) Hydrodynamic convective pressure $P_c$ from the contained liquid,
Figure 11.21 Typical tank section of a domed preload prestressed concrete tank with an inner steel diaphragm. (*Courtesy, Preload Technology, Inc., New York.*)
(d) Dynamic earth pressure from saturated and unsaturated soils against the buried portion of the wall,
(e) Effects of vertical acceleration.

The total horizontal bas shear is expressed as follows:

\[ V = \sqrt{(P_l + P_w + P_t)^2 + P_c^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.76)

The corresponding base moment for the entire tank above the base of the tank wall is expressed as follows:

\[ M_b = \sqrt{(M_l + M_w + M_t)^2 + M_c^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11.77)

The overturning moments about the base of the tank, including the tank bottom and the supporting structures are obtained by multiplying the forces by the heights from the base to the mass center of gravity at the level considered.

Expressions for computing the various forces in Equation 11.76 and moments in Equation 11.77 are presented in ACI 350 Report. In addition, various factors and coeffi-
11.12 STEP-BY-STEP PROCEDURE FOR THE DESIGN OF CIRCULAR PRESTRESSED CONCRETE TANKS AND DOME ROOFs

The following trial-and-adjustment procedure is recommended for designing a prestressed concrete circular tank and its roof shell:

1. Select the prestressing system, the type of prestressing wire, the concrete strength, and the type of restraint that can be accomplished under local conditions.

2. Determine the contained material pressure on the wall: \( \gamma H \) for liquid and \( p \) for gas. Use the trapezoidal distribution for granular or solid containment.
   Find the unit ring force \( F = \gamma(H - y)r \) for a completely sliding base, where \( r \) is the radius of the tank and \( y \) is the distance above the base.

3. Choose, from Tables 11.4 through 11.16, the applicable vertical moment coefficients for the particular load type and wall base restraint condition caused by liquid pressure

\[
M_y = \frac{1}{\beta} [\beta M_p \phi(y) + Q_o \zeta(y)]
\]

and determine the corresponding horizontal radial ring tensions

\[
Q_r = + (2\beta H - 1) \frac{\gamma rt}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}}
\]

and \( Q_r = (F - \Delta Q_r) \), where the offset

\[
\Delta Q_r = + \frac{6(1 - \mu^2)}{\beta^3 r t^2} (\beta M_p \phi(y) + Q_o \theta(y))
\]

and

\[
\beta = \frac{[3(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4}}{(rt)^{1/2}}
\]

where \( \mu = 0.20 \) for concrete.

4. Find the applicable membrane coefficients \( C \) from Tables 11.4 through 11.16. Compute the applicable ring force \( F = C \gamma H r \).

5. Compute the critical vertical moments in the wall using the applicable membrane coefficient \( C \). The equation for moment due to liquid load is

\[
M_y = C(\gamma H^3 + p H^2)
\]

or

\[
M_y = CpH^2
\]

due to gas load if applicable. Compute the moment at the base, where applicable, and at the critical \( y \) plane above the base.

6. Choose the level of vertical prestressing force.

7. Compute the concrete stresses across the thickness of the wall both for the condition when the tank is empty and for when it is totally full. Allow maximum residual
axial compressive stress $f_{cv} = 200$ psi at service and a maximum tensile stress $f_t = 3\sqrt{f_{ct}^2}$ as shown in Table 11.17.

8. Design both the horizontal and the vertical prepressing steel limiting stresses to those given in Table 11.18.

9. Compute the factored moment $M_n$ using the applicable load factors given in subsection 11.7.2. The required $M_n = M_f/\phi$, where $\phi = 0.9$. Compute the available nominal moment strength $M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2)$, or $M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) + A_t f_t (d - a/2)$. The available $M_n$ has to be greater than or equal to the required $M_n$.

10. Design the length $L$ of the annular ring at the base of the wall from the equation

$$L^2 = \frac{2CH^2}{1 + \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^3} \left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^2$$

where $t$ is the thickness of the wall and $h$ the thickness of the base slab.

11. Compute the percentage of prestress in the base to be transferred to the wall from the formula

$$\text{Percentage } R = \frac{1}{1 + S}$$

where $S = 1.1(h/t) \times (d/t)^{1/4}$.

When only the outer rim of the slab ring is compressed by radial thrust at the rim, the value of $S$ is modified to

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{h}{t}\right) \left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^{1/2}$$

where

$$K = \left(\frac{d_o^2 + d^2}{d_o^2 - d^2} - \mu\right)$$

in which $d_o = \text{outer diameter}$

$d = \text{inner slab ring diameter} = d_o - 2L$.

12. Check the minimum wall thickness requirements, and evaluate the unrestrained initial elastic radial deflection

$$\Delta_r = \frac{F_r r}{t_{co} E_c}$$

where $E_c = 57,000\sqrt{f'_{ct}}$;

$t_{co} = \text{thickness of wall core at top or bottom of wall}$

$r = \frac{1}{4}d$.

The final radial deflection $\Delta_r = 1.7\Delta_r$.

13. Anchor the steel from the base to the wall such that the steel extends into the wall a distance $y_2 = 1.8 \sqrt{r t_{co}}$ or 3 ft, whichever is greater. Also, ensure that the minimum nominal vertical steel at the base region is

$$A_r = 0.005 t_{co}$$

14. Verify the maximum crack width $w_{max} = 4.1 \times 10^{-6} \epsilon_t E_{ps} \sqrt{f_{ct}}$.

where $\epsilon_t = \text{tensile surface strain in the concrete} = (\lambda f_p)/(E_{ps})$

$f_p = \text{actual stress in the steel}$

$f_{ps} = \text{initial prestress before losses}$

$\lambda = f_p/f_{ps}$
\[ I_c = \frac{\text{grid index}}{\pi} \left( \frac{s_1 s_2 t_b}{\phi_1} \right) \]

\( s_1 \) = spacing of reinforcement in direction “1”
\( \phi_1 \) = diameter of steel in direction “1”
\( s_2 \) = spacing of reinforcement in direction “2”
\( t_b \) = concrete cover to center of steel, in.

Note that maximum allowable \( w_{\text{max}} = 0.004 \) in. for liquid-retaining tanks.

15. Design the roof cover dome after selecting the type of connection at the top of the tank wall. Limit the ratio of the rise \( h' \) of the dome to its base \( d \) such that \( h'/d \) does not exceed \( \frac{1}{4} \).

Compute the required horizontal radial prestressing force \( P \) for the edge beam from the equation

\[ P = \frac{bh}{t} (N_b - \mu N_b) + \frac{d(N_b \cos \phi)}{2} \]

where

\[ N_b = \frac{w_D d}{2 \sin \phi} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \cos \phi} - \cos \phi \right] - \frac{w_L d}{4 \sin \phi} (\cos 2\phi) \]

\[ N_\phi = -a \left( \frac{w_D}{1 + \cos \phi} + \frac{w_L}{2} \right) \]
and

\[ h = \text{total depth of rim beam} \]
\[ b = \text{ring beam width} \]
\[ w_D = \text{intensity of self-weight of shell per unit area (dead load)} \]
\[ w_L = \text{intensity of live-load projection}. \]

16. Compute the ring-edge beam cross section

\[ A_c = \frac{P_i}{f_c} \]

where \( P_i = \text{initial prestressing force} \)
\( \gamma = \text{residual stress percentage} \)
\( f_c = \text{allowable compressive stress in the concrete, not to exceed } 0.2f_c', \text{ but not more than } 800-900 \text{ psi, in the edge beam}. \)

17. Compute the area of the edge beam prestressing tendon

\[ A_{ps} = \frac{P_i}{f_{ps}} \]

where \( f_{ps} = \text{allowable stress in the prestressing steel before losses, or} \)

\[ A_{ps} = \frac{W \cot \phi}{2\pi f_{pe}} \]

if accurate analysis is not performed. In the latter, \( W \) is the total dead and live load on the dome due to \( w_D + w_L \) and \( f_{pe} \) is the effective prestress after losses.

18. Check the minimum dome thickness required to withstand buckling, i.e.,

\[ \text{Min. } h_d = a \sqrt{\frac{1.5P_u}{\phi \beta_i \beta_c E_c}} \]

where \( a = \text{radius of dome shell} \)
\( P_u = \text{ultimate uniformly distributed design unit pressure due to dead load} \)
\( \phi = \text{strength reduction factor for material variability} = 0.7 \)
\( \beta_i = \text{buckling reduction factor for deviations from true spherical surface due to imperfections} \)
\( \beta_i = (a/r_i)^2, \text{ where } r_i \leq 1.4a \)
\( \beta_c = \text{buckling reduction factor for creep, material nonlinearity, and cracking} = 0.44 + 0.003W_L, \text{ but not to exceed } 0.53 \)
\( E_c = \text{initial modulus of concrete} = 57,000 \sqrt{f_c'} \text{ psi}. \)

Figure 11.23 gives a step-by-step flowchart for a recommended sequence of operations to be performed in the design of circular prestressed concrete tanks and their shell roofs.
Chapter 11  Prestressed Concrete Circular Storage Tanks and Shell Roofs

1. Input:  \( d, H, r, b, \gamma, N, \gamma, p, W, W_0, f_p, f_p, f_p, f_p, f_p \)

2. Assume wall thickness \( t \) and type of wall base joint. Compute \( F = \gamma(H - y)t \) for freely sliding base. Select membrane coefficient \( C \) from Tables 10.4-10.16

\[ \beta = \frac{[3(1 - \mu^2)]^{1/4}}{[t/2]^{1/2}} \]

Compute max. \( M_f \) at \( y \) above base

\[ M_f = c(\gamma H^2 + \rho t^2) \]

\[ M_f, Q_f, \Delta Q_p \text{ and } Q_p \]

\[ Q_p = \frac{(2b - 1)}{\sqrt{12(1 - \mu^2)}} \frac{\gamma t^2}{\rho t^2} \]

\[ \Delta Q_p = \frac{6(1 - \mu^2)}{\rho t^2} \left[ 2M_p \phi(t) + Q_p \theta(t) \right] \]

\[ Q_p = F - \Delta Q_p \]

3. Choose vertical prestress \( P_v \). Compute concrete fiber stresses at critical base section when tank is empty and when full

\[ f = \frac{P_v}{A} \pm \frac{M_L c}{I} + \frac{M_p c}{I} \]

where \( M_L \) = liquid load vertical unit moment

\( M_p \) = prestress vertical unit moment

Max. \( f_p = 0.45 f_p^* \)

Min. \( r = 7 \text{ in. with vertical prestress} \)

Max. allowable residual axial \( f_p = 200 \text{ psi} \)

Max. allowable tensile stress \( f_p = 3 \sqrt{f_p^*} \)

4. Revise wall section details

No

5. Compute factored moment \( M_f \) using load factors:

- Initial liquid pressure 1.3
- Internal lateral pressure from dry material 1.7
- Final prestress after losses 1.7
- Strength reduction factor 0.9

\[ \text{Reqd } M_f = \text{available } M_f = \frac{M_f}{0.9} \]

\[ \text{Avail. } M_f = A_p f_p \left( d - \frac{d}{2} \right) + A_s f_s \left( d - \frac{d}{2} \right) \]

Yes

Figure 11.23  Flowchart for the design of circular prestressed tanks and their flat dome roofs.
11.12 Step-by-Step Procedure for the Design of Circular Prestressed Concrete Tanks and Dome Roofs

6. Revise wall section

   \( M_d < 0.9M_e \) ?

   Yes

7. Compute slab base ring length \( L \) and thickness \( h \)

\[
L^2 = \frac{2CH^2}{1 + \left( \frac{h}{n} \right)^2} \left( \frac{d}{r} \right)^{1/2}
\]

where
- \( r \) = wall thickness
- \( h \) = base slab thickness
- \( d \) = tank interior diameter

Compute percentage \( R = \frac{1}{1 + S} \) of moment to be transferred to wall where

\[
S = 1.1H/n(t/\sqrt{\mu})
\]

or \( S = \frac{1}{K} \left( \frac{n}{h} \right) \sqrt{\mu} \) when only the outer rim of the slab ring is compressed by radial thrust at the rim,

where \( K = \left[ \frac{d_2^2 + d_1^2}{d_2^2 - d_1^2} - \mu \right] \), \( \mu = 0.2 \), \( d = (d_2 - 2L) \)

8. Check if the elastic radial long-term deflection \( \Delta_r = 1.2 \left( \frac{E_F}{t_{es}E} \right) \) is acceptable, where \( F_r \) = initial thrust, \( r = \frac{1}{2} d \), \( t_{es} = \) thickness of wall core at top or bottom of wall, \( E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f_c} \)

9. Anchor steel from base to wall up to minimum distance \( y_2 \) above base, where \( y_2 = \sqrt{t_{es}} \) but not less than 3 ft. above top of base.

Min. vertical steel \( A_s = 0.005t_{es} \)

10. Max. allow crack width = 0.004 in. for liquid-retaining tanks

\[
W_{max} = 4.1 \times 10^{-6} \varepsilon_{ct}E_{ps} \sqrt{f_c}
\]

where
- \( l_s = \frac{9}{\pi} \left( \frac{d_2 + d_1}{\phi_i} \right) \)
- \( \phi_i \) = diameter of wire in main direction
- \( s_2 \) = spacing of wire in perpendicular direction
- \( t_s \) = cover to center of reinforcement
- \( \varepsilon_{ct} \) = tensile surface strain in the concrete \( \frac{\lambda_i f_{ps}}{E_{ps}} \)

where \( \lambda_i \sim t_s/\phi_i \)

\( f_s \) = actual stress in steel
\( f_{ps} \) = initial prestress

Figure 11.23  Continued
Design roof shell dome: \( \frac{N_k}{d} \leq \frac{1}{8} \), Assume ring beam section \( b \times h = A_k \). Select shell thickness \( t \) and check for min. \( t \) required to resist buckling from step 15. Edge ring beam prestressing force:

\[
P = \frac{b h}{t} (N_k - \mu N_d) + \frac{d}{2} (N_d \cos \phi)
\]

where

- tangential \( N_d = \frac{w_o d}{2 \sin \phi} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \cos \phi} - \cos \phi \right] - \frac{w_d d}{4 \sin \phi} (\cos 2\phi)
- meridional \( N_d = \frac{W_o}{1 + \cos \phi} + \frac{W_L}{2} \)

\( b \) = beam width, \( h \) = beam depth, \( w_o \) = dead load, \( w_L \) = live load

Compute reqd. \( A_t = P_t / f_c \), where \( P_t = P / \gamma; \gamma = \) residual stress percentage, \( f_c = \) allowable concrete compressive stress \( \leq 0.20 f_c' \leq 800 \) to 900 psi

Revise ring beam \( A_v \)

- No: Assumed \( A_v \geq \) reqd. \( A_t \)
- Yes

Compute edge ring beam prestress reinforcement \( A_{ps} = P_t / f_p \) or \( A_{ps} = \frac{W \cot \phi}{2 f_p} \) if accurate analysis is not performed.

\( W = \) total dead and live load \( (w_o + W_L) \) on the dome

\( f_p = \) effective prestress after losses

Check min. dome thickness \( t \) to withstand buckling,

\[
\text{Min. } h_d = \sqrt{\frac{1.5 \rho_c}{K \beta_d E_c}}
\]

where \( \rho_c = \) radius of dome shell

- \( \rho_c = 1.2d + 1.64 \xi, \phi = 0.65, \beta_d = 0.50, \gamma = 0.44 + 0.003 W_L \leq 0.53, \)
- \( E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f_c'} \)

**Figure 11.23 Continued**
11.13 Design of Circular Prestressed Concrete Water-Retaining Tank and Its Domed Roof

11.13 DESIGN OF CIRCULAR PRESTRESSED CONCRETE WATER-RETAINING TANK AND ITS DOMED ROOF

Example 11.3

Determine the maximum horizontal ring forces and vertical moments, and design the wall prestressing reinforcement, for a circular prestressed concrete tank whose diameter \( d = 125 \text{ ft} \) (38.1 m) and which retains a water height \( H = 25 \text{ ft} \) (7.62 m) for the following conditions of wall base support: (a) hinged, (b) fully fixed, (c) semisliding, and (d) partially fixed. Also, design the prestressed concrete ring edge beam for the domed roof shell assuming that the shell rise-span ratio \( h'/d = \frac{1}{4} \). Use a flat shell roof having shell angle \( \phi = 36^\circ \), and find the area of prestressing reinforcement for both wire-wrapped and tendon reinforced conditions. Given data are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f'_c &= 5,000 \text{ psi} \ (34.5 \text{ MPa}), \text{ normal-weight concrete} \\
    f'_c &= 3,750 \text{ psi} \ (25.9 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f_t &= 212 \text{ psi} \ (0.86 \text{ MPa}) \leq 3\sqrt{f'_c} \\
    f_e &= 0.45f'_c = 2,250 \text{ psi} \ (15.5 \text{ MPa}) \\
    \text{residual } f_s &= 225 \text{ psi} \ (1.55 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f_{pw} \text{ (wire)} &= 250,000 \text{ psi} \ (1,724 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f_{pw} \text{ (strands and tendons)} &= 250,000 \text{ psi} \ (1,724 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f_{ps} &= 0.7f_{pw} = 175,000 \text{ psi} \ (1,207 \text{ MPa}) \\
    f_{ps} &= 220,000 \text{ psi} \ (1,517 \text{ MPa}) \\
    w_L &= 15 \text{ psf} \ (718 \text{ Pa}) \text{ for snow load on dome}
\end{align*}
\]

Assume 26 percent total loss in prestress for all long-term effects.

Solution: Disregard the weight of the wall and the roof dome effect as insignificant on the stresses as compared to the effect of the vertical prestress forces. Consider the water pressure distribution shown in Figure 11.24 on the tank wall giving

\[
\gamma = 62.4 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \ (1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3)
\]

\[
r = \frac{d}{2} = \frac{125}{2} = 62.5 \text{ ft} \ (19.1 \text{ m})
\]

Assume the wall thickness \( t = 10 \text{ in.} = 0.83 \text{ ft} \ (25.4 \text{ cm}) \). Then the form factor

\[
\frac{H^2}{dt} = \frac{25 \times 25}{125 \times 0.83} = 6
\]

and \( \gamma Hr = 62.4 \times 25 \times 62.5 = 97,500 \text{ lb/ft of circumference} \).

Basic Forces and Moments. Tables 11.19 through 11.21 give the basic forces and moments in the tank wall.

![Figure 11.24](image)

**Figure 11.24** Liquid ring tension \( F \), wall base freely sliding.
Table 11.19  Maximum Ring Tension $F = C(\gamma H r)$ lb/ft Circumference, Example 11.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freely Sliding Wall Base</th>
<th>Fixed Base</th>
<th>Hinged Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C = 1$</td>
<td>Table 11.10 for $\frac{H^2}{2H} = 6$</td>
<td>Table 11.12 for $\frac{H^2}{2H} = 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F = 97,500$</td>
<td>$C = 0.514$</td>
<td>$C = 0.643$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F = 0.514 \times 97,500 = 50,115$</td>
<td>$F = 0.643 \times 97,500 = 62,693$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Compare with 50,113 lb/ft in the detailed method of Example 11.2.

Table 11.20  Vertical Moments $M = C(\gamma H^2)$ ft-lb/ft, Example 11.3. Positive (+) = Tension in Outside Face

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freely Sliding Base</th>
<th>Fixed Wall Base</th>
<th>Hinged Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_x = M_y = 0$</td>
<td>Table 11.4</td>
<td>Table 11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C = +0.0051$ for $0.7H = 17.5$ ft</td>
<td>$C = 0$ for $1.0H = 20$ ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C = -0.0187$ for $1.0H = 25$ ft</td>
<td>$C = 0$ for $1.0H$, or full height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$M_x = +0.0051 \times 62.4(25)^3$</td>
<td>$M_x = +0.0078 \times 62.4(25)^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$= +4,973$</td>
<td>$= +7,605$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$M_y = -0.0187 \times 62.4(25)^3$</td>
<td>$M_y = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$= -18,233$</td>
<td>$M_y = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This moment value is very close to the value obtained by using the detailed method and the moment functions of Table 11.1 and Example 11.1 ($M_y = -18,574$).
### Table 11.21 Prestressing Effects Using 225-psi Residual Radial Compression, Example 11.3. Ring Forces \( Q \text{ lb/ft} \), Vertical Moments \( M_y \text{ ft-lb/ft} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freely Sliding Base</th>
<th>Fixed Wall Base</th>
<th>Hinged Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ring Forces</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ring Forces</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ring Forces</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual compression ( f_r = 225 \text{ psi} )</td>
<td>(225 psi X 10 X 12)</td>
<td>(225 psi X 10 X 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P/S )</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225 psi X 10 X 12</td>
<td>( x = 60,115 + [225 \times 10 \times 12] )</td>
<td>( x = 62,693 + [225 \times 10 \times 12] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97,500</td>
<td>( = 77,115 = Q_{15} )</td>
<td>( = 89,693 = Q_{17.5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x = 97,500 + [\text{Res. comp. X } r \times 1 \text{ ft.}] )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 97,500 + [225 X 10 X 12]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 124,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moments</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moments</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ M_y ] ( x = 0 ) = ( M_o = 0 )</td>
<td>[ y = \frac{77,115 \times 18,233}{50,115} = \frac{-28,056}{\text{ft}} ]</td>
<td>[ y = \frac{7,605 \times 89,693}{62,693} = \frac{-10,880}{\text{ft}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ y = 4,973 \times \frac{77,115}{50,115} = \frac{-7,652}{\text{ft}} ]</td>
<td>[ M_o = \frac{18,233 \times 77,115}{50,115} = \frac{-28,056}{\text{ft}} ]</td>
<td>[ M_y = 0 \ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Compare with the value \( M = +4.912 \text{ ft-lb/ft} \) obtained by the detailed method of Example 11.1.*
Wall Maximum Concrete Stresses at 20 ft from Top: Hinged Base. By trial and adjustment, provide vertical concentric prestress \( P_e = 50,000 \text{ lb/ft} \) (730 kN/m) of circumference. Then for a wall thickness \( t = 10 \text{ in.} \) compute the resulting stresses as shown in Figure 11.25.

\[
f_+ = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{10,880 \times 12}{12(10)^2} = \pm 653 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_- = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{7,605 \times 12}{12(10)^2} = \pm 456 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_e = \frac{P_e}{A_e} = \frac{50,000}{12 \times 10} = -417 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_t = f_e + (1)
\]

Max. \( f_t = 236 \text{ psi} \approx 3\sqrt{5,000} \)
\( \approx 212 \text{ psi}, \text{ O.K.} \)

Max. \( f_e = -1,070 \text{ psi} < 0.45f'_c, \text{ O.K.} \)

\[
f_t = f_e + (1) + (2) + (3)
\]

Max. \( f_e = -614 \text{ psi} < 0.45f'_c, \text{ O.K.} \)

\[\text{Figure 11.25 Stress at maximum moment, 20 ft from top, psi. Negative (-) = compression, positive (+) = tension.}\]
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Wall Maximum Concrete Stress at 17 ft 6 in. from Top: Fully Fixed Base. The maximum positive moment $M_s$ is at 17 ft 6 in. from the top of the wall. By trial and adjustment, use eccentric vertical prestressing $P_e = 100,000$ lb/ft closer to the outer face [$e = 1.05$ in. (26.7 mm)]. Then compute the resulting stresses in the wall as shown in Figure 11.26.

$$f_s = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{7,652 \times 12}{12(10)^2} = \pm 459 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_v = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{4,973 \times 12}{12(10)^2} = \pm 298 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_v = \frac{P_e}{A_e} = \frac{100,000}{12 \times 10} = -833 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_v = \frac{P_e e}{I} = \frac{100,000 \times 1.05}{12(10)^2} = \mp 525 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_t = 1 + 3 + 4$$

Max. $f_s = +151$ psi $< 3\sqrt{f_c} = 212$, O.K.

Max. $f_v = -1,817$ psi $< 0.45f_c = -2,250$ psi, O.K.

Max. $f_v = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4$

Max. $f_c = -1,519$ psi $< 0.45f_c$, O.K.

---

**Figure 11.26** Stresses at maximum positive (+) moment, 17 ft, 6 in. from top, psi. Negative (−) = compression, positive (+) = tension.
Wall Maximum Concrete Stress at Base: Fully Fixed Base. Use eccentric vertical prestress \( P_v = 100,000 \text{ lb} \) closer to the outer face \((e = 1.05 \text{ in.})\). Then compute the resulting stresses in the wall as shown in Figure 11.27.

\[
f_{+} = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{-28,056 \times 12}{12(10)^2} = \pm 1,683 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_{-} = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{-18,233 \times 12}{12(10)^2} = \mp 1,094 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_0 = \frac{P_v}{A_c} = \frac{-100,000}{12 \times 10} = -833 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_0 = \frac{P_v e(c)}{I} = \frac{100,000 \times 1.05}{12(10)^2} = \mp 525 \text{ psi}
\]

\[
f_0 = 1 + 3 + 4
\]

Max. \(f_0 = -1,991 \text{ psi} < 0.45 f'_c\), O.K.

Max. \(f_0 = +325 \text{ psi} \) at base when tank is empty.
This stress will rapidly decrease well below \(3 \sqrt{f'_c}\) within one foot above base, hence O.K.

\[
f_0 = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4
\]

Max. \(f_0 = -897 \text{ psi} < 0.45 f'_c\), O.K.

Figure 11.27 Stresses at maximum negative \((-\) moment at wall base, psi. Negative \((-\) = compression, positive \((+) = tension.\)
Wall Maximum Concrete Stress: Semisliding Base. By trial and adjustment, use concentric vertical prestress $P_v = 20,400$ lb/ft (297 kN/m). Then semislid $M = \frac{1}{2} (10,880) = 5,440$ ft-lb/ft, and compute the resulting stresses in the wall as shown in Figure 11.28.

$$f_s = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{5,440 \times 12}{\frac{12(10)^2}{6}} = \pm 326 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_s = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{+7,605 \times 12}{\frac{12(10)^2}{6}} = \pm 456 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_v = -\frac{P_v}{A_c} = -\frac{-20,400}{12 \times 10} = -170 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_s = (1) + (3)$$

Max. $f_c = -496 \text{ psi} < 0.45 f'_c$, O.K.

Max. $f_s = +156 \text{ psi} < 3\sqrt{f'_c}$, O.K.

$$f_s = (1) + (2) + (3)$$

Max. $f_c = -300 \text{ psi} < 0.45 f'_c$, O.K.

Max. $f_s = -40 \text{ psi} < 3\sqrt{f'_c}$, O.K.

Figure 11.28 Stresses at maximum positive (+) moment, psi. (a) Wall base details. (b) Semislide moment, ft-lb/ft. (c) Concrete stresses, psi.
Partial Fixity at the Wall Base. The restraint moment is \( M_p = M_o (1 - S) \), where the full fixity moment \( M_o = 18,233 \) ft-lb/ft. The modifying factor for partial fixity \( S = (\ell/h)^3/(dr)^{1/2} \).

Figure 11.29 shows the deformed shape of the base slab. If the base slab thickness \( h = 10 \) in., then, from Equations 11.39 and 11.40,

\[
S = \frac{(10/10)^3}{(125 \times 0.83)^{1/2}} = 0.10
\]

and

\[
M_p = M_o (1 - S) = 18,233(1 - 0.1) = 16,410 \text{ ft-lb/ft.}
\]

The moment loss due to partial fixity is \( 18,233 - 16,410 = 1,823 \) ft-lb/ft. From Equation 11.37 for the base ring width \( L \),

\[
L^2 = \frac{2CH^2}{1 + S}
\]

*Use temperature steel in lining

*4 in. lining

Water stop

Figure 11.29 Deformed shape of base slab. (a) Wall base. (b) Deformed section.
Also, from Table 11.4, the membrane coefficient at the base for form factor \((H^2)/(dt) = 6\) is \(C = -0.0187\). Thus, we have

\[
L^2 = \frac{2 \times 0.0187(25)^2}{1 + 0.1} = 21.25
\]

and it follows that

\[
L = 4.61 \text{ ft} = 4 \text{ ft } 7\frac{1}{2} \text{ in.}
\]

Accordingly, use a ring slab base width \(L = 4 \text{ ft } 9 \text{ in.} \) \((145 \text{ cm})\). Since for large-diameter tanks \(S\) has a very small value, the degree of fixity, as the solution shows, is almost the same for both fully fixed and partially fixed wall bases.

From Equations 11.47 and 11.48, the percent \(R\) of prestress in the base that is transferred to wall = 100/S1, where

\[
S_1 = 1.1 \left(\frac{h}{t}\right) \left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^{1/2} = 1.1 \left(\frac{10}{10}\right) \left(\frac{125}{0.83}\right)^{1/2} = 13.50\%
\]

Consequently,

\[
R = \frac{100}{13.50} = 7.4\%
\]

which means that the required design prestress for the wall can be slightly reduced, as some compression is available from the base ring.

**Design of Prestressing Reinforcement**

**Horizontal Prestressing.** Use the same size wire to wrap the circular wall, varying the spacing of the wire hoops in 5-ft bands along the tank height. In the case of the freely sliding tank wall, the minimum spacing is in the lowest band at the base, as presented graphically in Figure 11.30.

In order to determine the variation of wire pitch throughout the height of the wall, additional computations of the horizontal ring thrust \(Q_r\) have to be made at the bottom of each band. Consequently, only one typical calculation of size and wire distribution will be made for purposes of illustration.

Taking the case of the fixed wall base from Table 11.21, the maximum \(Q_{15} = 77,115\) lb/ft of circumference per foot height of wall. So trying 0.192-in. dia \((4.88 \text{ mm})\) prestressing 250-K wire, we obtain \(A_p = 0.0289 \text{ in.}^2\) per wire and \(f_{pi} = 0.7f_{pu} = 0.7 \times 250,000 = 175,000 \text{ psi} \((1,207 \text{ MPa})\).

Now assume 26-percent prestress loss for elastic shortening, seating, creep, shrinkage, and steel relaxation. Then

![Figure 11.30 Horizontal-prestress wire distribution bands.](image)
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\[ f_{pe} = 0.74 \times 175,000 = 129,500 \text{ psi (893 MPa)} \]

\[ A_{ps} = \frac{77,115}{129,500} = 0.60 \text{ in.}^2 \text{ per 1 ft of wall height} \]

No. of wire loops in 5-ft band = \( \frac{0.60 \times 5}{0.0289} = 104 \)

Hence, use 104 wire loops in the 5-ft wall band whose base is 15 ft below the top of the water level. Also, use 2-in. shotcrete to cover the wrapped horizontal 0.192-in. dia wires.

If the tank were prestressed with \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia 250-K 7-wire strand tendons, \( A_{ps} \) would be 0.144 in.\(^2\)/strand and the required number of strands in a 5-ft-height band would be \( 0.60 \times 5/0.144 \equiv 20 \) tendons.

**Vertical Prestressing.** For proportioning the vertical prestressing reinforcement, \( P_x = 100,000 \text{ lb/ft at } e = 1.05 \text{ in.} \) (1.459 N/m at \( e = 26.7 \text{ mm} \)) on the outer force side. Hence, try \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia (17.7-mm dia) 7-wire 250-K strands. We obtain

\[ A_{ps} = 0.144 \]

\[ f_{pu} = 250,000 \text{ psi (1,724 MPa)} \]

\[ f_{ps} = 0.7f_{pu} = 0.7 \times 250,000 = 175,000 \text{ psi (1,207 MPa)} \]

Assume 26-percent total prestress loss. Then \( f_a = 0.74 \times 175,000 = 129,500 \text{ psi (889 MPa)} \), the required \( A_{ps} \) per foot of circumference = \( 100,000/129,500 = 0.772 \) in.\(^2\) (4.98 cm\(^2\)), and the number of vertical strands per foot of circumference = \( 0.772/0.144 = 5.36 \). Thus, use \( \frac{1}{4} \)-in. dia 7-wire 250-K strands for vertical prestressing at 2\( \frac{1}{4} \) in. center-to-center spacing = 0.769 in.\(^2\) \( \equiv 0.772 \) in.\(^2\), O.K.

**Nominal Moment Strength Check of Tank Wall.** The maximum wall vertical moment for a fixed-base wall, from Table 11.21, is \( M = 28,056 \text{ ft-lb/ft or in.-lb/in. of circumference.} \) We thus have:

\[ \text{S.F.} = 1.3 \text{ (step 5 of flowchart)} \]

\[ M_a = 1.3 \times 28,056 = 36,473 \text{ in.-lb/in.} \]

\[ \text{Rqd } M_n = \frac{M_a}{0.9} = \frac{36,473}{0.9} = 40,525 \text{ in.-lb/in.} \]

\[ d = \frac{10}{2} + 1.05 = 6.05 \text{ in. (15.37 cm)} \]

\[ A_{ps} = \frac{0.144}{2.25} = 0.064 \text{ in.}^2 \text{/in. width} \]

\[ a = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps}}{0.85f_{pu}} = \frac{0.064 \times 220,000}{0.85 \times 5,000 \times 1} = 3.31 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \text{Available } M_n = A_{ps}f_{ps}\left(d - \frac{a}{2}\right) = 0.064 \times 220,000\left(6.05 - \frac{3.31}{2}\right) \]

\[ = 61,882 \text{ in.-lb/in. } \gg \text{ Rqd. } M_n = 40,525 \text{ in.-lb/in.}, \text{ O.K.} \]

The wall design should include a check of the deflection as described in step 8 of the flowchart. Also, a determination should be made of the anchor steel at the base of the wall as well as the crack width \( w_{\text{max}} \) in step 9 of the flowchart. Finally, a check of temper-
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Figure 11.31  Tank dome shell roof. (a) Geometry of dome. (b) Edge ring beam. (c) Equivalent ring beam.

ature and creep effects has to be made to ascertain whether any additional nonprestressed mild steel has to be added to the prestressed wall reinforcement.

Design of Roof Dome Prestressed Edge Ring Beam.  Use a rise-span ratio $h'/d = \frac{1}{4}$. Also, choose a freely supporting reaction at the top of the tank wall, using a neoprene pad under the edge ring beam. The shell would then have the form shown in Figures 11.31 and 11.32.

Since $d = 125$ ft, $h' = 125/8 = 15.63$ ft (4.76 m). Also, since $\phi = 36^\circ$ is less than $51^\circ 49'$, the entire shell would be in compression, and only temperature reinforcement is needed.

Figure 11.32  Dome prestressed ring beam support detail in Example 11.3.
The shell radius is

\[
a = \frac{d/2}{\sin \phi} = \frac{62.5}{0.588} = 106 \, \text{ft} \, (32.3 \, \text{m})
\]

From Equation 11.75, the minimum shell thickness to withstand buckling is

\[
h_d = a \sqrt{\frac{1.5P_u}{\phi \beta_i \beta_c E_c}}
\]

Hence, assuming that \( t = 3.0 \, \text{in.} \), we have

\[
P_u = 1.2D + 1.6L = 1.2 \left( \frac{3}{12} \times 150 \right) + 1.6 \times 15 = 69 \, \text{lb/ft}^2
\]

\[
\phi = 0.65
\]

\[
\beta_i = (a/r)^2 = \left( \frac{106}{1.4 \times 106} \right)^2 = 0.51
\]

\[
\beta_c = 0.44 + 0.003 \times 15 = 0.49 < 0.53, \text{ use } \beta_c = 0.49
\]

\[
E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{5,000} = 4.03 \times 10^6 \, \text{psi}
\]

\[
\text{Min } h = a \sqrt{\frac{1.5P_u}{\phi \beta_i \beta_c E_c}} = 106 \sqrt{\frac{1.5 \times 69}{0.65 \times 0.51 \times 0.49 \times 4.03 \times 10^6}}
\]

\[
= 1.33 \, \text{in.} \, (3.4 \, \text{cm}) < 3 \, \text{in.}, \text{O.K.}
\]

So use a shell \( t = 3 \, \text{in.} \, (7.6 \, \text{cm}) \). Then \( \sin \phi = \sin 36^\circ = 0.59, \cos \phi = \cos 36^\circ = 0.81, \) and \( a = \) sphere radius = 106 ft.
From Equation 11.70, the tangential force per unit length of circumference is

\[
N_\phi = \frac{W_{td}}{2 \sin \phi} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \cos \phi} - \cos \phi \right] - \frac{W_{te}}{4 \sin \phi} (\cos 2\phi)
\]

\[
= \frac{37.5 \times 125}{2 \times 0.59} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + 0.81} - 0.81 \right] - \frac{15 \times 125}{4 \times 0.59} (0.31)
\]

\[
= -1,269 \text{ lb/ft}
\]

From Equation 11.67, the meridional force per unit length of circumference, with \(a = 106\) ft, is

\[
N_\phi = -a \left( \frac{w_t}{1 + \cos \phi} + \frac{w_t}{2} \right)
\]

\[
= -106 \left( \frac{37.5}{1.81} + \frac{15}{2} \right) = -2,991 \text{ lb/ft (43.6 kN/m)}
\]

From Equation 11.72, the radial prestressing force in the ring beam required to produce compatibility of deformation with the shell rim is

\[
P = \frac{bh}{t} (N_\phi - \mu N_\phi) + \frac{d}{2} (N_\phi \cos \phi)
\]

To determine the cross-sectional area \(bh\) of the ring beam, use \(P = (d/2)(N_\phi \cos \phi)\) for the first trial, since the first term of the equation has less than 10 percent of the total value of \(P\) (see the discussion accompanying Equation 11.62). We obtain

\[
P = \frac{d}{2} (N_\phi \cos \phi) = \frac{125}{2} (-2,991 \times 0.81) = -151,149 \text{ lb per ft}
\]

Given that the total prestress loss is 26 percent, it follows that

\[
\overline{\gamma} = 1 - 0.26 = 0.74
\]

and

\[
P_i = \frac{151,419}{0.74} = 204,620 \text{ lb/ft}
\]

Use a maximum concrete compressive stress \(f_c = 800\) psi (5.52 MPa) in order to minimize excess strain in the edge beam, which could produce high stresses in the shell rim. The required cross-sectional area of the prestressed ring beam is

\[
A_c = bh = \frac{P_i}{f_c} = \frac{204,620}{800} = 256 \text{ in.}^2
\]

Try \(b = 14\) in and \(h = 20\) in. Then \(A_c = 280\) in.\(^2\) Substituting into Equation 11.72, we get

\[
P = \frac{280}{3.0} \left[ \frac{1}{12} \times 1,269 - 0.2(-2,991) \right] + \frac{125}{2} (-2,991 \times 0.81)
\]

\[
= -5,217 - 151,419 = -156,636 \text{ lb/ft}
\]

Use

\[
P_i = \frac{156,636}{0.74} = 211,671 \text{ lb (717 kN)}
\]

From before,

\[
f_{ps} = 0.7f_{pu} = 175,000 \text{ psi}
\]
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Figure 11.33  Typical elevation and section of a domed prestressed concrete circular tank.

\[
A_{ps} = \frac{P_t}{f_{ps}} = \frac{211,671}{175,000} = 1.21 \text{ in}^2 (7.56 \text{ cm}^2)
\]

Trying \(\frac{1}{2}\)-in. dia (12.7-mm) 7-wire 250-K strands, we obtain

\[
A_{ps}/\text{strand} = 0.144 \text{ in}^2
\]

and

\[
\text{No. of strands} = \frac{1.21}{0.144} = 8.4
\]

If the prestress loss is slightly more than 26 percent, the number of strands should be approximately 9. Hence, use nine \(\frac{1}{2}\)-in. dia 7-wire strands to prestress the edge ring beam.

Check the Concrete Stress in the Critical Section \(t = 3\) in. of the Shell Rim. The meridional compression \(N_y = -2,991\) lb/ft of circumference, and the compressive stress \(f_c = 2,991/(12 \times 3) = 83\) psi only, which is satisfactory. The support details of the edge ring beam and the roof are shown in Figure 11.32. Note that the ring beam is supported vertically on a neoprene pad, which enables sliding. A typical elevation and section of a domed prestressed circular tank is shown in Figure 11.33.

REFERENCES

11.5 PCA, "Circular Concrete Tanks without Prestressing," Concrete Information Series ST-57, Portland Cement Association, Skokie, Ill., 1957, 32 pp.
11.6 PCI Committee on Precast Prestressed Concrete Storage Tanks. "Recommended Practice for Precast Prestressed Concrete Circular Storage Tanks." Prestressed Concrete Institute, Chicago, 1987.

11.7 ACI Committee 344. Design and Construction of Circular Prestressed Concrete Structures, ACI 344R. American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, 1970.

11.8 ACI Committee 344. Design and Construction of Circular Wire and Strand Wrapped Prestressed Concrete Structures, ACI 344-R, American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, 1989.

11.9 ACI Committee 344. Design and Construction of Circular Prestressed Concrete Structures with Circumferential Tendons, ACI 344.2R, American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, 1989.


11.11 Prestressed Concrete Institute. PCI Design Handbook. 5th ed. Prestressed Concrete Institute, Chicago, 1999.


11.17 ACI Committee 350, Seismic Design of Liquid-Containing Concrete Structures (ACI 350.3-01) and Commentary (350.3R-01), American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, 2001.


PROBLEMS

11.1 Solve Example 11.3 if the tank diameter is 120 ft (36.6 m) and the water height is 30 ft (9.1 m). Assume that the total prestress loss is 20 percent, and use a rise-span ratio $h'/d = \frac{1}{10}$ for the roof dome, assuming that half the shell angle is $\phi = 45^\circ$.

11.2 A circular prestressed concrete tank has an internal diameter $d = 85$ ft (26 m) and retains water to a height $H = 22$ ft (6.7 m). Determine the maximum horizontal ring forces and vertical moment, and design the prestressing reinforcement using both horizontal and vertical prestressing. Also, design a roof dome shell for the tank assuming a rise-span ratio $h'/d = \frac{1}{6}$ and half shell angle $\phi = 30^\circ$. Solve for (a) hinged, (b) partially fixed, and (c) sliding wall base fixity, and design the prestressing reinforcement for both wire-wrapped and tendon prestressing conditions. Given data are:

- $f_c' = 6,000 \text{ psi (41.4 MPa)}$, normal weight
- $f'_{ed} = 4,250 \text{ psi (29.3 MPa)}$
- $f_e = 3\sqrt{f_c'} = 230 \text{ psi (1.59 MPa)}$
- $f_c = 0.45f'_{ed} = 2,700 \text{ psi (18.6 MPa)}$
- $f_{en} = 250 \text{ psi (1.72 MPa)}$-residual compressive stress
- $f_{pu}$ for both wire and strand or tendon = 250,000 psi (1,724 Pa)
- $f_{pi} = 0.7f_{pu} = 175,000 \text{ psi (1,207 MPa)}$

Snow load intensity $w_L = 20 \text{ lb/ft}^2 (985 \text{ Pa})$

Assume 20-percent total loss in prestress.
12.1 INTRODUCTION: SAFETY AND RELIABILITY

As discussed in Section 4.10.1, a load-resistance factor design method (LRFD) is a reliability-based approach for evaluating probability-based factored design criteria (Ref. 12.1). It is intended for proportioning structural members based on the load types such that the resisting strength levels are greater than the factored load or moment distributions.

Figures 12.1(a) and (b), as in Figure 4.36 of Chapter 4, show a plot of separate frequency distributions of the actual load \( W \) and the resistance \( R \) with mean value \( ar{R} \). Figure 12.1(c) gives the two distributions superimposed and intersecting at point \( C \) in the diagram.

The safety and reliable integrity of the structure can be expected to exist if the load effect \( W \) falls at a point to the left of intersection \( C \) on the resistance curve. Failure, on the other hand, would be expected to occur if the load effect on the resistance curve falls within the shaded area in Fig. 12.1(c). If \( \beta \) is a safety index, then:

\[
\beta = \frac{\bar{R} - \bar{W}}{\sqrt{\sigma_R^2 + \sigma_W^2}}
\]  

(12.1)

West Kowloon Expressway Viaduct, Hong Kong, 1997. A 4.2-Km dual three-lane cauway connecting Western Harbor Crossing to new airport (Courtesy Institution of Civil engineers, London)
Figure 12.1 Frequency Distribution of Load vs. Resistance
Table 12.1(a) LRFD Resistance Factors $\phi$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexure and tension of reinforced concrete</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexure and tension in prestressed concrete</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear and torsion:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal density concrete</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low-density concrete</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial compression with spirals of ties</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing on concrete</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression in strut and tie models</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression in anchorage zones:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal density concrete</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low-density concrete</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension in steel in anchorage zones</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For partially prestressed components in flexure with or without tension, where $PPR = A_{ps}f_{ps}/(A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_{s}f_{s})$</td>
<td>$0.90 + 0.10(PPR)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where, $\sigma_R$ and $\sigma_W$ are the standard deviations of the resistance and the load, respectively.

The different load combinations in Eq. 4.29, Chapter 4, are based on giving a reasonable difference between $R$ and $W$ as dictated by economical considerations.

The reliability of safe performance of the structure is, hence, controlled by the load-resistance considerations in the load factors used in the design.

AASHTO’s LRFD approach (Ref. 12.2-12.3) is intended to extend the load-resistance considerations to the expressions for deformations and forces and modified load resistance factors $\phi$ from those used by ACI 318 (Ref. 12.4) where necessary. Those LRFD $\phi$ factors are listed in Table 12.1(a).

This chapter presents, and uses in design examples, the LRFD expressions where they differ from the standard AASHTO and ACI-318 expressions. Otherwise, the expressions used in the previous Chapters 3, 4, and 5 and the principles enunciated would apply. The student and the design engineer will easily recognize these expressions. Hence the need for redefining them becomes unnecessary.

12.2 AASHTO STANDARD (LFD) AND LRFD TRUCK LOAD SPECIFICATIONS

The design of prestressed concrete elements of a bridge is governed by requirements of the American Association of Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). The traffic lanes and the loads they contain for the design of the bridge superstructure have to be chosen and placed in such numbers and positions on the roadway that they produce the maximum stress in the constituent members.

The bridge live loadings should consist of standard truck or lane loads that are equivalent to truck trains. For railway bridges, the requirements are set by the American
Railway Engineering Association (AREA). Requirements for the structural proportioning of the supporting members usually follow the ACI and PCI standards.

### 12.2.1 Loads

There are four standard classes of highway loading: H 20, H 15, HS 20, and HS 15. Loading HS 15 is 75 percent of HS 20. If loadings other than these are to be considered, they should be obtained by proportionally adjusting the weights for the standard trucks and the corresponding lane loads. Bridges supporting interstate highways should be redesigned for HS 20–44 loading or an alternate military loading of two axles 4 ft apart, with each axle weighing 24,000 lb, whichever loading produces the larger stress value.

Figure 12.2 shows the standard H truck loading, while Figure 12.3 shows the standard HS truck loading giving wheel spacing and load distribution. Figure 12.4 gives the equivalent lane loading for both the H and HS 20–44 and the H and HS 15–44 categories (Ref. 12.1). Figure 12.5 gives an overview of the different bridge deck systems in common use.

Figure 12.5 gives typical deck bridge structures.

#### (i) Impact.

Movable loads require impact allowance as a fraction of the live load stress. It can be expressed by standard AASHTO (LFD):

\[
I = \frac{50}{L + 125} \leq 30\% \tag{12.2}
\]

where  
I = Impact fraction  
L = Length in feet of the portion of the span that is loaded resulting in maximum stress in that member.

![Figure 12.2](image-url)  
*Figure 12.2 Wheel loads and geometry for H trucks*
The loaded length $L$ for transverse members, such as floor beams, is the span length of the member center to center of the supports.

(ii) **Longitudinal Forces.** Provision should be made for the effect of a longitudinal force of 5 percent of the live load in all lanes carrying traffic headed in the same direction. All lanes should be loaded in the case of bridges which could likely become one-directional in the life of the structure. The load area, without impact, should be as follows:

**Figure 12.4** Equivalent lane loading for H and HS trucks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUPPORTING COMPONENTS</th>
<th>TYPE OF DECK</th>
<th>TYPICAL CROSS-SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closed Steel or Precast Concrete Boxes</td>
<td>Cast-in-place concrete slab</td>
<td>(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Steel or Precast Concrete Boxes</td>
<td>Cast-in-place concrete slab, precast concrete deck slab</td>
<td>(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast Solid, Voided or Cellular Concrete Boxes with Shear Keys</td>
<td>Cast-in-place concrete overlay</td>
<td>(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast Solid, Voided or Cellular Concrete Box with Shear Keys and with or without Transverse Post-Tensioning</td>
<td>Integral concrete</td>
<td>(g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast Concrete Channel Sections with Shear Keys</td>
<td>Cast-in-place concrete overlay</td>
<td>(h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast Concrete Double Tee Section with Shear Keys and with or without Transverse Post-Tensioning</td>
<td>Integral concrete</td>
<td>(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast Concrete Tee Section with Shear Keys and with or without Transverse Post-Tensioning</td>
<td>Integral concrete</td>
<td>(j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast Concrete I or Bulb-Tee Sections</td>
<td>Cast-in-place concrete, precast concrete</td>
<td>(k)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 12.5** Cross sections of Typical Bridge Deck Structures (Ref. 12.11)
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Lane load + concentrated load so placed on the span as to produce maximum stress. The concentrated load and uniform load should be considered as uniformly distributed over a 10 foot width on a line normal to the centerline of the lane. The center of gravity of the longitudinal force is to be assumed located 6 feet above the floor slab.

A reduction factor should be applied when a number of traffic lanes are simultaneously loaded, as in Section (iv) to follow.

(iii) Centrifugal Horizontal Force. This force is produced by vehicle motion on curves. It is a percentage of the live load, without impact, as follows:

\[
C = 0.00117S^2D = \frac{6.68S^2}{R}
\]  

(12.3)

where  
- \(C\) = centrifugal force in percent of the live load without impact
- \(S\) = design speed in miles per hour
- \(D\) = degree of curve
- \(R\) = radius of curve in feet.

(iv) Reduction in Load Intensity. When maximum stresses are produced in any member by loading a number of traffic lanes simultaneously, a reduction in the live load intensity can be made as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>One or two lanes</th>
<th>Three lanes</th>
<th>Four lanes or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

12.2.2 Wheel Load Distribution on Bridge Decks: Standard AASHTO Specifications (LFD)

(i) Shear. No longitudinal distribution of wheel loads can be made for wheel or axle load adjacent to the end when computing end shears and reactions in transverse or longitudinal beams.

(ii) Bending Moments: Longitudinal Beams. In computing bending moments in longitudinal beams or stringers, no longitudinal distribution of the wheel loads is permitted. In the case of interior stringers, the live load bending moment for each stringer should be determined by applying to the stringer a fraction of the wheel load as follows for prestressed concrete elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge designed for one traffic lane</th>
<th>Bridge designed for two or more traffic lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prestressed concrete girders</td>
<td>(S/7.0) if (S &gt; 6\ ft.) (\ast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(S/5.5) if (S &gt; 10). (\ast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-attached Concrete Box girders</td>
<td>(S/8.0) if (S &gt; 12\ ft.) (\ast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(S/7.0) if (S &gt; 16\ ft.) (\ast)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\ast\) If \(S\) exceeds denominator, the load on the beam should be the reaction of the wheel loads assuming the flooring between beams to act as a simple beam.

\(S\) = spacing of floor beams in feet.

(iii) Side by Side Precast Beams in Multi-Beam Decks. A multi-beam bridge is constructed with precast reinforced or prestressed concrete beams that are placed side by side on the supports. The interaction between the beams is developed by continuous longitudinal shear keys used in combination with transverse tie assemblies which may, or
may not, be prestressed, such as bolts, rods, or prestressing strands, or other mechanical means. Full-depth rigid end diaphragms are needed to ensure proper load distribution for channel, single- and multi-stemmed tee beams.

In computing bending moments in multi-beam precast concrete bridges, conventional or prestressed, no longitudinal distribution of wheel load shall be assumed. The live load bending moment for each section is determined by applying to the beam the fraction of a wheel load (both front and rear) determined by the following equation:

\[
\text{Load Fraction} = \frac{S}{D}
\]

where,

\[
S = \text{width of precast member};
\]

\[
D = (5.75 - 0.5N_L) + 0.7N_L(1 - 0.2C)^2 \text{ when } C \leq 5
\]

\[
D = (5.75 - 0.5N_L) \text{ when } C > 5
\]

\[
N_L = \text{number of traffic lanes}
\]

\[
C = K(W/L)
\]

where,

\[
W = \text{overall width of bridge measured perpendicular to the longitudinal girders in feet};
\]

\[
L = \text{span length measured parallel to longitudinal girders in feet; for girders with cast-in-place end diaphragms, use the length between end diaphragms};
\]

\[
K = \{(1 + \mu) I/J\}^{1/4}
\]

If the value of \(\sqrt{I/J}\) exceeds 5.0, the live load distribution should be determined using a more precise method, such as the Articulated Plate Theory or Grillage Analysis.

where,

\[
I = \text{moment of inertia};
\]

\[
J = \text{Saint-Venant torsion constant};
\]

\[
\mu = \text{Poisson's ratio for girders}.
\]

In lieu of more exact methods, "I" may be estimated using the following equations:

For Non-voided Rectangular Beams, Channels, Tee Beams:

\[
J = \Sigma\{(1/3)b^2(1 - 0.630t/b)\}
\]

where,

\[
b = \text{the length of each rectangular component within the section},
\]

\[
t = \text{the thickness of each rectangular component within the section}.
\]

The flanges and stems of stemmed or channel sections are considered as separate rectangular components whose values are summed together to compute "I". Note that for "Rectangular Beams with Circular Voids" the value of "I" can usually be approximated by using the equation above for rectangular sections and neglecting the voids.

For Box-Section Beams:

\[
J = \frac{2t_f(b - t)^3(d - t_f)^3}{bt + dt_f - t^2 - t_f^2}
\]
where

\[ b = \text{the overall width of the box}, \]
\[ d = \text{the overall depth of the box}, \]
\[ t = \text{the thickness of either web}, \]
\[ t_f = \text{the thickness of either flange}. \]

The formula assumes that both flanges are the same thickness and uses the thickness of only one flange. The same is true of the webs.

For preliminary design, the following values of K may be used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge type</th>
<th>Beam Type</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-beam</td>
<td>Non-voided rectangular beams</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rectangular beams with circular voids</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Box section beams</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Channel, single- and multi-stemmed tee beams</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iv) **Stresses in Concrete**

Case I: *All Loads including Prestress* (\(D + L + P/S\))

\[ f_c = 0.60 f_c' \]
\[ f_t = 6 \sqrt{f_c'} \]

Case II: *Prestress + All Dead Loads* (\(D + P/S\))

\[ f_c = 0.40 f_c' \]
\[ f_t = 6 \sqrt{f_c'} \]

Case III: \(0.5\) *Prestress + Dead*) + Live Load \([0.5 \ (D + P/S) + L]\)

\[ f_c = 0.40 f_c' \]
\[ f_t = 6 \sqrt{f_c'} \]

**12.2.3 Bending Moments in Bridge Deck Slabs:**

**Standard AASHTO Specifications (LFD)**

There are two categories for bending moment calculations: category A and category B for reinforcement perpendicular and parallel respectively to the traffic.

\( S = \text{effective span length in feet} \)
\( E = \text{width of slab in feet over which a wheel load is distributed} \)
\( P = \text{load on one rear wheel of truck (} P_{15} \text{ or } P_{20}) \)
\( P_{15} = 12,000 \text{ lbs. for } H \ 15 \text{ loading} \)
\( P_{20} = 16,000 \text{ lbs. for } H \ 20 \text{ loading} \)

(a) **Case A—Main Reinforcement Perpendicular to Traffic (spans 2 to 24 feet)**

The live load moments for simple spans are to be determined in accordance with the following expressions:

\( H \ 20 \text{ Loading,} \)

\[ M_L = \left( \frac{S + 2}{32} \right) P_{20} \quad (12.4a) \]
12.2 AASHTO Standard and LRFD Truck Load Specifications

H 15 Loading,

\[ M_L = \left( \frac{S + 2}{32} \right) P_{15} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12.4b)

where \( M_L \) is in ft-lb/ft of slab width.

In slabs continuous over three or more supports, a continuity factor of 0.8 should be applied to Equations 12.4(a) and 12.4(b).

(b) Case B—Main Reinforcement Parallel to Traffic

For wheel loads, the distribution width, \( E \), should be \( 4 + 0.06S \leq 7.0 \) ft. Lane loads are distributed over a width \( 2E \) as follows:

H 20 Loading

\[ S \leq 50 \text{ ft: } M_L = 900S \]  \hspace{1cm} (12.4c)
\[ S = 50 - 100 \text{ ft: } M_L = 1000S \]  \hspace{1cm} (12.4d)

where \( M_L \) is in ft-lb.

For H 15 loading, reduce the values in Equations 12.4(c), and 12.4(d) by 25 percent.

12.2.4 Wind Loads

In accounting for the wind loads, the exposed area is equal to the sum of the areas of all members including floor system and railings as seen in an elevation 90 degrees to the longitudinal axis of the structure. Design should be based on a wind velocity \( V = 100 \) miles per hour. The area may be reduced as stipulated in Ref. 12.2.

12.2.5 Seismic Forces

Both the equivalent static force method and the response spectrum method can be used for the design of structures with supporting members of approximately equal stiffnesses. Details are given in Ref. 12.2. Additional basic discussion of earthquake response, the fundamental period of vibration and the International Building Code (IBC 2000) are given in Ref. 12.5.

12.2.6 AASHTO LFD Load Combinations

The design should consider such a group of load combinations that results in the maximum stress condition in the member under consideration. There are ten groups of loadings under service load conditions:

Group I: \( D + (L + I) + CF + E + B + SF \)
Group II: \( D + E + B + SF + W \)
Group III: \( D + (L + I) + CF + E + B + SF + W + WL + LF \)
Group IV: \( D + (L + I) + CF + E + SF + (R + S + T) \)
Group V: \( D + E + B + SF + W + (R + S + T) \)
Group VI: \( D + (L + I) + CF + E + B + SF + W + WL + LF + (R + S + T) \)
Group VII: \( D + E + B + SF + EQ \)
Group VIII: \( D + (L + I) + CF + E + B + SF + ICE \)
Group IX: \( D + E + B + SF + W + ICE \)
Group X: \( D + (L + I) + E \)
where \( D \) = dead load
\( L \) = live load
\( I \) = live load impact
\( E \) = earth pressure
\( B \) = buoyancy
\( W \) = wind load on the structure
\( WL \) = wind load on live load – 100 lb./linear foot
\( LF \) = longitudinal force from live load
\( CF \) = centrifugal force
\( R \) = rib shortening
\( S \) = shrinkage
\( T \) = temperature
\( EQ \) = earthquake
\( SF \) = stream flow pressure
\( ICE \) = ice pressure

For load factor design, the proceeding parameters are multiplied by the load factors in Table 12.1(b).

For factor loads, the group value is

\[
N = \gamma (\beta_D D + \beta_L (L + I) + \beta_C CF + \beta_E E + \beta_B B + \beta_S SF + \beta_W W + \beta_WL WL + \beta_L LF + \beta_R (R + S + T) + \beta_EQ EQ + \beta_{ICE} ICE)
\]

The load factors to be applied to any particular load combination are as follows:

- \( \beta_E = 0.7 \) for vertical loads on reinforced concrete boxes.
- \( \beta_E = 1.00 \) for lateral loads on reinforced concrete boxes.
- \( \beta_D = 1.00 \) for vertical and lateral loads on all other culverts.
- \( \beta_D = 1.0 \) and \( 0.5 \) for lateral loads on rigid frames (check which loading governs for the particular group).

- \( \beta_D = 1.3 \) for lateral earth pressure when checking positive moment in rigid frames, culverts or reinforced box culverts.
- \( \beta_D = 0.75 \) when checking member for minimum axial load and maximum moment for maximum eccentricity for column design.
- \( \beta_D = 1.0 \) when checking for maximum axial load and minimum moment.
- \( \beta_D = 1.0 \) for flexural and tension members.

Table 12.1(b) gives the values of the \( \beta \) coefficients for the various load parameters in Equation 12.5 for Standard AASHTO Specifications.
### Table 12.1(b) \( \beta \) Coefficients for LOAD Group Parameters: Standard AASHTO Specifications (Ref. 12.2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Col. No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>3A</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GROUP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \beta_E )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \beta_E )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \beta_E )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \beta_E )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \beta_E )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \beta_E )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SERVICE LOAD**

| I       | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 1.67* | 0   | 1.0 | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| IA      | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 2.20  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| IB      | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 1   | 1.0 | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| II      | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| III     | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 0.3 | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| IV      | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   |     |
| V       | 1.25 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| VI      | 1.25 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 0.3 | 1   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   |     |
| VII     | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   |     |
| VIII    | 1.3 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| IX      | 1.20 | \( \beta_D \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 1   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| X       | 1.30 | 1   | 1.67 | 0   | 0   | \( \beta_E \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |

(\( L + 1 \))_A: Live load plus impact for AASHTO Standard Highway H or HS loading
(\( L + 1 \))_p: Live load plus impact consistent with the overload criteria of the operation agency.

### 12.2.7 LRFD Load Combinations

The load combinations using the LRFD specifications differ from the standard specifications. The following tables: 12.2 to 12.3, give the required load combinations, and Tables 12.4 to 12.7 the shear and moment expressions to be used in design. Section 12.1.1 gives the LRFD resistance factors, \( \phi \), which differ from the standard reduction factor \( \phi \). It should be noted that in the standard specifications, either the lane load or the truck load is used in the live-load calculations. The LRFD specifications require that the combined lane and truck loads be used in the live-load computations.
### Table 12.2(a) LRFD Load Combinations and Load Factors

| Load Combination | DC  | DD  | DW  | EH  | EV  | LL  | IM  | CE  | BR  | PL  | LS  | EL  | WA  | WS  | WL  | FR  | TU  | CR  | SH  | TG  | SE  | Use One of These at a Time |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------------|
| Limit State      | γ_f | 1.75| 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 0.50/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| STRENGTH-I*     | γ_f | 1.35| 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 0.50/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| STRENGTH-II     | γ_f | -   | 1.00| 1.40| -   | 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 0.50/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| STRENGTH-III    | γ_f | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 0.50/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| STRENGTH-IV EV  | γ_f | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| DC ONLY         | 1.5 | 1.00| 1.00| 0.40| 1.00| 0.50/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| STRENGTH-V      | γ_f | 1.35| 1.00| 1.00| 0.40| 1.00| 0.50/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| EXTREME EVENT-I | γ_f | γ_{EQ}| 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| EXTREME EVENT-II| γ_f | 0.50| 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| SERVICE-I       | 1.00| 1.00| 1.00| 1.00| 0.30| 1.00| 1.00/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| SERVICE-II      | 1.00| 1.30| 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| 1.00/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| SERVICE-III     | 1.00| 0.80| 1.00| -   | -   | 1.00| 1.00/1.20 | γ_{TO} | γ_{SE} | -   | -   | -   | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| FATIGUE-LL, IM & |     | 0.75| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| CE ONLY         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

where: γ_f = Load factor for permanent loads

*For load combinations, Maximum Q = 1.25 DC + 1.50 DW + 1.75 (LL + IM)
Minimum Q = 0.90 DC + 0.65 DW + 1.75 (LL + IM)

**Permanent Loads**
- DD = dead load
- DC = dead load of structural components and nonstructural attachments
- DW = dead load of wearing surfaces and utilities
- EH = horizontal earth pressure load
- ES = earth surcharge load
- EV = vertical pressure from dead load of earth fill
- EL = Locked-in erection stress
- Q = Factored Load

**Transient Loads**
- BR = vehicle braking force
- CE = vehicle centrifugal force
- CR = creep
- CT = vehicle collision force
- CV = vessel collision force
- EQ = earthquake
- FR = friction
- IC = ice load
- IM = vehicular dynamic load allowance
- LL = vehicular live load
- LS = live load surcharge
- PL = pedestrian live load
- SE = settlement
- SH = shrinkage
- TG = temperature gradient
- TU = uniform temperature
- WA = water load and stream pressure
- WL = wind on live load
- WS = snow load

- Strength I: Basic load combination, no wind
- Strength II: Load on bridge with owner-specified design, no wind
- Strength III: Load includes wind
- Strength IV: Very high ratio of dead to live load
- Service I: Normal operational use load combinations with deflection and crack control
- Service II: Load combinations with control of yielding of steel structures
- Service III: Load combinations relating only to tension in prestressed concrete
The LRFD Resistance Factor $\phi$ values are given in Table 12.1(a) to follow.

The following expressions in Table 12.4 and 12.5 (Ref. 12.2) may be used to compute the maximum bending moments and the maximum shear force per lane of any point in a span for HS20 truck, with the limitations indicated in the table. The computed values have to be halved in order to obtain the shear force and moment per line of wheels.

The expressions in the tables are limited to simply supported spans and do not include the impact factors.

The maximum bending moments and maximum shear forces per lane at any point on a span for a lane load of 0.64 kip/ft may be computed from the following simplified expressions:
Table 12.2(b) LRFD Permanent Loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Load</th>
<th>Load Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC: Component and Attachments</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD: Downdrag</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW: Wearing surface and utilities</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EH: Horizontal Earth Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Rest</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL = Locked-in Earth Stresses</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV: Vertical Earth Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Stability</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining Structure</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid Buried Structure</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid Frame</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible Buried Structure other than Metal Box Culvert</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES: Earth Surcharge</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum \( V_{LL} = \frac{0.64}{2L} (L - x)^3 \) kip \hspace{1cm} (12.6a)

Maximum \( M_{LL} = \frac{0.64(x)(L-x)}{2} \) ft-kip \hspace{1cm} (12.6b)

where, \( x = \) distance from left support, ft

\( L = \) beam span, ft

\( LL = \) lane load

The LRFD specifications require a higher impact factor than the standard specifications. They also require consideration of the fatigue state limits. For fatigue, a special truck load is considered. It consists of a single design truck which has the same axle weight used in all other limit states, but with a constant spacing of 30 ft between the 32-kip axles. Table 12.6 gives the impact factor \( IM \) for the various types of limit states.

Table 12.3(a) Distribution of Live Load Per Lane for Shear in Interior Beams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>One Design Lane</th>
<th>Two or More Design Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Box Beams in Multi-beam Decks</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{b}{130L} \right)^{0.15} \left( \frac{f}{L} \right)^{0.05} )</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{b}{156} \right)^{0.04} \left( \frac{b}{12L} \right)^{0.61} \left( \frac{f}{L} \right)^{0.05} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Deck, I-, T- and Double-T Sections</td>
<td>( 0.36 + \left( \frac{s}{25.0} \right) )</td>
<td>( 0.20 + \left( \frac{s}{12} \right) - \left( \frac{s}{36} \right)^2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Ranges for \( b, d, L, s, S, t, \) Kg are given in Ref. 12.3.
2. For exterior beams, see Ref. 12.3, Section 4.6.2
Table 12.3(b) Distribution of Live Load Per Lane For Moment in Interior Beams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>One Design Lane</th>
<th>Two or More Design Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Box Beams in</td>
<td>$k \left( \frac{b}{33.5L} \right)^{0.5} \left( \frac{I}{J} \right)^{0.25}$</td>
<td>$k \left( \frac{b}{305} \right)^{0.6} \left( \frac{b}{12L} \right)^{0.62} \left( \frac{I}{J} \right)^{0.6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-beam Decks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Deck, I-, T-</td>
<td>$0.06 + \left( \frac{S}{14} \right)^{0.4} \left( \frac{S}{L} \right)^{0.3} \left( \frac{K_s}{12r^2L} \right)^{0.1}$</td>
<td>$0.075 + \left( \frac{S}{9.5} \right)^{0.6} \left( \frac{S}{L} \right)^{0.62} \left( \frac{K_s}{12r^2L} \right)^{0.1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Double-T Sections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Ranges for $b, d, L, s, S, I, K_s$ are given in Ref. 12.3.
2. For exterior beams, see Ref. 12.3, Section 4.6.2
3. Notation:
   - $b =$ Beam width, in.
   - $J =$ St. Vincent's torsional constant, $in^4 = 4 A_s^2 / E s f$
   - $K_s =$ Longitudinal Stiffness parameter distribution factor for multi-beam bridges, where
     $K_s = n(I + A_s e_t^2)$
     - $e_t =$ distance between centers of gravity of members
     - $k = 2.5(N_s)^{0.4}$ where $N_s =$ number of beams
     - $A_s =$ cross-sectional area
   - $L =$ span, ft
   - $A_e =$ area enclosed by centerlines of the beam elements
   - $s =$ length of an element of box beam

Table 12.4 Maximum Shear Force per Lane for HS20 Truck Load ($Q_{1T}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Type</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x/L$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS20 Truck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-0.500</td>
<td>72[(L - x) - 4.67] / L</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-0.500</td>
<td>72[(L - x) - 9.33] / L</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*x is the distance from left support to the section being considered, ft; LT = truck load

Table 12.5 Maximum Bending Moment per Lane for HS20 Truck Load ($M_{1T}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Type</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x/L$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS20 Truck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-0.333</td>
<td>72(x) [(L - x) - 9.33] / L</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.333-0.500</td>
<td>72(x) [(L - x) - 4.67] / L</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*x is the distance from left support to the section being considered, ft; LT = truck load
Table 12.6  Impact Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>IM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deck Joints—All Limit States</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other Components</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue and Fracture Limit States</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Limit States</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12.7  Fatigue Bending Moment per Lane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Type</th>
<th>x/L</th>
<th>Formula for maximum bending moment, ft-kips</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue Truck Loading (LRFD)</td>
<td>0–0.241</td>
<td>( \frac{72(x)(L - x) - 18.22}{L} )</td>
<td>x, * ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.241–0.500</td>
<td>( \frac{72(x)(L - x) - 11.78}{L} )</td>
<td>L, ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*x is the distance from left support to the section being considered, ft; L = truck load

Table 12.7 (Ref 12.3) gives expressions for computing the maximum bending moments per lane due to HL-93 fatigue truck loading. The values obtained from the table have to be multiplied by a factor of \( \frac{1}{3} \) in order to obtain the values per line of wheels.

The LRFD design live load is an HL-93 truck configuration which consists of a combination of:

(a) design truck or design tandem with dynamic allowance. The design truck is the same as the HS20 design truck specified in the Standard AASHTO specifications. The design tandem consists of a pair of 25 kip axles spaced at 4-ft apart.

(b) design lane load of 0.64 kip/ft without dynamic allowance.

12.3  FLEXURAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

12.3.1  Strain \( \epsilon \) and Factor \( \phi \) Variations: The Strain Limits Approach

For ductile behavior of sections, the reinforcement percentage has to be considerably smaller than the balanced limit strain in flexure percentage as detailed in Section 4.12.3. No upper limits on the amount of reinforcement needs to be used in a beam provided that the strain limit is not exceeded and the appropriate \( \phi \) factor is used. An upper limit tensile strain \( \epsilon_t = 0.005 \text{ in./in.} \) as the limiting strain is comparable to the 75% of the balanced reinforcement percentage in previous codes and is the basis of this approach (Figure 12.6). This limiting strain is considered at the extreme tensile steel reinforcement level, namely, at the centroid of the layer closest to the tensile face of the section. More precisely, \( \epsilon_t = 0.0041 \) corresponds to \( f_y = 230,000 \text{ psi} \) in the prestressing steel.

In the AASHTO LRFD procedure, a limiting value of the ratio of the neutral axis depth, \( c \), to the effective beam depth, \( d_n \), to the extreme tension reinforcement, is taken as 0.42 in this strain limits approach, invariably called as a unified approach (Refs.
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![Diagram of strain limits](image)

**Figure 12.6** Strain Limits (a) Tension-Controlled, (b) Compression-Controlled

12.14–12.16). The approach is a rational way of assuring strain-compatibility using common strain and stress expressions regardless of whether the member is reinforced, prestressed or partially prestressed. The depth \(d\), in the ratio \(c/d\), becomes \(d_p\) if no mild steel reinforcement is used. Table 12.11 of section 12.8 presents a general comparison between the ACI and the LRFD procedures for determining the required reinforcement for flexure (Ref. 12.14).

A strain value \(\epsilon_t\) considerably higher than 0.005 in./in. has to be used, such as 0.007 to 0.009 in./in. The limit compression-controlled state for beam-column sections is \(\epsilon_t = 0.002\) in./in. The \(\epsilon_t = 0.002\) is used as a basis for first yield strain. \(\epsilon_y = f_y/E_s = 0.002\), although this value can vary depending on the type of reinforcement used. Fig. 12.7 gives on this basis the limits of strain for tension-controlled and compression-controlled concrete sections for all cases, reinforced and prestressed, where \(\epsilon_t = 0.003\) \((d/c - 1)\).

![Diagram of strength reduction factor](image)

**Figure 12.7** Variation of Strength Reduction Factor \(\phi\) with the Net Tensile Strain \(\epsilon_t\).
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When the net tensile strain in the extreme tension reinforcement is sufficiently large (equal to or greater than 0.005), the section is defined as tension-controlled where ample warning of failure with extensive deflection and cracking can occur. When the net tensile strain in the extreme tension reinforcement is small (less than or equal to the compression-controlled strain limit), a brittle failure condition is expected to develop, with little warning of impending failure.

A balanced strain condition develops at a section when the maximum strain at the extreme compression fibers just reaches 0.003 in./in. simultaneously with the first yield strain $\varepsilon_y = f_y / E_y$ in the tension reinforcement corresponding to a net tensile strain in the tension reinforcement set in this method at a value $\varepsilon_t = 0.002$ in./in.

This condition cannot be used in the flexural design of beams not subjected to compression. In such members, a strain $\varepsilon_t$ in the extreme tensile reinforcement should not exceed 0.0075 in./in. for practical purposes.

### 12.3.2 Factored Flexural Resistance

The factored flexural resisting moment,

$$M_r = \phi M_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.7)

where the resistance factor $\phi = 1.0$.

It is recommended in strain compatibility analysis that $\phi$ be reduced from a value $\phi = 1.0$ for net tensile strain of 0.005 in./in. to $\phi = 0.7$ for net tensile strain of 0.002 in./in. in the extreme tension steel, namely,

$$0.7 \leq \phi = 0.50 + 0.30 \left( \frac{d_{ext}}{c} - 1 \right) \leq 1.0$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.8)

where $d_{ext}$ is $d$, the extreme layer of reinforcement, namely the one closest to the extreme tension fibers of the prestressed concrete section.

### 12.3.3 Flexural Design Parameters

The expression for computing the nominal moment strength of the prestressed sections by the LRFD method are similar to the standard AASHTO and ACI 318 strength design procedures given in Section 4.11 of Chapter 4. The ultimate design strength, $f_{pu}$, of the reinforcement can be computed either by strain-compatibility procedures such as in Example 4.19 or by an approximate method using the following expression:

$$f_{pu} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - k \frac{c}{d_p} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.9a)

where,

$$k = 2 \left( 1.04 - \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.9b)

For unbonded tendons,

$$f_{ps} = f_{ps} + 900 \left( \frac{d_p - c}{l_e} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.9c)

where $l_s = 2 l_t / (2 + N_s)$,

$l_s$ = embedment length, $l_t$ = tendon length between anchorages; $N_s$ = number of tendons
In the Standard AASHTO specifications a first estimate of the average stress in the prestressing steel may be made from the following:

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - \frac{C}{\beta_1 \rho \frac{f_{pu}}{f'_c}} \right) \]  

(12.9c)

The depth, \( c \), of the neutral axis is obtained from the following expressions:

(a) **Doubly reinforced sections:**

\[
\frac{d}{c} = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_{sf} - A_{sf}'f'_s}{0.85f'_c\beta_1 + kA_{ps} \frac{f_{pu}}{d_p}}
\]  

(12.10)

where \( f'_s = \) yield strength of the compression reinforcement

(b) **Flanged Sections:**

\[
\frac{d}{c} = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_{sf} - A_{sf}'f'_s - 0.85f'_c\beta_1(b - b_w)h_f}{0.85f'_c\beta_1b_w + kA_{ps} \frac{f_{pu}}{d_p}}
\]  

(12.11)

where \( b_w = \) web width  
\( d_p = \) distance from the extreme compression fiber to the centroid of the prestressing tendons.

### 12.3.4 Reinforcement Limits

(a) **Maximum reinforcement limit**

The maximum amount of prestressed and non-prestressed reinforcement should be such that,

\[
\frac{c}{d_e} \leq 0.42
\]  

(12.12a)

where \( d_e = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps}d_p + A_{sf}d_s}{A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_{sf}} \)  

(12.12b)

(b) **Minimum reinforcement**

At any section, the amount of prestressed and non-prestressed reinforcement should be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance, \( M_r \), at least equal to the lesser of 1.2 \( M_{cr} \) determined on the basis of elastic analysis or 1.33 times the factored moment required by the applicable strength load combinations.

\[
M_{cr} = (f_r + f_{ex})S_b - M_{dnc} \left[ \frac{S_{bc}}{S_b} - 1 \right]
\]  

(12.13)

where,

\( M_{dnc} = \) moment due to non-composite dead loads  
\( S_b = \) non-composite section modulus  
\( S_{bc} = \) composite section modulus  
\( f_r = \) modulus of rupture = 7.5 \( \sqrt{f'_c} \) psi = 0.24 \( \sqrt{f'_c} \) ksi
Chapter 12  LRFD and Standard AASHTO Design of Concrete Bridges

\( f_{\text{ce}} \) = compressive stress in the concrete due to effective prestress only, after losses, at the extreme tensile fibers of the section where tensile stresses are caused by external loads.

12.4 SHEAR DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

12.4.1 The Modified Compression Field Theory

The compression field theory for both shear and shear combined with torsion is discussed in Section 5.17.3 of Chapter 5. When torsion exists, it assumes that concrete carries no tension after cracking and the field of diagonal compressive struts carries the torsional shear. The inclination angle \( \theta \) of these struts varies depending on the longitudinal, transverse, and principal strains (Ref. 12.6) in the web such that:

\[
\tan^2 \theta = \frac{\varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_y}{\varepsilon_z - \varepsilon_y}
\]  

(12.14)

where \( \varepsilon_x \) = longitudinal strain of web, tension positive \\
\( \varepsilon_y \) = transverse strain, tension positive \\
\( \varepsilon_z \) = principal compressive strain, negative

Figure 12.8 shows the stress field in the web of a non-prestressed beam before and after cracking. Before the beam cracks, the shear is equally carried by the diagonal tensile and diagonal compressive stresses acting at a 45° angle (Figure 12.8a). After cracking, the diagonal cracks from the tensile stresses in the concrete are considerably reduced (Ref. 12.6, 12.7; also the shear and torsion equilibrium theory by Hsu in Ref. 12.8, 12.9).

In the compression field theory, the assumption is made that the principal tensile stress, \( f_t \), equals zero as in Figure 12.8(b) after the concrete has cracked. The modified compression field theory takes into account the contribution of the tensile stresses in the concrete between the cracks as in Figure 12.8(c). From Mohr's stress circle in Figure 5.2(b), in Chapter 5, in conjunction with Figure 12.8(c), the following expression can be obtained:

\[
f_d = (\tan \theta + \cot \theta)v - f_t
\]  

(12.15a)

where the applied shear stress is:

\[
v = \frac{V}{b_w d} = \frac{(V_u - \theta V_p)}{\phi b_w d_w}
\]  

(12.15b)

\( d_w = (d_p - a/2) \) and \( b_w = \) effective web width. The tension web reinforcement, \( A_v \), required to balance the compressive stresses would have to be expressed as:

\[
A_v f_v = (f_2 \sin^2 \theta - f_1 \cos^2 \theta) b_w s
\]  

(12.16)

Figure 12.8  Stress fields in web of reinforced concrete beam (Ref. 12.6) (a) before cracking \( f_t = f_p, \theta = 45^\circ \), (b) compression field theory, \( f_t = 0 \), (c) modified compression field theory, \( f_t \neq 0 \).
where $A_{f_v}$ is the vertical component of the balancing tensile force to close the diagonal crack inclined at angle $\theta$ and $f_v$ is the average stress in the vertical stirrups. Substituting for $f_v$ in equation 12.15(a) into equation 12.16 gives:

$$V = f_{v1} b_w d_v \cot \theta + \frac{A_{f_v}}{s} d_v \cot \theta$$

(12.17)

where $V$ represents $V_s$ and is equal to $(V_c + V_s)$, $V_s$ being the shear force taken by the vertical stirrups.

### 12.4.2 Design Expressions

As discussed in detail in Ref. 12.6, by making simplifying assumptions, the basic equations of the modified compression field theory can be rearranged so that the nominal shear resistance, $V_n$, in a prestressed beam can be evaluated, where:

$$V_n = V_c + V_t + V_p$$

(12.18)

where,

- $V_c$ = nominal shear strength provided by the tensile stresses in the concrete
- $V_t$ = nominal shear strength provided by the tensile stresses in the web reinforcement.
- $V_p$ = nominal shear strength provided by the vertical component of the harped or draped longitudinal tendons.

#### 12.4.2.1 AASHTO Standard Specifications (LFD)

The AASHTO standard provisions, similar to the ACI-318 provision (Ref. 12.1–12.4) provide that $V_c$ would be the smaller of the following two expressions presented and discussed in detail in Sections 5.5.1 and 5.5.2 of chapter 5:

**a) Flexural shear**

$$V_{cl} = 0.6\sqrt{f'_{c}} b_w d + \frac{V_p M_{cr}}{M_{max}}$$

(12.19)

**b) Web shear**

$$V_{cw} = [3.5\sqrt{f'_{c}} + 0.3f_c]b_w d + V_p$$

(12.20)

where, in AASHTO, the cracking moment is expressed as:

$$M_{cr} = S_4(6\sqrt{f'_{c}} + f_{pe} - f_d)$$

#### 12.4.2.2 LRFD Specifications

The LRFD AASHTO provisions recognize two methods:

**a) Strut-and-tie model applicable to any section geometry with regular or discontinuity features**

**b) Modified compression field model (Ref. 12.3, 12.6). This model is based on variable angle truss model in which the inclination of the diagonal compression field is allowed to vary. It differs from the LFD method where the angle $\theta$ is always assumed as 45°; in that the plain concrete contribution, $V_c$ is attributed to the tension carried across the compression diagonals as discussed in section 12.4.1.**
The nominal resistance is taken as the lesser of:

\[ V_n = V_c + V_s + V_p \]  

(12.21)

or,

\[ V_n = 0.25f'_cb_sd_v \]  

(12.22)

where, \( b_v \) = effective web width

\( d_v \) = effective shear depth = \( (d_p - a/2) \)

\( a \) = depth of the compressive block

This critical section for shear is located at distance \( d_v \) or \( (0.5d_v\cot\theta) \), whichever is larger. The value of \( d_v \) is taken from midspan flexural capacity computations.

The nominal shear resistance of the plain concrete, \( V_c \), in psi is:

\[ V_c = \beta \sqrt{f'_c b_v d_v} \]  

(12.23)

and in ksi,

\[ V_c = 0.0316\beta \sqrt{f'_c b_v d_v} \]  

(12.24)

The factor 0.0316 is \( 1/\sqrt{1000} \), which converts the expression from psi to ksi.

The contribution of the vertical web reinforcement is taken as:

\[ V_s = \frac{A_sf'd_v\cot\theta}{s} \]  

(12.25)

Transverse shear reinforcement should always be provided when the factored shear, \( V_u \), exceeds the plain concrete shear capacity, namely when

\[ V_u > 0.5\phi(V_c + V_p) \]  

(12.26)

where strength reduction factor \( \phi \) is taken from Table 12.1(a).

Additionally, when the beam reaction induces compression into the ends of the members as occurs in the majority of cases, the critical section for shear is taken as the larger of: \( 0.5d_v \cot\theta \) or \( d_v \), measured from the face of the support.

In order to determine the nominal shear resistance of the prestressed member, the design engineer has to determine the values of \( \beta \) and \( \theta \) needed for computing \( V_c \) and \( V_s \) in equations 12.21 and 12.22. For non-prestressed concrete sections use \( \beta = 2.0 \) and \( \theta = 45^\circ \). For prestressed concrete sections, lower variable \( \beta \) values are to be used by trial and adjustment. AASHTO Table 12.8 gives values of \( \beta \) and \( \theta \) for the various values of \( \epsilon_s \).

The strain, \( \epsilon_s \), in the tensile reinforcement is obtained from the following expression, if the section contains at least the minimum transverse reinforcement,

\[ \epsilon_s = \left[ \frac{M_u}{d_u} + 0.5N_u + 0.5V_u\cot\theta - A_p f_{pu} \right] \leq 0.002 \]  

(12.27)

where \( f_{pu} = 0.70 f_{pu} \).

The value in Eq. 12.27 is doubled if the section contains less than the minimum reinforcement.

The stress \( f_{pu} \) represents the stress in the prestressing strands at jacking for pretensioned members, and, conservatively, the average stress in post-tensioned tendons. For
Table 12.8 Values of $\theta$ and $\beta$ for Sections with Transverse Reinforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V_{le}$</th>
<th>$\leq -0.20$</th>
<th>$\leq -0.10$</th>
<th>$\leq -0.05$</th>
<th>$\leq 0$</th>
<th>$\leq 0.125$</th>
<th>$\leq 0.25$</th>
<th>$\leq 0.50$</th>
<th>$\leq 0.75$</th>
<th>$\leq 1.00$</th>
<th>$\leq 1.50$</th>
<th>$\leq 2.00$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_x \times 1,000$</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>$\leq 0.100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>$\leq 0.125$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>$\leq 0.150$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>$\leq 0.175$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>$\leq 0.200$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>$\leq 0.225$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>$\leq 0.250$</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

usual levels of prestressing, use $f_{po} = 0.75 f_{pu}$ for both pretensioned and post-tensioned members.

$f_{ce}$ = concrete compressive stress at the centroid of the composite section resisting live load or at the junction of the web and the flange if it lies within the flange due to both prestress and the bending moments resisted by precast section acting alone, namely, prior to composite action.

$f_{pe}$ = effective stress in the prestressing steel after losses. $f_{po}$ can conservatively taken as the effective prestress $f_{pe}$.

If the strain in the tensile reinforcement is negative, $\epsilon_x$ should be multiplied by the factor $F_{\epsilon}$ in the following expression:

$$F_{\epsilon} = \frac{E_c A_s + E_{ps} A_{ps}}{E_c A_c + E_s A_s + E_{ps} A_{ps}}$$ (12.28)

where $A_c$ = area of the concrete of the flexural tension side of the member as shown in the shaded portion of Figure 12.10.
The longitudinal reinforcement should be so proportioned that each beam section has to satisfy the following expression:

\[
A_{fs} + A_{ps} = \frac{M_u}{d \phi} + 0.5 \frac{N_u}{\phi} + \left( \frac{V_u}{\phi} + 0.5 V_s + V_p \right) \cot \theta
\] (12.29)

From the foregoing AASHTO expressions, the variable \( \beta \) is an essential determinant for evaluating the nominal shear resistance \( V_{cn} \) as in Equation 12.21. A plot of the values in Table 12.8, which is based on the compression field theory seems to indicate that the tabulated values are insensitive for ratios \( (v/f') \) in excess of 0.125 when the strain is less than 0.005 in/in. Hsu’s discussion in Ref. 12.21 points to this difficulty, partly arising from assigning a numerical value to the crack shear stress, \( v_{ci} \), namely, the ability of the crack interface to transmit a shear stress value dependant on the crack width, \( w \), in the following expression:

\[
v_{ci} \leq \frac{2.16 \sqrt{f''_c}}{0.3 + \frac{24w}{a + 0.63}} \text{ psi, } w \text{ (in.)} \quad v_{ci} \leq \frac{0.18 \sqrt{f''_c}}{0.3 + \frac{24w}{a + 16}} \text{ MPa, } w \text{ (mm)}
\]

Hsu’s work (Ref. 12.21, 12.22) proposes using \( v_{ci} = 0 \) in order to maintain equilibrium and compatibility. Also, the crack angle \( \theta \) in the \( V_t \) term of Equation 12.25 is the angle between the longitudinal steel and the principal compression stress (strain) of concrete. As such, the shear stress along the principal axis is zero. This discussion also applies to the LRFD provision for the case of combined shear and torsion. Future AASHTO modifications might become necessary in order to rectify the discrepancy.

**12.2.4.3 Maximum Spacing of Web Reinforcement.** The maximum allowable spacing, \( s \), of the web reinforcement is the smaller of

\[
s \leq 0.75 \ h \quad \text{or} \quad 24 \ \text{in.}
\]

If \( V_t > 4 \sqrt{f''_c} b_w d \), the maximum allowable spacing is reduced by 50 percent.

**12.5 HORIZONTAL INTERFACE SHEAR**

The principle of horizontal interface shear both at service and ultimate load levels are fully discussed in Chapter 5 Section 5.7, including illustrative examples in accordance with ACI 318 and PCI requirements. AASHTO Standard specifications requirements for
12.5 Horizontal Interface Shear

The nominal horizontal shear strength, \(V_{nh}\), are similar to those of ACI when no dowel reinforcement is used, namely, the maximum allowable stress is 80 psi. They differ when minimum dowel reinforcement is used in that the maximum allowable horizontal shear stress is 350 psi instead of the 500 psi allowed by the ACI.

Extensive investigations and tests by the author (Ref. 12.13) have shown that these are indeed very low allowable stresses. These tests demonstrate that even in early strength under sub-freezing temperature conditions, it is possible to obtain a strength at ultimate load in excess of 1200 psi (8.3 MPa) using vertical dowel reinforcement.

The standard AASHTO requirements are as follows (Ref. 12.2):

(a) When no vertical ties are provided:

\[
V_{nh} = 80b_vd
\]  
(12.30a)

(b) When minimum vertical ties are provided:

\[
V_{nh} = 500b_vd
\]  
(12.30b)

(c) Required area of ties, \(A_{vh}\), exceeds the minimum area:

\[
V_{nh} = 500b_vd + 0.40A_{vh}f_y \frac{d}{s}
\]  
(12.30c)

where, factored vertical shear \(V_u = \phi V_{nh}\)

- \(V_{nh}\) = nominal horizontal shear strength
- \(\phi = 0.90\)
- minimum \(A_{vh} = 50b_v\frac{f_y}{d}\)
- \(b_v\) = width of cross-section at the contact surface being analyzed for horizontal shear
- \(b_p\) = distance from extreme compression fibers to centroid of prestressing steel, but not to be taken less than 0.80\(h\)
- \(s\) = maximum spacing of the dowels, but not to exceed four times the least-web width of the support element, nor 24 in.

The LRFD specifications do not give guidance for computing the horizontal shear \(V_{nh}\). The following expression can be used:

\[
v_{nh} = \frac{V_u}{b_vd_v}
\]  
(12.31)

where

- \(v_{nh}\) = horizontal factored shear stress
- \(V_u\) = factored vertical shear
- \(d_v\) = distance between resultants of tensile and compressive forces
- \(= (d - a/2)\)
- \(b_v\) = interface width

LRFD specifies that the nominal shear resistance of the interface surface, \(V_n\), be computed using the following expression:

\[
V_n = cA_{cv} + \mu[A_{f_y} + P_c]
\]  
(12.32)

and that

\[
v_{nh}A_{cv} \leq \phi V_n
\]  
(12.33)
where
\[ c = \text{cohesion factor} \]
\[ \mu = \text{friction factor} \]
\[ A_{cv} = \text{interface area of concrete engaged in shear transfer} \]
\[ A_{sf} = \text{area of shear reinforcement crossing the shear plane within area } A_c \]
\[ P_c = \text{permanent net compressive force normal to the shear plane (may be conservatively neglected)} \]
\[ f_y = \text{yield strength of dowel reinforcement.} \]

Typically, the top surface of the precast element is intentionally roughened to an amplitude of \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. as discussed in section 5.7. Hence, for normal weight concrete, LRFD recommends simplifying equations 12.32 and 12.33 as follows with units in ksi:

\[ v_{uh} \leq \phi \left( 0.1 + \frac{A_{sf}}{A_{cv}} \right) \quad (12.34) \]

where the minimum

\[ A_{sf} = \frac{(0.05b_s t)}{f_y} \quad (12.35) \]

and the nominal shear resistance is to be taken as the lesser of

\[ V_n \leq 0.20f'_y A_{cv} \quad (12.36a) \]

or

\[ V_n = 0.80A_{cv} \quad (12.36b) \]

The cohesion factor \( c \) and the friction factor \( \mu \) in equation 12.32 have the following values for the particular conditions of the interacting surfaces:

(a) Monolithically placed concrete:
\[ c = 145 \text{ psi} \quad \mu = 1.4 \lambda \]

(b) Concrete placed against clean, hardened concrete with surface intentionally roughened
\[ c = 100 \text{ psi} \quad \mu = 1.0 \lambda \]

(c) Concrete placed against hardened concrete clean and free of laitance but not intentionally roughened
\[ c = 75 \text{ psi} \quad \mu = 0.6 \lambda \]

(d) Concrete anchored to as-rolled structural steel by headed studs or by reinforcing bars, where all steel in contact with the concrete is clean and free of paint
\[ c = 25 \text{ psi} \quad \mu = 0.7 \lambda \]

where \( \lambda = 1.0 \) for normal-density concrete
\[ = 0.85 \text{ for sand-low-density concrete} \]
\[ = 0.75 \text{ all other low-density concrete.} \]

While the LRFD AASHTO specifications require that minimum reinforcement is to be provided regardless of the stress level at the interface, designers may choose to
limit this reinforcement to cases in which \( V_{uh}/\phi \) is greater than 100 psi (0.7 MPa). Doing so would be consistent with the ACI 318 code and the standard AASHTO specifications.

### 12.5.1 Maximum Spacing of Dowel Reinforcement

The maximum allowable spacing of the dowels is:

(i) If \( V_u < 0.1 f'_c b_d d_u \), maximum \( s \leq 0.8 d_u \leq 24 \) in.

(ii) If \( V_u > 0.1 f'_c b_d d_u \), maximum \( s \leq 0.4 d_u \leq 12 \) in.

### 12.6 COMBINED SHEAR AND TORSION

The discussion in Section 12.4.1 on the modified compression field theory in conjunction with Section 5.17.3 give an ample treatment of the strains, shear forces and the resisting diagonal compression struts. Figures 5.38, 5.39 and 5.40 illustrate the deformed shape of the critical section when subjected to torsional moments. The shear stresses due to torsion and shear are assumed in this hypothesis to add on one side of the section and counteract on the opposite side. The transverse closed tie reinforcement is designed for the side in which the combined shear and torsional effects are additive.

The external loading which causes the highest torsional moment is not the same as the loading that causes the highest shear at the critical section. The tendency by the designer is to combine the highest value of torsion and the highest value of shear in the design of the web reinforcement. This is, naturally, conservative. It is possible to utilize the fact that the two loads are different and thus design the transverse reinforcement for the highest torsion and its concurrent shear or the highest shear and its concurrent torsion, whichever leads to a higher resistance capacity. The LRFD uses the same nominal torsional resisting moment as the ACI:

\[
T_n = \frac{2A_o A_y f_y \cot \theta}{s} \quad (12.37)
\]

where

- \( A_o = \) cross-section area enclosed by the shear flow path, including are of holes
- \( A_r = \) area of one leg of the enclosed transverse tension reinforcement
- \( \theta = \) variable angle of crack chosen by trial and adjustment using Table 12.8 (Ref. 12.3)

In order to determine the value of \( \theta \), the strain, \( \varepsilon_n \), in the tensile reinforcement is obtained from equation 12.27, except that \( V_n \) should be replaced

\[
V_n = \sqrt{V_n^2 + \left( \frac{P_b T_n}{2A_o} \right)^2} \quad (12.38)
\]

The required amount of transverse reinforcement for shear is obtained from equations 12.21(a) in conjunction with equations 12.23(a) and 12.25, namely,

\[
V_n = \beta \sqrt{f'_c b_d d_u} + \frac{A_{sf} d_u \cot \theta}{s} + V_p \quad (12.39)
\]

so that for shear in lb units and stress in psi,

\[
\frac{A_h}{s} = \frac{V_n - (\beta \sqrt{f'_c b_d d_u} + V_p)}{f_s d_u \cot \theta} \quad (12.40a)
\]
If ksi units are used, multiply $\beta$ by 0.0316 and for torsion, from equation 12.31,

$$\frac{A_t}{s} = \frac{T_n}{2A_0f_y \cot \theta}$$  

(12.40b)

the total area of web reinforcement would be:

$$\frac{A_{st}}{s} = \frac{A_v}{s} + 2 \frac{A_t}{s}$$  

(12.40c)

The angle $\theta$ is obtained from Figure 12.9 using shear, $v$, as follows:

(a) Box sections:

$$V = \frac{V_u - \phi V_p}{\phi b_v d_v} + \frac{T_u p_h}{\phi A_{oh}}$$  

(12.41)

(b) Other Sections:

$$V = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_u - \phi V_p}{\phi b_v d_v}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{T_u p_h}{\phi A_{oh}}\right)^2}$$  

(12.42)

where

- $p_h$ = perimeter of the center line of the enclosed transverse torsion reinforcement
- $A_{oh}$ = area enclosed by the center line of the outermost closed torsional reinforcement
- $A_o$ = gross area enclosed by the shear flow path (see Figure 5.45 for graphical representation of $A_o$ and $A_{oh}$ where $A_o = 0.85A_{oh}$)
- $T_u$ = factored torsional moment
- $\phi$ = resistance factor
The value of $\beta$ in equation 12.39 for determining the shear capacity, $V_{ct}$, of the plain concrete in the web is obtained from the chart in Figure 12.9. In order to avoid yielding of the longitudinal reinforcement, a check has to be made that the flexural reinforcement on the tension face is so proportioned as to satisfy the following condition:

$$\phi(A_{sf}f_y + A_{pm}f_p) \geq \frac{M_{eu}}{d_u} + 0.5N_u + \cot \theta \left( \sqrt{\left( V_u - 0.5V_i - V_p \right)^2} + \frac{0.45T_u p_0}{2A_0} \right)$$ (12.43)

where
- $p_0 =$ Perimeter of the shear flow path
- $N_u =$ Applied axial force, taken as positive if compressive

### 12.7 AASHTO-LRFD FLEXURAL-STRENGTH DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS VS. ACI CODE PROVISIONS

There are fundamental differences in the approaches of the AASHTO-LRFD flexural-strength design specifications and the ACI-318 code provisions. The LRFD approach is based on strain limit values as discussed in Section 12.3 and controlled by the ratio of the neutral axis depth, $c$, to the effective depth, $d_e$ (Ref. 12.14–12.16). This approach is variably termed as a unified approach since it is applicable to reinforced, prestressed, and partially prestressed concrete ultimate limit state design. The ACI-318 code strength provisions have been applied for determining the ultimate design strength $f_{cu}$ in several examples in other sections of the book such as sections 4.9 and 4.10. They have to be applied in the design of fully and partially prestressed concrete members in building structures. The current AASHTO standard specifications (Ref. 12.2) for proportioning...
Table 12.9 LRFD and ACI Provisions for Ultimate Strength Flexural Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACI Code</th>
<th>AASHTO-LRFD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$ to non prestressed reinforcement</td>
<td>$d_e$ to non prestressed reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_p$ to prestressed reinforcement</td>
<td>$d_e = \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps}d_p + A_s f_p d_i}{A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_p}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d'$ to compression steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho, \rho', \rho'' \ldots \rho = \frac{A_s}{bd}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega = \rho \frac{f_y}{f'<em>{c}} = \frac{A_s f_y}{bd f'</em>{c}}$</td>
<td>$b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_p = \rho_p \frac{f_{ps}}{f'<em>{c}} = \frac{A</em>{ps} f_{ps}}{bd p f'_{c}}$</td>
<td>$d_p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega')$</td>
<td>$d_e$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maximum Flexural Reinforcement**

Reinforced Concrete and Prestressed Concrete

Maximum redistribution factor = 1000 $\epsilon_i$ percent, where $\epsilon_i = 0.003 \left( \frac{d_i}{c} - 1 \right)$ provided:

$\omega + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega') \leq 0.24 \beta_i$

for rectangular sections and:

$\omega_p + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega_p - \omega'_p) < 0.24 \beta_i$

for T-section behavior

All Cases - RC, PC, PPC

Rectangular or T Section:

$20 \left( 1 - 2.36 \frac{c}{d_e} \right)$ in % provided:

$\frac{c}{d_e} \leq 0.28$
### Table 12.9  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACI Code</th>
<th>AASHTO-LRFD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum Reinforcement</strong></td>
<td><strong>All Cases:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced Concrete</td>
<td>$\phi M_n \geq 1.2 M_a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho \geq \rho_{\text{min}} \geq \frac{200}{f_y} \geq \frac{3.5\sqrt{f_c'}}{f_y}$</td>
<td>$\phi M_n \geq 1.33 M_a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(For T-sections, $\rho$ is based on web only)</td>
<td>Particular result for reinforced concrete:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestressed and Partially Prestressed Concrete:</td>
<td>$\rho \geq \rho_{\text{min}} = \frac{0.03 f_c'}{f_y}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi P_n \geq 1.2 P_{cr}$</td>
<td>(For T-sections, $\rho$ is based on web only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stress in Bonded Prestressing Steel at Ultimate Resistance in Bending**

- **Prestressed and Partially Prestressed Concrete-Bonded Tendons**

\[
f_{pu} = f_{pu}\left[1 - \frac{\gamma_p}{\beta_1}\left(\frac{f_{pm}}{f_{\epsilon}'} + \frac{d}{d_p} (\omega - \omega')\right)\right]
\]

where:

- $\gamma_p = 0.28$ for $f_{pp} \leq 0.90 f_{pu}$ (Low Lax)
- $0.40$ for $f_{pp} \leq 0.85 f_{pu}$ [normal]
- $0.55$ for $f_{pp} \leq 0.80 f_{pu}$ (bars)
- $\beta_1 = 0.85$ for $f_{\epsilon}' \leq 4$ ksi
- $0.65$ for $f_{\epsilon}' \geq 8$ ksi
- $0.85 - 0.05 (f_{\epsilon}' \cdot 4)$ for $4 \leq f_{\epsilon}' \leq 8$ ksi

- **PC and PPC Bonded Tendons:**

\[
f_{pu} = f_{pu}\left(1 - k \frac{c}{d_p}\right)
\]

\[
k = 2 \left(1.04 - \frac{f_{pp}}{f_{pu}}\right)
\]

- $f_{pu}$ has been defined elsewhere.

**prestressed concrete members in flexure generally follow the ACI code provisions. The LRFD alternative, which is a rational design approach, requires applying the strain limits unified procedure. It is useful to give a comparison summary showing the differences between the expressions specified in these two approaches as shown in Tables 12.8 (a), (b) adapted from Ref. 12.15.**
12.8 STEP-BY-STEP DESIGN PROCEDURE (LRFD)

The following is a summary of a recommended sequence of design steps:

1. Determine whether or not partial prestressing is to be chosen.

2. Select the bending moments and shear forces from Table 12.2(a) & (b), Section 12.7.

3. Follow the step sequence for flexural design of the member outlined in steps 2 through 10 of Section 4.13 in Chapter 4 and the flowchart of Figure 12.10 when using the LRFD method for flexure. Generally, \( d_e = (d_e - a/2) \).

4. Determine the factored shear force \( V_u \) due to all applied loads at the critical section located at a distance \( d_e \) or 0.5 \( d_e \) \( \cot \theta \) from the face of the support, whichever is larger, where

\[
d_e = \text{effective depth as shown in Table 12.8(a) and (b)}
\]

\[
= d_p \text{ if no mild steel is used. }
\]

5. Compute the tendon shear component \( V_p \). The factored shear stress is:

\[
v = \frac{V_u - \phi V_p}{\phi b_i d_v}
\]

The nominal available shear stress \( v_c = v/h \).

6. Compute the quantity \( v/f_c^2 \) and assume a value of \( \theta \). A good initial assumption for prestressed beams is \( \theta = 25^\circ \).

7. Compute the strain in the tensile reinforcement in order to enter Table 12.8 to obtain a trial value of \( \theta \) and \( \beta \).

\[
e_x = \left[ \frac{M_u}{d_v} + 0.5N_u + 0.5V_u \cot \theta - A_{ps}f_{po}}{2(E_sA_s + E_{ps}A_{ps})} \right] F_e \leq 0.002
\]

where \( f_{po} = 0.70 f_{pu} \)

\( f_{ce} \) = compressive stress in the concrete at the centroid of the tension reinforcement considering both prestressing load after losses and all permanent loads.

If the strain in the tensile reinforcement is negative, \( e_x \) should be multiplied by the factor \( F_e \):

\[
F_e = \frac{E_sA_s + E_{ps}A_{ps}}{E_cA_c + E_sA_s + E_{ps}A_{ps}}
\]
A_c = area of the concrete in the flexural tension side of the member.

8. Enter LRFD Figure 12.9 again, with the value of $v/f'_c$ and strain $\varepsilon_s$ if the strut angle $\theta$ is not close to the one assumed in the first trial, in order to obtain an adjusted value of $\beta$. Otherwise, compute $V_c$ from Equation 12.23, namely $V_c = \beta \sqrt{f'_c b_d d_s}$ (lb) or $V_c = 0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f'_c b_s d_s}$ (kip) using the $\beta$ value obtained from the chart in Figure 12.9.

9. Compute $V_s$ for the web reinforcement after the value of $V_c$ has been determined. Find the corresponding shear reinforcement spacing from:

$$A_v = 0.036 \sqrt{f'_c \frac{b_s s}{f_y}}$$

10. In regions of high shear stresses, ensure that the amount and development of the longitudinal reinforcement $A_s$ and $A_p$ should satisfy the following expression:

$$A_s f_y + A_p f_p \geq \left[ \frac{M_u}{d_s d_y} + 0.5 \frac{N_u}{d_y} + \left( \frac{V_s}{d_y} - 0.5V_s - V_p \right) \cot \theta \right]$$

It is recommended that this check be made at the face of the bearing which lies within the transfer length of the strands where the effective prestressing force is not fully developed.

11. When torsion exists combined with shear and flexure, the following steps need to be followed:

nominal torsion $T_n = \frac{2A_0 A_s f_y \cot \theta}{s}$

Strain in tensile reinforcement:

$$\varepsilon_s = \left[ \frac{M_u}{d_y f_y} + 0.5N_u + 0.5 \cot \theta \sqrt{V_s^2 + \frac{(P_h T_u)^2}{2A_0} - A_p f_p} } {2 (E_s A_s + E_p A_p) } \right] F_z \leq 0.002$$

where $f_{ps} = 0.70 f_{pu}$

Nominal shear resistance:

$$V_n = V_c + V_s + V_p = \beta \sqrt{f'_c b_d d_s} + \frac{A_s f_d d_s \cot \theta}{s} + V_p$$

where $d_s = (d_p - a/2)$

Shear reinforcement:

$$A_v = \frac{V_n - 0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f'_c b_d d_s} + V_p}{f_y d_s \cot \theta}$$
Forces are in kips and the stresses in ksi. For using lb and psi units, remove factor 0.0316.

Torsion reinforcement:

\[
\frac{A_t}{s} = \frac{T_n}{2A_d f_y \cot \theta}
\]

Total web closed ties reinforcement:

\[
\frac{A_{ct}}{s} = \frac{A_t}{s} + 2 \frac{A_t}{s}
\]

Shear stress \( \nu \) for obtaining angle \( \theta \):

(a) Box sections:

\[
\nu = \frac{V_n - \Phi V_p}{\Phi b_d d_w} + \frac{TP_h}{\Phi A_d h^2}
\]

(b) Other sections

\[
\nu = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_n - \Phi V_p}{\Phi b_d d_w}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{TP_h}{\Phi A_{dh}}\right)^2}
\]

For avoiding yield of the longitudinal tensile reinforcement:

\[
\phi (A_{sf} + A_{ps} f_{ps}) \geq \frac{M_u}{d_v} + 0.5N_u + \cot \theta \sqrt{(V_n - 0.5V_t - V_p)^2 + \left(\frac{0.45T_u P_h}{2A_v}\right)^2}
\]

12. Check the horizontal interface shear:

\[
v_h A_{cv} \leq \Phi V_n
\]

where

\[
V_n = cA_{cv} + \mu (A_{sf} f_y)
\]

\[
v_{ah} \leq \Phi \left(0.1 + \frac{A_{sf}}{A_{cv}}\right)
\]

where

\[
A_{sf} = \frac{0.05 b_s s}{f_y}
\]

\((f_y \text{ is in ksi})\)

Take the nominal shear resistance as the lesser of
Given materials and cross-sectional properties

\[ f_{ps} \geq 0.5 f_{pu} ? \]

- No
  - Determine \( f_{ps} \) from strain compatibility

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 15 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 103 \text{ MPa} \]

- No
  - Bonded tendons?
    - Yes
      - \( f_{ps} = f_{py} \)

\[ c = \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y - A_s f_y' / \left( f_{pu} \right) \right) (0.85 f_c \beta_1 b / \left( d_p \right) + k A_{ps} f_{pu} / \left( d_p \right)) \]

- Flanged section?
  - Yes
    - \( c \geq h_1 ? \)
      - No
        - Rectangular section: \( b_w = b ; h_1 = 0 \)
      - Yes
        - \( c / d_o \leq 0.42 ? \)
          - No
            - Over-reinforced section is not recommended, unless it is shown by test or analysis that performance will not be impaired
          - Yes
            - \( \phi = 0.7 \)

\[ \phi M_n = \phi \left[ \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) - A_s f_y (d_p - a/2) - 0.85 \beta_1 f_c (b - b_w) h_1 (a - h_1/2) \right) \right] \]

- For rectangular section behavior: \( b_w = b \)
- If \( c \leq 3d_s' \), assume \( f_y' = 0 \).
- To insure minimum reinforcement check that: \( \phi M_n \geq 1.2 M_{cr} \)

\[ (A_{ps} f_{ps} d_w + A_s f_y d_o) / (A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y) \]

\[ d_w = (A_{ps} f_{ps} d_w + A_s f_y d_o) / (A_{ps} f_{ps} + A_s f_y) \]

\[ \phi = 0.9 \]

\[ a = \beta_1 c \text{ and } c / d_o \]

\[ \phi = 0.7 \]

\[ c / d_o \leq 0.42 ? \]

\[ \phi = 0.9 \]

\[ \phi M_n = \phi \left[ \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) - A_s f_y (d_p - a/2) - 0.85 \beta_1 f_c (b - b_w) h_1 (a - h_1/2) \right) \right] \]

\[ \phi M_n = \phi \left[ \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) - A_s f_y (d_p - a/2) - 0.85 \beta_1 f_c (b - b_w) h_1 (a - h_1/2) \right) \right] \]

\[ \phi M_n = \phi \left[ \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) - A_s f_y (d_p - a/2) - 0.85 \beta_1 f_c (b - b_w) h_1 (a - h_1/2) \right) \right] \]

\[ \phi M_n = \phi \left[ \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) - A_s f_y (d_p - a/2) - 0.85 \beta_1 f_c (b - b_w) h_1 (a - h_1/2) \right) \right] \]

\[ \phi M_n = \phi \left[ \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) - A_s f_y (d_p - a/2) - 0.85 \beta_1 f_c (b - b_w) h_1 (a - h_1/2) \right) \right] \]

\[ \phi M_n = \phi \left[ \left( A_{ps} f_{ps} (d_p - a/2) - A_s f_y (d_p - a/2) - 0.85 \beta_1 f_c (b - b_w) h_1 (a - h_1/2) \right) \right] \]
\[ V_n \leq 0.20 f'_c A_{cv} \]

or

\[ V_n \leq 0.80 A_{cv} \]

- \( c \) = cohesion factor
- \( \mu \) = friction factor
- \( A_{cr} \) = concrete interface area = \( b_v l_v \)
- \( A_{sf} \) = area of shear reinforcement crossing the shear plane within area \( A_{cv} \)
- \( \phi \) = strength reduction factor = 0.90.

Limit \( A_{sf} \) to cases in which \( v_{ab} / \phi \) is greater than 100 psi.

Figure 12.10 gives flowchart (Ref. 12.15) for steps to be followed in determining the nominal moment strength, namely, the nominal bending resistance for bonded and unbonded tendons.

13. Maximum allowable spacing of web shear reinforcement:

\[ s \leq 0.75 \ h \leq 24 \text{ in.} \]

If \( V_n \geq 4 \sqrt{f'_c b_v d_v} \), reduce spacing by 50%.

For Dowel reinforcement spacing:

- If \( V_n < 0.1 f'_c b_v d_v \), \( s \leq 0.8 d_v \leq 24 \text{ in.} \)
- If \( V_n > 0.1 f'_c b_v d_v \), \( s \leq 0.4 d_v \leq 12 \text{ in.} \),

where \( b_v \) = width of contact for horizontal shear.

### 12.9 LRFD DESIGN OF BULB-TEE BRIDGE DECK

**Example 12.1**

Design for flexure an interior beam of a 120 ft (36.6 m) simply supported AASHTO-PCI bulb-tee composite bridge deck with no skew (adapted from Ref. 12.11). The superstructure is composed of six pretensioned beams at 9'-0" (2.74 m) on centers as shown in Figure 12.11. The bridge has an 8-in. (203-mm) situ-cast concrete deck with the top 1-in to be considered as wearing surface. The design live load is the HL-93 AASHTO-LRFD fatigue loading.

Assume the bridge is to be located in a low seismicity zone.

**Given:**

**Maximum allowable stresses:**

- **Deck**
  - \( f'_c = 4000 \text{ psi, normal weight} \)
  - \( f'_c = 0.60 f'_c = 2400 \text{ psi} \)
- **Bulb-tee**
  - \( f'_c = 6500 \text{ psi} \)
  - \( f'_c = 5500 \text{ psi} \)
  - \( f'_c = 0.60 f'_c = 3900 \text{ psi, Service III} \)
  - \( f'_c = 0.45 f'_c = 2925 \text{ psi, Service I} \)
  - \( f'_c = 0.60 f'_c = 3480 \text{ psi} \)
  - \( f'_c = 6 \sqrt{f'_c} = 484 \text{ psi} \)

**Section Properties**

- \( A_c = 767 \text{ in.}^2 \)
- \( h = 72 \text{ in.} \)
- \( I_c = 545,894 \text{ in.}^4 \)
- \( c_y = 36.60 \text{ in.} \)
- \( c_z = 35.40 \text{ in.} \)
Figure 12.11 Bulb-tee Bridge Deck Cross Section in Example 12.1 (Ref. 12.11)

\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{fy} = 0.90 f_{pu} = 243,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{pl} = 0.75 f_{pu} = 202,500 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_s = 60,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ E_{pm} = 28.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]
\[ E_s = 29.0 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]
\[ S_b = 14,915 \text{ in.}^3 \]
\[ S' = 15,421 \text{ in.}^3 \]
\[ r^2 = \frac{I_s}{A_s} = \frac{545,894}{767} = 712 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ W_D = 799 \text{ plf.} \]

Solution:

1. **Transformed Deck slab controlling width**
   
   Compute the transformed flange width:
   \[
   E_{ct} = 33w^{1.5} \sqrt{f_f} = 33 \times (1.5)^{1.5} \sqrt{4000} = 3830 \text{ ksi}
   \]
   \[
   E_{ct} \text{ at transfer} = 33(1.5)^{1.5} \sqrt{5500} = 4500 \text{ ksi}
   \]
   \[
   E_{ce} \text{ at service} = 33(1.5)^{1.5} \sqrt{6500} = 4890 \text{ ksi}
   \]
   Effective flange width is the lesser of
   
   (i) \(120 \times 12\) \(4\) = 360 in.
   
   (ii) 12.\(h_f\) + greater of web thickness or \(\frac{1}{2}\)-beam top flange width, \(b = 12 \times 7.5 + 0.5 \times 42 = 111\) in.
   
   (iii) average spacing between beams = \(9 \times 12 = 108\) in.
   
   hence, controlling flange width = 108 in.

   Modular ratio \(n_s = \frac{E_{ct}}{E_s} = \frac{3830}{4890} = 0.78\)

   Transformed width \(b_m = n_s b = 0.78 \times 108 = 84\) in.

2. **Properties of composite section**
   
   Disregard as insignificant the contribution of the deck concrete haunch to \(I_c\) which is needed because of the precast element camber.

   \[ A' = 1397 \text{ in.}^2 \]
   
   \[ h = 80 \text{ in.} \]
   
   \[ I_{ct} = 1,095,290 \text{ in.}^4 \]
   
   \[ c_{bc} = 54.6 \text{ in. to the bottom fibers} \]
   
   \[ c_c = 72 - 54.6 = 17.4 \text{ in. - precast} \]
   
   \[ c_{dc} = 80 - 54.6 = 25.4 \text{ in. - deck top} \]
Photo 12.4 Chesapeake and Delaware Canal cable-stayed bridge on S.R. 1. Length 4650 ft. with two 12-ft. deep precast girders carrying a 112-ft. wide roadway; typical spans are 150 ft. with precast box piers (Courtesy Figg Engineering Group, Tallahassee, Florida)

\[
S_{hc} = \frac{1,095,290}{54.6} = 20,060 \text{ in.}^3
\]

\[
S'_{c} = \frac{1,095,290}{17.4} = 62,950 \text{ in.}^3
\]

\[
S''_{c} = \frac{1,095,290}{25.4 \times 0.78} = 55,284 \text{ in.}^3
\]

3. **Bending moments and shear forces**

Slab: \( W_{SD1} = \frac{8}{12} \times 9 \times 150 = 900 \text{ lb/ft} \)

Barrier weight: \( W_{SD2} = \frac{2 \text{ barriers (300 lb/ft)}}{6 \text{ beams}} = 100 \text{ lb/ft} \)

2 in. future-wearing surface: \( W_{SD3} = \frac{2}{12} \times \frac{48 \text{ ft}}{6 \text{ beams}} \times 150 = 200 \text{ lb/ft} \)

Live load (truck load) in LRFD would be based on HL-93 truck fatigue loading. Clear width from figure 12.12 = 48 ft (14.6 cm)

Number of lanes = \( \frac{48}{12} = 4 \text{ lanes} \)
(a) Distribution factor for moment

For two or more lanes loaded (Ref. 12.3), the distribution factor for bending moment (Table 12.3b)

\[
DFM = 0.075 + \left( \frac{S}{9.5} \right)^{0.4} \left( \frac{S}{L} \right)^{0.2} \left( \frac{K_f}{12L^2} \right)^{0.1}
\]

provided that

- beam spacing: \(3.5 \leq S \leq 16\)  
- Actual \(S = 9.0 \text{ ft}\)  
- O.K.
- deck slab: \(4.5 \leq T_f \leq 12\)  
- Actual \(T_f = 7.5 \text{ in}\)  
- O.K.
- span: \(20 \leq L \leq 240\)  
- Actual \(L = 120 \text{ ft}\)  
- O.K.
- no. of beams: \(N_b > 4\)  
- Actual \(N_b = 6\)  
- O.K.

\(e_s\) = distance between the center of gravity of the beam and the slab

\[
e_s = \frac{7.5}{2} + 0.5 + 35.4 = 39.65 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
n = \frac{E_{ik}}{E_{ik}} = \frac{4890}{3830} = 1.28
\]

\[
K_f = n(l_i + A_s e_s^2)
\]

\[
= 1.28 [545,894 + 767 (39.65)^2] = 2,242,191 \text{ in.}^4
\]

hence,

\[
DFM = 0.075 + \left( \frac{9}{9.5} \right)^{0.4} \left( \frac{9}{120} \right)^{0.2} \left[ \frac{2,242,191}{12(7.5)^2(120)} \right]^{0.1}
\]

\[
= 0.732 \text{ lanes/beam}
\]

For one design lane loaded, from Table 12.3b,

\[
DFM = 0.06 + \left( \frac{S}{14} \right)^{0.4} \left( \frac{S}{L} \right)^{0.3} \left( \frac{K_f}{12L^2} \right)^{0.1}
\]

\[
= 0.06 + \left( \frac{9}{14} \right)^{0.4} \left( \frac{9}{120} \right)^{0.3} \left[ \frac{2,242,191}{12(7.5)^2(120)} \right]^{0.1} = 0.499 \text{ lanes/beam;}
\]

consequently, the case of two or more lanes loaded controls so that the DFM = 0.732 lanes per beam.

Fatigue Moments:

The moment is taken for a single design truck having the same axle weight as in all other limit states, but with a constant spacing of 30 ft between the 32-kip axles. A multiple lane factor of 1.2 for fatigue is used to reduce the controlling DFM factor. From Table 12.2a, the load factor is 0.75 and the impact factor (IM) for fatigue = 15%.

Hence, the fatigue truckload bending moment becomes:

\[
M_f = (\text{bending moment per lane}) (DFM/1.2)(1 + IM)
\]

or

\[
M_f = (\text{bending moment per lane}) \left( \frac{0.499}{1.2} \right) (1 + 0.15)
\]

\[
= (\text{bending moment per lane}) \left( \frac{0.415}{1.2} \right) (1 + 0.15)
\]

\[
= (0.478) (\text{bending moment per lane})
\]

(b) Distribution factor for shear

From Table 12.3(a),
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For two or more lanes loaded

\[
DFV = 0.2 + \left( \frac{S}{12} \right) - \left( \frac{S}{36} \right)^2
\]

provided that:

- beam spacing: \(3.5 \leq S \leq 16\)
- deck slab: \(4.5 \leq T_s \leq 12\)
- span: \(20 \leq L \leq 240\)
- 10,000 \(\leq K_s \leq 7,000,000\)

Actual \(S = 9.0\) ft  O.K.
Actual \(T_s = 7.5\) in.  O.K.
Actual \(L = 120\) ft  O.K.
Actual \(K_s = 2,242,191\) in.\(^4\)  O.K.

hence, \(DFV = 0.2 + \left( \frac{9}{12} \right) - \left( \frac{9}{36} \right)^2 = 0.887\) lanes/beam.

For one design lane loaded (Table 12.3a)

\[
DFV = 0.36 + \left( \frac{S}{25.0} \right) = 0.36 \left( \frac{9.0}{25.0} \right) = 0.720\) lanes/beam;

consequently, the case of two or more lanes loaded controls and \(DFV = 0.887\) lanes per beam.

4. Load Combinations

Total factored load, \(Q = \eta \sum \gamma_i q_i\),

where \(\eta\) = a factor relating to ductility, redundancy and operational importance.
\(\gamma_i\) = load factors
\(q_i\) = special loads;

use \(\eta = 1.0\) for all practical purposes in this example.

Investigate all the load combinations from table 12.2(a) and (b). The cases that control are as follows:

(a) Service I for compressive stresses in the prestressed concrete components:
\(Q = 1.0 \times (DC + DW) + 1.0 \times (LL + IM)\)

(b) Service III for tensile stresses in the prestressed concrete components:
\(Q = 1.0 \times (DC + DW) + 0.8 \times (LL + IM)\)

(c) Strength I for ultimate strength:

\[\text{Maximum } Q = 1.25 \times DC + 1.50 \times DW + 1.75 \times (LL + IM)\]
\[\text{Minimum } Q = 0.90 \times DC + 0.65 \times DW + 1.75 \times (LL + IM)\]

(d) Fatigue for checking stress range in the strands
\[Q = 0.75 \times (LL + IM)\]

(The fatigue \(Q\) is a special load combination for checking the tensile stress range in the strands due to live load and dynamic allowance.)

5. Unfactored shear forces and bending moments

(a) Truck Loads

Truck load shear force:

\[V_{LT} = (\text{shear force per lane})(DFV)(1 + IM)\]
\[= (\text{shear force per lane})(0.887)(1 + 0.33)\]
\[= 1.180 \text{ (shear force per lane) kips.}\]

Truck load bending moment:

\[M_{LT} = (\text{moment per lane})(DFM)(1 + IM)\]
\[= (\text{moment per lane})(0.732)(1 + 0.33)\]
= 0.974 (moment per lane) ft-kips.

\[ LT = \text{Truck live load} \]

(b) Lane Loads
For lane loads, no dynamic allowance is applied, hence,

\[ V_{LL} = (\text{shear force per lane})(DFV) \]

\[ = (\text{shear force per lane})(0.887) \text{ kips} \]

\[ M_{LL} = (\text{moment per lane})(DFM) \]

\[ = (\text{moment per lane})(0.732) \text{ ft-kips}. \]

The lane loads from Figure 12.4, the load on this bridge is as follows in Figure 12.13.

6. Computation of moments and shears
(a) Lane live load (DFV = 0.887, DFM = 0.732)

(i) Support section:
shear at the left support \((x = 0)\) from equation 12.6(a) and Figure 12.12:

\[ V_{LL} = \frac{0.64}{2L} (L - x)^2 (DFV) \]

\[ = \frac{0.64}{2 \times 120} (120)^2 (0.887) = 34.1 \text{ kips} \]

From equation 12.6(b), and DFM = 0.732

\[ M_{LL} = \frac{0.64(L)(L - x)}{2} (DFM) = 0 \text{ ft-kip} \]

(ii) Section at 24 ft from support:
As an example, find \(V_{LL}\) and \(M_{LL}\) at \(x = 24\) ft from the left support.

\[ V_{LL} = \frac{0.64}{2 \times 120} (120 - 24)^2 (0.887) = 21.8 \text{ kips} \]

\[ M_{LL} = \frac{0.64(24)(120 - 24)}{2} (0.732) = 539.7 \text{ ft-kip} \]

(b) Truck live load (DFV = 1.180, DFM = 0.974)
Here, the impact factor IM = 33% has to be included, hence, larger DFV and DFM values.

(i) Support sections:
From Table 12.4,

\[ 0.64 \text{ kip/ft/lane} \]

\[ \text{left reaction} \quad \text{right reaction} \]

\[ x \quad (120 - x) > x \]

\[ 120' \]

Figure 12.12  Truck load per lane
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\[ V_{LT} = \frac{72[(L - x) - 9.33]}{L} \text{ (DFV)} \]
\[ = \frac{72[(120 - 0.0) - 9.33]}{120} = 78.1 \text{ kips} \]

From Table 12.5,
\[ M_{LT} = \frac{72(x)[(L - x) - 9.33]}{L} \text{ (DFM)} \]
\[ = 0 \text{ ft-kip for the support moment.} \]

(ii) Section at 24 ft from support:
\[ V_{LT} = \frac{72[(120 - 24) - 9.33]}{120} = 61.4 \text{ kips} \]
\[ M_{LT} = \frac{72(24)[(120 - 24) - 9.33]}{120} = 1215.0 \text{ ft-kip} \]

(c) Fatigue moment at 24 ft (DFF = 0.478)
From Table 12.7,
\[ M_f = \frac{72(x)[(L - x) - 18.22]}{L} \text{ (DFF)} \]

From before, DFF = 0.478

hence,
\[ M_f = \frac{72(24)[(120 - 24) - 18.22]}{120} = 535.8 \text{ ft-kip} \]

(d) Shears and moments due to dead loads:
The loads to be considered are beam weight \( W_D \) plus deck slab and haunches \( W_{SD} \) and future wearing surface \( W_{SD} \).
The beam is simply supported, hence, the shear and moment at any cross section along the span are:
\[ V_x = W_D (0.5L - x) \]
\[ M_x = 0.5W_D x (L - x) \]

As an example, consider a section at 24 ft from the left support and compute the shear and moment due to self-weight \( W_D = 0.799 \text{ Kip/ft} \):
\[ V_x = 0.799(0.5 \times 120 - 24) = 28.8 \text{ kips} \]
\[ M_x = 0.5 \times 0.799 \times 24(120 - 24) = 920.4 \text{ ft-kip}. \]

Tables 12.10 and 12.11 (Ref. 12.11) list the forces and moments required for the design of the interior beam elements. It should be noted that long-hand computations to develop such a table are time consuming. Computer programs developed by several state DOTs are available, some on the internet, such as the Washington State DOT Program.

7. Design of the Bulb-tee prestressed interior beam

1) Selection of Prestressing Strands

For Service-III load combination, bottom fiber stress \( f_b \) is:
### Table 12.10  LRFD Service Shear and Moment Due to Dead Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Beam Weight $W_d$</th>
<th>(Slab + Haunch) Weight $W_{sdh}$</th>
<th>Barrier Weight $W_{ds2}$</th>
<th>Wearing Surface $W_{sd3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shear $M_g$</td>
<td>Shear $M_s$</td>
<td>Shear $M_b$</td>
<td>Shear $M_{ws}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>kips ft-kips</td>
<td>kips ft-kips</td>
<td>kips ft-kips</td>
<td>kips ft-kips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00°</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>274.3</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>315.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>517.8</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>597.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>920.4</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>1,062.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1,208.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>1,394.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48+</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1,380.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1,593.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,438.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,659.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Critical section for shear
+ Harp point

### Table 12.11  LRFD Service Shear and Moment Due to Truck and Lane Loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Truck Load with Impact $W_{LT}$</th>
<th>Lane Load $W_{LL}$</th>
<th>Fatigue Truck with Impact $W_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shear $V_{LT}$</td>
<td>Moment $M_{LT}$</td>
<td>Shear $V_{LL}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shear ft-kips</td>
<td>Moment ft-kips</td>
<td>Shear ft-kips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00°</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>367.8</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>691.6</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>1,215.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>1,570.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48+</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>1,778.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>1,830.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Critical section for shear
+ Harp point
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From Tables 12.10 and 12.11, Midspan stresses at bottom fibers at service:

\[ f_{ec} = \frac{(1438.2 + 1659.6)}{14,915} (12) + \frac{(180 + 360)}{20,090} (12) \]

\[ = 2.50 + 1.60 \approx 4.10 \text{ ksi (T)} \]

The 4.10 ksi (T) will be neutralized by prestressing the beam. Maximum allowable tensile stress:

\[ f_t = 6.0 \sqrt{f_{ct}} \text{ psi} = 6 \sqrt{6500} = 484 \text{ psi} = 0.484 \text{ ksi} \]

Required prestress compressive stress at the bottom fibers:

\[ f_{cb} = (4.1 - 0.48) = 3.62 \text{ ksi} \]

Assume that the distance from the centroid of the prestressing reinforcement and the section bottom fibers = 0.05h

\[ = (0.05)(72) = 3.6 \text{ in; use 4.0 in}, \text{ hence } e_c = 36.6 - 4.0 = 32.6 \text{ in} \]

As presented in the examples in Chapter 4,

\[ f_{sp} \text{ due to prestress } = \frac{P_s}{A_c} + \frac{P_s \times e_c}{S_b} \]

or \[ f_{sp} = \frac{P_s}{767} + \frac{P_s \times 32.6}{14,915} = 3.62 \text{ ksi} \]

to give prestressing force \( P_s = 1037 \text{ kips} \)

Assume total prestress loss = 25%

\[ P_i = \frac{1037}{1 - 0.25} = 1383 \text{ kips} \]

assume using \( \frac{1}{4} \) in.-dia 7-wire 270-K low-relaxation strands (\( A_{ps} = 0.153 \text{ in.}^2 \))

Required number of strands = \[ \frac{1383}{0.153 \times 202.5} = 44.6 \text{ strands} \]

After two trials and adjustments, 48 strands with the configuration shown in Figure 12.13 are tried. Less than 48 strands result in tensile stresses at the bottom fibers at service which exceed the maximum allowable \( f_t = 484 \text{ psi} \). Twelve strands are harped at 0.4 L. Accordingly, 36 strands remain straight at the beam (see Figure 12.13).

From data, \( c_b = 36.60 \text{ in. and } c_r = 72 - 36.60 = 35.40 \text{ in.} \)

\[ e_c = c_b - [2 \times 70 + 2 \times 68 + 2 \times 66 + 2 \times 64 + 2 \times 62 + 2 \times 60 + 4 \times 8 + 8 \times 6 + 12 \times 4 + 12 \times 2]/48 \]

\[ = 36.60 - 19.42 = 17.28 \text{ in.} \]

\[ e_c = c_b - [2 \times 12 + 12 \times 4 + 8 \times 6 + 8 \times 4 + 2 \times 10 + 2 \times 12 + 2 \times 14 + 2 \times 16 + 2 \times 18 + 2 \times 20]/48 \]

\[ = 36.6 - 6.92 = 29.68 \text{ in.} \]

Given \( f_{ps} = 0.75 \) \( f_{ps} = 202,500 \text{ psi} \),

\[ P_t = (48)(0.153)(202.5) = 1488 \text{ kips} \]
After running a detailed step-by-step analysis of prestress losses as in chapter 3, Section 3.9, the total prestress loss was determined to be 26.4%.

\[ f_{p0} = 202.5(1 - 0.264) = 149.0 \text{ ksi} \]

hence, \[ P_t = 1488(1 - 0.264) = 1095.0 \text{ kips} \]

(2) **Check of Concrete Unfactored Stresses**

(a) **Stresses at Transfer**

Initial \[ f_{p0} = 0.7 f_{p0} = 0.7 \times 270 = 202.5 \text{ ksi} \]. Common practice assumes that initial relaxation losses at prestressing amount to 9 to 10%. Use 10% reduction in \[ f_{p0} \].

\[ P_t = 0.90 \times 1488 = 1339 \text{ kips} \]

Hence, \[ P_t = 0.90(202.5)(0.153 \times 48) = 1338 \text{ kips} \]

(i) **Support Section**

From Chapter 4, Equation 4.1 (a),

\[ f_t = \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c e_t}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_D}{S} \]

\[ = \frac{1338}{767} \left( 1 - \frac{17.28 \times 35.4}{712} \right) - 0 = -0.25 \text{ ksi (C), no tension, O.K.} \]

\[ f_s = \frac{P_t}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c e_s}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S} \]

\[ = \frac{1339}{767} \left( 1 + \frac{17.28 \times 36.3}{712} \right) + 0 \]

\[ = 3.29 \text{ ksi (C) < allowable } f_s = 3.48 \text{ ksi O.K.} \]

(ii) **Midspan Section**

\[ f_t = \frac{1338}{767} \left( 1 - \frac{29.68 \times 36.60}{712} \right) - \frac{1438 \times 12}{15,421} \]

\[ = 0.917 - 1.119 = -0.202 \text{ ksi (C), no tension allowed, hence, O.K.} \]
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\[ f_b = \frac{1339}{767} \left( 1 + \frac{29.68 \times 36.6}{712} \right) + \frac{1438 \times 12}{14,915} \]

\[ = -4.513 + 1.157 = -3.356 \text{ ksi (C)} < \text{than allowable } f'_{ct} = 5.50 \text{ ksi, O.K.} \]

(b) Stresses at Service:

(i) Midspan Section:

From chapter 4, Equations 4.3(a) and 4.3(b):

\[ f' = \frac{P}{A_c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_c r}{r^2} \right) - \frac{M_T}{S_e} \leq f_e \]

\[ f_b = \frac{P}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_b r}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_T}{S_{ob}} \leq f_t \]

Since the loads are placed at different stages of construction, for Service-1 precast sections,

\[ f' = \frac{1095}{767} \left( 1 - \frac{29.68 \times 35.40}{712} \right) - \frac{(1438 + 1660)12}{15,421} - \frac{(360 + 180)12}{62,950} \]

\[ = 0.679 - 2.411 - 0.103 = -1.835 \text{ ksi (C)} \]

\[ < \text{Service allowable } f_e = 2925 \text{ psi } \text{O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = \frac{1095}{767} \left( 1 + \frac{29.68 \times 36.60}{712} \right) + \frac{(1438 + 1660)12}{14,915} + \frac{(360 + 180)12}{20,060} \]

\[ = -3.605 + 2.493 + 0.323 = -0.789 \text{ ksi (C)} \text{ O.K.} \]

(3) Including stresses due to the transient lane and truck loads

A factor of 0.80 is used for Type III loading (Table 12.2a)

\[ f' = -1.835 - \frac{0.8 (1830 + 843)12}{62,950} \]

\[ = -1.835 - 0.408 = -2.243 \text{ ksi (C)} < \text{Service-III } f_e = 3900 \text{ psi } \text{O.K.} \]

\[ f_b = -0.789 + \frac{0.8 (1830 + 843)12}{20,060} \]

\[ = -0.789 + 1.279 = 0.490 \text{ ksi (T)} = \text{Allowable } f_t = 0.484, \text{ O.K.} \]

(4) Concrete stresses at top deck fibers

(i) Under permanent Service I loads

\[ f'_{ct} = \frac{M_{ct} + M_L}{S_{ct}} = \frac{(360 + 180)12}{55,284} \]

\[ = -0.117 \text{ ksi (c)} < \text{allowable } f_e = 2.4 \text{ ksi } \text{O.K.} \]

(ii) Under permanent and transient lane and truck loads, Service I:

\[ f'_{ct} = \frac{M_{ct} + M_t + M_{LT} + M_{LL}}{S_{ct}} \]

\[ = -0.117 - \frac{(1830 + 843)12}{55,284} \]

\[ = -0.697 \text{ ksi (C)} < \text{allowable } f_e = 2.4 \text{ ksi, O.K.} \]
(5) Concrete Stresses at beam bottom fibers, Service III (See step 3)

\[
f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_S}{S_b} + \frac{(M_{at} + M_B) + 0.8(M_{LT} + M_{LL})}{S_{bc}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1095}{767} \left( 1 + \frac{29.68 \times 36.60}{712} \right) + \frac{(1438 + 1660)12}{14,915}
\]

\[
+ \frac{[(360 + 180) + 0.8(1830 + 843)]12}{20,060}
\]

\[
= -3.605 + 2.492 + 1.603 = 0.490 \text{ ksi (T)} \equiv \text{allowable } f_e = 0.484 \text{ ksi, O.K.}
\]

(6) Fatigue stresses

LRFD specifies that in regions of compressive stress due to permanent loads and prestress, fatigue is only considered if the compressive stress is less than twice the maximum tensile live load stress resulting from the fatigue:

Thus, for permanent loads only, the term \((M_{LT} + M_{LL})/S_b\) is taken out to give:

\[
f_b = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{e c_b}{r^2} \right) + \frac{M_D + M_S}{S_b} + \frac{(M_{at} + M_B)}{S_{bc}}
\]

\[
= -3.605 + 2.492 + \frac{(360 + 180)12}{20,060} = -0.790 \text{ ksi (c) O.K.}
\]

From table 12.10, fatigue moment \(M_f = 777 \text{ ft-kips},\)

Tensile fatigue stress at the bottom fibers,

\[
f_b = \frac{0.75M_f}{S_{bc}} = \frac{0.75 \times 777 \times 12}{20,060} = 0.348 \text{ ksi (T)}
\]

Since twice 0.348 = 0.696 < 0.790 ksi (which is a compressive stress), a fatigue check is unnecessary.

From the foregoing computations, the flexural design is O.K. at the initial and service load conditions. To be complete and also determine the reserve strength available for overload conditions, the limit state at failure design is necessary as in the following section. The total design has to include shear, torsion, if any, and serviceability as in Example 12.2.

8. Ultimate strength (Limit state of failure)

(a) Nominal flexural resistance moment

From Tables 12.2 (a) and (b) total factored moment for Strength I Load:

\(M_e = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75(LL + IM)\)

From Table 12.10

\(M_e = 1.25(1438 + 1660) + 1.5(360 + 180) + 1.75(1830 + 843) = 9316 \text{ ft-kip}\)

Required \(M_e = \frac{M_d}{\phi} = \frac{9316}{1.0} = 9316 \text{ ft-kip}\)

Average stress in the prestressing reinforcement when \(f_{ps} \geq 0.5 f_{pu}\), from equation 12.9:

\[f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{d_p} \right) \text{ where } k = 2 \left( 1.04 - \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} \right)
\]

For the depth of the compressive block, use slab \(f'_{c} = 4.0 \text{ ksi}\).

\(k = 0.28\) for low-relaxation steel

\(d_p = (h - \text{cover to c.g.s.}) = (72 + 8 - 6.92) = 73.08 \text{ in.}\)

\(b = \text{effective compression flange width} = 9' - 0" = 108 \text{ in.}\)

\(A_{ps} = 48 \times 0.153 = 7.344 \text{ in.}^2\)
From equation 12.10,
\[
c = \frac{A_p f_{ps} + A_f f_y - A_e f_y}{0.85 f_y \beta_t b + k A_p f_{ps}}
\]
\[
= \frac{7.344 + 0}{0.85 \times 4.0 \times 0.85 \times 108 + 0.28 \times 7.344 \left( \frac{270}{73.08} \right)}
\]
\[
= 6.20 \text{ in.} < t_e = 7.5 \text{ in.}
\]
\[
a = \beta_t c = 0.85 \times 6.20 = 5.27 \text{ in.}
\]

hence, neutral axis is within the flange and the section is considered rectangular.

Average design reinforcement strength \( f_{ps} \):
\[
f_{ps} = 270 \left( 1 - 0.28 \frac{6.20}{73.08} \right) = 263.6 \text{ ksi}
\]

nominal flexural resistance \( M_r = A_p f_{ps} \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) \)
\[
M_r = 7.344 \times 263.6 \left( 73.08 - \frac{5.27}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{12} \right)
\]
\[
= 11,364 \text{ ft-kips} > \text{ required } M_n = 9316 \text{ ft-kip} \quad \text{O.K.}
\]
\[
\frac{c}{d_e} \leq 0.42 \text{ for ductile behavior discussed in section 12.3 and table 12.11 (a).}
\]

Actual \( \frac{c}{d_e} = 6.20 \div 73.08 = 0.085 < 0.42 \quad \text{O.K.}
\]

(b) Minimum reinforcement

As discussed in section 12.3.4, the minimum reinforcement has to be the lesser of 1.2 \( M_{re} \) or 1.33 \( M_n \) required by the applicable load combinations. See also flowchart Figure 12.11 and Tables 12.10 and 12.11.

\[
f_c = 7.5 \sqrt{f_{ps}'} = 7.5 \sqrt{6500} = 605 \text{ psi} = 0.6 \text{ ksi}
\]
\( f_{ps}' = \text{compressive stress due to effective prestress only at the bottom fibers as defined in section 12.3.4}, \)
\[
= \frac{P_c}{A_c} \left( 1 + \frac{e_c e_b}{r^2} \right) = -3.606 \text{ ksi from before.}
\]

Non-composite \( M_{nc} = M_o + M_{re} = 1438 + 1660 = 3098 \text{ ft-kip} \)
\[
S_{bc} = 20,060 \text{ in.}^4
\]
\[
S_b = 14,915 \text{ in.}^4
\]

From equation 12.13,
\[
M_{ce} = (f_c + f_{scroll}) S_b - M_{nc} \left( \frac{S_{bc}}{S_b} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= (0.6 + 3.6) \frac{14,915}{12} - 3098 \left( \frac{20,060}{14,915} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= 5220 - 1069 = 4151 \text{ ft-kip}
\]
\[
1.2 M_{re} = 1.2 \times 4151 = 4981 \text{ ft-kip}
\]
\[
1.33 M_n = 1.33 \times 9316 = 12,390 \text{ ft-kip} > 4981 \text{ ft-kip}
\]
9. Pretensioned Anchorage Zone
The zone reinforcement is designed using the force in the strands just prior to release
transfer. The LRFD specifications require that the bursting resistance, $P$, should not
be less than 4.0% of the force in the strands, $F_{ps}$, before release, namely:

\[ f_{pu} = f_p A_s \geq 0.4 F_{ps} \]
\[ F_{ps} = 48 \times 0.153 \times 202.5 = 1488 \text{ kips} \]
\[ P_s = 0.04 \times 1488 = 59.5 \text{ kips} \]
Use a stress, $f_y$, in the anchorage reinforcement not exceeding 20 ksi.
Required area = 59.5/20 = 2.98 in.$^2$
Try No. 5 closed ties; $A_s = 2 \times 0.31 = 0.62$ in.$^2$
Number of ties = 2.98/0.62 = 4.8
Distance within which anchorage reinforcement has to be provided from beam end =
$ht/5 = 72/5 = 14.4$ in.
Use No. 5 closed ties at 3 in. center-to-center, with the first tie starting at 2 in. from the
beam end.

Conclusion:
Accept the design of the bulb-tee bridge for flexure. For the design to be complete,
design for shear, interface shear transfer and deflection/camber checks have to be per-
formed as in Example 12.2.

Photo 12.5 Hanging Lake Viaduct, Glenwood Canyon, Colorado, total length
1297 ft., consisting of 34 spans, primarily 200-ft. lengths (Courtesy Pigg Engineering
Group, Tallahassee, Florida)
12.10 LRFD SHEAR AND DEFLECTION DESIGN

Example 12.2

Design the web shear reinforcement for the bulb-tee beam in Example 12.1 at the critical section near the supports and the interface shear transfer reinforcement at the interface plane between the precast section and the deck situ-cast concrete. Also, verify if the span deflection is within the allowable limits.

Solution:

1. Web Shear Design

(a) Strain at centroid level of reinforcement

\[ \phi = 0.90 \]

\[ \epsilon_s = 17.28 \text{ in.} \]

Provide web steel when \( V_c > 0.5\phi (V_e + V_p) \)

Critical section is the greater of \( 0.5 d_c \cot \theta \) or \( d_s \).

\[ d_s = d_c = h - \epsilon_s = 80.0 - 17.28 = 62.72 \text{ in.} \]

\[ d_s = \left( d_e - \frac{a}{2} \right) = 62.72 - \frac{5.27}{2} = 60.08 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \geq 0.9d_s = 0.9 \times 62.72 = 56.45 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \geq 0.72h = 0.72 \times 80 = 57.6 \text{ in.} \]

\[ d_e = 60.08 \text{ in.} \text{ controls as the largest of the three values} \]

Assume \( \theta = 22^\circ \) for a first trial

\[ 0.5d_c \cot \theta = 0.5 \times \cot 22^\circ \]

\[ = 74.35 \geq 60.08 \text{ in.}, \text{ use } d_s = 74.35 \text{ in the } \epsilon_s \text{ equation} \]

As the support bearing width is not yet determined, assume it conservatively = 0. Consequently, the critical section for shear is 74.35 in. = 6.2 ft from the support, being larger than the dimension \( d_e = 60.08 \text{ in.} \) as stipulated by the LRFD AASHTO requirement; hence distance 74.35 in. controls for the critical shear section.

\[ \frac{x}{L} = \frac{6.2}{120} = 0.05L \text{ from the support face.} \]

From equation 12.23,

\[ V_c = \beta \sqrt{f_c} b_d \]

In order to determine the value of \( \beta \) several computations have to be performed.

Reinforcement strain \( \epsilon_r \) from equation 12.27 is

\[ \epsilon_r = \frac{M_d}{d_s} + 0.5N_s + 0.5V_e \cot \theta - A_{ps} f_{ps} \]

\[ 2[E_r A_r + E_{pr} A_{pr}] \leq 0.002 \]

At plane 0.05L, from Table 12.9

\[ M_d = 1.25(275 + 315 + 34) + 1.5(68) + 1.75(368 + 160) = 1802 \text{ ft-kip} \]

Corresponding shear:

\[ V_s = 1.25(43 + 50 + 5) + 1.50(11) + 1.75(74 + 31) = 323 \text{ kips} \]

\[ N_s = \text{applied normal force at 0.05L plane} = 0 \]
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\[ f_{po} = \text{jacking stress} = 0.70 \cdot f_{pu}. \]

\[ = f_{pc} + \frac{\Phi_{e}E_{ct}}{E_{c}}. \] It can however, be conservatively taken as the effective prestress \( f_{pc} \).

\( \Phi_{e} \) = concrete compressive stress at the centroid of the composite section due to both prestressing and the bending moments resisted by the precast section acting alone.

Distance from the c.g.c. of the composite section to the c.g.c. of the precast section.

\[ c_{l} = c_{bc} - c_{b} = 54.6 - 36.6 = 18.0 \text{ in.} \]

At the critical section \( e_{cl} = 18.9 \text{ in.} \)

Section modulus \( S_{l} = \frac{I_{c}}{e_{l}} = \frac{545.894}{18.0} = 30,327 \text{ in.}^{4} \)

\( e_{s} = 17.28 \text{ in.} \)

\( r^{2} = 712 \text{ in.}^{2} \)

\( \Phi_{e} = \frac{P_{l}}{A_{c} \left( 1 - \frac{e_{s}r_{l}}{r^{2}} \right) - \frac{(M_{D} + M_{SD})}{S_{l}}} \)

\[ = \frac{1095}{767} \left( 1 - \frac{18.9 \times 18.0}{712} \right) - \frac{(275 + 315)(12)}{30,327} \]

\[ = -0.746 - 0.233 = -0.979 \text{ ksi (c)} \]

\[ f_{pc} = \frac{1095}{48 \times 0.153} = 149.0 \text{ ksi} \]

\( E_{c} = 4890 \text{ ksi} \)

\( V_{u} = 323 \text{ kips} \)

\( M_{u} = 1802 \text{ kip-ft} \)

\( A_{ps} = 48 \times 0.153 = 7.344 \)

\[ f_{po} = 0.70 \times 270 = 189 \text{ ksi} \]

\[ \frac{1802 \times 12}{74.35} + 0 + 0.5 \times 232 \times \cot 22° - 7.344 \times 189 \]

\[ \epsilon_{s} = \frac{2[0 + 28,500 \times 7.344]}{74.35} \]

\[ = -1.935 \times 10^{-9} \text{ in./in.} < 0.002 \text{ O.K.} \]

Since the value of strain \( \epsilon_{s} \) at the level of the reinforcement centroid is negative, its value has to be adjusted by the factor \( F_{e} \)

\[ F_{e} = \frac{E_{s}A_{s} + E_{ps}A_{ps}}{E_{c}A_{c} + E_{s}A_{s} + E_{ps}A_{ps}} \]

From Figures 12.10 and 12.12, \( h = 80 \text{ in.} \)

\[ A_{c} = 26 \times 6 + 2 \times 0.5 \times 10 \times 4.5 + 6 \left( \frac{80}{2} - (6 + 4.5) \right) = 378 \text{ in.}^{2} \]
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\[
F_s = \frac{0 + 28,000 \times 7.344}{4890 \times 378 + 0 + 28,000 \times 7.344} = 0.101
\]

Adjusted \( \epsilon_s = (-1.935 \times 10^{-3})(0.101) = -0.194 \times 10^{-3} < 0.002 \quad \text{O.K.} \)

(b) \textit{Web shear strength, } \( V_o \text{ from } \theta - \beta \text{ analysis.} \)

\( V_o = 323 \text{ kips} \)

From equation 12.15(b)

\[
\text{Shear stress } \nu = \frac{(V_u - \Phi V_p)}{\Phi b_r d_r}, \quad \text{where } \Phi = 0.90 \text{ for shear}
\]

\( f_{ps} \text{ from before} = 149.0 \text{ ksi} \)

Figure 12.14 shows the inclination angle, \( \psi \), of the 12 harped strands,

\[
\sin \psi = \frac{65 - 15}{48.5 \times 12} = 0.086
\]

Harped tendon force = \( 12 \times 0.153 \times 149.0 = 273.6 \text{ kips} \)

\( V_p = 273.6 \sin \psi = 273.6 \times 0.086 = 23.5 \text{ kips} \)

Required \( \nu = \frac{323 - 0.9 \times 23.5}{0.9 \times 6 \times 74.35} = 0.75 \text{ ksi} \)

\[
\frac{\nu}{f_c'} = \frac{0.75}{6.5} = 0.115
\]

\( \epsilon_s \text{ from before} = -0.194 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in./in.} \)

Entering the values of \( \epsilon_s \) and \( \nu/f_c' \) in Table 12.8,

\( \theta = 22^\circ \) (assumed \( \theta = 22^\circ \)) accept

\( \beta = 3.10 \) (factor indicating the ability of the compression strut, namely, the diagonal cracked concrete, to transmit tension)

Hence, \( V_o = 0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f_c'} b_r d_r \)

\[
= 0.0316 \times 3.10 \sqrt{6.5} \times 6 \times 74.35 = 111 \text{ kips}
\]

(c) \textit{Selection of web reinforcement}

From equation 12.26, check whether web reinforcement is needed, namely, if \( V_o > 0.5 \phi (V_c + V_p) \)

\[
0.5 \phi (V_c + V_p) = 0.5 \times 0.9(111 + 23.5) = 60.5 \text{ kips}, \quad < V_o = 323 \text{ kips}
\]

Use web steel.

![Figure 12.14 Beam tendon geometry](image)
Required \( V_r = \frac{V_u}{\phi} - V_c - V_p = \left(\frac{323}{0.9}\right) - 85.1 - 23.5 = 250.3 \) kips

From Equation 12.25,
\[
\text{Available} \ V_s = \frac{A_s f_s d_c \cot \theta}{s}
\]

Trying No. 4 stirrups, \( A_s = 2 \times 0.20 = 0.40 \) in.\(^2\)
\[
\cot \theta = \cot 22^\circ = 2.475
\]

hence, \( 250.3 = \frac{0.4 \times 60.0 \times 74.35 \times 2.475}{s} \), giving \( s = 17.7 \) in.

(i) **Maximum allowable web stirrup spacing:**
\( 0.10 f_c' b_s d_c = 0.1 \times 6.5 \times 6 \times 74.35 = 290 \) kips \(< V_u = 323 \) kips

hence, maximum spacing \( s = 12 \) in.

If \( 0.10 f_c' b_s d_c > V_u \), maximum \( s = 24 \) in.

(ii) **Minimum area of transverse reinforcement:**
\[
A_w/ft = 0.0316 \sqrt{\frac{f_c'}{f_y}} b_s \frac{s}{f_y}
\]
\[
= 0.0316 \sqrt{6.5} \left(\frac{6 \times 12}{60}\right) = 0.10 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}
\]

Use No. 4 stirrups at 12 in. center-to-center with the spacing to be increased along the span.

(iii) **Maximum shear resistance:**
To ensure that the concrete in the web does not crush prior to yielding of the stirrups,
\[
(V_u - V_p) \leq 0.25 f_c' b_s d_c
\]
\[
(V_u - V_p) = V_c + V_s = 75.0 + 260.4 = 335.4 \text{ kips}
\]
\[
0.25 f_c' b_s d_c = 0.25 \times 6.5 \times 6 \times 74.35 = 725.7 \text{ kips} > 335.4, \text{ O.K.}
\]

2. **Interface shear transfer**

(a) **Dowel reinforcement design**
Assume that the critical section for shear transfer is the same as the vertical shear at plane 0.05 \( L \) from the support face.
From load combination Strength I:
\[
V_u = 1.25(5.4) + 1.5(10.8) + 1.75(73.8 + 30.6)
\]
\[
= 205.5 \text{ kips}
\]
\[
d_c = 74.35 \text{ in.}
\]
\[
V_{sh} = \frac{205.5}{74.35} = 2.76 \text{ kip/in.}
\]

Required \( V_n = \frac{V_{sh}}{\phi} = \frac{2.76}{0.9} = 3.07 \text{ kip/in.} \)

From Equation 12.32,
\[
\text{available} \ V_n = c A_{cr} + \mu[A_s f_s + P_c]
\]

For concrete placed clean, hardened concrete with interface contact not intentionally roughened,
\[ c = 0.075 \text{ ksi} \quad \mu = 0.6 \]

\[ b_v = \text{contact width between slab and precast flange top} = 42 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \frac{A_{cr}}{\text{in. depth}} = 42.0 \times 1.0 = 42.0 \text{ in.}^2 \]

hence, \( 3.07 = 0.075 \times 42.0 + 0.6(A_d \times 60 + 0) \) to give \( A_d = 0.0 \text{ in.}^2 \), \( A_v = 0.40 \text{ in.}^2 \)

at 12 in. c/c vertical stirrups.

On this basis, no special additional dowel reinforcement is needed. LRFD, however, also requires that if the width \( b_v \) exceeds 36 in., a minimum of four bars are required as dowel reinforcement. Thus, use also two No. 3 dowels at 12 in. c/c

in addition to the No. 4 vertical stirrups at 12 in. c/c, to give total \( A_d = 0.62 \text{ in.}^2 / \text{ft.} \)

(b) **Maximum and minimum dowel reinforcement**

\( f'\varepsilon = 4.0 \text{ ksi for the deck concrete} \)

Actual provided \( V_n = 0.075 \times 42 + 0.6 \left( \frac{0.62}{12} \times 60 \right) = 5.01 \text{ kips/in.} \)

From Equations 12.36 (a) and (b), the maximum allowable:

\[ 0.2 f'\varepsilon A_{cr} = 0.2 \times 4.0 \times 42.0 = 33.6 \text{ kip/in.} \]

In both cases, more than provided \( V_n \), O.K.

3. **LRFD Minimum Longitudinal Reinforcement**

The longitudinal reinforcement at each beam section along the span has to satisfy equation 12.29:

\[ A_{cr} f'\varepsilon + A_{ps} f_{ps} \geq \frac{M_u}{d_{ph}} + 0.5 \frac{N_u}{\phi} + \left( \frac{V_u}{\phi} - 0.5V_s - V_p \right) \cot \theta \]

From Tables 12.8 and 12.9 at \( x = 0 \) from support, \( V_u = 1.25(47.9 + 55.3 + 6.0) + 1.50(12.0) + 1.75(78.1 + 33.9) = 350 \text{ kip} \)

\( V_s \) based on only the No. 4 stirrups = 260.4 kips

\[ M_u = 0 \]

\[ N_u = 0 \]

\[ \cot \theta = \cot 22^\circ = 2.475 \]

hence,

\[ \frac{M_u}{d_{sh}} + 0.5 \frac{N_u}{\phi} + \left( \frac{V_u}{\phi} - 0.5V_s - V_p \right) \cot \theta \]

\[ = 0 + 0 + \left( \frac{350}{0.9} - 0.5 \times 257.5 - 23.5 \right) (2.475) = 586.2 \text{ kips} \]

Number of straight strands at the support = 36

Number of draped strands at the support = 12

From the assumed crack plane intersection with the strands in Figure 12.15, the distance of the intersection from the support = \( 6 + 4.22 \cot 22^\circ = 16.4 \text{ in.} \) where the strand stops at 6 in. from face of the support.

Transfer length = 60 \times \text{strand diameter} = 30 \text{ in.}

The available prestress of the 36 straight strands at the support face is a portion of the effective prestress, \( f_{ps} \).

Hence, use \( f_{ps} = 149.0 \times \frac{16.4}{30.0} = 81.5 \text{ ksi} \)

For the top draped strands, the crack in Figure 12.15 intersects the strands at a distance \( \equiv 140 \text{ in.} \) from the support face (compute from geometry of the dimensions in
Consequently the effective prestress can be approximated at \( f_{pc} = 149.0 \) ksi.

\[
A_s f_s + A_p f_{pe} = 0 + 36 \times 0.153(81.5) + 12 \times 0.153(149.0) \\
= 443.4 + 273.6 = 717.0 \text{ kips}
\]

> 586.2 kips, hence, no additional longitudinal reinforcement is needed.

4. Deflection and camber

(i) Immediate deflection due to permanent loads

Compute the camber and deflection of the beam as detailed in the discussions and numerous examples of chapter 7.

From the deflection table in Figure 7.6,

\[
\delta = \frac{PL^2}{8E_sI_s} \left[ e_s + \left( e_s - e_c \right) \frac{4a^2}{3L^2} \right]
\]

where, \( a = \frac{L}{2} \)

\[
\delta = \frac{PL^2}{E_sI_s} \left[ e_s + \frac{\left( e_s - e_c \right)}{24} \right]
\]

From Example 12.1:

\( P_i = 1488 \text{ kips} \)
\( e_s = 29.68 \text{ in.} \)
\( e_c = 17.7 \text{ in.} \)
\( E_s = 4620 \text{ ksi} \)
\( E_c = 4890 \text{ ksi} \)
\( w_D = 0.779 \text{ kip/ft} \)
\( w_{w+\text{barrier}} = 0.300 \text{ kip/ft} \)

\[
\delta_i = \frac{1488(120 \times 12)^2}{4620 \times 545,897} \left[ \frac{29.68}{8} + \frac{(17.7 - 29.68)}{24} \right]
\]

= 1.22 (3.71 - 0.50) = 3.92 in. ↑ (camber)

\( w_D \) per inch = 0.799/12 = 0.065 kip/in.

\[
\delta_D = \frac{5wL^4}{384E_sI_s} = \frac{5(0.065)(120 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4620 \times 545,897}
\]

= 1.44 in. ↓

\( w_{w+\text{barrier}} = 0.922 \text{ kip/ft} = 0.077 \text{ kip/in.} \)

\[
\delta_D = \frac{5(0.077)(120 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4888 \times 545,897} = 1.61 \text{ in. ↓}
\]

\( w_{\text{barrier}} = 0.300 \text{ kip/ft} = 0.025 \text{ kip/in.} \)

\[
\delta_D = \frac{5(0.025)(120 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4888 \times 1,095,290} = 0.26 \text{ in. ↓}
\]

(ii) Immediate deflection due to transient loads

Live load deflection limit = L/800.

LRFD specifications require that all the bridge deck beams be assumed to deflect equally under applied live load and impact. They also stipulate that
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the long-term deflection may be taken as four times the immediate deflection. This stipulation is too general and the designer is well-advised to use other more refined methods. The larger is the span the more is the needed accuracy. It should be emphasized that computed deflection values can differ from actual deflections by as much as 30 to 40% depending on the concrete modulus and stress-strain relationship assumed and the degree of accuracy of the method used in the computation.

The following deflection computation methods from chapter 7 can give reasonable step-by-step values during the loading history

- PCI multipliers method (Sec. 7.7.1)
- Incremental time-step method (Sec. 7.7.2)
- Approximate time-step method (Sec. 7.7.3)

From Figure 12.12 in Example 12.1, the number of bridge beams = 6 and the number of lanes = 4

\[
DFD = \text{distribution factor for deflection} = \frac{\text{number of lanes divided by number of beams}}{4 \div 6} = 0.667 \text{ lanes/beam}
\]

It is more conservative to use moment distribution factor DFM = 0.732

Design lane load, \( W = 0.64 \text{ DFM} \)

\[
= 0.64 \text{ kip/ft (0.732)} = 0.468 \text{ kip/ft/beam}
\]

\[
= 0.039 \text{ kip/in./beam}
\]

\[
\delta_{LL} = \frac{5}{384E_{IC}} = \frac{5(0.039)(120 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4888 \times 1,095,290} = 0.41 \text{ in. ↓}
\]

The transient truck load and impact deflection is determined from influence lines of wheel position for maximum moment. For a 120-ft span, the 72 kip resultant of the axial loads falls at 2.33 ft from the midspan. The deflection at midspan = 0.8 in. ↓

\[
\delta_{LT} = 0.8(IM)(DFM) = 0.8(1.33)(0.732) = 0.78 \text{ in. ↓}
\]

| Table 12.12  Long-Term Camber and Deflection |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                              | Transfer \( \delta_p \) (in.) | Non-composite PCI Multipliers | Composite PCI Multipliers | \( \delta_{final} \) (in.) |
| Prestress                    | 3.92↑            | 1.80            | 2.20            | 8.62↑ |
| \( W_{d} \)                  | -1.44↓           | 1.85            | 2.40            | -3.47↓ |
| Net 2.48↑                    |                 |                 |                 | Net 5.15↑ |
| \( w_{bars} \)               | -1.61↓           | 1.85            | 2.40            | -3.84↓ |
| \( w_{barrier} \)            | -0.26↓           | 1.85            | 2.30            | -0.60↓ |
| \( \delta_{LT} \)            | -0.41↓           |                 |                 | -0.41↓ |
| \( \delta_{LT} \)            | -0.78↓           |                 |                 | -0.78↓ |
| Final \( \delta \)           | 2.48↑            |                 |                 | -0.48↓ |
Using the PCI multipliers from Table 7.1, a summary of the long-term cambers and deflections are given in Table 12.11.

\[
\text{Allowable deflection } \delta = \frac{L}{800} = \frac{120 \times 12}{800} = 1.80 \text{ in. (down)}
\]

> actual = 0.49 in. O.K.

Adopt the bridge deck design of the interior beam in Example 12.1 and 12.2.

12.11 STANDARD AASHTO FLEXURAL DESIGN OF PRESTRESSED BRIDGE DECK BEAMS (LFD)

Example 12.3
Design for flexure, an interior beam of the bridge deck in Example 12.1 (adopted from Ref. 12.11) using the standard AASHTO Design Specifications for HS-20 lane and truck loads. Use the same data and allowable stresses of the materials as in the indicated example except where they differ from the LRFD allowable stresses.

Solution:
1. **Transformed deck slab controlling width**
   From example 12.2 Step 1,
   \[
   E_{st} = 3830 \text{ psi}
   \]
   \[
   E_{st} = 4620 \text{ psi at transfer}
   \]
   \[
   E_{st} = 4890 \text{ psi at service}
   \]
Average spacing between beams = 108 in.  
Transformed flange width $b_m = 84$ in.

2. **Properties of Section**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Composite</th>
<th>Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_c = 767 \text{ in.}^2$</td>
<td>$A_c = 1402 \text{ in.}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h = 72 \text{ in.}$</td>
<td>$h = 80 \text{ in.}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_c = 545,894 \text{ in.}^4$</td>
<td>$I_{cc} = 1,095,290 \text{ in.}^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_b = 36.60 \text{ in.}$</td>
<td>$c_{be} = 54.6 \text{ in.}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_t = 35.40 \text{ in.}$</td>
<td>$c_{te} = 17.4 \text{ in.}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^2 = 1051 \text{ in.}^2$</td>
<td>$r^2 = 712 \text{ in.}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_b = 14.915 \text{ in.}^3$</td>
<td>$S_{be} = 20.060 \text{ in.}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S' = 15.421 \text{ in.}^3$</td>
<td>$S'_{e} = 62.950 \text{ in.}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S'' = 55.284 \text{ in.}^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Bending moment and shear forces**

self-weight $W_D = 799 \text{ lb/ft}$  
slab $W_S01 = 900 \text{ lb/ft}$  
0.5' haunch $W_S02 = 22 \text{ lb/ft}$  
Barrier weight $W_S03 = 100 \text{ lb/ft}$  
2-in. future wearing surface $W_S04 = 200 \text{ lb/ft}$

Live load (truck load) in AASHTO standard specifications would be based on HS-20 trucks.  
Number of lanes = 48/12 = 4 lanes

(a) **Distribution factor for moment**

Live load in the standard specifications is either the standard truck or lane loading corresponding to HS-20. In LRFD, both have to be used in the design. From Section 12.2.2, the live load distribution factor for moment for a precast beam is $DF_m = S/5.5 = 9.0/5.5 = 1.636$ wheels per beam, where $S$ = average spacing between beams in feet.

$$\frac{1}{2} DF_m = 0.818 \text{ lanes per beam}$$

the live load impact factor $I = \frac{50}{L + 125} \leq 30\% \ \text{or,}$

$$I = \frac{50}{120 + 150} = 0.204$$

In LRFD, This factor has a maximum 33% value hence,

$$V_{LL+t} = (\text{shear force per lane}) \cdot (DFM) \cdot (1 + I)$$

$$= (\text{shear force per lane}) \cdot (0.818) \cdot (1 + 0.204) \text{ kips}$$

$$= (\text{shear force per lane}) \cdot (0.985) \text{ kips}$$

$$M_{LL+t} = (\text{moment per lane}) \cdot (DFM) \cdot (1 + I)$$
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\[(\text{moment per lane}) (0.818) (1 + 0.204) \text{ kips}\]
\[(\text{moment per lane}) (0.985) \text{ kips.}\]

Load contributions from Equation 12.5 and Table 12.1 show that load combination Group I controls.

Group I service load design \(= 1.00D + 1.00(L + I)\)

Group I factored load design \(= 1.3 \left[1.00D + 1.67(L + I)\right]\)

(b) Shear and bending moments

\[V_s = (w)(0.5L - \chi)\]
\[M_s = 0.5(w)(\chi)(L - \chi)\]

As an example, the following are computations for the shear and moment at midspan, at the support and at the critical shear section:

At midspan, \(V_s = 0\)

\[M_D = 0.5(0.799)(60)(60) = 1438 \text{ ft-kip}\]

At support, \(V = 0.799(60) = 47.9 \text{ kip}\)

At critical shear section, the cgs of the prestressing steel is \(e = 17.1 \text{ in. near the support section}\)

\[d = 80 - 17.1 = 62.9 \text{ in.} > 0.80h = 64 \text{ in.}\]

Use \(d = 64.0 \text{ in.}\)

Critical shear section at \(h/2 = 80/2 = 40 \text{ in.} = 3.33 \text{ ft}\)

\[V_{333} = 0.799 \left[(0.5)(120) - 3.33\right] = 45.3 \text{ kips}\]

\[M_{333} = 0.5(0.799)(3.33)(120 - 3.33) = 155.4 \text{ ft-kip}\]

The values for shear and moment for all permanent and transient loads are tabulated in Table 12.12 (Ref. 12.11). Compare the tabulated values with those computed by the LRFD method in Table 12.9 and 12.10.

### Table 12.12 Standard AASHTO (LFD) Service Shear and Moment Due to Dead Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance X</th>
<th>Section X/L</th>
<th>Beam Weight (W_D)</th>
<th>(Slab + Haunch) Weight (W_{SD1})</th>
<th>Barrier Weight (W_{SD2})</th>
<th>Wearing Surface (W_{SG})</th>
<th>Live Load Plus Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ft</td>
<td>Shear (V_s)</td>
<td>Moment (M_s)</td>
<td>Shear (V_s)</td>
<td>Moment (M_s)</td>
<td>Shear (V_s)</td>
<td>Moment (M_s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.33*</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>155.4</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>179.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>517.8</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>597.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>902.4</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>1,062.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36+</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1,208.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>1,394.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1,380.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1,593.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,438.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,659.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Critical section for shear
+Harp point
4. Design of Bulb-Tee Prestressed Interior Beam

1. Selection of pre stressing strands

Due to applied gravity loads, the unfactored stress at bottom fibers:

\[ f_b = \frac{M_d + M_{sd1}}{S_b} + \frac{M_{sd2} + M_{sd3} + M_{LL+I}}{S_{bc}} \]

\[ = \frac{(1438 + 1660)12}{14,915} + \frac{(180 + 360 + 1852)12}{20,060} = 3.923 \text{ ksi} \]

Allowable tensile stress \( f_t = 6 \sqrt{f_c} = 6 \sqrt{6500} = 484 \text{ psi} = 0.484 \text{ ksi} \)

Required precompresive stress at the bottom fibers after losses = \( (f_b - f_t) \)

\( f_{bp} = 3.923 - 0.484 = 3.439 \text{ ksi} \)

Assume that the tendon c.g.s. is at a distance \( y_b = 4 \text{ in.} \) from the bottom fibers.

\( e_c = c_c - y_b = 36.60 - 4.00 = 32.60 \text{ in.} \)

\[ f_{bp} \text{ due to prestress} = \frac{P_x}{A_p} \]

\[ + \frac{P_p}{S_b} \text{ or } 3.439 = \frac{P_x}{767} + \frac{P_p \times 32.6}{14,915} \]

\( P_x = 986 \text{ kips} \)

Assume total prestress loss = 25%:

\( P_i = \frac{986}{1 - 0.25} = 1315 \text{ kips} \)

Assume using 1/2-in. diameter 7-wire 270-K low-relaxation strands \( (A_p = 0.153 \text{ in.}^2) \).

Required number of strands = \( \frac{1315}{0.153 \times 202.5} = 44.24 \) strands

Try 44 strands.

After trials and adjustments, assume that the strands pattern is as shown in Figure 12.16 with 10 strands harped at 48 ft from the support.

From data, \( c_c = 36.60 \text{ in.} \) and \( c_i = 72 - 36.60 = 35.40 \text{ in.} \)

\[ e_c = c_c - \left( 2 \times 70 + 2 \times 68 + 2 \times 66 + 2 \times 64 + 2 \times 62 + 2 \times 8 + 8 \times 6 + 12 \times 4 + 12 \times 2 \right) / 44 = 36.60 - 18.09 \]

\[ = 18.51 \text{ in.} \]

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 12.15** Bulb-tee prestressing strand pattern
$e_{33} = 17.1 \text{ in. at the critical shear section.}$

\[
e_s = c_b - \left[ 2 \times 16 + 2 \times 14 + 2 \times 12 + 2 \times 10 + 4 \times 8 + 8 \times 6 + 12 \times 4 + 12 \times 2 \right]/48
\]

\[
= 36.6 - 5.81 = 30.79 \text{ in.}
\]

Computing the total losses in prestress by the detailed method and the examples of Chapter 3, the total loss of prestress was 24.9%.

\[
f_{ps} \text{ before losses} = 0.75(270) = 202.5 \text{ ksi}
\]

hence, adjusted $f_{ps} = 202.5(1 - 0.249) = 152.1 \text{ ksi}$

\[
P_s = 48(0.153)(15.2) = 1024 \text{ kips}
\]

Common practice assumes that a prestress relaxation and other losses at prestressing amount to 9 to 10%.

Use 9% here to get $f_{ps} = 202.5(1 - 0.09) = 184.3 \text{ ksi}$

\[
P_I = 44(0.153)(184.3) = 1240 \text{ kips}
\]

From Example 12.2,

\[
f'_o = 0.6 f'_c = 0.6(5500) = 3300 \text{ psi (c)}
\]

\[
f_e = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_{o}} = 7.5\sqrt{5500} = 556 \text{ psi (T)}
\]

If the computed tensile stress at transfer exceeds 200 psi or $3\sqrt{f'_{c}} = 220$ psi, whichever is small, bonded reinforcement has to be provided to resist the total tensile force in the concrete, computed on the basis of uncracked section.

2. **Check of concrete unfactored stresses**

The standard AASHTO allowable stresses are as follows, Case I for all load combinations:

- Precast beam $f_c = 0.60 f'_c = 0.6(6500) = 3900 \text{ psi}$
- Deck slab $f_c = 0.60 f'_c = 0.6(4000) = 2400 \text{ psi}$

Case (II) for effective pretension force + permanent dead loads:

- Precast beam $f_c = 0.40 f'_c = 0.4(6000) = 2400 \text{ psi}$
- Deck slab $f_c = 0.40 f'_c = 0.4(4000) = 1600 \text{ psi}$

Case (III) for live load + $\frac{1}{2}f'_c$ (pretensioning force + dead load)

- Precast beam $f_c = 0.40 f'_c = 0.4(6500) = 2600 \text{ psi}$
- Deck slab $f_c = 0.40 f'_c = 0.4(4000) = 1600 \text{ psi}$

Allowable tension $f_t = 6\sqrt{f'_{c}} = 6\sqrt{6500} = 484 \text{ psi}$

Allowable $f_t$ at transfer $= 3\sqrt{f'_{c}} = 242 \text{ psi}$

a. **Stresses at Transfer**

Initial $f_{ps} = 0.7 f_{ps} = 202.5 \text{ ksi}$. Common practice assumes that initial relaxation losses at prestressing amount to 9 to 10%. Use 9% reduction in $f_{ps}$

hence, $P_s = (0.9)(202.5)(0.153 \times 48) = 1338 \text{ kips}$

1. **Support Section**

From Chapter 4, Equation 4.1 (a),

\[
f^* = \frac{P_s}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_e c_t}{\rho^2} \right) - \frac{M_{D}}{S'}
\]
Photo 12.7 Natchez Parkway Arches, Nashville Tennessee, America’s first segmental arch bridge; principal arch span is 582 ft. long and has a vertical clearance of 137 ft (Courtesy Figg Engineering Group, Tallahassee, Florida)

\[
\frac{1240}{767} \left( 1 - \frac{18.51 \times 35.40}{712} \right) - 0 = -0.129 \text{ ksi (C), O.K.}
\]

\[
f_b = \frac{P_a}{A_e} \left( 1 + \frac{S}{\rho} \right) + \frac{M_D}{S'}
\]

\[
= \frac{1240}{767} \left( 1 + \frac{18.51 \times 36.30}{712} \right) + 0
\]

\[
= -3.14 \text{ ksi (C) } \approx f_a = 3.3 \text{ ksi O.K.}
\]

(ii) Midspan Section.

\[
f' = \frac{1240}{767} \left( 1 - \frac{30.79 \times 35.40}{712} \right) - \frac{1438 \times 12}{15,421}
\]

\[
= 0.858 - 1.119 = -0.261 \text{ ksi (C), no tension allowed, hence, O.K.}
\]

\[
f_b = \frac{1240}{767} \left( 1 + \frac{30.79 \times 36.60}{712} \right) + \frac{1438 \times 12}{14,915}
\]

\[
= -4.175 + 1.157 = -3.018 \text{ ksi (C) } < 3.300 \text{ ksi allowed O.K.}
\]

b. Stresses at Service Load:

(i) Midspan Section precast section fiber stresses:

concrete stress at top fibers at midspan due to all loads:

\[
f'' = \frac{P_e}{A_e} \left( 1 - \frac{e_e}{\rho} \right) - \frac{M_D + M_{DSI}}{S'} - \frac{M_{DS2} + M_{DS3}}{S_c'} - \frac{M_{LL+L}}{S_c'}
\]

\[
e_e = 30.79 \text{ in.} \quad e_e = 18.51 \text{ in.}
\]
From the moment values at midspan tabulated in table 12.10 for load combinations:

**Case (I):**

\[
\frac{1024}{767} \left(1 - \frac{30.79 \times 35.40}{712}\right) - \frac{(1438 + 1660)12}{15421} - \frac{(360 + 180)12}{62950} \]

\[
= \frac{(1852)12}{62950} - 0.708 - 2.411 - 0.103 - 0.353 = -2.159 \text{ ksi (C)}
\]

**Case (II):**

\[f' = 0.708 - 2.411 - 0.103 = -1.806 \text{ ksi (C)}\]

**Case (III):**

\[f' = 0.5(0.708 - 2.411 - 0.103) = -1.256 \text{ ksi (C)}\]

All compressive stress are less than the allowable \(f_c = 3900 \text{ psi O.K.}\)

(ii) *Midspan section bottom fiber stresses*

\[
f_b = \frac{P_s}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{e_c c_b}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_{D} + M_{DSI}}{S_b} + \frac{M_{DS} + M_{DSI}}{S_{bc}} + \frac{M_{LL+I}}{S_{bc}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1024}{767} \left(1 + \frac{30.79 \times 36.60}{712}\right) + \frac{(1438 + 1660)12}{14915} + \frac{(360 + 180)12}{20060}
\]

\[
= \frac{(1852)12}{20060} + 3.437 + 2.493 + 0.323 + 1.10 = 0.486 \text{ ksi (T)}
\]

\[= \text{ allowable } f_i = 0.484 \text{ ksi O.K.}\]

(iii) *Midspan slab top-fiber stresses, assuming concrete strength same as of the precast beam*

**Case (I):**

\[
f' = \frac{M_{D} + M_{DSI} - M_{LL+I}}{S_b}
\]

\[
f' = \frac{(180 + 360)12}{55284} - \frac{1852(12)}{55284} = -0.117 - 0.402
\]

\[= -0.519 \text{ ksi (C) < allowable } f_c = 2400 \text{ ksi O.K.}\]

**Case (II):**

\[f' = -0.117 \text{ ksi (C)} \text{ O.K.}\]

**Case (III):**

\[f' = 0.5(-0.117) - 0.402 = -0.461 \text{ ksi (C) O.K.}\]

\[E_c = 33 \text{ W}^{1/3} \sqrt{f_c}
\]

Modular ratio = \[\frac{\sqrt{4000 \text{ (slab)}}}{\sqrt{6500 \text{ (beam)}}} = 0.78\]

Hence reduce these stresses by the 0.78 multiplier in order to get the true stresses at the top slab fibers.

3. **Ultimate Strength (Limit State at Failure)**

a. *Normal flexural resistance moment*

\[M_U = 1.3 \left[ M_D + M_{SDI} + M_{SD2} + M_{SD3} + 1.67 (M_{LL+I}) \right]
\]

\[= 1.3(1438 + 1660 + 180 + 360 + 1.67 \times 1852) = 8750 \text{ ft-kip}\]
From equation 12.9(c)

\[ f_{pm} = f_{pu} \left[ 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\beta_1} \frac{f_{pm}}{f_c} \right] \]

For the depth of the compressive block use the slab \( f'_c = 4.0 \) ksi, \( \beta_1 = 0.85 \)

\( \gamma = 0.28 \) for low-relaxation strands

\( b = \) flange width = 108 in.

\( e_c = 30.79 \) in., \( c_b = 36.60 \), hence, \( y_b = 36.60 - 30.79 = 5.81 \) in.

\( d_p = \) distance from the top of the deck to the centroid of the prestressing strands.

\[ d_p = h_f + h_s + h_a + \frac{y_b}{2} \]

\( = 72 + (0.5 + 7.5) - 5.81 = 74.19 \) in.

\[ A_{ps} = 44(0.153) = 6.732 \text{ in.}^2 \]

\[ \rho = \frac{A_{ps}}{b d_p} = \frac{6.732}{108 \times 74.19} = 0.00084 \]

Consequently,

\[ f_{ps} = 270 \left[ 1 - \frac{0.28}{0.85} \frac{0.00084}{4.0} \right] = 265.0 \text{ ksi} \]

\[ a \rho f_{ps} = \frac{6.732 \times 265.0}{0.85 \times 4.0 \times 108} = 4.86 \text{ in.} < 7.5 \text{ in.} \]

hence design as rectangular section.

Available \( M_U = \phi M_n = A_{ps} f_{ps} \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) \)

\[ = 1.0 \left[ 6.732 (265.0) \left( 74.19 - \frac{4.86}{2} \right) \right] \]

\[ = 128,018 \text{ in.-kip} > \text{required} M_U = 8750 \text{ ft-kip. O.K.} \]

b. **Maximum Reinforcement**

\[ \frac{f_{ps}}{f'_c} = 0.36 \beta_1 \leq 0.36 \times 0.85 = 0.306 \]

\[ \frac{f_{ps}}{f'_c} = 0.00084 \times \frac{265}{4.0} = 0.0557 < 0.306 \text{ O.K.} \]

c. **Minimum Reinforcement**

The total amount of pretensioned and post-tensioned reinforcement should be adequate to develop an ultimate moment such that

\[ \phi M_n = 1.2 M_{cr} \]

From equation 12.13,

\[ M_{cr} = (f_r + f_{cr})S_b - M_{enc} \left( S_{bc} - 1 \right) \]

\[ f_r = 7.5 \sqrt{f'_c} = 7.5 \sqrt{6500} = 605 \text{ psi} = 0.605 \text{ ksi} \]

Concrete stress due to prestressing only, after all losses is \( f_p = 3.437 \text{ ksi (C)} \)

\[ M_{enc} = \text{non-composite dead load moment due to beam self-weight and slab weight} \]
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\[ \begin{align*}
&= 1438 + 1660 = 3098 \text{ ft-kip} \\
1.2 M_r &= 1.2 \left[ \frac{1}{12} (0.605 + 3.437)20,060 - 3098 \left( \frac{20,060}{14,915} - 1 \right) \right] = 6896 \text{ ft-kip}
\end{align*} \]

It should be noted that contrary to the LRFD specifications, the standard specification stipulates that this requirement has to be satisfied only at the critical section.

d. Pretensioned anchorage zone

Before initial losses, \( f_p = A_p(0.75 f_y) \)

\[ \begin{align*}
&= 6.732(0.75 \times 270) = 1363 \text{ kips} \\
4\% \ f_p &= 0.04 \times 1363 = 54.5 \text{ kips}
\end{align*} \]

Allowable \( f_p = 20 \text{ ksi} \)

Hence, required \( A_v = \frac{54.5}{20} = 2.73 \text{ in}^2 \)

Try No. 5 vertical stirrups in the rectangular anchorage zone region

\[ A_v = 2 \times 0.305 = 0.61 \text{ in}^2 \]

No. of stirrups = \[ \frac{2.73}{0.61} = 4.5 \]

Precast Section \( d_p = (h - y_b) = 72 - 5.81 = 66.19 \text{ in.} \)

\[ d_v = \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) = 66.19 - \frac{4.86}{2} = 63.76 \text{ in.} \]

Distance within which anchorage reinforcement has to be provided

\[ = \frac{d_v}{4} = \frac{63.76}{4} = 15.94 \text{ in.} \]

Use five # 5 closed U-stirrups at 3 in. center-to-center at each beam end.

12.12 STANDARD AASHTO SHEAR-REINFORCEMENT DESIGN OF BRIDGE DECK BEAMS

Example 12.4

Design for shear, an interior beam of the bridge deck in Example 12.3 using the standard AASHTO design specifications for HS-20 Lane and Truck loads. Use the same data and allowable stresses of the materials as in the indicated example. Use the refined flexural and web shear approach for determining the nominal strength of the plain concrete in the web. Also design the interface shear transfer reinforcement and check the deflection and camber of the beam.

Solution:

1. Shear Reinforcement

The AASHTO standard specification follows the ACI-318 code for shear and torsion which are detailed in Chapter 5, Sections 5.5 and 5.6 as well Section 5.18 for torsion.

\[ V_s \leq \phi (V_e + V_g) \]

where \( \phi = 0.90 \) vs. \( \phi = 0.85 \) in ACI.

Other strength reduction factors, \( \phi \), also differ from the ACI factors. The computations have to be based on a factored shear value at a distance \( 1/2 \ h \) from the face of the support. The nominal shear strength, \( V_s \), of the plain concrete in the web has to be the lesser of the flexural shear, \( V_{ef} \), and the web shear, \( V_{ew} \).
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a. Flexural shear, $V_{ci}$

From Equation 5.11,

$$V_{ci} = 0.6\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d_p + V_d + \frac{V_i}{M_{max}} (M_d) \geq 1.7\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d_p$$

where $b_w = 6$ in.

From table 12.12 for standard AASHTO loads in Example 12.3

$$V_d = \text{total unfactored dead load at the critical section} = 45.3 + 52.2 + 5.7 + 11.3 = 114.5 \text{ kips}$$

$V_{LL+I}$ (unfactored) = 63.6 kips

$V_U = \text{factored shear force at the critical section}$

$$V_U = 1.3(V_d + 1.67V_{LL+I}) = 1.3(114.5 + 1.67 \times 63.6) = 286.9 \text{ kips}$$

$M_d = 155.4 + 179.3 + 19.4 + 38.9 = 393.0 \text{ kips}$

$M_{LL+I} = 211.5 \text{ kips}$

$M_U = 1.3(M_d + 1.67M_{LL+I}) = 1.3(393.0 + 1.67 \times 211.5) = 970.1 \text{ ft-kips}$

$V_i = \text{factored shear force at the section due to externally applied loads occurring simultaneously with } M_{\text{max}}.$

$$V_i = (V_U - V_d) = 286.9 - 114.5 = 172.4 \text{ kips. This is on the conservative side since the factored } V_U \text{ is reduced by the unfactored } V_d,$$

$M_{\text{max}} = (M_U + M_d) = 970.1 - 393.0 = 577.1 \text{ ft-kip}$

From equation 5.12,

$$M_{cr} = S_{cr} (6 \sqrt{f_c} + f_{ce} - f_d). \text{ Note that the factor "6" in the term } 6 \sqrt{f_c} \text{ is conservative and may be unjustified since the modulus of rupture, } f_{cr} \text{ is taken as } 7.5 \sqrt{f_c} \text{ and tests indicate even higher values.}$$

$f_{ce} = \text{compressive stress due to prestress after losses at the extreme fibers of the section where tensile stress is caused by externally applied load.}$

$$= \frac{P_c}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{f_{ct} c_h}{f_{cr}}\right), \text{ where } c_h = 19.5 \text{ in. at the critical section}$$

$$= \frac{1024}{767} \left(1 + \frac{19.5 \times 36.6}{712}\right) = -2.673 \text{ ksi (C)}$$

$f_d = \text{stress due to unfactored dead load at the extreme fibers of the section where tensile stress is caused by externally applied load}$

$$= \left[ \frac{(155.4 + 179.3)(12)}{14,915} + \frac{(19.4 + 38.9)(12)}{20,060} \right] = 0.304 \text{ ksi}$$

Hence, $M_{cr} = \frac{20,060}{12} \left(6 \sqrt{6500} + 2.673 - 0.304\right) = 4776 \text{ ft-kip}$

$y_b = \text{distance of cgs of the prestressing strands at the critical section from the bottom fibers} = 17.12 \text{ in.}$

$d_{pc} = h_c - y_b = 80 - 17.12 = 62.88 \text{ in.}$
0.8 \ h_c = 64 \text{ in.}, \text{controls}

used \ d_p = 64 \text{ in.}

Hence,

\[ V_{ci} = \frac{0.6 \sqrt{6500(6.0 \times 64)}}{1000} + 114.5 - \frac{172.4 \times 4776}{577.1} = 1,559.8 \text{ kips} \]

Minimum \ V_{ci} = 1.7 \sqrt{f_c' b_w d_p}

\[ = \frac{0.6 \sqrt{6500(6.0 \times 64)}}{1000} = 52.6 \text{ kips} \ll 1,559.8 \text{ kips} \text{ O.K.} \]

b. Web shear \ V_{cw}

From Equation 5.15,

\[ V_{cw} = (3.5 \lambda \sqrt{f_c'} + 0.3 \lambda) b_w d_p + V_p \]

where \ f_c' \ is termed as \ F_{pc} \ in \ AASHTO notation. It is the concrete stress at the centroid of the section resisting all externally applied load.

\[ \lambda = \frac{P_w}{A_c} \left(1 - \frac{e_a (c_{bc} - c_b)}{r^2}\right) + \frac{M_o (c_{bc} - c_b)}{I_c} \]

From section properties, \ c_{bc} = 54.6 \text{ in.} \text{ and} \ c_b = 36.6 \text{ in.}

\[ \lambda = \frac{1024}{767} \left(1 - \frac{19.5(54.60 - 36.60)}{712}\right) + \frac{334.7 \times 12(54.60 - 36.60)}{545,894} \]

\[ = -0.676 + 0.132 = -0.545 \text{ kips (C)} \]

\[ V_p = \text{vertical component of prestressing force.} \]

From Figure 12.15, the tangent of angle \ \psi \ subtended by the 10 harped tendons \approx \frac{65 - 15}{48.5 \times 12} = \sin \psi = 0.086 \]

\[ V_p = A_p f_p \sin \psi = (10 \times 0.153) 149.0 \times 0.086 = 19.61 \text{ kips} \]

Hence,

\[ V_{cw} = \left(3.5 \times 1.0 \sqrt{6500} \right) + 0.3 \times 0.545 \times 6.0 \times 64 + 19.61 \]

\[ = 171.15 + 19.61 = 190.8 \text{ kips, controls since it is less than} \ V_{ci} \]

c. Selection of web steel

\[ V_c = 190.8 \text{ kips} \]

\[ V_U < \phi (V_c + V_s) \text{ or} \ V_s = \left(\frac{V_U}{\phi} - V_c \right) \]

Required \ V_s = \frac{286.9}{0.90} - 190.8 = 128.0 \text{ kips} \]

Maximum allowable \ V_s = 8 \sqrt{f_c'} b_w d_r = 9 \sqrt{6500} \frac{6.0 \times 64}{1000} = 247.7 \text{ kips} \]

\[ > 128.0 \text{ kips O.K. (the section depth adequate for shear).} \]

\[ V_s = \frac{A_f d_r}{s} \]

Required \ A_p = \frac{V_s}{f_s d_r} = \frac{128.0}{60.0 \times 64.0} = 0.033 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft} = 0.0028 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \]

Minimum \ A_p = \frac{50 b_w}{f_p} = \frac{(50 \times 6.0)}{60,000} = 0.005 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in., controls.} \]
Using No. 4 two-legged U-stirrups in the rectangular end section, \( A_v = 2 \times 0.20 = 0.40 \text{ in}^2 \)

\[
\text{Spacing } s = \frac{A_v}{\text{unit } A_v} = \frac{0.40}{0.005} = 80 \text{ in.}
\]

Maximum allowable spacing \( s = 0.75 \text{ in.} \times 24 \text{ in.} = 0.75(72 + 7.5 + 0.5) = 60 \text{ in.} \text{ or } 24 \text{ in.}
\]

Use No. 4 U-stirrups at 12 in. center-to-center in the rectangular end block section over a width \( h = 80 \text{ in.} \). Beyond the end of the anchorage block, stirrups would no longer be needed. However, it is useful to use minimum vertical mesh reinforcement in the web along the span. The 12-in. spacing is necessitated by the interface horizontal shear requirement.

2. **Interface shear reinforcement**

Determine the interface shear force for the critical section at \( \frac{1}{4} \text{ in.} \) from the support.

**a. Contact surface roughened or minimum ties used**

\[
V_{U} = 286.9 \text{kips} < V_{nh}
\]

\( V_{nh} \) = nominal horizontal shear strength

\[
V_{U} \phi = \frac{286.9}{0.9} = 318.8 \text{kips}
\]

Allowable \( V_{nh} = b_{c}d_{pc} \) where

\( b_{c} = \text{width of cross-section at the contact surface being investigated for horizontal shear } = 42.0 \text{ in.} \)

\( d_{pc} = 62.88 \text{ in.} \text{ from before} \)

Available \( V_{nh} = \frac{80(42.0 \times 62.88)}{1000} = 211.3 \text{kips} < 318.9 \text{kips} \)

hence, dowel reinforcement is needed.

**b. Minimum ties provided and contact surface roughened**

\[
V_{nh} = 350b_{c}d_{pc} = \frac{350(42.0 \times 62.88)}{1000} = 924.3 \text{kips} > 318.8 \text{kips O.K.}
\]

Use \( V_{nh} = 318.8 \text{kips} \)

From equation 5.33,

\[
\text{Minimum } A_{nh} = \frac{50b_{c}\phi_{nh}}{f_y} = \frac{50b_{c}f_{y}}{f_{y}} \text{ per dowel}
\]

Assume 12-in. dowel spacing,

\[
\text{Minimum } A_{nh} \text{ per dowel} = \frac{(50)(42 \times 12)}{60,000} = 0.42 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}
\]

Available dowels form shear reinforcement:

\( = \text{No. 4 U-stirrups at 12 in. c./c. } = 0.40 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft} \text{ O.K.} \)

Beyond the rectangular end block zone of 80 in., add in the web additional #4 dowels at 12 in. c./c. to compliment the single #4 bars available in the 6-in. thick web.

Maximum allowable spacing \( = 4 \times 6 = 24 \text{ in.} \text{ O.K.} \)
Photo 12.8  Pier support for Stoney Trail Bow River segmental Bridge, Calgary, Alberta, span 1562 ft, deck width 69 ft, the deck rises 89 to 118 ft above the river valley (Courtesy James Skeet–Reid Crowther Engineering, Calgary)

Note that the vertical web shear reinforcement is utilized here to provide for the required dowel reinforcement.

3. Deflection Computations
The deflection computations are similar to those given in Example 12.2 except that in the standard AASHTO specifications, fatigue live load for deflection is disregarded. From Table 12.11, Example 12.2, the final long-term deflection becomes:

\[ \delta = -8.62 + 3.46 + 3.86 + 0.60 + 0.41 = -0.29 \text{ in. (camber)} \]

\[ < \frac{L}{800} = \frac{120 \times 12}{800} = 1.80 \text{ in.} \quad \text{O.K.} \]

Adopt the bridge-deck design of the interior prestressed beam in Examples 12.3 and 12.4.

12.13 SHEAR AND TORSION REINFORCEMENT DESIGN OF A BOX-GIRDER BRIDGE

Example 12.5
A single span composite two-lane box girder bridge has a span of 90'-0" (27.5m) The deck is composed of seven AASHTO BIII-48 box beams at 4'-0" on centers to form a 28'-0" bridge deck with a traffic pathway width = 25'-0" as shown in Figure 12.16. Each beam is subjected
Figure 12.16 Two-Lane Box-Girder Bridge. (a) Roadway cross section, (b) Cross section of a component beam unit at midspan and at end sections. The end section has seven strands de-bonded.

to a factored shear $V_u = 140$ kips at the critical support section, a corresponding moment at that section $= 320$ ft-kip and a torsional moment $T_u = 165$ ft-kip.

Design the shear and torsional reinforcement for this bridge section, using the LRFD expressions, given:

$$f'_c = 5.0 \text{ ksi}$$
$$f'_d = 4.0 \text{ ksi}$$
$$f_{pu} = 270.0 \text{ ksi}$$
$$f_{pr} = 0.90 f_{pu} = 243.0 \text{ ksi}$$
$$f_{pt} < 0.75 f_{pu} = 202.5 \text{ ksi}$$
Total prestress loss = 22 %
$$f_p = 60.0 \text{ ksi}$$
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\[ E_{ps} = 28,500 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ E_s = 29,000 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ A_c = 813 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ h = 39 \text{ in.} \]
\[ I_x = 168,367 \text{ in.}^4 \]
\[ c_y = 19.29 \text{ in.} \]
\[ c_z = 19.71 \text{ in.} \]
\[ S_b = 8,721 \text{ in.}^3 \]
\[ S' = 8,542 \text{ in.}^3 \]
\[ E_{as} = 3840 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ E_c = 4290 \text{ ksi} \]

Solution:

1. Effective shear depth, \( d_e \)

   The strands are horizontal, \( V_p = 0 \)

   From Figure 12.17,

   At midspan, \( A_{ps} = 31 \frac{1}{2} \text{-in. dia., 7-wire, low-relaxation 270-K strands} \)
   \[ = 4.437 \text{ in.}^2 \text{ at the bottom fibers.} \]

   At support, \( A_{ps} = 24 \) stands, since \( 7 \) are de-bonded \( = 3.366 \text{ in.}^2 \)

   Midspan c.g.s. of strands from bottom:

   \[ y_{bc} = \frac{23 \times 2 + 6 \times 4 + 2 \times 36}{31} = 4.58 \text{ in.} \]

   Midspan \( d_p = h - y_{bc} = 39.0 - 4.58 = 34.42 \text{ in.} \)

   Support c.g.s. of strands from bottom:

   \[ y_{bc} = \frac{(23 - 7)2 + 6 \times 4 + 2 \times 36}{31 - 7} = 5.33 \text{ in.} \]

   support \( d_p = h - y_{bc} = 39.0 - 5.33 = 33.67 \text{ in.} \)

   where, \( d_e = \frac{A_{ps}f_{pu}d_p + A_{ds}f_d}{A_{ps}f_{pu} + A_{ds}} \)

   \( d_e = \) effective shear depth
   \( = \) distance between resultants of tensile and compressive forces, but not less than \( 0.90d_c \) or \( 0.72 \ h \).

   To determine the neutral axis depth, \( c \), and the equivalent rectangular block depth, \( a \),
   use the midspan section for the computations as the section of maximum moment \( (M_U = 0 \text{ at support).} \)

   Assume the neutral axis within the \( 5\frac{1}{2} \text{ in.} \) flange.

   \[ c = \frac{A_{ps}f_{pu} + A_{ds}f_d - A_{ds}f_d}{0.85f_b \beta b + kA_{ps}\left(\frac{f_{pu}}{d_p}\right)} = \frac{4.437(270) + 0 + 0}{0.85 \times 5.0 \times 0.80 \times 48 + 0.28 \times 4.437(\frac{270}{34.42})} \]

   \[ = 6.95 \text{ in.} \]

   \[ a = \beta_c c = 0.80 \times 6.95 = 5.56 \text{ in.} > 5.5 \text{ in., hence treat as a flanged} \]
   section with width \( b = \) web width \( b_w \).
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\( b_w = 2 \times 5 = 10 \text{ in.} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    c &= \frac{A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_{i}f_{i} - A_{f}f_{f} - 0.85f_{c}'\beta_{c}(b - b_w)h_f}{0.85f_{c}'\beta_{c}b_w + kA_{ps}f_{ps}} \\
    &= 4.437 \times 270 + 0 - 0 - 0.85 \times 0.80 \times 5.0(48 - 10)/5.5 \\
    &= 0.85 \times 5.0 \times 0.80 \times 10 + 0.28 \times 4.437 \times 34.42 \\
    &= 11.14 \text{ in.} > 5.5 \text{ in.} \quad \text{O.K.}
\end{align*}
\]

\( a = \beta_{c}c = 0.80 \times 11.14 = 8.91 \text{ in.} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{ps} &= f_{ps} \left(1 - k \frac{c}{d_p}\right) = 270 \left(1 - 0.28 \left(\frac{11.14}{34.4}\right)\right) = 245.4 \text{ ksi}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
d_e &= \left(d_p - \frac{a}{2}\right) = 33.67 - \frac{8.91}{2} = 29.22 \text{ in.}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
0.9 \times d_e = 0.9 \times 33.67 = 30.30 \text{ in. (controls)}
\]

\[
0.72h = 0.72 \times 39 = 28.08 \text{ in.}
\]

2. Angle of inclination \( \theta \) of the diagonal compression struts

Critical section near the support is the larger of 0.5\( d_e \), cot \( \theta \) or \( d_e \) from the face of the support. \( \theta \) is obtained from Table 12.8 using the values of \( \nu/f_c' \) and \( \epsilon_v \).

From equation 12.41,

\[
\nu = \frac{V_u - \phi V_p}{\phi b_s d_e} + \frac{T_p P_h}{\phi A_{ps}^2}
\]

\( \phi = 0.9 \) from Table 12.1(a)

\[
A_{oh} = (48 - 2 \times 1.5 \text{ for clear cover} - 2 \times 0.25 \text{ for stirrups}) \times \left(39 - 2 \times 1.5 - 2 \times 0.25\right) = 44.5 \times 35.5 = 1580 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\[
P_h = 2(44.5 + 35.5) = 160 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
b_v = 2 \times 5 = 10 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
\nu = \frac{140 - 0}{0.9 \times 10 \times 30.3} + \frac{165 \times 12 \times 160}{0.9(1580)^2}
\]

\[
= 0.515 + 0.141 = 0.656 \text{ ksi}
\]

\[
\nu = \frac{0.656}{5.0} = 0.131
\]

From Equations 12.27 for torsion adjustment and Eq. 12.28(a) for stress \( f_{ps} \),

\[
\epsilon_v = \frac{M_u}{d_e} + 0.5N_u + 0.5V_v \cot \theta \sqrt{\frac{V_u^2 + \left(\frac{P_h T_v}{2A_v}\right)^2}{2(E_A A_v + E_{ps} A_{ps})}} - A_{ps} f_{ps}
\]

Use \( f_{ps} = f_{ps}(1 - 0.22) = 202.5 \times 0.78 = 157.9 \text{ ksi} \)

\( N_u = 0 \)

\( A_u = 0.85A_{oh} = 0.85 \times 1580 = 1343 \text{ in.}^2 \)

Try \( \theta = 23.5^\circ \) for a first trial; cot \( 23.5^\circ = 2.30 \)
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Photo 12.9  Launching the segmental bridge segments for Stoney Trail Bow River segmental Bridge, Calgary, Alberta, span 1562 ft, deck width 69 ft, the deck rises 89 to 118 ft above the river valley (Courtesy James Skeet–Reid Crowther Engineering, Calgary)

\[
\varepsilon_s = \frac{320 \times 12}{30.3} + 0 + 0.5 \cot 23.5^\circ \sqrt{(140)^2 + \frac{(160 \times 165 \times 12)^2}{2 \times 1343}} - (3.366 \times 157.9) \div 2(0 + 28,500 \times 3.366)
\]

\[
= -1.01 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in./in.} < 0.002 \text{ in./in.} \quad \text{O.K.}
\]

Since the value of the tensile strain \( \varepsilon_s \) at the level of the reinforcement centroid is negative, its value has to be reduced by a factor

\[
F_c = \frac{E_s A_s + E_p A_p}{E_s A_s + E_c A_c + E_p A_p} = \frac{0 + 28,500 \times 4.437}{4290 \times 813 + 0 + 28,500 \times 4.437} = 0.035
\]

Adjusted \( \varepsilon_s = 0.035 (-1.01 \times 10^{-3}) = -0.035 \times 10^{-3} < 0.002 \text{ in./in.} \quad \text{O.K.}
\]

Entering the chart in Table 12.8 for \( v/f_c' = 0.131 \) and \( \varepsilon_s = -0.035 \times 10^{-3} \) give \( \theta = 22.6^\circ \) which is the \( \theta \) assumed in the first trial, hence accept.

Corresponding \( \beta = 2.8 \)

3. Design of transverse closed stirrups

\[
V_c = 0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f_c'} b_d \sigma_c \text{ using ksi units}
\]

\[
= 0.0316 \times 2.8 \sqrt{5.0} (10 \times 30.3) = 59.9 \text{ kips} < 140 \text{ kips}
\]

hence web shear reinforcement is necessary.

From equation 12.40(a)

\[
\frac{A_s}{s} = \frac{V_c - (0.0361 \beta \sqrt{f_c'} b_d \sigma_c + V_p)}{f_c' \sigma_c \cot \theta}
\]

\[
= \frac{140}{0.9} - (0.0316 \times 2.8 \sqrt{5.0} \times 10 \times 30.3 + 0) \div 60.0 \times 30.3 \times 2.3
\]
= 0.023 in.$^2$/in./two legs.

From equation 12.40(b),

$$\frac{A_t}{s} = \frac{T_s}{2A_{0f} \cot \theta} = \frac{165 \times 12}{0.9} = \frac{2 \times 1343 \times 60 \times 2.3}{2} = 0.006 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in./one leg}$$

$$\frac{A_{st}}{s} = \frac{A_t}{s} + 2 \frac{A_t}{s} = 0.023 + 2(0.006) = 0.035 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in./two legs}$$

Trying No. 4 closed stirrups with each of the two legs of a stirrup in each vertical wall.

spacing $s = \frac{2 \times 0.20}{0.035} = 11.4 \text{ in.}$

Maximum allowable $s = 12 \text{ in.}$.

Use No. 4 closed stirrups at 10 in. center to center throughout the span. Note that the spacing of the transverse reinforcement can be increased along the span if the shear and torsion envelopes warrant it.

4. Longitudinal reinforcement check

From Equation 12.40,

$$\phi(A_{0f} + A_{psf}) \geq \frac{M_s}{\phi d_s} + 0.5 \frac{N_s}{\phi} + \cot \theta \sqrt{\left( \frac{V'_t}{\phi} + 0.5V_t - V_p \right)^2 + \left( \frac{0.45T_{psf}}{\phi} \right)^2}$$

$$A_{psf} = 3.366 \times 245.5 = 826 \text{ kips}$$

$$A_s = 0.85 A_{sh} = 0.85 \times 1580 = 1343 \text{ in.}^2$$

Photo 12.10 State Route 509 Elevated Single-Point Urban Interchange, Tacoma, Washington: a situ-cast post-tensioned box girder bridge featuring tight radius curved exterior webs; the footprint of the interchange is approximately two football fields in size (Designed by BERGER/ABAM Engineers, Federal Way, Washington, courtesy Robert Mast, Senior Principal)
\[ p_o = 0.85 \times p_h = 0.85 \times 160 = 136 \text{ in.} \]

\[ V_s = \frac{A_f f_s d_v}{s} = \frac{0.40 \times 60 \times 30.3}{6.75} = 108 \text{ kips}. \]

Hence,

\[ \frac{320 \times 12}{0.9 \times 30.2} + 0 + 2.3 \left( \frac{140}{0.9} + \frac{0.5 \times 102}{0.9} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{0.45 \times 165 \times 12 \times 136}{0.9 \times 2 \times 1343} \right)^2 \]

\[ = 140.8 + 2.3(212.6) = 630 \text{ kips} < 826 \text{ kips}. \]

Hence no additional longitudinal reinforcement is needed. Adopt the No. 4 vertical ties at 10 in. on centers in each of the two beam box walls. Each vertical transverse tie, if not in a single piece, has to be fully developed to satisfy the development length requirements of the specifications.
12.14 LRFD MAJOR DESIGN EXPRESSIONS IN SI FORMAT

Eq. 12.9(a):

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - k \frac{c}{d_p} \right) \]

\[ k = 2 \left( 1.04 - \frac{f_{ps}}{f_{pu}} \right) \]

For non-bonded tendons,

\[ f_{ps} = f_{pe} + 1.5 \frac{L}{d_p} E_p \epsilon_{cu} \left( \frac{d_p}{c} - 1.0 \right) \frac{L_1}{L_2} \]

\(< 0.94 f_{ps}\) where \(L_1 = \text{span and tendon length}\)

\(L_2 = \text{stresses in MPa}\).

Eq. 12.12(a):

\[ \frac{c}{d_e} \leq 0.42 \]

Moment distribution factor \(p_u = 20 \left( 1 - 2.36 \frac{c}{d_e} \right) \)

Eq. 12.24(a)

\[ V_c = 0.083 \beta \sqrt{f'_c} b_d \]

where \(b\) and \(d\) (mm), \(f'_c\) (MPa)

Eq. 12.29, when torsion is present,

\[ \phi (A_s f_y + A_{ps} f_{ps}) \geq \frac{M_u}{d_v} + 0.5 N_u + \cot \theta \sqrt{(V_u - 0.5 V_p)^2 + \left( \frac{0.45 T_u P_h}{2 A_o} \right)^2} \]

Eq. 12.35:

\[ A_{sf} = 0.35 b_v \frac{s}{f_y} \], where \(b, s\) (mm).

Eq. 12.38:

\[ V_u = \sqrt{V_u^2 + \left( \frac{0.9 p_h T_u}{2 A_o} \right)^2} \]

where \(V_u\) (Newton), \(T_u\) (N-mm), \(A_o\) (mm²).

Eq. 12.40(b):

\[ \frac{A_t}{s} = \frac{T_u}{2 A_o f_y \cot \theta} \text{ where } s \text{ (mm).} \]
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PROBLEMS FOR SOLUTION

12.1 Design for flexure a 100 ft (30.5m) simply supported AASHTO-PCI bulb-tee composite bridge deck with no skews using the LRFD AASHTO specifications. The superstructure is composed of six pretensioned beams at 9'-0" (2.74 m) on centers. The bridge has an 8 in. (203 mm) situ-cast concrete deck with the top one half inch to be considered as wearing surface. The design live load is the HL-93 AASHTO-LRFD fatigue loading. Assume the bridge is to be located in a low seismicity zone. Given, the following maximum allowable stresses:

**Deck**

\[ f'_c = 4000 \text{ psi, normal weight} \]

\[ f_c = 0.60 f'_c = 2400 \text{ psi} \]

**Bulb-tee**

\[ f'_c = 6500 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f'_c = 5500 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_c = 0.60 f'_c = 3900 \text{ psi, Service III} \]

\[ f_c = 0.45 f'_c = 2925 \text{ psi, Service I} \]

\[ f_c = 0.60 f'_c = 3480 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_c = 6 \sqrt{f'_c} = 484 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{py} = 0.90 f_{pu} = 243,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{py} = 0.75 f_{pu} = 202,500 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_s = 60,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ E_p = 28.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]

\[ E_t = 29.0 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]

12.2 Design the web shear reinforcement for the bulb-tee beam in Problem 12.1 at the critical section near the supports and the interface shear transfer reinforcement at the interface plane between the precast section and the deck situ-cast concrete. Also, verify if the span deflection is within the allowable limits.

12.3 A single-span two-lane unskewed AASHTO Type BIII-48 bridge has an overall span of 96 ft and the cross-section shown in Figure P12.1 (Adapted from the PCI Manual - Ref. 12.11). The total deck width is 28 ft and the clear roadway is 25 ft wide. The deck has a 3-in. bituminous wearing surface. Design for flexure and shear an interior box element using the AASHTO LRFD specifications in the design. Given:

Effective span = 95 ft.

\[ f'_c = 5000 \text{ psi, normal weight} \]

\[ f_c = 0.60 f'_c = 3000 \text{ psi, Service III} \]

\[ f_c = 0.45 f'_c = 2250 \text{ psi, Service I} \]

\[ f_c = 0.60 f'_c = 4000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_c = 6 \sqrt{f'_c} = 424 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{py} = 0.90 f_{pu} = 243,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{py} = 0.75 f_{pu} = 202,500 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_s = 60,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ E_p = 28.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]

\[ E_t = 29.0 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \]
Section Properties:

\[ A_v = 813 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ h = 39 \text{ in.} \]
\[ I_c = 168,367 \text{ in.}^4 \]
\[ c_b = 19.29 \text{ in.} \]
\[ c_r = 19.71 \text{ in.} \]
\[ S_b = 8728 \text{ in.}^3 \]
\[ S' = 8542 \text{ in.}^3 \]
\[ W_D = 847 \text{ lb/ft.} \]

12.4 Solve Problem 12.3 using the AASHTO Standard specifications for both flexure, shear and deflection.
13.1 INTRODUCTION: MECHANISM OF EARTHQUAKES

The earth crust is composed of several layers of hard "tectonic" plates, called lithospheres, which float on the softer, underpinning fluid medium called mantle. These plates or rock masses, when fractured, form fault lines. The adjoining plates or rock masses are prevented by the interacting frictional forces from moving past one another most of the time. However, when this frictional ultimate resistance is reached because of the continuous motion of the underlying fluid, any two plates can impact on one another, generating seismic waves that can cause large horizontal and vertical ground motions. These ground motions translate into inertia forces in structures.

The length and width of a fault are interrelated to the magnitude of the earthquake. The fault is the cause rather than the result of the earthquake. A fault can cause an earthquake due to the following reasons (Ref. 13.5):

1. Cumulative strain in the fault over a long period of time reaches the rupture level.
2. Slip of the tectonic plates at the fault zones causes a rebound, as in Fig. 13.1(a),

Northridge, California, 1994 earthquake structural failure. (Courtesy, Dr. Murat Saatcioglu.)
3. Sudden push and pull forces at the fault lead to reverse moment couples, as in Fig. 13.1(b). The moment caused by these couples as a measure of earthquake size can be termed the *seismic moments*. The magnitude is equal to rock rigidity × fault area × amount of slip. The range of slip velocity in such faults as the San Andreas Fault in California is 30 to 100 mm per year. On this basis, a slippage or horizontal motion of 3 m at such faults in one single earthquake is expected to occur at intervals of 30 to 100 years.

![Diagram of earthquake mechanism](image)

**Figure 13.1** Mechanism of earthquakes: (a) slip of tectonic plates; (b) reverse moment couples.
Earthquakes may be characterized by three categories: low, moderate, and high intensity. The intensity is governed by ground motion accelerations, represented by response spectra and coefficients derived from such spectra. A structure is expected to respond essentially elastically to low-intensity earthquakes. In such a case, the stresses are expected to remain within the elastic range, with a slight possibility of developing limited inelasticity with no appreciable structural or non-structural damage. Structural response is expected to be inelastic under high-intensity earthquakes having an intensity of 5 or higher on the Richter scale and in regions close to the epicenter. For the design of structures in seismic zones, two methods are presented in the IBC 2000 and 2003 codes; the spectral response method and the equivalent lateral force method. The latter has certain limitations that will be discussed later.

A detailed discussion of the subject of earthquakes is beyond the scope of this book since the primary aim of this chapter is the proportioning of seismic resistant components of concrete structures. However, some of the basic underlying characteristics are important to cover. They are intended to help define the magnitude of the lateral seismic base shear forces that determine the geometry and form of the earthquake resisting components of a structure, namely, the lateral force resisting system (LFRS).

Such a system has two components: horizontal and vertical. The horizontal elements are the components that resist the seismic forces. They can be diaphragms, coupling beams, and shear walls. The vertical component comprises the walls and vertical frames of the structure.

### 13.1.1 Earthquake Ground Motion Characteristics

Ground motion, caused by seismic tremors, involves acceleration, velocity, and displacement. These are in the majority amplified, thereby producing forces and displacements, which can exceed those which the structure is able to sustain (Ref. 13.13). The maximum value of the ground motion magnitude, namely, the peak ground velocity, peak ground
acceleration and peak ground displacement become the principal parameters in the seismic design of structures.

Additional factors also affect the response of a structure. They include frequency, amplitude of motion, shaking duration, and site soil characteristics. These can all be represented by a response spectrum which idealizes a structure into a damped, single degree of freedom system (SDF) oscillating at various periods and frequencies. The maximum vibration magnitude reached during any time duration after the base ground motion is its spectral value.

### 13.1.2 Fundamental Period of Vibration

The basic natural period $T$ of a simple one-degree-of-freedom system is the time required to complete one whole cycle during dynamic loading. In other words, it is the time required for a phase angle $\omega t$ to travel from 0 to $2\pi$, where $\omega$ is the angular frequency of the system. Hence $\omega t = 2\pi$, leading to the expression

$$T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} = 2\pi \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1)

where

- $m$ = mass of system
- $k$ = spring constant and damping is not considered

Most reinforced concrete structures are multidegrees-of-freedom systems, as in Fig. 13.2. In this case the structural mass can be assumed to be concentrated in the vertical spring element at the floor level, resulting in multiple modes with frequencies (periods) for each mode. The compound natural period $T$ is then evaluated with due consideration given to the distribution of mass and stiffness. Codes require that $T$ be established using the structural properties and deformation characteristics of the resisting elements in a properly substantiated analysis using expressions such as those given by the International Building Code—IBC 2000 (Ref. 13.2), or The Uniform Building Code (Ref. 13.3) integrated into the IBC provisions.

Since a structure is composed of a series of single degrees of freedom subjected to the same base motion, a series of maximum values related to the SDF system's fundamental periods, $T$, would ensue. These, in turn, form a spectral curve for that base ground motion. By knowing the base motion, the SDF fundamental period and the percent critical damping, one can obtain from the applicable curve the maximum acceleration, velocity, and displacement relative to the base (Ref. 13.14). Evidently, computer

![Figure 13.2 Modeling multistory structures.](image-url)
use is needed to obtain a complete spectral response of the multi-degree state of a structure.

It should be recognized that a structure is designed to resist earthquake motion such that it is able to sustain and survive the earthquake through large inelastic deformations and energy dissipation through cracking and limited local material failure, but without loss of stability. It would be highly uneconomical to design the lateral force resisting system to the earthquake forces such that the structure deforms only elastically as a result of these forces. The codes have this as a basic philosophy particularly for major earthquakes in which some structural damage can result.

13.1.3 Design Philosophy

The International Building Code (IBC 2000 and 2003) on seismic design consolidates the three major existing regional codes:

1. Building Officials Code Administration International (BOCA)
3. Southern Building Code Congress International (SBCCI)

Underlying its seismology design provisions are:
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1. Recommended design levels related to effective peak accelerations that can resist minor earthquakes without damage, moderate earthquakes without structural damage, and major earthquakes in which some structural damage can result.

2. Minimum design criteria for all types of buildings, low and high rise, with and without shear walls.

3. Spectral response values for various ground motion intensities, mainly within the elastic range.

4. Provide design criteria for lateral ground motion, unidirectional and bi-directional, addressing them one at a time.

5. Limit the story drift and displacement magnitudes of the building structures within acceptable ranges, through control of stiffness of components and shear walls, diaphragms, and coupling beams.

13.2 SPECTRAL RESPONSE METHOD

13.2.1 Spectral Response Acceleration Maps

As discussed in Ref. 13.15, prior to the Northridge and Kobe earthquakes, the Uniform Building Code (UBC) provisions performed satisfactorily in the United States in past earthquakes. The failures in these two cases were determined to be due to “related configurations of the structural systems, inadequate connection detailing, incompatibility of deformations and design or construction deficiencies. They were not due to deficiency in strength (Structural Engineers Association of California, 1995).

The UBC provisions incorporated in the International Building Code (IBC) are based on consideration of the site conditions of the structure and the application of maximum considered earthquake ground motion maps for site class B, prepared by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The equivalent maximum considered earthquake ground motion values for the ceiling were determined to be 1.50 g for the short period and 0.60 g for the long period (Ref. 13.15).

The high seismicity regions, where the maximum considered earthquake ground motion values are greater than 0.75 g for the 1.0 sec, peak acceleration additional requirements are imposed on irregular structures exceeding five stories in height and a period T in excess of 0.5 sec, such as increasing the ground motion spectral acceleration values by 50 percent. The USGS large-scale maps for the 1.0 sec and the 0.2 sec levels of spectral response acceleration, site-B class, and 5 percent critical damping are condensed and abridged in Figs. 13.3(a) and (b) for general guidance. They show the relative values of the peak spectral response accelerations at the two ground motion levels of 0.2 and 1.0 sec. Values have to be extrapolated linearly from the USGS large-scale maps for use in the seismic design of structures.

13.2.2 Design Parameters

Both the spectral response method and the equivalent lateral force method are based on the same code principles and formulations presented in this chapter. Sites are classified into six categories A, B, C, D, E, and F as shown in Table 13.1 on site properties.

Ground motion accelerations and the maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration are considered at 1.0 sec period ($S_1$) and at short periods ($S_c$) such as 0.2 sec obtained from seismic contour maps discussed in Section 13.2.1.
Figure 13.3(e) Maximum considered earthquake ground motion for the United States, 0.2 sec. Spectral response acceleration $S_a$ as a percent of gravity, site class B with 5 percent critical damping.
Figure 13.2(b) Maximum considered earthquake ground motion for the United States, 1.0 sec. Spectral response acceleration $S_g$ as a percent of gravity, site-class B with 5% critical damping.
Table 13.1 Site Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Class</th>
<th>Soil Profile Name</th>
<th>Average Properties in Top 100 ft (30 m), As in Section 1615.1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Hard rock</td>
<td>( V_s &gt; 5,000 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rock</td>
<td>( 2,500 \leq V_s \leq 5,000 ) \quad \text{not applicable}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Very dense soil and soft Rock</td>
<td>( 1,200 \leq V_s \leq 2,500 ) \quad \text{not applicable}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Stiff soil profile</td>
<td>( 600 \leq V_s \leq 2,500 ) \quad \text{15} \leq N \leq 50 \quad 1,000 \leq S_u \leq 2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Soft soil profile</td>
<td>( V_s &lt; 600 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Any profile with more than 10 ft of soil having the following characteristics: ( \text{- plasticity index PI &gt; 20; } \text{- moisture content w &gt; 40% and } \text{- unconfined shear strength } S_u &lt; 500 \text{ psf} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Any profile containing soil having one or more of the following characteristics: ( \text{1. Soils vulnerable to potential failure or collapse under seismic loading such as } \text{liquefiable soils, quick and highly sensitive clays, collapsible weakly cemented soils. } \text{2. Peats and/or highly organic clays (} H &gt; 10 \text{ ft of peat and/or highly organic clay where } H = \text{thickness of soil} ) \text{3. Very high plasticity clays (} H &gt; 25 \text{ ft with plasticity index PI &gt; 75} ) \text{4. Very thick soft/medium stiff clays (} H &gt; 120 \text{ ft} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For SI: 1 ft/sec = 305 mm/sec; 1 psf = 0.0479 kPa; 1 ft = 305 mm.

The design spectral response accelerations at short periods \( (S_0) \) and at 1 sec \( (S_1) \) are to be adjusted for site class effect \( (S_{MS}) \) at short periods and \( (S_{MI}) \) for 1 sec based on Table 13.1 in conjunction with Tables 13.2(a) and 13.2(b) for site coefficients.

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response for short and one second periods are respectively defined by the following expressions:

\[
S_{MS} = F_{p}S_0  \\
S_{MI} = F_{p}S_1
\]  

(13.2a)  
(13.2b)

Table 13.2(a) Values of Site Coefficient \( F_p \) as a Function of Site Class and Mapped Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Periods \( (S_0) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Class</th>
<th>( S_0 \leq 0.25 )</th>
<th>( S_0 = 0.50 )</th>
<th>( S_0 = 0.75 )</th>
<th>( S_0 = 1.00 )</th>
<th>( S_0 \leq 1.25 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>\text{Note a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>\text{Note a}</td>
<td>\text{Note a}</td>
<td>\text{Note a}</td>
<td>\text{Note a}</td>
<td>\text{Note a}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 13.2(b)  Values of Site Coefficient $F_p$ as a Function of Site Class and Mapped Spectral Response Acceleration at 1.0-Sec Periods ($S_i$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Class</th>
<th>$S_i \leq 0.1$</th>
<th>$S_i = 0.2$</th>
<th>$S_i = 0.3$</th>
<th>$S_i = 0.4$</th>
<th>$S_i \leq 5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Note a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Note b</td>
<td>Note b</td>
<td>Note b</td>
<td>Note b</td>
<td>Note b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES: a—Straight line interpolation for intermediate values are to be made.
        b—Site geotechnical investigation and dynamic site response analyses are to be performed

where,

$F_p$ = Site coefficient from Table 13.2a

$F_p'$ = Site coefficient from Table 13.2b

$S_i$ = Mapped spectral acceleration for short periods (See Ref. 13.2 for map contour values)

$S_i'$ = Mapped spectral acceleration for 1.0-sec periods (See Ref. 13.2 for map contour values)

For 5 percent damped design, the spectral response acceleration becomes:

$$S_{DS} = \frac{2}{3} S_{MS}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.3a)

$$S_{DI} = \frac{2}{3} S_{MI}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.3b)

13.2.3 Earthquake Design Load Classifications

The International Building Code (IBC-2000 and 2003) classifies the seismic design categories into three seismic use groups in lieu of the former zones 0 to 4 of the UBC Code. The three groups for short period and 1.0-sec period response acceleration are given in Tables 13.3(a) and 13.3(b) respectively. These seismic use groups can be defined as follows (Ref. 13.2):

Table 13.3(a)  Seismic Design Category

Based on Short Period Response Accelerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of $S_{DS}$</th>
<th>Seismic Use Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{DS} &lt; 0.167g$</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.167g \leq S_{DS} &lt; 0.33g$</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.33 \leq S_{DS} &lt; 0.50g$</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.50 \leq S_{DS}$</td>
<td>D*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13.3(b) Seismic Design Category Based on 1 Second Period Response Accelerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of $S_{D1}$</th>
<th>Seismic Use Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{D1} &lt; 0.067g$</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.067g \leq S_{D1} &lt; 0.133g$</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.133g \leq S_{D1} &lt; 0.20g$</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.20g \leq S_{D1}$</td>
<td>D*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOTE:* *Seismic Use Groups I and II structures located on sites with mapped maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1-sec period, $S_1$, equal to or greater than 0.75 g shall be assigned to seismic design category E and seismic use group III structures located on such sites shall be assigned to seismic design category F.

i. **Seismic Use Group I:** These are structures that are not assigned to either seismic use group II or III.

ii. **Seismic Use Group II:** The structures in this group are those the failure of which would result in substantial public hazard due to occupancy or use described in Table 13.5.

iii. **Seismic Use Group III:** The structures in these groups are those the failure of which would result in having essential facilities for post-earthquake recovery and those containing substantial quantities of hazardous substances in jeopardy.

In Tables 13.3(a) and (b), categories B and C range from low- to moderate-risk regions where categories D and E are designated as high-risk seismic regions.

These tables enable the designer to choose from the spectral maps the $S_x$ and $S_1$ values pertinent to the structure site location. They are based on classifying the map regions into three as follows (Ref. 13.15, Part 2):

**Region 1—Regions of Negligible Seismicity with Very Low Probability of Collapse of the Structure (No Spectral Values)**

*Region definition:* Regions for which $S_x < 0.25 g$ and $S_1 < 0.10 g$.

*Design values:* No spectral ground motion values required. Use a minimum *lateral* force level of 1 percent of the dead load for seismic design Category A.

**Region 2—Regions of Low and Moderate to High Seismicity (Probabilistic Map Values)**

*Region definition:* Regions for which $0.25 g < S_x < 1.5 g$ and $0.25 g < S_1 < 0.60 g$.

*Maximum considered earthquake map values:* Use $S_x$ and $S_1$ map values.

**Transition between Regions 2 and 3**—Use values of $S_x = 1.5 g$ and $S_1 = 0.60 g$. 
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**Region 3—Regions of High Seismicity Near Known Faults (Deterministic Values)**

*Regional definition:* Regions for which $1.5 \text{ g} < S_g$ and $0.60 \text{ g} < S_1$.

The structural analysis based on the worst load combinations should be the basis for determining the seismic forces $E$ for combined gravity and seismic load effects when they are additive and the maximum seismic load effect $E_m$. The value of $E$ and $E_m$ are determined from the following expressions detailed in Ref. 13.2 for additive seismic force and dead load:

$$ E = \rho Q_E + 0.2 \ S_{DS}D $$  \hspace{1cm} (13.4a)  

$$ E = \Omega \sigma Q_E + 0.2 \ S_{DS}D $$  \hspace{1cm} (13.4b)

For counteracting seismic forces and dead load:

$$ E = \rho Q_E - 0.2 \ S_{DS}D $$  \hspace{1cm} (13.5a)  

$$ E = \Omega \sigma Q_E - 0.2 \ S_{DS}D $$  \hspace{1cm} (13.5b)

where,
- $E$ = combined effect of horizontal and vertical earthquake-induced forces
- $\rho$ = reliability factor based on system redundancy = 1.0 for categories A, B, and C
- $Q_E$ = effect of horizontal seismic forces
- $S_{DS}$ = spectral response acceleration at short periods obtained from IBC Sec. 1615.1.3 or 1615.2.2.5
- $\Omega \sigma$ = system over-strength factor given in Table 13.4
- $D$ = effect of dead load

**13.2.4 Redundancy**

A redundancy coefficient $\rho$ has to be assigned to all structures based on the extent of structural redundancy inherent in the lateral force resisting system. For structures in seismic design categories A, B, and C, the value of the redundancy coefficient $\rho$ is to be taken as 1.0. For structures in seismic design categories D, E, and F, the redundancy coefficient $\rho$ has to be taken as the largest of the values $\rho_1$ computed at each story level “i” of the structure in accordance with the expression

$$ \rho_1 = 2 - \frac{20}{r_{\max} \sqrt{A_i}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (13.6a)

In SI Units, the expression becomes

$$ \rho_1 = 2 - \frac{6.1}{r_{\max} \sqrt{A_i}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (13.6b)

where
- $r_{\max}$ = ratio of the design story shear resisted by the most heavily loaded single element in the story to the total story shear for a given loading condition,
- $A_i$ = Floor area in square feet ($m^2$) of the diaphragm level immediately above the story

The value of $\rho$ cannot be less than 1.0 and need not exceed 1.5.

**13.2.5 General Procedure Response Spectrum**

The design response can be idealized by the fundamental period-response acceleration relationship shown in Fig. 13.4 for three fundamental period levels.
Table 13.4 Design Coefficients and Factors for Basic Seismic-Force-Resisting Systems
(abridged from table 1617.6, Ref. 13.2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BASIC SEISMIC-FORCE-RESISTING SYSTEM</th>
<th>RESPONSE MODIFICATION COEFFICIENT $R^a$</th>
<th>SYSTEM OVER-STRENGTH FACTOR $\Omega_o$</th>
<th>DEFLECTION AMPLIFICATION FACTOR, $c_a^b$</th>
<th>SYTEM LIMITATIONS AND BUILDING HEIGHT LIMITATIONS (FT) BY SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY(^c) AS DETERMINED IN IBC SECTION 1616.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>A &amp; B</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing Wall System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special reinforced concrete shear walls</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed plain concrete shear walls</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary plan concrete shear walls</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Frame System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed plain concrete shear walls</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary plain concrete shear walls</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment Resistant Frames</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special reinforced concrete moment frames</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate reinforced concrete moment frames</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary reinforced concrete moment frames</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual System with Special Moment Frames</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special reinforced concrete shear wall</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary reinforced concrete shear wall</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual System with Intermediate Moment Frames</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special reinforced concrete shear wall</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary reinforced concrete shear wall</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Wall-Frame interactive system with ordinary reinforced concrete moment frames and ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For SI, 1 ft = 305 mm

$^a$Response modification coefficient $R$, for use throughout

$^b$Deflection amplification factor, $C_d$

$^c$NL = not limited and NP = not permitted

$^d$limited to buildings with a height of 240 ft or less.

$^e$limited to buildings with a height of 160 ft or less.

$^f$Ordinary moment frame is permitted to be used in lieu of Intermediate moment frame in Seismic Design Categories B, and C.

$^g$The tabulated value of the overstrength factor, $\Omega_o$, may be reduced by subtracting $\frac{1}{2}$ for structures with flexible diaphragms but shall not be taken as less than 2.0 for any structure.

$^h$Ordinary moment frames of reinforced concrete are not permitted as a part of the seismic-force-resisting system in Seismic Design Category B structures founded on Site-Class E or F soils.
1. For periods in seconds less than or equal to $T_o$, the design spectral response acceleration $S_a$ is determined from the following equation:

$$S_a = 0.6 \frac{S_{DS}}{T_o} T + 0.4 S_{DS} \quad (13.7a)$$

2. For periods greater than or equal to $T_o$, and less than or equal to $T_s$, the design spectral response acceleration $S_a$ is taken equal to $S_{D1}$.

3. For periods greater than $T_s$, the design spectral response acceleration, $S_a$, is determined from the expression:

$$S_a = \frac{S_{D1}}{T} \quad (13.7b)$$

where,

$S_{DS}$ = the design spectral response acceleration at short periods

$S_{D1}$ = the design spectral response acceleration at 1-sec periods

$T$ = Fundamental period (in seconds) of the structure

$T_o$ = 0.2 $S_{D1}/S_{DS}$

$T_s$ = $S_{D1}/S_{DS}$

The sites have to be classified for determining the shear wave velocity and the maximum considered earthquake ground motion. Details are given in the IBC (Ref. 13.2) section 1615.

13.3 EQUIVALENT LATERAL FORCE METHOD

13.3.1 Horizontal Base Shear

In this method, a building is considered fixed at the base. The seismic base shear, $V$, in a given direction is determined from the expression (Ref. 13.2):

$$V = C_s W \quad (13.8)$$

where,

$C_s$ = seismic response coefficient

$W$ = The effective seismic weight of the structure, including the total dead loads and other loads listed herein:
1. In areas used for storage, a minimum of 25 percent of the reduced floor live load (floor live load in public garages and open parking structures need not be included).

2. Where an allowance for partition load is included in the floor load design, the actual partition weight or a minimum weight of 10 psf (500 Pa/m²) of floor area, whichever is greater.

3. Total operating weight of permanent equipment.

4. 20 percent of flat roof snow load where the flat roof snow load exceeds 30 psf.

\[ C_s = \frac{S_{DS}}{(R/I)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.9)

But \( C_s \) cannot exceed the value:

\[ C_s = \frac{S_{D1}}{\left(\frac{R}{I}\right)^T} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.10)

nor can it be taken less than:

\[ C_s = 0.044 \, S_{DS} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.11)

where,

\( S_{DS} = \) Design spectral response acceleration at short period as determined in Section 13.2.2

\( R = \) Response modification factor from Table 13.4

\( I = \) Occupancy importance factor from Table 13.5

\( T = \) fundamental period of building (seconds)

For buildings and structures in seismic design categories E or F and in buildings and structures for which the 1-sec spectral response, \( S_1 \) is equal to or greater than 0.6 g, the value of the seismic coefficient \( C_s \) should not be taken less than:

\[ C_s = \frac{0.5S_1}{R/I} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.12)

The fundamental period \( T \) in the direction under consideration has to be determined by analysis based on the structural and deformational characteristics of the resisting element. In lieu of an analysis, an approximate fundamental period \( T_a \), in seconds, can be used from the following expression:

\[ T_a = C_T \, h^{3/4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.13)

where,

\( C_T = \) Building Period Coefficient

- 0.035 for moment resisting frame systems of steel in which the frames resist 100 percent of the required seismic force and are not enclosed or adjoined by more rigid components that will prevent the frames from deflecting when subjected to seismic forces (the metric coefficient is 0.085)

- 0.030 for moment resisting frame systems of reinforced concrete in which the frames resist 100 percent of the required seismic force and are not enclosed or adjoined by more rigid components that will prevent the frames from deflecting when subjected to seismic forces (the metric coefficient is 0.073)

- 0.030 for eccentrically braced steel frames (the metric coefficient is 0.073)

- 0.020 for all other building systems (the metric coefficient is 0.049)

\( h = \) the height (ft or m) above the base to the highest level of the building.
Table 13.5  Occupancy Importance Factor
Classification of Buildings and Other Structures for Importance Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Nature of Occupancy</th>
<th>Seismic Factor $I_e$</th>
<th>Snow Factor $I_s$</th>
<th>Wind Factor $I_w$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Building and other structures except those listed in Categories II, III, and IV</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Buildings and other structures that represents a substantial hazard to human life in the event of failure including, but not limited to:</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Buildings and other structures where more than 300 people congregate in one area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Buildings and other structures for elementary school, secondary school or day-care facilities with capacity greater than 250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Buildings and other structures with a capacity greater than 500 for colleges or adult education facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Health care facilities with a capacity of 50 or more resident patients but not having surgery or emergency treatment facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Jail or detention facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Any other occupancy with an occupant load greater than 5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Power generating stations, water treatment for potable water, waste water treatment facilities and other public utility facilities not included in category IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Buildings and other structures not included in category IV containing sufficient quantities of toxic or explosive substances to be dangerous to the public if released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Building and other structures designated as essential facilities including, but not limited to:</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hospitals and other health care facilities having surgery or emergency treatment facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Fire, rescue and police stations and emergency vehicle garages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Designated earthquake, hurricane, or other emergency shelters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Designated emergency preparedness, communication, and operation centers and other facilities required for emergency response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Power generating stations and other public utility facilities required as emergency back-up facilities for category IV structures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Structures containing highly toxic material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Aviation control towers, air traffic control centers and emergency aircraft hangers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Buildings and other structures having critical national defense functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Water treatment facilities required to maintain water pressure for fire suppression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Buildings representing low hazard to human life at failure such as agricultural and storage facilities</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* "Category" is equivalent to "Seismic Use Group" for the purpose of Section 13.2.3.
Table 13.6 Coefficient for Upper Limit On Computed Fundamental Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Spectral Response Acceleration at 1-sec period, ( S_{p1} )</th>
<th>Coefficient ( C_u )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 0.4 )</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 0.1 )</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In cases where moment resisting frames do not exceed 12 stories in height and having a minimum story height of 10 ft (3 m), an approximate period \( T_s \) in seconds in the following form can be used:

\[ T_s = 0.1 \, N \]  

(13.14)

where

\( N = \) number of stories

The computed fundamental period, \( T \), cannot exceed the product of the coefficient, \( C_u \), in Table 13.6 for the upper limit on the computed period times the approximate fundamental period, \( T_s \). The base shear \( V \) is to be based on a fundamental period, \( T \), in seconds, of 1.2 times the coefficient for the upper limit on the calculated value, \( C_{uw} \), taken from Table 13.6 times the approximate fundamental period \( T_{aw} \).

13.3.2 Vertical Distribution of Forces

The lateral force \( F_x \) (kips or kN) induced at any level can be determined from the following expressions:

\[ F_x = C_{vx} \, V \]  

(13.15a)

\[ C_{vx} = \frac{W \, h^k}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \, h_i^k} \]  

(13.15b)

where

\( C_{vx} = \) vertical distribution factor

\( V = \) total design lateral force or shear at the base of the building (kips or kN),

\( W_i \) and \( W_x \) = the portion of the total gravity load of the building, \( W \), located or assigned to level \( i \) or \( x \)

\( h_i \) and \( h_x \) = the height (ft or m) from the base to level \( i \) or \( x \)

\( k = \) a distribution exponent related to the building period as follows:

- For buildings having a period of 0.5 sec or less, \( k = 1 \)
- For buildings having a period of 2.5 sec or more, \( k = 2 \)
- For buildings having a period between 0.5 and 2.5 seconds, \( k \) shall be 2 or shall be determined by linear interpolation between 1 and 2

13.3.3 Horizontal Distribution of Story Shear \( V_x \)

The seismic design story horizontal shear in any story, \( V_x \) (kips or kN) should be determined from the following expression:
\[ V_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i \]  

(13.16)

where

\[ F_i = \text{the portion of the seismic base shear, } V \text{ (kips or kN) introduced at level } i. \]

**13.3.4 Rigid and Flexible Diaphragms**

(a) *Rigid diaphragms:* The seismic design story shear, \( V_x \), has to be distributed to the various vertical elements of the system in the story under consideration. This distribution is to be based on the relative stiffness of the vertical resisting elements and the diaphragms.

(b) *Flexible Diaphragms:* The seismic design story shear, \( V_x \), in this case has to be distributed to the various vertical elements based on the tributary area of the diaphragms to each line of resistance. The vertical elements of the lateral force resisting system can be considered to be in the same line of resistance, if the maximum out of plane offset between such elements in less than 5 percent of the building’s dimension *perpendicular* to the direction of the lateral load.

**13.3.5 Torsion**

If the diaphragms are not flexible, the design has to include the torsional moment \( M_i \) (Kip-ft or kN-m) resulting from the difference in location between the center of mass and the center of stiffness. Dynamic amplification of torsion for structures in seismic design category C, D, E or F has to be accounted for by multiplying the torsional moments by a torsional amplification factor presented in Ref. 13.2, Sec. 16.17.4.

**13.3.6 Story Drift and the P-Delta Effect**

(a) *Drift:* The design story drift, \( \Delta \), is computed as the difference between the deflections of the center of mass at the top and bottom of the story being considered. If allowable stress design is used \( \Delta \) is computed using earthquake forces without dividing by 1.4.

The deflection of level \( X \) is to be determined from the following expression,

\[ \delta_x = \frac{C_d \delta_{se}}{I} \]  

(13.17)

where,

\[ C_d = \text{Deflection amplification factor (Table 13.4)} \]

\[ \delta_{se} = \text{Deflections (in. or mm) determined by an elastic analysis of the seismic forces resisting system.} \]

\[ I = \text{Occupancy importance factor (Table 13.5)} \]

The design story drift, \( \Delta \), has to be increased by an incremental factor relating to the P-delta effects. The redundancy coefficient, \( p \), in the case of drift should be taken as 1.0.

(b) *P-delta effects:* The P-delta effects can be disregarded if the stability coefficient, \( \theta \), from the following expression is equal or less than 0.10,

\[ \theta = \frac{P_i \Delta}{V_x h_x C_d} \]  

(13.18)
where,

\( P_x = \) The total unfactored vertical design load at and above Level \( x \) (kip or kN); when computing the vertical design load for purposes of determining P-delta, the individual load factors need not exceed 1.0

\( \Delta = \) The design story drift (in. or mm) occurring simultaneously with \( V_x \)

\( V_x = \) The seismic shear force (kip or kN) acting between level \( x \) and \( x - 1 \)

\( h_{sx} = \) The story height (ft or m) below level \( x \)

\( C_d = \) The deflection amplification factor in Table 13.4.

The stability coefficient, \( \theta \), shall not exceed \( \theta_{\text{max}} \) determined as follows:

\[
\theta_{\text{max}} = \frac{0.5}{C_d \beta} \leq 0.25
\]

where:

\( \beta = \) The ratio of shear demand to shear capacity for the story between level \( x \) and \( x - 1 \). Where the ratio \( \beta \) is not calculated, a value of \( \beta = 1.0 \) shall be used.

When the stability coefficient, \( \theta \), is greater than 0.10 but less than or equal to \( \theta_{\text{max}} \), inter-story drifts and element forces shall be computed including P-delta effects. To obtain the story drift for including the P-delta effect, the design story drift shall be multiplied by \( 1.0/(1 - \theta) \).

When \( \theta \) is greater than \( \theta_{\text{max}} \), the structure is potentially unstable and has to be redesigned.

The allowable story drifts are given in Table 13.7 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 13.7 Allowable Story Drift, ( \Delta ) (in. or mm)*</th>
<th>Seismic Use Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings, other than masonry shear wall or masonry wall frame buildings, four stories or less in height with interior walls, partitions, ceilings, and exterior wall systems that have been designed to accommodate the story drifts.</td>
<td>0.025 ( h_{sx} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry cantilever shear wall buildings^5</td>
<td>0.010 ( h_{sx} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other masonry shear wall buildings</td>
<td>0.007 ( h_{sx} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry wall frame buildings</td>
<td>0.013 ( h_{sx} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other buildings</td>
<td>0.020 ( h_{sx} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There shall be no drift limit for single-story buildings with interior walls, partitions, ceilings, and exterior wall systems that have been designed to accommodate the story drifts.

^5 \( h_{sx} \) is the story height below level \( x \).

^Building in which the basic structural system consists of masonry shear walls designed as vertical elements cantilevered from their base or foundation support which are so constructed that moment transfer between shear walls (coupling) is negligible.
13.3 Equivalent Lateral Force Method

13.3.7 Overturning

Ground motion can result in overturning of a structure. At any story, the increment of overturning moment in the story under consideration would have to be distributed to the various vertical force-resisting elements, in the same proportion as the distribution of the horizontal shear forces to these elements. The overturning moment at level \( x \), \( M_x \) (kip-ft or kN-m), is determined from the following expression:

\[
M_x = \tau \sum_{i=x}^{n} F_i (h_i - h_x)
\]  

(13.19)

where

\( F_i \) = Portion of \( h_i \) and \( h_x \) = height (ft or m) from the base to the level \( i \) or \( x \).

\( \tau \) = Overturning moment reduction factor

= 1.0 for the top 10 stories

= 0.8 for the 20th story from the top and below

= values between 1.0 and 0.8 determined by a straight line interpolation for stories between the 20th and 10th stories below the top. The seismic base shear, \( V \), is induced at level \( i \).

13.3.8 Simplified Analysis Procedure for Seismic Design of Buildings

This procedure can be used for structures in seismic use group I, subject to the following limitations, otherwise either the method in Section 13.2 or this section has to be used.

2. Buildings of any construction other than light framed, not exceeding two stories in height, excluding basement.

The seismic base shear, \( V \), can be computed from the following expression,

\[
V = \frac{1.2S_{DS}}{R} W
\]  

(13.20)

where

\( S_{DS} \) = Design elastic response acceleration at short periods as determined from Section 13.2

\( R \) = Response modification factor from Table 13.4

\( W \) = The effective seismic weight of the structure, including the total dead load and other loads listed below.

In areas used for storage, a minimum of 25 percent of the reduced floor live load (floor live load in public garages and open parking structures need not be included.)

1. Where an allowance for partition load is included in the floor load design, the actual partition weight of 10 psf of floor area, whichever is greater.
2. Total weight of permanent operating equipment
3. 20 percent of flat roof snow load where flat snow load exceeds 30 psf (1.44 kN/m²).

The vertical distribution of forces at each level would be computed from the following expression:

\[
F_x = \frac{1.2S_{DS}}{R} W_x
\]  

(13.21)
where,

\[ W_x = \text{The portion of the effective seismic weight of the total structure, } W_t \text{ at story level } x. \]

For structures satisfying this section, the design story drift, \( \Delta \), is taken as 1 percent of the story height unless a more exact analysis is made.

13.3.9 Other Aspects in Seismic Design

The discussion presented in the previous sections is intended only to highlight the most important basic considerations for establishment of the seismic basic shear force values and their distribution over the height of a structure, at all story levels. The scope of this book does not permit more coverage of other essential topics such as modeling, model forces, deflections and drifts, diaphragms, coupling beams, interconnecting shear walls, connections, irregularity of structures, out-of-plane loading, torsion, and foundations.

Through a careful review of the details presented, the numerical examples and solving the assignments, the reader becomes well equipped to handle the design requirement aspects of the topics listed. The International Building Code—IBC 2000–2003 (Ref. 13.2) detailed provisions give all the additional provisions and guidance needed for safe complete designs of concrete structures that can successfully resist severe earthquakes. The ensuing sections will present ACI 318-02 code provisions for proportioning and detailing of reinforced concrete elements that can withstand Seismic loading through conformity with the IBC 2000 requirements.

13.4 SEISMIC SHEAR FORCES IN BEAMS AND COLUMNS OF A FRAME:
STRONG COLUMN–WEAK BEAM CONCEPT

13.4.1 Probable Shears and Moments

Shear failure in reinforced concrete members is regarded as brittle failure. Therefore, in designing earthquake-resistant structures, it is important to provide excess shear capacity over and above that corresponding to flexural failure. The ACI 318-02 requirements are based on the strong column-weak beam concept subsequently discussed. Hence, plastification of the critical regions at the ends of the beams will have to be considered as a possible loading condition.

The shear force is then computed based on the moment resistances in the developed plastic hinges, labeled as probable moment resistance, \( M_{pr} \), developed when the longitudinal flexural steel enters into the hardening stage. Consequently, in the computation of the probable moment resistance, \( 1.25 f_y \) is used as the stress in the longitudinal reinforcement. This is because the development of inelastic rotation at the faces of the joint is associated with strains in the flexural reinforcement well in excess of the yield strain. As a result, the joint shear force generated by the flexural reinforcement is computed for an increased stress \( \lambda_c f_y \) where \( \lambda_c = 1.25 \), namely, an increase in stress of 25 percent. In order to absorb the energy that can cause plastic hinging, the earthquake resistant frame has to be ductile in part through confinement of the longitudinal reinforcement of the columns and the beam-column joints and in part through the provision of the excess shear capacity previously discussed.

Fig. 13.5 shows the deformed geometry of and the moment and shear forces for a beam subjected to gravity loading and reversible side-sway. If the intensity of gravity load is \( W_u \) then, ACI 318-02 stipulates:
13.4 Seismic Shear Forces in Beams and Columns of a Frame: Strong Column–Weak Beam Concept

Figure 13.5  Seismic moments and shears at beam ends: (a) sidesway to the left; (b) sidesway to the right

13.4.1.1 Factored Loads

The IBC (Sec. 1605.2) stipulates the following load combinations; they are comparable to the ACI factored loads in Section 4.11.2:

\[
\begin{align*}
1.4D \\
1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5(L, \text{ or } S \text{ or } R) \\
1.2D + 1.6L(L, \text{ or } S) + (f_1L \text{ or } 0.8W) \\
1.2D + 1.3W + f_1L + 0.5(LR \text{ or } S \text{ or } R) \\
1.2D + 1.0E + (f_1L \text{ or } f_3S) \\
0.9D \div (1.0E \text{ or } 1.3W)
\end{align*}
\]

Photo 13.4  Skybridge, Vancouver, Canada, a 2020-ft long cable-stayed bridge and the world's longest transit bridge. (Courtesy Portland Cement Association.)
where,

\[ f_1 = 1.0 \] for floors in places of public assembly, for live loads in excess of 100 lb/ft² (479 kN/m²), and for parking garage live load
\[ = 0.5 \] for other live loads
\[ f_2 = 0.7 \] For roof configurations (such as saw tooth) that do not shed snow off the structure
\[ = 0.2 \] for other roof configurations

\( L \) = Live load except roof load
\( L_r \) = Roof live load including any live load reduction
\( R \) = Rain load
\( S \) = Snow load
\( W \) = Wind load

The seismic shear forces are:

\[
V_L = \frac{M_{prL} + M_{prR}}{l} + \frac{1.2D + 1.6L}{2}
\]

(13.23)

\[
V_R = \frac{M_{prL} + M_{prR}}{l} - \frac{1.2D + 1.6L}{2}
\]

(13.24)

where \( l \) = span, \( L \) and \( R \) subscripts = left and right ends and \( M_{pr} \) = probable moment strength at the end of the beam based on steel reinforcement tensile strength of 1.25 \( f_y \) and strength reduction factor \( \phi = 1.0 \). These instantaneous moments, \( M_{pr} \), should be computed on the basis of equilibrium of moments at the joint where the beam moments are equal to the probable moments of resistance.

The shear forces in the columns are computed in a similar manner so that the horizontal shear force, \( V_e \) at top and bottom of the column is

\[
V_e = \frac{M_{pr1} + M_{pr2}}{h}
\]

(13.25)

except that end moments for columns \( M_{pr1} \) and \( M_{pr2} \) need not be greater than the moments generated by the \( M_{pr} \) of beams framing into the beam-column joint. \( h \) = column height and the subscripts 1 and 2 indicate the top and bottom column end moments respectively as seen in Figure 13.6. The sense of moments at the joints is shown in Figure 13.7.

13.4.2 Strong Column Weak Beam Concept

As previously stated, U.S. seismic codes require that earthquake induced energy be dissipated by plastic hinging of the beams rather than the columns. This hypothesis is due to the fact that compression members such as columns have lower ductility than flexure-dominant beams. If the columns are not stronger than the beams framing into a joint, inelastic action can develop in the column, and if large enough, can cause the column to collapse. Furthermore, the consequence of a column failure is far more severe than a local beam failure. Therefore, the ACI 318-02 Code as well as the IBC stipulates "strong columns and weak beams". This is ensured by the following inequality

\[
\sum M_{col} \geq \left( \frac{6}{5} \right) \sum M_{pm}
\]

(13.26)

Where \( \Sigma M_{col} \) = sum of moments, at the face of the joint, corresponding to the nominal flexural strength of the columns framing into that joint.
13.5 ACI Confining Requirements for Structural Concrete Members

Figure 13.6  Seismic moments and shears at column ends: (a) joint moments (b) sway to right; (c) sway to left.

\[ \Sigma M_{bm} = \text{sum of moments, at the face of the joint, corresponding to the nominal flexural strengths of the beams framing into that joint.} \]

For a joint subjected to reversable base shear forces, as shown in Fig. 13.7, Eq. 13.26 becomes

\[ (\phi M_n^+ + \phi M_n^-)_{col} \geq \frac{6}{5} (\phi M_n^+ + \phi M_n^-)_b \]

(13.27)

where \( \phi = 0.90 \) for beams

= 0.65 for tied and 0.70 for spiral columns.

= 0.90 to 0.65 for beam-columns.

13.5 ACI CONFINING REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE MEMBERS

13.5.1 Longitudinal Reinforcement in Compression Members

1. In seismic design, when the factored axial load \( P_u \) is negligible or significantly less than \( A_g f'_c / 10 \), the member is considered a flexural member (beam). If \( P_u > \)

\[ (\phi M_n^+ + \phi M_n^-)_{col} \geq (\phi M_n^+ + \phi M_n^-)_{bm} \]

Figure 13.7  Seismic moment summation at beam-column joint: (a) sideways to left; (b) sideways to right.
A_{x}f'_{c}/10, the member is considered beam-column, because it is subjected to both axial and flexural loads as columns and shear walls are.

2. The shortest cross-sectional dimension ≥ 12 in. (300 mm).

3. The limitation on the longitudinal reinforcement ratio in the beam-column element is 0.01 ≤ ρ_{s} = A_{s}/A_{x} ≤ 0.06. For practical considerations, an upper limitation of 6 percent is too excessive, because it results in impractical congestion of longitudinal reinforcement. A practical maximum total percentage ρ_{s} of 3.5 percent to 4.0 percent should be a reasonable limit.

4. A minimum percentage of longitudinal reinforcement in flexural members (beams) for sections requiring tensile reinforcement:

\[ ρ ≥ \frac{3\sqrt{f'_c}}{f'_y} ≥ \frac{200}{f'_y} \]  (13.28)

But under no condition should the value of ρ exceed 0.025. The stresses f'_c and f'_y in these expressions are in psi units. All reinforcement has to be continued through the joint. At least two bars have to be continuously provided both at top and bottom.

5. Main reinforcement should be chosen on the basis of the strong column-weak beam concept of the ACI Code, namely, \( \Sigma M_{col} ≥ 6/5 \Sigma M_{bw} \).

6. The nominal moment strength requirements are:

(a) \( M'_{x} \) at joint face ≥ 1/2 \( M'_{x} \) at that face.

(b) Neither the negative nor the positive moment strength at any section along the span can be less than one quarter the maximum moment strength provided at the face of either joint. Hence,

Photo 13.5 Column localized damage in a high-rise frame building, Los Angeles 1994 Earthquake. (Courtesy Portland Cement Association.)
at joint face:

\[ M^+ \geq \frac{1}{2} M_a \]  

(13.29a)

at any section:

\[ M^+ \geq \frac{1}{4} (M_a)_{\text{max}} \]  

(13.29b)

\[ M^- \geq \frac{1}{4} (M_a)_{\text{max}} \]  

(13.29c)

7. For coupling beams with aspect ratio \( l_y/l_h < 2 \), and with factored shear force \( V_u \) exceeding \( 4 \sqrt{f'\ell} A_{cp} \), has to be reinforced with two intersecting groups of diagonally placed bars, symmetrical about the midspan, where \( A_{cp} \) = area of concrete resisting shear.

13.5.2 Transverse Confining Reinforcement

Transverse reinforcement in the form of closely spaced hoops (ties) or spirals has to be adequately provided. The aim is to produce adequate rotational capacity within the elastic hinges that may develop as a result of the seismic forces.

1. For column spirals, the minimum volumetric ratio of the spiral hoops needed for the concrete core confinement cannot be less than the larger of:

\[ \rho_s \geq \frac{0.12 f'\ell}{f_{yh}} \]  

(13.30a)

or

\[ \rho_s \geq 0.45 \left( \frac{A_g}{A_{ch}} - 1 \right) \frac{f'\ell}{f_{yh}} \]  

(13.30b)

whichever is greater, where

\( \rho_s \) = ratio of volume of spiral reinforcement to the core volume measured out to out.

\( A_g \) = gross area of the column section.

\( A_{ch} \) = core area of section measured to the outside of the transverse reinforcement (sq. in.).

\( f_{yh} \) = specified yield of transverse reinforcement, psi.

2. For column rectangular hoops, the total cross-sectional area within spacing \( s \), cannot be less than the larger of:

\[ A_{sh} \geq 0.09 s h_c \frac{f'\ell}{f_{yh}} \]  

(13.31a)

or

\[ A_{sh} \geq 0.3 s h_c \left( \frac{A_k}{A_{ch}} - 1 \right) \frac{f'\ell}{f_{yh}} \]  

(13.31b)

where

\( A_{sh} \) = total cross-sectional area of transverse reinforcement (including cross ties) within spacing \( s \) and perpendicular to dimension \( h_c \).

\( h_c \) = cross-sectional dimension of column core measured c.-c. of confining reinforcement, in.
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\( h_x \) = maximum horizontal spacing of hoops or ties on all faces of the column, in.

\( A_{ch} \) = cross-sectional area of structural member, measured out-to-out of transverse reinforcement

\( s \) = spacing of transverse reinforcement measured along the longitudinal axis of the member, in.

\( s_{max} \) \( \leq \) one-quarter of the smallest cross-sectional dimension of the member or 6 times the diameter of longitudinal reinforcement,

Also

\[ s_x = 4 + \left( \frac{14 - h_x}{3} \right) \]

\( s_x \) = longitudinal spacing of the transverse reinforcement within length \( l_o \). Its value should not exceed 6 in. and need not be taken less than 4 in.

Additionally, if the thickness of the concrete outside the confining transverse reinforcement exceeds 4 in., additional transverse reinforcement has to be provided at a spacing not to exceed 12 in. The concrete cover on the additional reinforcement should not exceed 4 in.

3. The confining transverse reinforcement in columns should be placed on both sides of a potential hinge over a distance \( l_o \). The largest of the following three conditions governs \( l_o \):

- (a) depth of member at joint face
- (b) one-sixth of the clear span
- (c) 18 in.

Increase the distance \( l_o \) by 50\% or more in locations of high axial loading and flexural demand such as at the base of a building. When transverse reinforcement is not provided throughout the column length, the remainder of the column length has to contain spiral of hoop reinforcement with spacing not exceeding the smaller of six times the diameter of the longitudinal bars of 6 in.

4. For beam confinement, the confining transverse reinforcement at beam ends should be placed over a length equal to twice the member depth \( h \) from the face of the joint on either side or of any other location where plastic hinges can develop. The maximum hoop spacing should be the smallest of the following four conditions:

- (a) One-fourth effective depth \( d \).
- (b) 8 \times \) diameter of longitudinal bars.
- (c) 24 \times \) diameter of the hoop.
- (d) 12 in. (300 mm).

IBC requires that the spacing of the confining loops in the plasticity zone of the beam not exceed 4 in. Figure 13.13(a) from Ref. 13.14 summarizes typical detailing requirements for a confined column in a monolithic ductile connection and Figure 13.13(b) from Ref. 13.24 for a hybrid precast prestressed assembly.

5. Reduction in confinement at joints: a 50 percent reduction in confinement and an increase in the minimum tie spacing to 6 in. is allowed by the ACI Code, if a monolithic joint is confined on all four faces by adjoining beams with each beam wide enough to cover three quarters of the adjoining face.

6. The yield strength of reinforcement in seismic zones should not exceed 60,000 psi.

13.5.3 Horizontal Shear at the Joint of Beam-Column Connections

Test of joints and deep beams have shown that shear strength is not as sensitive to joint shear reinforcement as for that along the span. On this basis, the ACI Code has assumed the joint strength as a function of only the compressive strength of the concrete
and requires a minimum amount of transverse reinforcement in the joint. The effective area $A_j$ within the joint should in no case be greater than the column cross-sectional area.

The minimal shear strength of the joint should not be taken greater than the forces $V_n$ specified below for normal weight concrete,

1. Confined on all faces by beams framing into the joint,
   $$V_n = 20 \sqrt{f_c'} A_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.32a)

2. Confined on three faces or on two opposite faces,
   $$V_n = 15 \sqrt{f_c'} A_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.32b)

3. All other cases,
   $$V_n \leq 12 \sqrt{f_c'} A_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.32c)

A framing beam in a monolithic joint is considered to provide confinement to the joint only if at least three-quarters of the joint is covered by the beam.

The value of allowable $V_n$ should be reduced by 25 percent if lightweight concrete is used. Also, test data indicates that the value in Eq. 13.32c is unconservative when applied to corner joints. $A_j$ = effective cross-sectional area within a joint as in Figure 13.8, in a plane parallel to the plane of reinforcement generating shear at the joint. The reversible seismic forces at the joint are shown in Figure 13.9. The ACI Code assumes that the horizontal shear in the joint is determined on the basis that the stress in the flexural tensile steel = $1.25 f_s$. Figure 13.9 shows the forces acting on a beam-column connection at the joint.

![Seismic effective area of joint](image)

**Figure 13.8** Seismic effective area of joint (Ref. 13.1).
13.5.4 Development of Reinforcement

For bars of sizes No. 3 through 11 terminating at an exterior joint with standard 90° hooks in normal concrete, the development length \( \ell_{dh} \) beyond the column face, as required by the ACI 318 Code, should not be less than the largest of following:

\[
\ell_{dh} \approx f_p d_h / (65 \sqrt{f'_c}) \\
\ell_{dh} \approx 8 \ d_h \\
\ell_{dh} \approx 6 \text{ in.}
\]  

(13.33a)  
(13.33b)  
(13.33c)

where \( d_h \) = bar diameter

The development length provided beyond the column face must be no less than \( \ell_d = 2.5 \ell_{dh} \) when the depth of concrete cast in a monolithic joint in one lift beneath the bar \( \leq 12 \text{ in.} \), or \( \ell_d = 3.5 \ell_{dh} \) when the depth of concrete cast in one lift beneath the bar exceeds 12 in.

All straight bars terminated at a joint are required to pass through the confined core of the column or shear wall boundary member. Any portion of the straight embedment length not within the confined core should be increased by a factor of 1.6.

13.5.5 Allowable Shear Stresses in Structural Walls, Diaphragms, and Coupling Beams

1. Structural Walls and Diaphragms

High shear walls, that is, structural walls, with height-to-depth ratio in excess of 2.0 essentially act as vertical cantilever beams. As a result, their strength is principally determined by flexure rather than by shear.

*Flexural considerations:*

(a) *Displacement-based Approach:* For walls or piers continuous in cross section from the base of the structure to the top of the wall and designed to have a single critical section for flexure and axial loads, the compressive zones have to be reinforced with boundary elements with a geometry defined as follows:
\[ c \geq \frac{l_w}{600 (\delta_u/h_w)} \]  

(13.34a)

but that \( \delta_u/h_w \) is taken not less than 0.007. The reinforcement has to extend vertically along the wall a distance not less than the larger of \( l_w \) or \( M_e/4V_u \) from the critical section.

\[ c = \text{distance from the extreme compression fibers to the neutral axis computed from the factored axial force and nominal moment strength.} \]

\[ h_w = \text{height of entire wall}. \]

\[ \delta_u = \text{design displacement}. \]

(b) **Stress-based Approach:** This alternative design procedure requires that boundary elements in structural walls have to be provided whenever the extreme fiber compressive stresses exceed 0.20 \( f'_c \). The boundary elements have to extend along the vertical boundaries of the entire wall and around the edges of openings. They can be discontinued where the computed compressive stress is less than 0.15 \( f'_c \). The stresses are computed for factored forces using a linearly elastic model and cross-section properties. It should be noted that when boundary elements are required, the wall is essentially detailed in a similar manner in both approaches.

**Shear considerations:**

If the shear wall is subjected to factored in-plane seismic shear forces \( V_{sh} > A_{ov} \sqrt{f'_c} \), then it should be reinforced with a reinforcement percentage \( \rho_v \geq 0.0025 \). Spacing of the reinforcement each way should not exceed 18 in. center to center. If \( V_{sh} < A_{ov} \sqrt{f'_c} \), the reinforcement percentage can be reduced to 0.0012 for No. 5 bars or less in diameter and 0.0015 for larger deformed bar sizes. Reinforcement provided for shear strength has to be continuous and distributed across the shear plane.

At least two curtains of reinforcement are needed in such a wall if the in-plane factored shear forces exceed a value of \( 2A_{ov} \sqrt{f'_c} \).

where

\[ \rho_v = A_v/A_{ov} \]

\[ A_{ov} = \text{net area of concrete cross section} = \text{thickness} \times \text{length of section in direction of shear considered}. \]

\[ A_v = \text{projection on } A_{ov} \text{ of area of distributed shear reinforcement crossing the plane } A_{ov}. \]

The nominal shear strength \( V_s \) of structural walls and diaphragms of high-rise buildings with aspect ratio greater than 2 should not exceed the shear force computed from:

\[ V_s = A_{ov} (2\sqrt{f'_c} + \rho_v f_y) \]  

(13.34b)

where

\[ \rho_v = \text{ratio of distributed shear reinforcement of a plane perpendicular to the plane of } A_{ov}. \]

For low-rise walls with aspect ratio \( h_w/l_w \) less than 2, the ACI Code requires that the coefficient in Eq. 13.34b be increased linearly up to a value of 3 when the \( h_w/l_w \) ratio reaches 1.5 in order to account for the higher shear capacity of low-rise walls. In other words,

\[ V_s = A_{ov} (\alpha_c \sqrt{f'_c} + \rho_v f_y) \]  

(13.34c)
where
\[ \alpha_s = 2 \text{ when } h_s/l_w \geq 2 \text{ and } \alpha_s = 3 \text{ when } h_s/l_w = 1.5; \]
\[ V_u = \phi V_n \]
\[ \phi = 0.6 \text{ for designing the joint, if nominal shear is less than the shear corresponding to the development of the nominal flexural strength of that corresponding to the development of the nominal flexural strength of the member.} \]

The nominal flexural strength is determined considering the most critical factored axial loads including earthquake effects. The maximum allowable nominal unit shear strength in structural walls is \( 8A_{cp} \sqrt{f'_c} \) where \( A_{cp} \) is the total cross-sectional area (in.\(^2\)) previously defined and \( f'_c \) is in psi. However, the nominal shear strength of any one of the individual wall piers can be permitted to have a maximum value of \( 10A_{cp} \sqrt{f'_c} \) where \( A_{cp} \) is the cross-sectional area of the individual pier.

2. Coupling Beams:

The provisions for allowable shear stresses in coupling beams are as follows. Coupling beams are structural elements connecting structural walls to provide additional stiffness and energy dissipation. In many cases, geometrical limits result in coupling beams whose depth to clear span ratio is high (Ref. 13.1, 13.2). Hence, they can be controlled by shear and subjected to strength and stiffness deterioration in earthquakes. To reduce the extent of the deterioration, the span to depth ratio \( l_s/d \) is limited to a value of 4.0 except in cases of special moment frames in which the width to depth ratio cannot be less than 0.30. Coupling beams should only be used in locations where damage to them would not impair the vertical load carrying capacity of the structure or the integrity of the non-structural components and their connection to the structure (Ref. 13.1).
If the factored shear force $V_u$ exceeds $4 \sqrt{f_y} A_{cp}$, two intersecting groups of diagonally-placed bars symmetrical about the midspan have to be used. This requirement can be waived if it can be demonstrated that their stiffness loss does not impair the vertical load carrying capacity of the structure. The nominal shear strength, $V_n$, is determined from the following expression.

$$V_n = 2A_{Vd} f_y \sin \alpha \leq 10 \sqrt{f_y} A_{cp}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.35)

where $A_{cp}$ is the cross-sectional area of the beam.

A typical illustration of a diagonally reinforced coupling beam is shown in Fig. 13.10. The diagonally-placed bars have to be developed in tension within the wall and also considered to contribute to the nominal flexural strength of the coupling beam.

13.6 SEISMIC DESIGN CONCEPTS IN HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES

13.6.1 General Concepts

The design of concrete structures in seismic regions has to take into consideration the impact of the large reversible seismic horizontal forces that act on a structure during an earthquake. For the main elements of a structure to service such high-intensity forces, the structure must have adequate ductility in the joints of the principal components or in the response of solid vertical elements such as structural shear walls to ground motion. Excessive strength is not necessarily desirable or essential in earthquake-resistant design. Inelastic response can overcome service damage if adequate ductility is available through proper design and confinement. Shear strength has to exceed the flexural strength of the components and joints in order that shear deformations do not occur as a result of significant loss of stiffness and strength (Ref 13.17).

The failure due to severe ground motion is accentuated in stories with sudden stiffness changes. The dynamic response of the total structure is determined by the flexible stories. Since loss of stiffness results in large inelastic deformations, such deformations, if of sufficient magnitude, would lead to the collapse of the total structure. Therefore, the design has to proportion the detailing of the members to such a degree that the components can tolerate the expected large inelastic deformations without rupture. Such detailing will be discussed in subsequent sections.

In the design of high-rise buildings, a number of analytical tools are usually used to identify the required strength and probable deformations demand (Refs. 13.14, 13.16, 13.17). The required strength is the factored load or required ultimate strength of the
component along the lateral load path ("ductile-link") that is expected to absorb the anticipated post-yield deformation. The strength of this "ductile-link" is usually developed by combining load effects (D, L, E, etc.), although moment redistribution may be used to attain a more rational development of the system (Ref. 13.17). The design earthquake load (E) must exceed that required by the IBC or other controlling codes. Typically, the strength of this "ductile-link" is developed from site-specific ground motion studies.

The probable deformation demand is the level of deformability likely to be imposed on a structure and most importantly on the component that is expected to deform in the post-yield range during a catastrophic earthquake. Deformation objectives will be many times greater than those associated with the objective strength level. The designer should endeavor to have those post-yield deformations occur where they are likely to create the least potential for collapse of the structure and minimize component damage. It is for this reason that the weak beam/strong column philosophy is adopted in the design of special moment frames, be they constructed of concrete or steel. The brief design examples that are in subsequent sections will presume that the level of strength and deformation required of the "ductile-link" has been determined. The development of the ductile-link is essential to the success of the adopted seismic bracing system, but it is not sufficient. The other members along the lateral load path must be protected so that they do not fail as the ductile-link deforms. This member protection hypothesis is generically referred to as capacity-based design (Ref. 13.16, 13.17).

13.6.2 Ductility of Elements and Plastic Hinging

Ductility is an essential property in structures which have to respond to inelasticity in severe earthquakes. It is measured in terms of strain, displacement, and rotation. High ductility enables a member or a joint to sustain plastic strains without a significant reduction of stress. Hence, large rotations are essential as a measure of curvature if discontinuity, unsustainable displacements, or rupture are to be avoided. Three measures of ductility are identified:

(a) Strain ductility defined by

\[ \mu_e = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_y} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.36a)

where \( \varepsilon \) = maximum sustainable strain

\( \varepsilon_y \) = yield strain ductility

(b) Curvature ductility defined by:

\[ \mu_\phi = \frac{\phi_m}{\phi_y} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.36b)

where \( \phi_m \) = maximum sustainable curvature

\( \phi_y \) = yield curvature

(c) Displacement ductility defined by:

\[ \mu_\Delta = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_y} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13.36c)
where  \( \Delta = \text{maximum sustainable displacement} = \Delta_y + \Delta_p \)
\( \Delta_y = \text{yield displacement} \)
\( \Delta_p = \text{plastic displacement} \)

The values of all these ductility factors have to be considerably greater than 1.0 for inelastic behavior to be sustainable. Ductility can effectively be achieved through adequate confinement as stipulated in the *ACI 318–99 code* (Ref. 13.1) and the *International Building Code, IBC 2000* (Ref. 13.2).

Due to large rotations, the structure at imposed locations reaches the limit ultimate state through the development of plastic hinges. The plastic hinges generated by seismic action would generally develop close to the side of the column since weak beam–strong column design is generally used, as stipulated in ACI 318 (Ref. 13.1). For the plastic hinge to develop in the beams rather than the columns of a multistory frame, special confinements have to be provided over a beam's length ahead of the columns face, equal to twice the beam depth. Figures 13.11 (a) and (b) schematically demonstrate the imposed locations of the plastic hinges in monolithic construction.

*Figure 13.11*  Imposed Plastic Hinge Locations: (a) Transformed hinge location in monolithic construction (b) critical hinge section.
Hence, the columns would be large enough to resist the design seismic forces while the beams possess the required ductility to respond to the seismic strains imposed by the earthquake. In the case of using precast ductile moment resisting frames, a hybrid connection can be used and proportioned by a capacity-based design. An example is the Dywidag Ductile Assembly described in Section 13.7.2 or a dual system as in Section 13.7.5, providing a large level of energy dissipation.

13.6.3 Ductility Demand Due to Drift Effect

As the multistory floors drift in response to the horizontal seismic force, the drift increases in the lower levels due to the P-Δ effect. The plastic rotation demand increases. If ignoring the P-Δ effect results in inelastic drift significantly larger than 1.5 percent of the story height, the drift, with the P-Δ influence, would be considerably magnified. In such a case, the plastic rotation demands in both beams and first-story columns would exceed the levels achieved with normal detailing in seismic design (Ref. 13.17).

It must be emphasized that design joint deformations associated with shear and bond mechanisms should not result in excessive drift. This is because large shear forces can develop in the beam-column joints under seismic action regardless whether plastic hinges develop close to the column face or ahead in the beam span. In order to prevent shear failure at the joint, both vertical and horizontal shear reinforcement is necessary, with the horizontal reinforcement significantly more than is normally provided by ties or hoops. Also, full anchorage development lengths or bond mechanisms have to be ensured in the reinforcement embedded within the beam-column joint.

13.7 STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS IN SEISMIC ZONES

In general, three systems are applicable in medium- and high-seismicity zones

1. Structural ductile frames
2. Shear wall systems
3. Dual systems, which are a combination of the two

13.7.1 Structural Ductile Frames

Present building codes when used in high-seismicity zones have generally been limited to situ-cast special moment resisting ductile frame and shear walls. From the discussion in Sections 13.6.2 and 13.6.3 it is clear that the beam-column connection is the major part of the frame that has to sustain large seismically imposed deformations. Both reinforced and monolithic prestressed concrete frames have been designed and built for some time (Ref. 13.13, 13.14, 13.17).

Precast concrete, on the other hand, has traditionally been viewed as an assembly of components that attempts to emulate a situ-cast structure. This approach disregards the advantages presented by the discrete elements that make up a total precast structure. If, by design, a post-yield deformation can be imposed to occur where precast elements are joined, damage to the structure can be significantly reduced. This is because a weakened plane already exists at the point where a post-yield rotation has to be accommodated. A monolithically cast element, on the other hand, must crack, usually along several planes, in order to accommodate the required rotation. Given this advantage, precast concrete structures can be created capable of surviving earthquakes with lower levels of damage than those created from other materials or by other processes.
The use of precast prestressed concrete elements in ductile frame construction is coming of age. Extensive research is available to justify use of precast elements safely in ductile beam-column frames in high seismicity zones (Ref. 13.18–13.27). Figure 13.12 from Ref. 13.18 shows a hybrid connection. The connection would have well-bonded mild (ductile) steel reinforcing bars at top and bottom of the beam and high-strength prestressing tendons at mid-depth of the beam. The mild steel is intended to dissipate the seismic energy by yielding. The prestressing steel provides the shear resistance from the friction developed by the prestressing force. The system is defined as hybrid because of using two types of reinforcement.

The hybrid system is the evolution of an assemblage of precast concrete components by post-tensioning that was first proposed in New Zealand in the early 1970s. The hybrid system was developed largely through an interactive test program (Ref. 13.17, 13.22, 13.23). The objective of the tests performed was to improve upon the energy dissipation characteristics of assemblies connected exclusively by post-tensioning (Ref. 13.24).

The basic objectives of the hybrid system are to mainly accomplish the following results:
Figure 13.13 (a) Typical Detailing of Seismically Reinforced Column (Ref. 13.14) (i) spirally confined, (ii) confined with rectangular hoops, (iii) cross-sectional detailing of ties.
• Balance the restoring force provided by the concentric post-tensioning with the strength developed by the mild steel so that a restorative or self-centering force exists after the earthquake. This should reduce the potential for permanent deformation.

• Maintain a strain state in the post-tensioning reinforcement at the deformation limit state that is within the elastic range ($f_{ot} < 0.9 f_{cm}$).

• Localize the post-yield deformation so as to cause the post-yield rotation to occur along the interface between the beam and the column. This reduces the potential for nonstructural damage to the beam.

Figure 13.13 (a) gives typical detailing of monolithic situ-cast reinforced concrete ductile connection. Figure 13.13 (b) demonstrates typical details of the reinforcement in a hybrid precast frame assembly.

The performance of the hybrid moment-resisting beam-column connection has been thoroughly verified through tests in several centers of research as listed in the selected references. The crack widths in all the specimens in both beams and columns were very small, in the 0.04 in. range (Ref. 13.26). Research test results have demonstrated that hybrid precast systems have the following performance capabilities:
(a) Can be designed to have the same flexural strength as conventionally reinforced systems.
(b) Have large drift capacity.
(c) Dissipate more energy than conventional systems up to 1.5 percent drift.
(d) Have concrete in the hybrid system that suffers negligible damage even if the drift is in the range of 6%.

Figure 13.14 (a) demonstrates the narrow cracking pattern and negligible damage at 3.5 percent drift while Figure 13.14 (b) shows the contrasting behavior of the monolithically cast assembly.

Additionally, studies on large-scale prototype tests have been conducted by Pessiki et al. on precast beam-column non-bonded post-tensioned connections in ductile frames under high-seismic loading (Ref. 13.31). They demonstrate that such assemblages can perform satisfactorily for frames on hard soil conditions. Their tests also indicate that displacement of the frames on medium or soft soil conditions in high-seismicity regions are difficult to reasonably estimate using elastic analysis under the equivalent lateral base force code approach.

13.7.2 Dywidag Ductile Beam-Column Connection: DDC Assembly

The DDC assembly was developed by Dr. R. E. Englekirk (Ref. 13.19) and produced by Dywidag Systems International (DSI). It allows the precast concrete beams to be bolted to the column, simplifying construction while at the same time improving seismic behavior. The system seems to satisfy the ductility requirements for both the shear forces at the column joint and the deformation and rotational ductility requirements in high seismicity zones. The design is also simple and easy.

The assembly consists of ductile rods embedded in the concrete column. The precast beam contains high-strength \( F_{ymin} = 120 \text{ksi} \) Dywidag Bars\(^*\) connected to a transfer block. The beam is connected to the column by high-strength steel bolts (1\(\frac{1}{4}\) in. \#-A490). The flexural strength of the beam is limited by the capacity of the ductile rod \( F_y = 141 \text{kips} \). The other components along the load path are designed to the probable strength of the ductile rod \( 1.25 F_y \), a capacity-based approach. Shear is transferred by steel-to-steel friction at the interface (transfer block to ductile rod) and bearing of the head of the ductile rod on the confined concrete of the column.

Figure 13.15 illustrates a typical single DDC assembly unit with the high-strength bolts connecting the precast prestressed beams to the assembly embedded in the columns at the joint. Example 13.4 gives the design computational steps for a typical ductile connection in a high-rise frame building. Figure 13.16 gives an example of this application to a parking garage in high seismicity zones showing beams-to-column DDC details in a parking garage (Ref. 13.19). Photo 13.7 shows the ductile garage frame structure at completion.

13.7.3 Structural Walls in High-Seismicity Zones (Shear Walls)

Shear walls form efficient and reliable lateral force resisting systems. They are designed to account for the total lateral base shear force generated by an earthquake. This condition assumes that the wall has an adequate foundation, which can transmit deformational actions from the structure to the ground without rocking to any measurable extent. They also provide torsional stability to the multi-story system. Figure 13.17 shows a typical torsional stability arrangement of walls both in the E-W and N-S directions, with Figure 13.17 (b) the torsional stability provided by an interior core.
Figure 13.14  Beam-Column Assembly at 3.5 percent Drift (Courtesy Dr. R. E. Englekirk) (a) Precast Connection Assembly (b) Monolithically-cast Assembly
Figure 13.15  DDC Assembly, Tensile Strength at Yield = 282 Kips (Courtesy Dywidag-Systems International and Dr. R. E. Englekirk)

Figure 13.16  Beam-to-Column Connection showing Dywidag Ductile Connector Details (Ref. 13.19)
Structural (shear) walls have been successfully used for more than 35 years. They are essentially vertical cantilevers designed to receive lateral forces from diaphragms or coupling beams and then transmit the forces to the ground. The forces in these walls may often be predominantly shear forces for low-rise buildings. Slender walls will also undergo significant bending, mainly flexural stresses.

One of the main objectives of the structural analysis is to determine in what proportion the applied wind or seismic forces are distributed among the various shear walls. For the case where no ductile moment frames are present, one can assume that each floor diaphragm displaces in its plane as a rigid body. In such an analysis, the magnitude of lateral displacement becomes the dominant factor in determining the proportion of loads resisted by each wall.

If the wall is treated as a deep vertical beam cantilevering from the foundation, shear deformations become a major component of the displacement and have to be

![Figure 13.17](image)

**Figure 13.17** Torsionally Stable Shear Wall Systems (a) Boundary walls arrangement with concentric resistance center; (b) Core wall system with eccentric resistance center.
taken into account. Based on the analysis by Aswad et al. in Ref. 13.28, it has been shown that the “beam element” method including the shear deformations is quite accurate for evaluating the shear and overturning moments in plan layouts with shear walls. Figure 13.18 shows the modes of failure of structural walls subjected to seismic lateral loading. Figure 13.19 schematically illustrates the drift due to both bending and shear, and Figure 13.20 shows a precast shear wall connection to the foundation using a Dywidag threaded bar connector. For small uplift forces, Figure 13.21 gives a typical welded angle connector to the foundation.

13.7.4 Unbonded Precast Post-Tensioned Walls

Unbonded precast post-tensioned walls are constructed by vertically joining precast wall panels along horizontal connections using post-tensioning reinforcement not bonded to the concrete. Precast concrete walls with substantial initial lateral stiffness can be designed to soften and satisfy estimated nonlinear displacement demands under code-
specified design level motion, *without yielding* in the post-tensioning reinforcement or significant damage in the wall panel (Ref. 13.32–13.33). Figure 13.22 shows a prototype wall from Ref. 13.33 with unbounded tendons prestressing the six structural wall panels. The tests showed that the nonlinear elastic behavior resulted in small inelastic energy dissipation per hysteresis cycle. Because of the small inelastic energy dissipation, larger lateral displacements of the unbounded post-tensioned precast concrete walls are larger than the displacements of conventional reinforced concrete systems. More research is obviously needed in this area particularly for application to designs in high-seismicity regions.

13.8 DUAL SYSTEMS

Ductile frames interacting with shear walls can provide a large level of energy dissipation in a major earthquake. They would also significantly reduce the story drift and the development of pronounced hinges. Since the precast frame primarily deforms in shear due to lateral loading and the wall deforms primarily in flexure with some shear deformations, the combination of both types in a dual system can result in a more efficient structure.

Part of the lateral forces in such a system is allocated to the ductile frame. The balance is assigned to the shear wall. In such dual systems, the walls can be either freestanding or connected to the frames by the floor diaphragms or by coupling beams which are continuous beams in their planes connected to the abutting frames.
Figure 13.20 Precast shear wall connection to continuous foundation using Dywidag threaded bar connector

Figure 13.21 Welded angle connection of precast shear wall to continuous foundation
Figure 13.22  Post-tensioned unbounded precast shear wall (Ref. 13.33)
In all systems where nonbonded prestressing is used in high-seismicity regions, it is important that the actual stress in the prestressing reinforcement can achieve and sustain the design ultimate stress level and beyond the yield strength level of $1.25 f_{py}$.

### 13.9 Design Procedure for Earthquake-Resistant Structures

1. Determine the earthquake seismicity region, namely whether it is in a low, moderate, or high seismicity region and the site classification (A, B, C, D, E, and F) from Table 13.1.

2. Determine from the maximum considered earthquake ground motion maps, the maximum spectral response $S_r$ for 0.2 sec and $S_1$ for 1 sec, site-class B, Figure 13.9a and b respectively using the large scale FEMA maps of USGS (Ref. 13.15).

3. Compute for the particular seismic use group (Table 13.3), the design spectral response $S_{DS}$ and $S_{DI}$ from Equations 13.2 and 13.3:

   $$S_{DS} = \frac{2}{3} S_{MS} \quad \text{where,} \quad S_{MS} = F_s S_r$$

   $$S_{DI} = \frac{2}{3} S_{MI} \quad \text{where,} \quad S_{MI} = F_s S_1$$

There are three seismic use groups I, II, and III with groups II and III structures that require full seismic design consideration.

4. Compute the seismic base shear $V = C_s W$

   $$C_s = \frac{S_{DS}}{(R/I)}$$

But $C_s$ cannot exceed $CS = S_{DI}/(R/I)T$ or less than $C_s = 0.044 C_{DS}$.

   - $R$ = Response modification factor from Table 13.4
   - $I$ = Occupancy importance factor from Table 13.5
   - $T$ = Fundamental period of vibration of a structure, Sec. 13.3.1, $T_a = C_t h^t$

     where, $C_t$ = building period coefficient ranging between 0.035 - 0.020 as given in the text.

   In cases where moment resisting frames do not exceed twelve stories in height, an approximate period $T_a = 0.1 N$ can be used where $N$ = number of stories.

   For structures in seismic design categories E or F and for other structures having a spectral response $S_r \geq 0.6$ g, the value of $C_s \geq (0.5S_r)/(R/I)$.

5. Vertically distribute the base shear force, $V$, to forces $F_t$ to the floors above the base level:

   $$F_t = C_{xt} V$$

   $$C_{xt} = \frac{W_t h^t}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i h^i}$$
6. Horizontally distribute the shear \( V_s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i \) where \( F_i \) = the portion of the seismic base shear, \( V_s \), introduced at level \( i \).

7. Tabulate these forces at all story levels.

8. Evaluate the torsional moments, story drift, the \( P-\Delta \) effect and the overturning moment to ensure they are within permissible limits.

9. Execute a structural frame analysis to determine all shears and moments in the frame beams, columns, shear walls, diaphragms and/or coupling beams if these are used to connect shear walls.

10. Proportion members of the ductile moment-resistant frame, that is, all beams, columns, and beam-columns. If the frame is not a ductile moment-resistant frame, the designer has the uneconomical and inefficient alternative of choosing a brittle system using a low \( R_w \) factor.

11. Using the strong column-weak beam concept, plastic hinges are assumed to form in the beams.

**Seismic beam shear forces**

\[
V_L = \frac{M_{prL}^+ + M_{prR}^-}{\ell} + \frac{1.2D + 1.6L}{2}
\]

\[
V_R = \frac{M_{prL}^- + M_{prR}^+}{\ell} - \frac{1.2D + 1.6L}{2}
\]

\( \ell \) = beam span, \( M_{pr} \) = probable moment of resistance, and \( L, R = \text{left and right} \).

**Seismic column shear force**

\[
V_c = \frac{M_{pr1} + M_{pr2}}{h}
\]

where \( h \) = column height.

\[
\sum M_{col} = \frac{6}{5} \sum M_{bn}
\]

at joint to ensure hinges form in the beams; hence

\[
(\phi M_n^t + \phi M_n)_{col} \geq \frac{6}{5} (\phi M_n^t + \phi M_n)_{bn}
\]

The nominal moment strengths \( M_n \) have to be evaluated and the member proportioned prior to evaluating the seismic beam shear forces.

Beam: flexural design, \( P_u \) insignificant

Column: combined bending and axial load \( P_u \)

Beam-column: \( P_u > A_f f_t / 10 \)

Shortest cross-sectional dimension \( \geq 12 \) in.
12. **Longitudinal reinforcement**

Beam–column or columns

$$0.01 \leq \rho_g = \frac{A_g}{A_g} \leq 0.06$$

For practical considerations, \( \rho_g \leq 0.035 \).

Beam (positive reinforcement):

$$\rho_{\min} \geq \frac{200}{f_y} \geq \frac{3 \sqrt{f_c}}{f_y}$$

Beam (flange in tension):

$$\rho_{\min} \geq \frac{200}{f_y} \geq \frac{6 \sqrt{f_c}}{f_y}$$

The factor value, 6, in the numerator instead of 3 is because a flange width twice the web width or more is used.

where \( f_c \) is in psi units. \( \rho \) should never exceed 0.025.

For proportioning reinforcement in beams, the nominal moment strength requirements are

(a) \( M_{n}^* \) at face of joint \( \geq \frac{1}{3} M_{n}^* \) at the face.
(b) \( M_{n}^* \) or \( M_{n}^* \) at any section \( \geq \frac{1}{4} M_{n, \text{max}} \) at the face.

13. **Transverse confining reinforcement**

(a) **Spirals**

$$\rho_s \geq \frac{0.12 f_c}{f_y h} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho_s \geq 0.45 \left( \frac{A_g}{A_{ch}} - 1 \right) \frac{f_c}{f_y h}$$

whichever is greater.

\( A_g = \) gross area
\( A_{ch} = \) core area to outside of spirals
\( f_y = \) specified yield strength

(b) **Rectangular hoops in columns:** Total cross-sectional area within spacing \( s \):

$$A_{sh} \geq 0.09 s h_c \frac{f_c}{f_y h}$$

$$\geq 0.3 s h_c \left( \frac{A_g}{A_{ch}} - 1 \right) \frac{f_c}{f_y h}$$

whichever is greater.

\( A_{sh} = \) total cross-sectional area of transverse reinforcement (including cross ties) within spacing \( s \) and perpendicular to dimension \( h_c \)
\( h_c = \) cross-sectional dimension of column core, in.
\( s = \) spacing of transverse hoops
\( s_{\text{max}} = \) one-quarter of the smallest cross-sectional dimension or 4 in., whichever is smaller, but not to exceed 6 in.

**Placement of confining reinforcement:** Place confining reinforcement on either side of potential hinge over a distance the largest of
(i) Depth of member at joint face
(ii) One-sixth clear span
(iii) 18 in.

The spacing of the ties in the balance of column height follows normal column tie requirements.

(c) Confining reinforcement in beam ends: Should be placed on a length = 2h on both sides of the joint if it is internal; otherwise, maximum hoop spacing, smallest of

(i) One-quarter effective depth d
(ii) 8 × diameter of longitudinal bar
(iii) 24 × diameter of hoop
(iv) 12 in.

IBC requires that spacing in ductile frames at the plasticity region not exceed 4 in. The ties in the balance of the beam span follow the standard shear web reinforcement requirements. If the joint is confined on all four sides, 50 percent reduction in confinement and increase in minimum tie spacing to 6 in. in the columns are allowed. No smooth bar reinforcement is allowed in seismic structures.

14. Beam-column connections (joints): Normal concrete nominal shear strength $V_n$ at a joint:

![Photo 13.8 NCNB Tower, Charlotte, North Carolina, 9000-psi concrete. (Courtesy Portland Cement Association.)](image-url)
(a) Confined on all faces: \( V_\text{a} \leq 20\sqrt{f'_c} A_j \)

(b) Confined on three faces or two opposite faces: \( V_\text{a} \leq 15\sqrt{f'_c} A_j \)

(c) All other cases: \( V_\text{a} \leq 12\sqrt{f'_c} A_j \)

where \( A_j \) is effective area at joint (Fig. 13.8). The value of allowable \( V_\text{a} \) should be reduced by 25% for lightweight concrete. Note from Fig. 13.9 that the horizontal shear in the joint is determined by assuming a stress = 1.25\( f_y \) in the tensile reinforcement.

15. **Development length of reinforcing bars:** For bar sizes Nos. 3 to 11 without hooks, the largest of

\[
\ell_d = \begin{cases} 
2.5\ell_{dh} & \text{when concrete below bars} \leq 12 \text{ in.} \\
3.5\ell_{dh} & \text{when concrete below bars} \geq 12 \text{ in.}
\end{cases}
\]

where for normal-weight concrete

\[
\ell_{dh} \geq f_yd_b/(65\sqrt{f'_c})
\]

\[
\geq 8d_b
\]

\[
\geq 6 \text{ in.}
\]

When standard 90° hooks are used, \( \ell_d = \ell_{dh} \). Any portion of straight embedment length not within the confined core should be increased by a factor of 1.6.

16. **Shear walls: height/depth > 2.0**

(i) Minimum \( \rho_v = 0.0025 \) if \( V_{sh} > A_{cv}\sqrt{f'_c} \). At least two curtains of reinforcement needed if in-plane factored shear force \( V_{sh} > 2A_{cv}\sqrt{f'_c} \), where \( A_{cv} = \) net area of concrete cross section \( \times \) length of section in direction of the considered shear.

(ii) If extreme fiber compressive stresses exceed 0.2\( f'_c \), shear walls have to be provided with boundary elements along their vertical boundaries and around the edges of openings.

(iii) Available \( V_n = A_{cv}(2\sqrt{f'_c} + \rho_v f_y) \) for \( h_u/\ell_u \geq 2.0 \). For \( h_u/\ell_u < 2 \), the factor of 2 inside the parenthesis varies linearly from 3.0 for \( h_u/\ell_u = 1.5 \) to 2.0 for \( h_u/\ell_u = 2.0 \); \( V_n = \phi V_n \), where \( \phi = 0.60 \).

(iv) Maximum allowable nominal unit shear \( V_n = 8A_{cv}\sqrt{f'_c} \) for total wall, but can be increased to \( V_n = 10A_{cp}\sqrt{f'_c} \) for an individual pier, where \( A_{cp} \) is the cross-sectional area of the individual pier.

Figure 13.23 gives a logic flowchart for the preceding sixteen steps.

### 13.10 SI SEISMIC DESIGN EXPRESSIONS

Compressive strength \( f'_c \geq 20 \text{ MPa} \)

\[
E_c = w_c^{1.5} 0.043 \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ MPa}
\]

\[
E_s = 200,000 \text{ MPa}
\]

**Equation 13.22**

\[
V_L = \frac{M_{prL} + M_{prR}}{\ell} + \left( \frac{1.2D + 1.4L}{2} \right)
\]

**Equation 13.23**

\[
V_R = \frac{M_{prL} + M_{prR}}{\ell} - \left( \frac{1.2D + 1.4L}{2} \right)
\]

**Equation 13.24**

\[
V_c = \frac{M_{prL} + M_{prR}}{h}
\]
Determine earthquake seismic region, select IBC seismic coefficients $S_D$, $S_N$, $S_{CD}$, $S_{CT}$, $R$, $I$,

Compute $V = C_D W$ and $V = F_t + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i$; $F_i = 0$ when $T = 0.7 s$, $F_i = 0.07$ $TV \leq 0.25 V$.

Tabulate base lateral force and each story force $F_t = C_D V$ using the summation

$C_D = \frac{w_i h_i^2}{\sum w_i h_i}$ out. Find each story shear and moment where $V_s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i$,
$V = $ Seismic base shear

Execute a structural frame analysis to determine all shears and moments in the frame
beams, columns, and shear walls.

Proportion for flexure and revise where necessary the size and main reinforcement
of the moment-resistant frame members: beams, and beam-columns (beam – column when
$P_u > A'_{fy}/10$).

Use strong column–weak beam concept, plastic hinges in beams and not columns.
$\Sigma M_{col} \geq 8/3 M_{m, B}$ at joint.

Beams: $V_L = \frac{M_{pl} + M_{pl, c} - 1.2D + 1.6L}{2}$

$V_R = \frac{M_{pl} + M_{pl, c} - 1.2D + 1.6L}{2}$

Columns: $V_e = \frac{M_{pl} + M_{pl, e}}{h}$

Design longitudinal reinforcement.

(a) Beam-columns or columns: $0.01 \leq \rho_y \leq \frac{A_y}{A_D} \leq 0.06$

For practical considerations $\rho_y \leq 0.035$:

$p_{min} \geq \frac{200}{f_y} - 3 \frac{V}{f_y}$ (for negative region T-beam)

(b) Beams: $M^*$ at joint face $\geq 1/2 M^*$ at that face

$M^*_{at, max}$ at any section $\geq 1/4 M^*_{at, max}$ at face

Figure 13.23 Flowchart for seismic design of ductile monolithic (strong column-weak beam concept) structures.
Transverse confining reinforcement.

(a) Spirals for columns: \( p_x \geq \frac{0.12 f'_c t}{f_{pm}} \) or \( \geq 0.45 \left( \frac{A_o}{A_{pm}} - 1 \right) f'_c f_{pm} \)

Whichver is greater.

(b) hoops for columns: \( A_s \geq 0.09 s h_i f'_c f_{pm} \)

\[ \geq 0.3 s h_i \left( \frac{A_o}{A_{pm}} - 1 \right) f'_c f_{pm} \]

\( s \leq 1/4 \) of smallest cross-sectional dimension or 6 times diameter of longitudinal reinforcement or \( S_s \leq 4 + \frac{14 - h_i}{3} \)

and need not exceed 6 in. or taken less than 4 in.

Use standard tie spacing for the balance of the length.

(c) Beams: Place hoops over a length = 2h from face of columns. Maximum spacing: smaller of \( s = 1/4d \), 8 \( d_b \) main bar, 24\( d_b \) hook, or 12 in. If joint confined on all four sides, 50% reduction in confining steel and increase in minimum spacing of ties to 6 in. in columns is allowed. Use the standard size and spacing of stirrups for the balance of the span as needed for shear. IBC requires that maximum spacing not exceed 4 in.

Beam-column connection (joint)
Available nominal shear strength \( \geq \) applied \( V_s \)
Confined on all faces: \( V_s \leq 20 \sqrt{f'_c} A_j \)
Confined on three faces or two opposite faces: \( V_s \leq 15 \sqrt{f'_c} A_j \)
All other cases: \( V_s \leq 12 \sqrt{f'_c} A_j \)

Check development length, normal-weight concrete,
\( \ell_{dev} \geq \ell_s = \frac{f'_c}{65 \sqrt{f'_c}} \geq 8d_b \geq 6 \) in.
\( \ell_s = 2.5 \ell_{dev} \) for 12 in. or less concrete below straight bar
\( \ell_s = 3.5 \ell_{dev} \) for > 12 in. in one pour
If bars have 90° hooks, \( \ell_s = \ell_{dev} \). For lightweight concrete, adjust as in the ACI Code.

Design shear wall.
\( V_s > 2A_o \sqrt{f'_c} \); use two reinforcement curtains in wall.
If \( f'_c > 0.2 \sqrt{f'_c} \), provide boundary elements.

Available \( V_s = A_o (a_o \sqrt{f'_c} + p_x) \)
For \( h_o/h_w \geq 2.0 \), \( a_o = 2.0 \)
For \( h_o/h_w = 1.5 \), \( a_o = 3.0 \)
Interpolate intermediate values of \( h_o/h_w \).
Maximum allowance: \( V_s = 8A_o \sqrt{f'_c} \) for total wall
\( V_s = 10A_o \sqrt{f'_c} \) for individual pier
Design diaphragms and coupling beams when used as indicated in the text and as detailed in the IBC Code.

Figure 13.23  Continued
13.11 Seismic Base Shear and Lateral Forces and Moments by the IBC Approach

\[ \phi M_{n}^{+} + \phi M_{n}^{-} \geq \frac{6}{5} \left( \phi M_{n}^{+} + \phi M_{n}^{-} \right)_{\text{nom}} \]

\( \phi = 0.9 \) for beams and 0.7 or 0.75 for columns.

Equation 13.28

For positive moment: \( p \geq \frac{\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}}{4f_{y}} \geq \frac{14}{f_{y}} \)

where \( f_{c}^{\prime}, f_{y} \) are in MPa

Equation 13.29(a) At joint face: \( M_{n}^{+} \geq \frac{1}{2} M_{n}^{-} \)

At any section:

Equation 13.29(b) \( M_{n}^{+} \geq \frac{1}{4} (M_{n}^{-})_{\text{max}} \)

Equation 13.29(c) \( M_{n}^{-} \geq \frac{1}{4} (M_{n}^{-})_{\text{max}} \)

13.11 SEISMIC BASE SHEAR AND LATERAL FORCES AND MOMENTS BY THE IBC APPROACH

Example 13.1:

A moment-resisting, five-story building with shear walls is idealized as in Figure 13.2. Each floor has a weight \( W_{f} \), and a height \( h = 9^\prime - 6^\prime \) (2.9 m). Compute the seismic base shear, \( V_{s} \), and the overturning moment, \( M_{o} \) at each story level in terms of single floor weight \( W_{f} \), assuming the idealized mass of each floor is \( W_{f} \). Consider the structure a building category II is site-class B and seismic use group II.
Given: Response modification factor $R = 3.0$
Occupancy importance factor $I = 1.25$
Use the equivalent lateral force method in the solution.

**Solution:**

(a) **Spectral response period and base shear**
Total building height = $5 \times 9.5 = 47.5$ ft
From the FEMA ground motion maps (Figure 13.3) spectral response accelerations

$$S_1 = 0.42 \text{ sec} \text{ and } S_2 = 0.85 \text{ sec}, \text{ with a site-B class and 5 percent damping.}$$

Adjusted spectral response accelerations for site class effects: from Tables 13.2(a) and (b),

for $S_1 = 0.42 \text{ sec, } F_s = 1.0$ and for $S_2 = 0.85 \text{ sec, } F_s = 1.0$

From Equations 13.2(a) and (b),

$$S_{MS} = F_s S_S = 1.0 \times 0.85 = 0.85$$
$$S_{M1} = F_s S_1 = 1.0 \times 0.42 = 0.42$$

For 5 percent damped design spectral response acceleration using Eqs. 13.3(a) and (b),

$$S_{DS} = \frac{2}{3} S_{MS} = \frac{2}{3} \times 0.85 = 0.567$$
$$S_{DL} = \frac{2}{3} S_{M1} = \frac{2}{3} \times 0.42 = 0.278$$

The seismic base shear $V$ from Eq. 13.8 is $V = C_s W = C_s (5W_s)$ for the five stories where $W_s$ is the idealized weight of each story.

From Table 13.4, the response modification coefficient for ordinary reinforced concrete moment frame is given as $R = 3$.

The occupancy importance factor for building category II from Table 13.5 is: $I = 1.25$.

From Eq. 13.9, $C_s = \frac{S_{DS}}{(R/I)} = \frac{0.567}{3/1.25} = 0.236$,

But $C_s$ cannot exceed the value: $C_s = \frac{S_{DL}}{(R/I)}$ from Eq. 13.10.

For $S_{DL} = 0.278$ and from Table 13.6, $C_u = 1.32$.

For moment resistant concrete frame systems, a building period coefficient $C_T = 0.022$ will be used in this example. (See Sec. 13.3.1)

From Eq. 13.13, the approximate fundamental period,

$$T_s = C_T h^{3/4} = 0.022 \times (47.5)^{3/4} = 0.396 \text{ sec}$$

Maximum allowable $T_s = C_s T_s = 1.32 \times 0.396 = 0.523 \text{ sec}$

For computing the base shear $V$, $T = 1.2 \times 0.523 = 0.63$.

$$C_s = \frac{S_{DL}}{(R/I)} = \frac{0.278}{(3/1.25)} = 0.184 \text{ sec}$$

From Eq. 13.11, $C_s$ cannot be less than $C_s = 0.044 S_{DS} = 0.044 \times 0.567 = 0.025$.

Hence, $C_s = 0.184 \text{ sec controls}$.

$\therefore$ base shear $V = C_s W = C_s (5W_s) = 0.184 \times 5 W_s = 0.92 W_s$
(b) **Vertical Distribution of Forces and Overturning Moments:**

From Eqs. 13.15 (a) and (b), the lateral force induced at any story level is:

\[ F_s = C_{vx} V \quad \text{where} \quad C_{vx} = \frac{W_i h_i^k}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i h_i^k} \]

\[ k = \frac{0.63 - 0.50}{0.50} \times 1.0 + 1.0 = 1.26 \text{ (by linear interpolation)} \]

Since \( h \) is constant for all the floors, \( C_{vx} \) becomes

\[ \frac{W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i} \text{ where } i = 5 \text{ at the top floor.} \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} = 1W_s + 2W_s + 3W_s + 4W_s + 5W_s = 15W_s \]

Lateral force \( F_s = C_{vx} V = 0.92 C_i W_s \)

Overturning moment from Eq. 13.19 is \( M_s = \tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i (h_i - h_s) \) for the top ten stories, overturning moment reduction factor \( \tau = 1.0 \).

Hence, \( M_s = \sum_{i=s}^{n} F_i (h_i - h_s) \)

Computing and tabulating the story forces \( F_i \) and the overturning moment \( M_i \) for all stories,

![Photo 13.10 Overpass collapse in 1971 Los Angeles earthquake. (Courtesy Portland Cement Association.)](image)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>$C_i$</th>
<th>Lateral force $F_i = 0.92W_i/C_i$</th>
<th>Story Shear</th>
<th>Story Moment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$C_5 = \frac{5W_i}{15W_i} = 0.333$</td>
<td>0.3064$W_i$</td>
<td>0.3064$W_i$</td>
<td>0.3064$W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$C_4 = \frac{4}{15} = 0.267$</td>
<td>0.2456$W_i$</td>
<td>0.5520$W_i$</td>
<td>0.8584$W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$C_3 = \frac{3}{15} = 0.200$</td>
<td>0.1840$W_i$</td>
<td>0.7360$W_i$</td>
<td>1.5944$W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$C_2 = \frac{2}{15} = 0.133$</td>
<td>0.1224$W_i$</td>
<td>0.8584$W_i$</td>
<td>2.4528$W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C_1 = \frac{1}{15} = 0.067$</td>
<td>0.0616$W_i$</td>
<td>0.9200$W_i$</td>
<td>3.3728$W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall base</td>
<td>$C_y = 0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9200$W_i$</td>
<td>3.3728$W_i$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hence seismic base shear $V = 0.92W_i$. The moments at each story level are tabulated in column (5).

13.12 SEISMIC SHEAR WALL DESIGN AND DETAILING

Example 13.2

Design by the ACI 318 Code the reinforcement for a shear wall in a multibay, ductile frame, twelve-story structure (adapted from Ref. 13.9) having a total height $h_w = 148$ ft (45 m) and having equal spans of 22 ft (6.7 m). Except for the ground story, which is 16 ft (4.88 m) high, all other stories have 12 ft (3.67 m) heights. The total gravity factored load on the shear wall is $W_w = 4,800,000$ lb (21.4 MN). The factored moment at the base of the wall due to seismic loads from the lateral load analysis of the transverse frames is $M_w = 554 \times 10^6$ in.-lb (62.6 MN-m). The maximum axial force on the boundary element is $P_u = 4,500,000$ lb (20 MN). The horizontal shear force at the base is 885,000 lb (3940 kN).

Given:

wall length (horizontally) = $26' - 2' = 26.17$ ft = 314 in. (7980 mm)

thickness $t = 20$ in. = 1.67 ft (508 mm)

boundary element width = 32 in. (813 mm)

depth = 50 in. (1270 mm)

$A_s = 39$ No. 11 bars (39 bars of 35-mm diameter) in each boundary element

$f' = 4000$ psi (27.6 MPa), normal weight

$f_g = 60,000$ psi (414 MPa)

Use $\phi = 0.60$ as the strength reduction factor for shear in this example.

Solution:

1. **Wall geometry and forces**

   $\ell_w = 22$ ft (6.7 m), $\ell_u$ (horizontal dimension) = 26.17 ft,
   
   $b_{web} = 20$ in. = 1.67 ft, and $b_{boundary} = 32$ in. = 2.67 ft.
   
   factored $W_w = 4,800,000$ lb (21.4 MN)

   $M_w = 554 \times 10^6$ in.-lb (62.6 MN-m)

   $P_u = 4,500,000$ lb (20 MN)
2. **Boundary element check:** \( \ell_c = 26.17 \text{ ft} \), \( b = 1.67 \text{ ft} \), \( P_s = 4,500,000 \text{ lb} \), and \( M_s = 550 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \). Assume that the wall will not be provided with confinement over its entire section.

\[
\text{gross } I_s = \frac{bh^3}{12} = \frac{1.67(26.17)^3}{12} = 2495 \text{ ft}^4
\]

\[
A_s = 1.67 \times 26.17 = 43.7 \text{ ft}^2
\]

\[
f_c = -\frac{P}{A} + \frac{M_e}{I} \cdot c = \frac{26.17}{2} \times 12 = 157 \text{ in. (3990 mm)}
\]

Concrete compressive stress in the wall is

\[
f_c = \frac{4,800,000}{43.7 (12)^2} \frac{554 \times 10^4 \times 157}{2494(12)^4}
\]

\[
= -763 - 1682 = -2445 \text{ psi (C) (16.8 MPa)}
\]

Maximum allowable \( f_c = 0.2 f'_c = 0.2 \times 4000 = 800 \text{ psi (5.52 MPa)} \) in compression if a boundary element is not required. Hence boundary elements are needed subject to the confinement and loading requirements of Section 13.5.

3. **Longitudinal and transverse reinforcement:** Check if two curtains of reinforcement are needed, that is, if in-plane factored shear \( > 2 A_{v,p} \sqrt{f_c} \) (Section 13.5.5).
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\[ V_u = 885,000 \text{ lb} \]
\[ A_{sy} = \text{area bound by web thickness and length of section in direction of shear force} \]
\[ = 20 \times 314 = 6280 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ 2A_{sy} \sqrt{f'_c} = 2 \times 6280 \sqrt{4000} = 799,400 \text{ lb (353 kN)} < V_u = 885,000 \text{ lb} \]

Hence two curtains of reinforcement are required.

\[ \min \rho_s = \frac{A_{sy}}{A_{sv}} = \rho_s = 0.0025 \quad \text{and} \quad \max s = 18 \text{ in.} \]

\[ A_{sv} \text{ per ft of wall} = 20 \times 12 = 240 \text{ in}^2 \]

required \( A_s \) in each direction = 0.0025 \( \times 240 = 0.60 \text{ in}^2/\text{ft} \)

Trying No. 5 bars (15.8-mm diameter), \( A_s = 2(0.31) = 0.62 \text{ in}^2 \) in two curtains.

\[ s = \frac{\text{one bar area}}{\text{required } A_s/12 \text{ in.}} = \frac{0.62}{0.60/12} = 12.4 \text{ in. (315 mm)} < 18 \text{ in. limit} \quad \text{O.K.} \]

Use \( s = 12 \text{ in.} \)

**Check for shear reinforcement capacity**

A check is needed in order to determine that the No. 5 bars in two curtains at 12 in. c-c both ways are adequate for the wall section to sustain the applied shear force at the base. The shear wall aspect ratio is

\[ \frac{h_s}{\ell'_w} = \frac{148}{26.17} = 5.66 > 2 \]

Hence from Eq. 13.34 b

\[ \phi V_u = \phi A_{sv} (2\sqrt{f'_c} + \rho_s f'_c) \]

where \( \phi = 0.60 \) in this example; otherwise, refer to the ACI 318-02 Code for other conditions.

\[ A_{sy} = 20(26.17 \times 12) = 6280 \text{ in}^2 \]

\[ \rho_s = \frac{2(0.31)}{20 \times 12} = 0.0026 \]

available \( \phi V_u = 0.60 \times 6280 (2\sqrt{4000} + 0.0026 \times 60,000) \]
\[ = 1,065,000 \text{ lb} > V_u = 885,000 \text{ lb (4.7 MN > required 3.9 MN)} \]

Hence the wall section is adequate. Therefore, use two curtains of No. 5 bars spaced at 12 in. c-c in both horizontal and vertical directions.

**4. Boundary element check if acting as a short column under factored vertical forces due to gravity and lateral loads:** \( P_a \) acting on wall = 4,500,000 lb. From before, \( b = 32 \text{ in., } h = 50 \text{ in., } A_s = 39 \text{ No. 11 bars} = 39 \times 1.56 = 60.84 \text{ in}^2 (35,100 \text{ mm}^2) \) in each boundary element.

\[ \rho_a = \frac{A_s}{A_t} = \frac{60.84}{32 \times 50} = 0.038 \]

\[ \rho_{\min} = 0.01 < \rho_a < \rho_{\max} = 0.06 \text{ O.K.} \]

The axial load capacity of the boundary element acting as a short column is

\[ \phi P_{a(max)} = 0.80 \phi [0.85f'_c (A_s - A_p) + A_{sv} f'_c] \]
\[ = 0.80 \times 0.65 [0.85 \times 4000 (1600 - 60.84) + 60.84 \times 60,000] \]
\[ = 4,619,443 \text{ lb} > P_a = 4,500,000 \text{ lb} \quad \text{O.K.} \]
5. **Boundary element transverse confining reinforcement:** \( b_w = 20 \text{ in.}, \ b_b = 32 \text{ in.}, \ h \text{ or } \ell_o = 314 \text{ in.}, \text{ and } A_z = 1600 \text{ in.}^2 \). From Eqs. 13.31(a) and (b)

\[
p_r = \frac{0.12 f_y}{f_{ph}}
\]

and

\[
A_{sh} \geq 0.3 s h_c \left( \frac{A_f}{A_{ch}} - 1 \right) \frac{f_y}{f_{ph}}
\]

Assume No. 5 hoops and crossties spaced at 4 in. c.c.

(a) **Short direction**

\[
h_c = 50 - 2 \left( 1.5 + \frac{s}{16} \right) = 46.37 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
b_c = 32 - 2 \left( 1.5 + \frac{s}{16} \right) = 28.37 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
A_{sh} = 46.33 \times 28.37 = 1314 \text{ in.}^2 \quad \text{(core area)}
\]

\[
A_{sh} = \frac{0.09 f_y h_c}{f_{ph}} = \frac{0.09 \times 4000 \times 4 \times 28.37}{60,000} = 1.08 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\[
A_{sh} = 0.3 \times 4 \times 46.37 \left( \frac{1600}{1314} - 1 \right) \frac{4000}{60,000} = 0.80 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\( A_{sh} = 1.08 \text{ in.}^2 \) governs.

Use three No. 5 crossties, for a total of five legs being provided including the hoop, every 4 in. along the boundary length (wall length \( \ell_o \)). \( A_{sh} \) provided = \( 5 \times 0.31 = 1.55 \) in.\(^2\), O.K., on the conservative side

(b) **Longitudinal direction**

\[
h_c = 28.37 \text{ in., } A_{sh} = 1314 \text{ in.}^2
\]

or

\[
A_{sh} = \frac{0.12 f_y h_c s}{f_{ph}} = \frac{0.12 \times 4000 \times 4 \times 28.37}{60,000} = 0.91 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\[
A_{sh} = 0.3 \times 4 \times 28.37 \left( \frac{1600}{1314} - 1 \right) \frac{4000}{60,000} = 0.49 \text{ in.}^2
\]

\( A_{sh} = 0.91 \text{ in.}^2 \) (587 mm\(^2\)) controls. With one No. 5 crosstie, a total of three legs is provided every 4 in. c.c. \( A_{sh} \) provided = \( 3 \times 0.31 = 0.93 \text{ in.}^2 \) (600 mm\(^2\)).

6. **Check for maximum hoop spacing:**

\[
s \leq \frac{1}{4} \times 32 = 8 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
s \leq 6 \text{ times dia. of longitudinal bar} = 6 \times \frac{11}{8} = 8.25 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
h_s = \frac{32 - [2 \left( 1 \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2}]}{4} = 5.4 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
s_s \leq 4 + \left( \frac{14 - h_s}{3} \right) = 4 + \left( \frac{14 - 5.4}{3} \right) = 6.9 \text{ in.} < 6.0 \text{ in. within length } \ell_o
\]

Maximum spacing of cross-ties or hoops = 4 in. (100 mm)

7. **Development of reinforcement:** Development length of No. 5 horizontal bars assuming no hooks are used within the boundary element: From Eqs. 13.33(a), b, and c,

\[
\ell_{dh} \geq \frac{f_y d_h}{65 \sqrt{f_y}} = \frac{60,000 \times 0.625}{65 \sqrt{4000}} = 9 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
\geq 8d_h = 8 \times 0.625 = 5 \text{ in.}
\]

\geq 6 \text{ in.}
Photo 13.12 Interfirst Plaza, Dallas, Texas, 10,000-psi concrete. (Courtesy Portland Cement Association.)

\[ \epsilon_{eb} = 9 \text{ in. (229 mm)} \quad \text{governs} \]
\[ \epsilon_{d} = 3.5\epsilon_{eb} = 3.5 \times 9 \approx 32 \text{ in. (815 mm)} \]

If bars are straight as in this example, ensure that development length is provided. If 90° hooks are used, \( \epsilon_{d} = \epsilon_{eb} = 9 \text{ in.} \). Note that no lap splices should be allowed for the No. 5 horizontal bars.

8. **Verify adequacy of shear wall section at its base under combined axial load and bending in its plane:** From before,

Actual \( P_{a} = 4,800,000 \text{ lb (total gravity factored load)} \)

Actual \( M_{a} = 554 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb} \), \( e = \frac{M_{a}}{P_{a}} = 115 \text{ in.} \), \( b_{\text{web}} = 20 \text{ in.} \), \( b_{\text{bound}} = 32 \text{ in.} \)

\[ P_{a} = \frac{4,800,000}{0.65} = 7,384,615 \text{ lb} \]
\[ M_{a} = \frac{554 \times 10^{6}}{0.65} = 852 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb} \]

\( \ell_{w} = 26.17 \text{ ft} = 314 \text{ in.} \), wall height \( h_{w} = 148 \text{ ft} = 1776 \text{ in. (45 m)} \)

column action \( \phi = 0.65 \), beam action \( \phi = 0.90 \)

no. of longitudinal bars in wall plane = 116 composed of two rows (39 No. 11 bars) for both boundary elements and two curtains of No. 5 bars at 12 in. center-to-center over \( \ell_{w} = 314 \text{ in.} \)

total \( A_{g} \) in the lateral cross section = \( 2 \times 60.84 + 2(18 \times 0.31) = 132.8 \text{ in.}^{2} \)

\[ A_{g} = 2(32 \times 50) + 20(314 - 2 \times 50) = 7480 \text{ in.}^{2} (4,830,000 \text{ mm}^{2}) \]

\[ \rho = \frac{132.8}{7480} = 0.0178 > 0.01 \text{ and } < 0.06 \quad \text{O.K.} \]
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\[ P_n = \frac{7,384,615}{4000 \times 7480} = 0.247 \]

Enter Figure 9.24(a) in Ref. 13.14 with \( P_n / (f_c' A_f) = 0.247 \) and \( \rho = 0.0178 \). This gives a value of \( M_c / f_c' A_f b = 0.19 \).

Hence, available \( M_u = 0.19 \times 4000 \times 7480 \times 314 = 1785 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \gg \) Required \( M_u = 852 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb} \), O.K.

13.13 EXAMPLE 13.3 STRUCTURAL PRECAST WALL BASE CONNECTION DESIGN

A precast structural (shear) wall for a five-story building in a moderate seismicity zone is \( b = 8 \) in. (203 mm) thick (Ref. 13.29). The length of the interior wall is 24 ft (7.32 m) and the height of each story is 13'-0" (3.96 m). Structural analysis showed that the wall is subjected to a factored seismic base shear force \( V_u = LF(V_n) = 157.4 \) kips (582 kN) and a factored overturning Case II base moment \( M_u = LF(M_n) = 7114 \) ft-kip (9645 kN-m). The total weight of each floor including attached masses is 2,400 kips (10,675 kN). Design the connection at the base of the wall assuming that it is so reinforced that the neutral axis obtained by trial and adjustment and strain compatibility analysis is \( c = 17.62 \) in. (447 mm). Use either welded connection as in Figure 13.21 having a rated capacity of 25 kips per connection (111 kN) or Dywidag rods grade 150 ksi (1034 MPa) with thread bar couplers as in Fig. 13.20. Case I factored overturning moment \( M_u = 6941 \) ft-kip (9410 kN-m).

Given:
- Sliding shear friction coefficient \( \mu = 0.60 \)
- Maximum allowable horizontal concrete shear interaction stress (sliding friction):
  \[ f_{ov} = 1200 \text{ psi} \ (8.2 \text{ MPa}) \]
  \[ f_{i} = 5.000 \text{ psi} \ (34.5 \text{ MPa}) \text{ for shear wall and for grout (dry pack)} \]

Solution: The system forces acting on the structural wall are shown in Figure 13.25. The ACI load factors governing the design are:

- Load Case I LF: \( = 1.2D + 1.0E + (f_s L + f_s S) \)
- Load Case II LF: \( = 0.9D \pm (1.0E \pm 1.6W) \)

Usually, Load Case II controls the majority of design cases for gravity walls. Use case II for seismic effects. As given in the problem statement, computer analysis using strain compatibility and trial and adjustment for the reinforcement used in the wall (Ref. 13.29) gave the following factored overturning moment:

Seismic overturning moment \( = 7114 \) ft-kip (Case II)

and a neutral axis depth \( c = 17.62 \) in.

Actual Seismic Shear:

\[ V_u = 157.4 \text{ kips} \]

\[ V_n = \frac{V_u}{\phi} = \frac{157.4}{0.75} = 209.8 \text{ kips (930 kN)} \]

\[ C_c = 0.85 f_i b c = \frac{1}{1000} (0.85 \times 5000 \times 8 \times 0.80 \times 17.62) = 476.5 \text{ kips} \]

From Figure 13.25, the sliding friction contribution,

\[ V_f = \mu \ C_c = 0.60 \times 476.5 = 285.9 \text{ kips} \]

Upper bound of \( V_f = [(\text{wall thickness } b) \ (\text{N.A. depth } c) \ (\text{allowable horizontal frictional stress } f_{ov})] \)
Figure 13.25 Equilibrium forces and stresses at base of structural wall

\[ V_1 = \frac{1}{1000} (8.0 \times 17.62 \times 1200) = 169.2 \text{ kips} \leq \text{controls (} < 285.9 \text{ kips).} \]

Net \( V_2 = \text{Actual} \, V_a - V_1 = 209.8 - 169.2 = 40.6 \text{ kips.} \)

Hence, required horizontal force contribution for designing the connection at the wall base is 40.6 kips.

If a welded connection is used with the given rated shear capacity of 25 kips, two welded connections have to be used per wall, which is the minimum per panel (see Figure 13.21).

If Dywidag connector and grouted post-tensioning is used throughout the wall height, use Dywidag type A722, Grade 150 ksi connectors with minimum yield strength of 120 ksi (\( f_{py} = 150 \text{ ksi and effective pull after seating losses} = 0.75 \text{ in. minimum).} \)) Grout the horizontal joint as in Figure 13.20.

The vertical flexural reinforcement in the precast 8-in. wall panel elements is a typical 6 x 6 - W5 x W5 welded wire fabric reinforcement for such standard flexural wall design.
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It should be noted that the design engineer has to consult with the local precasters for the appropriate connection configuration and its rated capacity.

13.14 DESIGN OF PRECAST PRESTRESSED DUCTILE FRAME CONNECTION IN A HIGH RISE BUILDING IN HIGH-SEISMICITY ZONE USING DYWIDAG DUCTILE CONNECTION ASSEMBLY (DDC)

Example 13.4

Design a typical ductile precast prestressed concrete moment-resisting frame connection in a thirty-nine-story high rise building in a high-intensity seismic zone with design data from Ref. 3.21. Use the Dywidag ductile connector assembly (DDC) described in Section 13.7.2 and Figure 13.15, namely, 282 kips capacity per single assembly. The frame analysis output for this connection gave a factored moment \( M_a = 1,150 \text{ ft-kip} \) (1559 kN-m) and a post-yield rotation \( \theta_y = 3.0 \) percent. The floors are post-tensioned slabs with 200 psi stress limit in the slab concrete at service. The 5 1/2 in. thick slab panels were 18 ft \( \times \) 27 ft on the average, prestressed both ways.

Given:

- Precast beam span (L):
  - 18 feet (5.49 m)
- Clear Span (\( L_c \)):
  - 15 feet (4.57 m)
- Story Height:
  - 9 ft 8 in. (2.95 m)
- Column Size:
  - 36 in. \( \times \) 36 in. (914 mm \( \times \) 914 mm)
- Beam Size:
  - 30 in. \( \times \) 36 in. (762 mm \( \times \) 914 mm); \([b \times h]\)
- Center to Center of Ductile Rods:
  - 2.33 ft (710 mm); \([d' \times d']\)
- Objective Strength:
  - 1150 ft-kips (1559 kN-m); \([M_a]\)
- Objective Post-Yield Rotation:
  - 3% \( (\theta_y)\)
- Load intensity on precast beam:
  - \( f'_c = 5000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa)}, \) normal weight
  - \( f'_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \)
  - \( f'_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1861 MPa)} \)
  - \( f_p = 0.90 f'_u \)
  - \( f_p = 162,000 \text{ psi (1117 MPa)} \)
  - \( E_s = 29,000,000 \text{ psi (200,000 MPa)} \)
  - \( E_{pu} = 28,000,000 \text{ psi (193,000 MPa)} \)
- Maximum concrete stress, \( f_c \), at post-tensioning = 1000 psi (6.9 MPa)

Note that \( \lambda_{st} f_y = 1.25 f_y \) due to probable seismic increase in the longitudinal reinforcement strain at the joint well beyond the yield strain, as stipulated in the ACI 318 and IBC 2000 codes (see Sec. 13.4.1).

Solution:

1. **Determine nominal capacity of a double DDC connection.**

   \[
   T_y = \frac{2(282)}{2(282)} = 564 \text{ kips}
   \]

   \[
   M_a = T_y(d - d') = 564(2.33) = 1314 \text{ ft-kips}
   \]

   \[
   M_a = \phi M_a = 0.9(1314) = 1183 \text{ ft-kips} > 1150 \text{ ft-kips}
   \]

   As discussed in Sec. 13.6 and 13.7, it is important to note that a *capacity-based approach* is being used in order to develop the strength required along the seismic load path.

2. **Determine the shear imposed on the connector at probable demand of the DDC assembly.**

   \[
   \text{Beam } w_D = \frac{30 \times 36}{144} (0.150) = 1.13 \text{ K/ft}
   \]

   \[
   W_D = 1.13 \text{ k/ft. (beam)} + 0.70 \text{ k/ft. (slab)} = 1.83 \text{ k/ft.}
   \]
The subscripts pr denote the probable seismic force, shear, or moment.
From Eq. 4.31(e), controlling \( U = 1.2D + 1.0E + 1.0L \)
\[
L_{ol} = \text{clear span}
\]
\[
V_{fpr} = \left( \frac{M_A + M_B}{L_{ol}} \right) + \left( \frac{1.2(1.83) + 1.0(0.16)}{2} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1.25(2)(1314)}{15} + 17.67
\]
\[
= 237 \text{ kips}
\]
where \( M_A \) and \( M_B \) are the probable flexural strengths that can be developed in the beams at the column face \((1.25 M_a)\). Dead and live loads are factored.
Required friction factor between transfer block and face of ductile rod,
\[
f_r = \frac{V_b}{1.25 f_y} = \frac{237}{(1.25)(564)} = 0.34 \text{ ksi}
\]
Note that class-A slip critical connectors develop a friction factor of 0.33 (AISC Specifications) but this includes a safety factor of about 40 percent (Ref. 13.16). Observe also that the available friction increases in direct proportion to the tensile load developed in the ductile rod.
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3. Check the induced bearing pressure ($p$) under a ductile rod at the probable strength of the ductile rod.

$$\text{Shear/bolt} = \frac{V_{\text{bpr}}}{4} = \frac{237}{4} = 59.3 \text{ kips}$$

$$p = \frac{59.3}{2.95 \times 2.95} = 6.81 \text{ ksi}$$

Note that the shear transfer mechanism is assumed conservatively to flow through the compression node (Figure 13.26). The bearing area under the ductile rod is confined on all sides. On the open face where it meets the beam, an oversized washer is provided to accomplish this objective.

Allowable nominal bearing pressure $p_{\text{allow}} = 1.7 f'_c$

$$= 1.7(5) = 8.5 \text{ ksi}$$

$$\phi p_{\text{allow}} = 0.7(8.5) = 6.0 \text{ ksi}$$

4. Design of shear reinforcement for the beam.

$$V_{\text{bpr}} = 237 \text{ kips} \quad \text{(see step 2)}$$

$$v_u = \frac{V_{\text{bpr}}}{bd} = \frac{237}{30(33)} = 0.24 \text{ ksi}$$

It should be noted that since inelastic behavior will not occur in the beam, the ability of the concrete to carry shear is not diminished (Ref. 13.17). Hence, $v_c = 2 \sqrt{f'_c} = 2 \sqrt{5000} = 141 \text{ psi} = 0.141 \text{ ksi}.$

$$v_s = \frac{v_{\text{bpr}}}{\phi} - v_c$$

$$= \frac{0.24}{0.75} - 0.141 = 0.179 \text{ ksi}$$

Figure 13.26  Shear transfer mechanism in the discontinuous region of a DDC® frame beam (Ref. 13.21)
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\[ s = \frac{0.4(60)}{0.179(30)} = 4.47 \text{ in. c./c.} \]

Provide #4 closed U-stirrups (ties) at 4 1/2 in. c./c. as shown in Fig. 13.26 to cover the shear fans zone.

It is suggested that the first two stirrups should be hoop sets and include an inner hoop set to provide lateral support for the flexural bars (see Figure 13.26). The first hoop set should be placed at the edge of the blockout. The shear fan describes the shear transfer mechanism in this discontinuous region.

Shear transfer in the Discontinuous Region

A shear fan describes the shear transfer mechanism in this discontinuous region. Capacity of one #4 tie set:

\[ V_s = A_f f' = 0.4(60) = 24 \text{ kips} \]

Number Required \( \frac{237}{24} \approx 10 \)

Provide five double-#4 closed U-stirrup sets within the shear fan region.

5. Load transfer mechanism within the joint.

The bearing plate on the interior end of the ductile rod develops the tensile strength of the rod in bearing. Joint behavior is significantly improved because this load transfer mechanism does not slip, as a conventional bar will as it debonds when subjected to load reversals.

Bearing on the end plate:

\[ \lambda_s R = 1.25 \left( \frac{564}{4} \right) = 176.5 \text{ kips} \]

Bearing stress \( p = \frac{176.5}{28} = 6.3 \text{ ksi} \approx 6.0 \text{ ksi} \), O.K.

where the DDS plate area = 28 in.²

![Diagram](image_url)

Figure 13.27 Load path within the beam-column joint of a DDC assembly (Ref. 13.21)
Photo 13.14 Failure of piers at Hanshin Highway Bridge, Kobe Earthquake, Japan, 1995 (Courtesy Professor Megumi Tominaga, Kyoto University, Japan)

Load transfer within a beam-column joint

It is accomplished through the activation of a strut mechanism and a truss mechanism (Ref. 13.17, 13.22). As seen from Figure 13.27; The strut mechanism is activated by bearing on the column face in the case of the compression side at the peak load. On the tension side the load is delivered to the truss mechanism. Since the stiffer load path is, at least initially, through the strut mechanism, it is advisable to provide a sufficient number of ties in the vicinity of the ductile rod to transfer the developed rod force to the node region. The suggested tie force \( T_r \) is:

\[
T_r = 4 \left( \alpha_n R \right) = 4 \left( 176.4 \right) = 706 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
A_F = \frac{706}{60} = 11.7 \text{ in}^2
\]

Use seven triple-\#5 tie sets \( 7(3 \times 0.62) = 13.02 \text{ in}^2 > 11.7 \text{ in}^2 \), OK—three above and four below the ductile rods. Tie sets should be located within a 65° angle of the ductile rod bearing area.

Joint Shear Stress—ACI 318 Code basis

\[
V_{bpr} = \frac{\lambda_x M_n}{L_e/2} = \frac{1.25(1314)}{7.5} = 219 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
V_{cpr} = \frac{V_{bpr} L}{h} = \frac{219(18)}{9.67} = 408 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
V_{j_0} = 2\lambda_x T_r - V_{cpr} = 2(1.25)(564) - 408 = 1002 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
v_{j_0} = \frac{V_{j_0}}{A_j} = \frac{1002}{36(36)} = 0.773 \text{ ksi}
\]

\[
v_{j_0,\text{allon}} = 15\phi \sqrt{f'_c} = 15(0.75) \sqrt{5000} = 0.80 \text{ ksi} > 0.773 \text{ ksi}, \text{ O.K.}
\]

6. Column Design Criterion

Design of the column follows standard situ-cast concrete design procedures using the strong column–weak beam requirement in the ACI Code:
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\[ \Sigma M_{col} > \frac{6}{5} \Sigma M_{pm} \]

Alternatively, and consistent with the capacity design approach, the moment demand can be developed directly from probable column shears.

\[ M_{col} \equiv \frac{h_c}{2} (V_{qpr}) \]

where \( h_c \) is the clear height of the column.

\[ M_{col} = \frac{9.67}{2} \times (408) \]

\[ = 1972 \text{ ft-kips} \]

Once the nominal axial load \( P_a \) is entered from the computer analysis output, the column is designed in the usual manner as outlined in Chapter 8.

7. Post-yield deformability

The portion of the ductile rod, which was designed to absorb post-yield deformations, is 9 in. long. The elongation required of the ductile rod (\( \Delta t \)) is:

\[ \Delta t = \theta_p \frac{(d - d')}{2} = 0.03(14) = 0.42 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \varepsilon_p = \frac{0.42}{9} = 0.047 \]

The strain associated with the fracture of the ductile rod is in excess of 50 percent or 0.50.

Once the nominal axial load, \( P_a \), computer value is obtained, the column is designed in the usual manner as in Chapter 8.

13.15 DESIGN OF PRECAST PRESTRESSED DUCTILE FRAME CONNECTION IN A HIGH-RISE BUILDING IN HIGH-SEISMICITY ZONE USING A HYBRID CONNECTOR SYSTEM

Example 13.5:

Design a typical moment-resisting connection for the ductile frame building in Example 13.4 using a hybrid system as described in Section 13.7.1. Use both well-bonded mild reinforcing bars at top and bottom of the frame beams with debonding at the column face and concentric post-tensioning steel reinforcement at their mid-depth. Given:

- Beam size: 24 in. \( \times \) 36 in. (610 mm \( \times \) 914 mm)
- \( f'_b = 5000 \text{ psi (34.5 MPa)} \), normal weight
- \( f_p = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \)
- \( f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1861 MPa)} \)
- \( f_{ps} \leq 0.90 f_{pu} \)
- \( f_v = 162,000 \text{ psi (1117 MPa)} \)
- \( E_v = 29,000,000 \text{ psi (200,000 MPa)} \)
- \( E_{ps} = 28,000,000 \text{ psi (193,000 MPa)} \)
- Maximum concrete stress, \( f_c' \), at post-tensioning = 1000 psi (6.9 MPa)

Solution: The design procedure in this example differs in that the beam width here does not need to be a function of the hardware as is the case for the DDC in the previous example. It is important to note in this example also that a capacity-based approach is used in order to develop the strength required along the seismic load path.

1. Determine the amount of post-tensioning required.

The post-tensioning should be capable of resisting about 60 percent of the moment demand. The balance is to be resisted by the mild steel reinforcement.
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\[ M_u = 1150 \text{ ft-kips} \]
\[ M_e = \frac{M_u}{\phi} = \frac{1150}{0.9} = 1278 \text{ ft-kips} \]
\[ M_{nps} = 0.6(1278) = 767 \text{ ft-kips} \]

Assume that the effective lever arm is about 16 in. \((h/2 - a/2) = 1.33 \text{ ft}.\)

\[ T_{nps} = \frac{M_{nps}}{\left(\frac{h}{2} - \frac{a}{2}\right)} \]
\[ = \frac{767}{1.33} = 576 \text{ kips} \]

\[ A_{ps} = \frac{T_{nps}}{f_{pe}} = \frac{576}{162} = 3.56 \text{ in.}^2 \]

Use 0.6 in. diameter strands, \(A_{ps} = 0.213 \text{ in.}^2/\text{strand}.\)

Required number of strands, \(N = \frac{3.56}{0.213} = 16.7\)

It should be noted that the selection of the amount of post-tensioning is fairly arbitrary. The objective is to provide a restoring force (see design objectives) and satisfy objective strength requirements. The designer may select a 17-strand tendon or opt to use a 19-strand tendon. The latter will be used in this example since a 19-strand tendon is available and would be used for either choice. Also observe that post-tensioning strands are more cost effective than Grade 60 reinforcing when developed as described in Figure 13.13(b). The consequence associated with the use of proportionately higher amounts of post-tensioning is the loss of some energy dissipation.

\[ A_{ps} = 19(0.213) = 4.05 \text{ in.}^2 \]
\[ T_{ps} = A_{ps}f_{pe} = 4.05(162) = 656 \text{ kips} \]
\[ a = \frac{T_{ps}}{0.85f_b} = \frac{656}{0.85(24)} = 6.43 \text{ in.} \quad \text{(from data, } b = 24 \text{ in.)} \]
\[ M_{nps} = T_{ps}\left(\frac{h}{2} - \frac{a}{2}\right) = \frac{656(18 - 3.21)}{12} = 813 \text{ ft-kips} \]

Check compressive stress on the concrete \(= P_c/A_c\)

\[ \frac{P_c}{A_c} = \frac{T_{ps}}{A_x} = \frac{656}{24(36)} = 0.76 \text{ ksi} \]

Note that the post-tensioning stress level in the concrete should not exceed 1000 psi. This is because stress compatibility with the other components of the structure will become more of a problem and system shortening is likely to be excessive. In the building being designed and used in this example (Ref. 13.21), the floor slab is post-tensioned and the level of effective post-tensioning in the floor will be on the order of 200 psi (or less).

2. Determine the amount of mild steel required to attain the objective strength.

\[ M_{nt} = M_e - M_{nps} = 1278 - 813 = 465 \text{ ft.-kips} \]
\[ T_{nt} = \frac{M_{nt}}{d - d'} = \frac{465}{(33 - 3)/12} = 186 \text{ kips} \quad (d' = 3 \text{ in.}) \]
\[ A_s = \frac{T_{nt}}{f_y} = \frac{186}{60} = 3.1 \text{ in.}^2 \]

Hence, provide four No. 8 bars \((A_s = 3.16 \text{ in.}^2)/M_{nt} = 474 \text{ ft.-kips).}\)

3. Limit state at ultimate strength.

The original design guidelines for this construction system (Ref. 13.18) require that the flexural overstrength (probable strength) provided by the mild steel be less than
that provided by the post-tensioning steel. This provision is intended to produce the objective of a self-restoring bracing system. The mild steel reinforcing bars are debonded at the beam-column interface as in Figure 13.28. This is because the precast beam will tend to separate or "lift-off" the column, introducing large strains in the mild steel in this region. The extent of the debonding determines the strain/stress induced in the mild steel when the deformation limit state is reached. It should be noted that as the strain limit in the mild steel reinforcing bars is approached, their stress level becomes on the order of approximately 105 ksi. The probable strain in the mild steel would be comfortably less than the strain limit state at the deformation limit state.

Determine the mild steel stress at a post-yield rotation of 3% (see stress-strain diagram in Figure 2.18 and Ref. 13.19). The unbonded mild steel length includes a region of probable debonding of 2.75 \( d_p \) on either side of the intentionally debonded region. If the intentionally debonded length is 6 in., the total debonded length becomes:

**Figure 13.28** Reinforcing elongation at post-yield rotation limit state hybrid beam subassembly (Ref. 13.21)
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\[ L_u + 5.5d_b = 6 + 5.5(1) = 11.5 \text{ in.} \]

The strain states in the mild and post-tensioned steel are developed from the post-yield deformation state of the connection in Fig. 13.28 and the stress-strain diagram of the mild steel reinforcement in Figure 2.16 or 2.18b of Chapter 2. The process is an iterative trial-and-adjustment procedure since the location of the neutral axis and steel strain states are mutually dependent. A reasonable estimate of the neutral axis is possible. \( T_{pu} \) will increase by about 25% to about 200 ksi. \( T_{pu} \) will increase by about 67 percent \((100/60)\), which is the increase transmitted to the concrete at the compression side due to the probable seismic load. The compressive force that must be resisted by the concrete is:

(a) **Mild steel reinforcement**

\[
C = 1.25\ T_{pu} + 1.67\ T_{pu}' - C'_t = 1.25T_{pu} + 0.67T_{pu}
\]

\[
= 1.25(656) + 0.67(190) = 820 + 127 = 947 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
a = \frac{947}{0.85(5)(24)} = 9.3 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
c = \frac{a}{\beta_1} = \frac{9.3}{0.80} = 11.6 \text{ in.}
\]

Assume \( c = 11.6 \text{ in.} \) for this trial cycle

\[
\Delta_u = \theta_p(d - c) = 0.03(33 - 11.5) = 0.645 \text{ in.} \quad \text{(elongation of the mild steel)}
\]

\[
\Delta \epsilon_u = \frac{\Delta u}{L_u + 5.5d_b} = \frac{0.645}{11.5} = 0.056
\]

\[
\epsilon_u = 0.056 + \epsilon_y = 0.058
\]

\[
f'_{pu} = 100 \text{ ksi} \quad \text{(Refer to Figure 2.16 or Figure 2.18b)}
\]

(b) **Prestressing steel reinforcement**

\[
\Delta_p = \theta_p \left( \frac{h}{2} - c \right) = 0.03(18 - 11.6) = 0.195 \text{ (elongation required of the post-tensioning tendon)}
\]

\[
\Delta \epsilon_p = \frac{\Delta_p}{L/2} = \frac{0.195}{18(12)(0.5)} = 0.0018
\]

\[
\Delta f_{ps} = \Delta \epsilon_p f_{ps} = 0.0018(28,000) = 50 \text{ ksi}
\]

\[
f_{pus} = f_{ps} + \Delta f_{ps} = 162 + 50 = 212 \text{ ksi} < 0.9 f_{pu} \quad \text{(Refer to Figure 2.16 or Figure 2.18b)}
\]

\[
T_{pu} = A_t f_{us} = 3.16(60 + 40) = 3.16(100) = 316 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
T_{pu} = A_{pt} f_{pus} = 4.05(212) = 859 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
C = T_{pus} + T_{pu} - C'_t = 859 + 316 - 190 = 985 \text{ kips}
\]

\[
a = \frac{985}{0.85(5)(24)} = 9.65 \text{ in.}
\]

\[
c = \frac{9.65}{0.8} = 12 \text{ in.} \quad \text{(which is close to the } c = 11.6 \text{ in., hence O.K.)}
\]

\[
M_{ps} = T_{pu} \left( \frac{h}{2} - \frac{a}{2} \right) + (T_{us} - T_{pu}) \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) + T_{nu} (d - d')
\]

\[
= 212(4.05)(18 - 4.83) + 40(3.16)(33 - 4.83) + 60(3.16)(30)
\]

\[
= 11,308 + 3,561 + 5688
\]

\[
= 20,560 \text{ in.-kips} = 1713 \text{ ft-kips}
\]
13.15 Design of Precast Prestressed Ductile Frame Connection

\[ M_{sw} = 3561 + 5688 = 9249 \text{ in.-kips} < M_{pnn} = 11,308 \text{ in.-kips} \]

Overstrength factor achieved: \( \frac{M_{pr}}{M_n} = \frac{1713}{1278} = 1.34, \text{ O.K.} \)

4. **Verify that the capacity of the joint is sufficient.**

Comparing with the ACI 318 Code requirements, the ratio of probable strength \( (M_p) \) to nominal strength \( (M_n) \) is 1.33 for the hybrid beam as opposed to the 1.25 stipulated by the ACI for conventional cases. This would probably be the conclusion resulting from the analysis of a conventionally reinforced frame beam at a post-yield rotation demand of 3 percent.

\[ T_{pr} = 1.25(162)(4.05) = 820 \text{ kips} \]
\[ T_{r} = 1.25(60)(3.16) = 237 \text{ kips} \]
\[ T_s = A_s f_p = 60 \times 3.16 = 190 \text{ kips} \]
\[ a = \frac{T_{pr}}{0.85 f'_b} + \frac{0.25 T_s}{0.85(5)(24)} = \frac{867}{8.5(5)(24)} = 8.5 \text{ in.} \]
\[ M_p = T_{pr} \left( \frac{h}{2} - \frac{a}{2} \right) + T_s (d - d') + 0.25 T_s \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) \]
\[ = 820 \left( 18 - 4.25 \right) + 190 \left( 33 - 3 \right) + 47 \left( 33 - 4.25 \right) \]
\[ = 18,326 \text{ in.-kips} \]
\[ V_{b-pr} = \frac{M_p}{L/2} = \frac{18,326}{9(12)} = 170 \text{ kips} \]
\[ V_{c-pr} = \frac{V_{b-pr}}{h} = \frac{170(18)}{9.67} = 316 \text{ kips} \]
\[ \psi_s = \frac{V_j}{A_s} = \frac{978}{36(36)} = 0.75 \text{ ksu} \]
\[ v_j - \text{allow} = \phi 15 V_j = 0.75(15) \sqrt{5000} = 795 \text{ psi} = 0.80 \text{ ksi} > 0.75 \text{ ksi, O.K.} \]

5. **Design the shear reinforcement for the beam.**

Since post-yield behavior is anticipated in the end of the beam, the procedures used for the design of a monolithically cast "special" concrete frame beam are required. From step 4,

\[ V_{b-pr} = 170 \text{ kips} \]
\[ \frac{V_{b-pr}}{b d} = \frac{170}{24(33)} = 0.215 \text{ ksu} \]

(a) **Hinge region:**

Disregard in the hinge region the shear strength of the plain concrete, namely, \( v_c = 0 \).

\[ v_s = 0.215 \text{ ksu} \]

Trying two No. 4 stirrup sets, \( A_s = 0.20 \text{ in.}^2 \) one leg of a stirrup.

\[ s = \frac{0.75(60)(4)(0.20)}{0.215(24)} = 6.98 \text{ in.} \]

where \( s \) is the maximum spacing of stirrups and \( A_s \) is the stirrup area.

Provide two No. 4 closed U-stirrups (hoops) at 7 in. center-to-center in the hinge region.
Figure 13.29  Schematic of the hybrid ductile beam–column connection in Example 13.5

(b) Outside the hinge region:
Assume double No. 4 U-stirrups, $A_s = 0.40$ in.$^2$ per stirrup.

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu_c &= 2\sqrt{f_c'} = 141 \text{ psi} = 0.141 \text{ ksi} \\
\nu_s &= \frac{v_{0.75}}{\phi} - \nu_c = \frac{0.215}{0.75} - 0.141 = 0.146 \text{ ksi} \\
\sigma &= \frac{f_s A_s}{v \nu_b} = \frac{60(2 \times 0.40)}{0.146(24)} = 13.69 \text{ in. c.c.}
\end{align*}
\]

Provide double No. 4 U-stirrups at 14 in. center-to-center outside the hinge region which do not necessarily have to be hooped. Figure 13.29 schematically shows details of the ductile beam–column connection designed in this example. The first three sets of stirrups at 12 in. c.c.
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PROBLEMS FOR SOLUTION

13.1 A 3 x 18 panel ductile, moment-resistant frame category-II site-class B frame building has a ground story 15 ft. high (4.6 m) and ten upper stories of equal height of 11'-6" (3.5 m). Compute the seismic base shear \( V \) and the overturning moment at each story level in terms of the weight \( W_i \) of each floor. Use the equivalent lateral force method in the solution. Given:

\[ S_1 = 0.34 \text{ sec}, \quad S_2 = 0.90 \text{ sec}, \quad R = 5, \]

\[ W_i \text{ per floor} = 2400 \text{ kips (9560 kN)} \]

13.2 A moment-resisting ductile frame building is located in a high-seismic-intensity zone. The earthquake forces are resisted equally as a dual system by the ductile frame and a monolithic reinforced concrete shear wall over the total height of the building. The geometry of the structure is given below. Design the shear wall assuming that the magnitude of the loads, forces and moments applied to the wall are 110 percent of the values used in Ex. 13.2. Given:

floors have slabs of thickness \( h_f = 7 \text{ in. (178 mm)} \)

clear beam spans in both longitudinal and transverse directions = 20'-0" (6.1 m)

shear wall base length \( l_w = 25 \text{ ft (39.6 m)} \)

shear wall height \( h_w = 130 \text{ ft (39.6 m)} \)

\( f_r = 5000 \text{ psi, normal weight (34.5 MPa)} \)

\( f_p = f_{ph} = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa),} \)

Sketch the wall reinforcement.
13.3 A precast shear wall in a moderate seismicity zone for a six story frame building has a wall thickness of 10 in. (254 mm). The height of each story is 11'-6" (3.5 m) and the wall segments extend the height of the building and prestressed vertically. The wall is subjected to a factored seismic base shear \( V_u = 210 \text{ kips (1048 kN)} \) and a factored overturning moment \( M_u = 8000 \text{ ft-kip (12,150 kN-m)} \) for Case II loading as controlling in this case (gravity load dominant). The total weight of each floor including any attached masses is 2800 kips (12,454 kN). Design the connection at the base of the wall assuming that the neutral axis obtained by strain-compatibility analysis is \( c = 21.5 \text{ in. (546 mm)} \). Given:

- sliding coefficient \( \mu = 0.60 \)
- beams size: 24 in. \( \times \) 28 in. (610 mm \( \times \) 711 mm)
- effective beam spans: 22 ft 6 in. (6.9 m)
- allowable horiz. shear stress \( f_{sv} = 1200 \text{ psi (8.3 MPa)} \)
- \( f'_c = 5000 \text{ psi, normal weight (34.5 MPa)} \)
- \( f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \)

Use a Dywidag connector system.

13.4 Design a ductile precast prestressed concrete moment-resistant connection of a ductile frame in a high intensity seismic zone subjected to a factored seismic moment \( M_u = 1350 \text{ ft-kip (1831 kN-m)} \) and a post-yield rotation \( \theta_p = 2.75 \text{ percent} \). The frame precast beams have spans of 20'-0" and the clear spans are 17'-4" (5.3 m). Each story height is 9'-0" (2.74 m). Use the Dywidag Ductile Connection assembly (DDC) in your solution. Given:

- column sizes: 38 in. \( \times \) 38 in. (965 mm \( \times \) 965 mm)
- center to center Ductile Rods, \( (d' - d) \): 27 in. (686 mm)
- \( f'_c = 5000 \text{ psi, normal weight concrete (34.5 MPa)} \)

13.5 Design the moment resisting connection in Problem 13.4 as a hybrid connection using both mild steel and prestressing post-tensioned reinforcement. Given:

- \( f'_c = 5000 \text{ psi, normal weight concrete (34.5 MPa)} \)
- \( f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi (414 MPa)} \)
- \( f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi (1862 MPa)} \)
- \( f_{ps} = 0.90 f_{pu} \) (determine from compatibility analysis)
- \( f_{ps} = 160,000 \text{ psi (1103 MPa)} \)
- \( E_s = 29,000 \text{ ksi (200,000 MPa)} \)
- \( E_{ps} = 28,000 \text{ ksi (193,000 MPa)} \)
- \( f_c = 1000 \text{ psi (6.9 MPa)} \) maximum concrete stress at post-tensioning
The program uses equations for incremental time-dependent steps that assess steel relaxation, shrinkage and creep. It also differentiates between stress-relieved and low-relaxation strands. Input values are \( A_c \), \( T_c \), \( f_{pc} \), \( E_{pc} \), \( f'\), \( f'_{c} \), span \( L \), \( A_{psr} \), \( W_D \), \( W_{SP} \), \( W_L \), and anchorage seating loss \( \Delta A \).

Equations 3.7 and 3.8 give the loss due to relaxation as a function of time range \((t_2 - t_1)\).

A basic maximum creep coefficient \( C_u = 2.35 \) is used in the analysis that is reduced by a time function such that

\[
C_t = \left( \frac{t_1^{0.60}}{t + t_1^{0.60}} \right) C_u
\]

as discussed in Equations 3.9a, 3.9b, and 3.10. The user can input other maximum creep values into the programs which are different than \( C_u = 2.35 \) and the computer run would base the computations on the user’s value of \( C_u \).

Shrinkage loss is computed using time-dependent Equation 3.15a for moist-cured concrete with maximum \( \varepsilon_{sh} = 800 \times 10^{-6} \) in./in. and Equation 3.15b for steam-cured concrete with maximum \( \varepsilon_{sh} = 730 \times 10^{-6} \) in./in.

\[
\text{Moist-cured: } \varepsilon_{sh} = \left( \frac{t}{t + 35} \right) \varepsilon_{sh}
\]

\[
\text{Steam-cured: } \varepsilon_{sh} = \left( \frac{t}{t + 55} \right) \varepsilon_{sh}
\]

The user can input other shrinkage strain values directly into the program that are normally lower than \( \varepsilon_{sh} = 0.0008 \) in./in.

For post-tensioned beams, the program computes frictional losses due to six different types of sheathing for tendons or strands using the governing ACI Code coefficients \( \mu \) for curvature friction effect, and \( K \) for wobble effect. Then, it sums up all the losses in prestress and tabulates them as seen in one typical computer run of a double-T beam presented in this appendix.

11 list
12 REM -- EGNawy 10 "TIME DEPENDANT LOSSES IN PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS"
13 REM -- ------------------------------------------------------------------------
14 REM -- COPYRIGHT 1988 BY DR. EDWARD G. NAVY
15 REM -- ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
16 CLS; LOCATE 5,33: PRINT "PROGRAM EGNawy 10"
17 LOCATE 7,16: PRINT "TIME DEPENDANT LOSSES IN PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS"
18 LOCATE 11,33: PRINT "COPYRIGHT 1988"
19 LOCATE 13,32: PRINT "DR. EDWARD G. NAVY"
20 LOCATE 15,32: PRINT "ALL RIGHTS RESERVED"
21 J=0: FOR I = 1 TO 1500: ?=J + 1: NEXT I
22 SCREEN 1
23 PAINT ( 15, 15 ) ,2
24 SCREEN 2
25 PRINT
26 PRINT "******************************************************************************
27 PRINT " " PROGRAM TO CALCULATE TIME DEPENDANT LOSSES OF
28 PRINT " "
29 PRINT " " PRESTRESSED CONCRETE SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAMS
30 PRINT " "
31 PRINT "******************************************************************************
32 PRINT :PRINT :PRINT
48 INPUT "PRESS (RETURN) KEY TO CONTINUE ";PPPPP
49 SCREEN 1
50 PAINT (15, 15) ,2
51 PRINT :PRINT :PRINT
60 SCREEN 2
100 DIM K(6),M(6),PP(10),TIME(50),LOSS(50),DC(50),DS(50),DR(50)
200 PRINT"--------------------------------------------" :PRINT :PRINT
300 PRINT "READ SECTION DIMENSIONS AND SPAN" :PRINT :PRINT
305 PRINT"--------------------------------------------" :PRINT :PRINT
306 INPUT "THE BEAM SPAN IS (FEET) = ";L:PRINT :PRINT
307 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO INPUT SECTION PROPERTIES (Y/N) ";XX$:IF XX$="N" THEN
310 S SAVE "B:EXNAYW 10"
315 INPUT "AC =",AC:INPUT "IC =",IC:INPUT "CT = ",CT:INPUT "CB = ",CB:INPUT
320 "WD = ",WD:GOTO 635
310 PRINT TAB(5) ;"***** THE SECTIONS MENU *****" :PRINT :PRINT
320 PRINT TAB(5) ;"-------------------------------" :PRINT
330 PRINT TAB(2) ;"1-RECTANGULAR SECTION" :PRINT TAB(2) ;"2-T-SECTION" :PRINT
340 PRINT TAB(2) ;"3-I-SECTION" :PRINT
350 INPUT "WHAT IS YOUR CHOICE ? ";WW:PRINT
360 PRINT :PRINT :PRINT :PRINT:-------------------------------" :PRINT
370 ON WW GOTO 510, 450, 380
380 INPUT "THE TOP FLANGE WIDTH = ";B1:PRINT
390 INPUT "THE TOP FLANGE DEPTH = ";H1:PRINT
400 INPUT "THE BOTTOM FLANGE WIDTH = ";B2:PRINT
410 INPUT "THE BOTTOM FLANGE DEPTH = ";H2:PRINT
420 INPUT "THE TOTAL BEAM DEPTH = ";H:PRINT
430 INPUT "THE WEB WIDTH = ";BW:PRINT
435 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CORRECT DIMENSIONS (Y/N) ";A$:IF A$="Y" THEN 380
440 GOTO 570
450 INPUT "THE FLANGE WIDTH = ";B1:PRINT
460 INPUT "THE FLANGE DEPTH = ";H1:PRINT
470 INPUT "THE TOTAL DEPTH = ";H:PRINT
480 INPUT "THE WEB WIDTH = ";BW:PRINT
490 B=BW
495 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CORRECT DIMENSIONS (Y/N) ";A$:IF A$="Y" THEN 450
500 GOTO 570
510 INPUT "THE WIDTH = ";B1:PRINT
520 INPUT "THE TOTAL DEPTH = ";H:PRINT
530 BW=B1
540 B=B1
550 H1=H
560 INPUT"DO YOU WANT TO CORRECT DIMENSIONS (Y/N) ";A$:IF A$="Y" THEN 510
569 REM -------------------------------------------
570 REM CALCULATION OF SECTION GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES AND ITS WEIGHT
580 REM -------------------------------------------
590 AC = (H*BW) + ((B1-BW)*H1-1) + ((B2-BW)*H2)
600 CB=H-CT
610 WD=AC*150/144
620 RR = IC/AC
640 ST=IC/CT
650 SB=IC/CB
764 PRINT"-------------------------------" :PRINT :PRINT
765 PRINT"CONCRETE PROPERTIES" :PRINT
770 PRINT"-------------------------------" :PRINT
775 PRINT :INPUT "SPECIFIED CONCRETE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH f'c (psi) = ";FPC
780 PRINT : INPUT "CONCRETE STRENGTH AT INITIAL PRESTRESS f' ci (psi)? =" ;PCI
782 PRINT : INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO CORRECT THE PREVIOUS DATA (Y/N)?" ;BS:IF BS="Y" THEN 775
899 PRINT "DESIGN LOAD, ECCENTRICITIES " ;PRINT:PRINT
900 PRINT "--- " ;PRINT:PRINT
910 PRINT "THE IMPOSED DEAD LOAD (LB/FT) =" ;WSD
920 PRINT "THE LIVE LOAD (LB/FT) =" ;WL
930 PRINT "$TENDONS ECCENTRICITY AT MIDSSPAN =" ;ECEN
940 PRINT "$TENDONS ECCENTRICITY AT SUPPORT =" ;ESUP
950 PRINT "$INPUT*DO YOU WANT TO CORRECT THE PREVIOUS DATA (Y/N)?" ;BS:IF BS="Y" THEN 920
1049 REM "--------------------------------------"
1050 REM CONCRETE ALLOWABLE STRESS CALCULATIONS
1060 REM "--------------------------------------"
1110 EC=57000: SQR(FPC)
1120 EC1=57000: SQR(FPC1)
1190 PRINT "=";PRINT:PRINT
1200 PRINT "STEEL PROPERTIES" ;PRINT:PRINT
1210 PRINT "=";PRINT:PRINT
1220 PRINT
1230 INPUT "ULTIMATE STRENGTH OF PRESTRESSING STEEL fpu (psi)? =" ; FPU
1240 INPUT "INITIAL PRESTRESSING STRESS fpi (psi)? =" ; FPI
1250 INPUT "YIELD STRENGTH OF PRESTRESSING STEEL fpy (psi)? =" ; FPY
1260 INPUT "YOUNG'S MODULUS OF PRESTRESSED STEEL (psi) =";EPS
1262 PRINT:INPUT "AREA OF PRESTRESSED STEEL =" ;APS
1265 PRINT:INPUT "NUMBER OF PRESTRESSED TENDONS =" ;N
1269 PRINT:INPUT"DO YOU WANT TO CORRECT THE PREVIOUS DATA (Y/N)?" ;BS:IF BS="Y" THEN 1220
1270 PRINT:PRINT"=";PRINT:PRINT
1280 PRINT "ADDITIONAL DATA " ;PRINT:PRINT
1290 PRINT "=";PRINT:PRINT
1300 INPUT "PRETENSIONED TYPE (1), POST-TENSIONED TYPE (2) ="; S
1310 PRINT "MOIST CURED FOR 7 DAYS TYPE 1" ;PRINT:PRINT
1312 PRINT "STEAM CURED FOR 3 DAYS TYPE 2" ;PRINT:PRINT
1315 INPUT SSS
1320 IF S=1 THEN 1340
1330 INPUT "NUMBER OF TENDONS JACKED AT THE TIME =" ; NN
1340 INPUT "ANCHORAGE SLIP (in) =" ; SL
1350 PRINT:PRINT
1355 INPUT "DEPTH OF PRESTRESSED STEEL =" ; DPS
1365 PRINT:PRINT
1368 PI= APS*FPI
1380 NEXT I
1390 IF S=1 THEN 1550
1400 REM "-----------------------------"
1410 REM FRICTION LOSS
1420 REM "-----------------------------"
1430 PRINT TAB (10) "TENDONS TYPE MENU 
1440 PRINT "1-TENDONS IN FLEXIBLE METAL SHEATING (WIRE TENDONS ) "
1450 PRINT "2-TENDONS IN FLEXIBLE METAL SHEATING ( 7 WIRE STRANDS) "
1460 PRINT "3-TENDONS IN FLEXIBLE METAL SHEATING (HIGH STRENGTH BAR) "
1470 PRINT "4-TENDONS IN RIGID METAL DUCT, 7 WIRE STRAND "
1480 PRINT "5-PREGREASED TENDONS , WIRE TENDONS , 7 WIRE STRANDS "

1490 PRINT "6-MASTIC COATED TENDONS, WIRE TENDONS AND 7 WIRE STRANDS"
1500 PRINT "INPUT"TYPE YOUR CHOICE" : I : PRINT : PRINT
1510 *=0*:BCCEN/(L*I2)
1520 DF = FPI*{(MI(I)*X) + (K(I)*L)}
1530 PP(I) = (DF/FPI*100)
1540 PRINT "LOSSES DUE TO FRICTION": TAB(50) "= " DF " psi " TAB(67) PP(I) " %"
1550 REM -------------------------------
1560 REM ANCHORAGE SLIP LOSS
1570 REM -------------------------------
1580 DA = SL_EPS/(L*I2)
1590 PP(S) = (DA/FPI*100)
1600 PRINT "LOSS DUE TO ANCHORAGE SLIP":TAB(50) " = " DA " psi " TAB(67) PR(2) " %"
1610 FFI = FPI-DF-DA
1620 IF S=2 THEN 1690
1630 PRINT : PRINT
1640 INPUT "NUMBER OF DAYS BETWEEN JACKING AND TRANSFER = " :TTRANSFER
1650 TTRANSFER= TTRANSFER*24
1660 DR=LOG(TTRANSFER)/LOG(10)
1670 DR=DR*FPI*/(FPI/FPI)-.55)/10
1680 PRINT "LOSSES DUE TO RELAXATION BETWEEN JACKING AND TRANSFER =": DR " psi"
1690 PRINT : PRINT
1700 FFI = FPI-DR
1710 REM -------------------------------
1720 REM ELASTIC SHORTENING LOSS
1730 REM -------------------------------
1820 IF S=1 THEN 1920
1830 IF NN=N THEN 1910
1840 NN=N/NN
1850 SUM=0
1860 FOR I=1 TO (NN-1)
1870 SUM=SUM + I/((NN-1)
1880 NEXT I
1890 DE=SUM *DR/NN
1900 GOTO 1920
1910 DE =0
1920 PP(3)= (DE/FPI*100)
1930 PRINT "LOSSES DUE TO ELASTIC SHORTENING":TAB(50) " = "DE " psi " TAB(67)
1940 PP(3) " %"
1950 PRINT "DO YOU WANT TO INPUT SPECIAL SHRINKAGE STRAIN VALUE(Y/N)"
1960 INPUT 005$ :IF 005$="N" THEN 1935
1970 INPUT "SHRINKAGE STRAIN VALUE":SHRINKS
1980 INPUT "ARE STRANDS STRESS RELIEVED":QQS
1990 REM -------------------------------
2000 REM CREEP LOSS
2010 REM -------------------------------
2011 INPUT "DO YOU WANT TO INPUT CREEP FACTOR":YY$ :IF YY$="N" THEN 1965
2012 INPUT "ULTIMATE CREEP FACTOR IS":CU
2013 GOTO 1970
2015 CU=2.35
2017 I=0
2019 I=I + 1
2020 INPUT "TIME AFTER JACKING THAT LOSSES ARE NEEDED (DAYS)":TIME(I)
2025 MOMENT = MD
2080 X=MOMENT*ECEN /IC
2090 AA=FP1*APS*(1+(ECEN*2/RR))
2100 AA=AA/AC
2110 X=X-AA
2120 CTIME=(TIME(I)^.6)/(10+TIME(I)^.6) *CU
2130 DC(I)=CTIME*ABS(X) *BPG/EC
2140 PP(4)=INT(DC(I)/FP1*100)
2150 PRINT"LOSS ES DUE TO CREEP"TAB(50)" = " DC(I) " psi " TAB(67) PP(4) " %"
2160 REM --------------------
2170 REM SHRINKAGE LOSS
2180 REM -----------------------
2181 IF SHRINKS=0 THEN 2190
2184 X=TIME(I)/(TIME(I)+35)*SHRINKS
2185 GOTO 2230
2190 ON SSS GOTO 2200,2220
2200 X=TIME(I)/(TIME(I)+35)*8.0000001E-04
2210 GOTO 2230
2220 X=TIME(I)/(TIME(I)+35)*7.3000001E-04
2230 DS(I)=X*EPS
2240 PP(5)=(DS(I)/FP1*100)
2250 PRINT"LOSS ES DUE SHRINKAGE"TAB(50)" = " DS(I) " psi " TAB(67)PP(5) " %"
2260 REM --------------------
2270 REM RELAXATION STEEL LOSS
2280 REM -----------------------
2290 FSTEEL=FP1-DR
2300 TIME(I)=TIME(I)*24
2310 IF S=2 THEN 2340
2345 Y=FSTEEL/FPY
2347 IF Y>.55 THEN 2350
2348 Y=.6
2350 DR(I)=X*FSTEEL *((Y-.55)/10
2351 IF OQS="Y" THEN 2360
2355 DR(I)=X*FSTEEL *((Y-.55)/45
2360 PP(6)=(DR(I)/FP1*100)
2370 PRINT "LOSS ES TO STEEL RELAXATION"TAB(50)" = "DR(I) " psi " TAB(67)00(6) " %"
2380 LOSS(I) = DF+DA+DE+DC(I) +DS(I) +DR(I)
2450 INPUT "DO YOU NEED LOSSES AFTER ANOTHER TIME INTERVAL" ;YYYS
2460 IF YYYS="Y" THEN 1975
2500 PRINT TAB(10) "------------------------------------------"
2510 PRINT TAB(10) " TABLE OF FINAL RESULTS "
2520 PRINT TAB(10) "------------------------------------------"
2530 PRINT"TIME(DAYS) "TAB(15) "TOTAL"TAB(25) "FRICIT."TAB(35) "ANCHOR."TAB(45)
"ELAS T.) "TAB(55) "CREEP"TAB(65) "SHRI."TAB(75) "RELAX."
2535 PRINT TAB (15) "LOSSES"TAB(25) "LOSS"TAB(35) "LOSS"TAB(45) "LOSS"TAB(55)
"LOSS"TAB(65) "LOSS"TAB(75) "LOSS"
2540 FOR K=1 TO I
2550 PRINT TIME(K)/24 TAB(15) LOSS(K) TAB(25) DF TAB(35) DA TAB(45)DE TAB(55) I
NT(DC(K)) TAB(65) INT(DS(K)) TAB(75) INT(DR(K))
2560 PRINT
2570 NEXT K
8000 DATA 0.0015,0.25,0.002,0.25,0.0006,0.3
8010 DATA 0.0002,0.25,0.002,0.15,0.002,0.15
9999 END
Example A-1  Time Dependent Partial Losses in Pretensioned Prestressed Concrete Beam

Compute the long-term partial losses in prestress for the pretensioned T beam in example 3.8 using the computer program EGNAWY 10.

Input

Beam span \( L \) = 70 ft.
Flange width \( b \) = 120 in.
Flange depth \( h_1 \) = 2 in.
Total depth \( h \) = 32 in.
Web width \( b_w \) = 12.5 in.
\( f_t = 5,000 \) psi
\( f_u = 3,500 \) psi
\( W_{SD} = 250 \) plf
\( W_L = 400 \) plf
Midspan tendon eccentricity \( e_c \) = 18.73 in.
Support tendon eccentricity \( e_s \) = 12.98 in.
\( f_{pc} = 270,000 \) psi
\( f_{pt} = 189,000 \) psi
\( f_{pt} = 229,500 \) psi
\( E_{pr} = 28,000,000 \) psi
\( A_{pc} = 1.836 \) in.²
No. of tendons = 12
Depth of Prestressing steel \( d_p \) = 28.75 in.
Number of days between jacking and transfer = 0.75

Output

******************************************************************************
* PROGRAM TO CALCULATE THE TIME DEPENDANT LOSSES OF *
* PRESTRESSED CONCRETE SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAMS *
******************************************************************************
PRES (RETURN) KEY TO CONTINUE ?
-----
READ SECTION DIMENSIONS AND SPAN
-----
THE BEAM SPAN IS (FEET) = 7.70

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME (DAYS)</th>
<th>TOTAL LOSS</th>
<th>FRICT. LOSS</th>
<th>ANCHOR. LOSS</th>
<th>ELAST. LOSS</th>
<th>CREEP LOSS</th>
<th>SHRINK. LOSS</th>
<th>RELAX. LOSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.75</td>
<td>9787.352</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>1256</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10553.68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>1472</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18048.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>3939</td>
<td>2333</td>
<td>3539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22179.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>5305</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>24973.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>6206</td>
<td>5250</td>
<td>5280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>27586.71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>7043</td>
<td>6461</td>
<td>5845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>30621.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>8022</td>
<td>7875</td>
<td>6487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>35587.91</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>9684</td>
<td>10080</td>
<td>7586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>43368.96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>12552</td>
<td>12775</td>
<td>9804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td>46091.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>13592</td>
<td>13359</td>
<td>10903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1825</td>
<td>48911.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8236.696</td>
<td>14583</td>
<td>13736</td>
<td>12355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-2 COMPUTER PROGRAM EGNAWY12 FOR SERVICE LOAD ANALYSIS AND DESIGN IN FLEXURE OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

This computer program in Q-BASIC for personal computers is intended to proportion prestressed concrete T and I beams data pretensioned and post-tensioned. The flow chart Figure 4.34 and the discussions and example solutions of Chapter 4 are the background of the program.

The input data comprise $f_{pu}, f', f_{ct}, W_D, W_{SD}, W_L$, span $L$ and effective prestress coefficient $\gamma$. It computes the service load level flexural moments $M_D, M_{SD}, M_L$, and $M_I$ and selects the cross-sectional dimensions of the prestressed beam on the basis of the maximum allowable service load stresses in the concrete and the prestressing steel as set by the ACI-318 Code.

The basis for the section selection is the section moduli values $S_0$ and $S'$ obtained from the computed moment values and the allowable concrete stresses at service load.

By trial and adjustment, the program iterates to the closest T- or I-beam section that can sustain the service level load within the maximum allowable service load stresses at effective prestress both for tension and compression.

Standard PCI sections are contained in the program when standard sections are to be chosen by the user. The computer run of one typical example in this appendix illustrates the output resulting from use of this program.

Example A-2 Service Load Proportioning of Prestressed Concrete Beams

Select the appropriate section of Example 4.2 of a prestressed pretensioned beam having a span of 65 ft. (19.8 in.) using the computer program EGNAWY12.

Input

\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f' = 6,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{ct} = 4,500 \text{ psi} \]

Span $L = 65$ ft.

$W_{SD} = 100$ plf
\[ W_L = 1,100 \text{ plf} \]

Effectiveness ratio \( \gamma = 0.82 \)

Trial I section chosen

Top flange width \( b_1 = 17 \text{ in.} \)

Top flange thickness \( h_1 = 4.85 \text{ in.} \)

Bottom flange width \( b_2 = 18 \text{ in.} \)

Bottom flange thickness \( h_2 = 7 \text{ in.} \)

Total depth \( h = 40 \text{ in.} \)

Web width \( b_w = 6 \text{ in.} \)

Initial prestressing force = 376,110 lb.

Depth of prestressing steel = 36.15 in.

Output

*************************************************
* SERVICE LOAD DESIGN OF *
* SIMPLY SUPPORTED PRESTRESSED BEAMS *
*************************************************

PRESS (RETURN) KEY TO CONTINUE ?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANGE OF INIT. PRES. FORCE</th>
<th>PRES. STEEL DEPTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>474155 TO 299175</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>492498 TO 310749</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512316 TO 323253</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537956 TO 316806</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557156 TO 351546</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>582654 TO 432208</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INPUT YOUR CHOSEN INITIAL PRESTRESSED FORCE THEN THE CORRESPONDING DEPTH OF PRE-STRESSED STEEL

? 376110, 36.15

PERMISSIBLE LINEAR STRESSES

-----------------------------

F.in.top= 402.4923
F.in.bot=-2700
F.top=-2700
F.bot= 929.5161

ACTUAL LINEAR STRESSES

-----------------------------

F.in.top= 67.87824
F.in.bot=-1321.373
F.top=-2450.798
F.bot= 632.9773

DO YOU WISH TO REENTER OTHER DIMENSIONS (Y/N) ? Y

RANGE OF INIT. PRES. FORCE | PRES. STEEL DEPTH
-----------------------------|-------------------
| 171381 TO -159926          | 38                |
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196223  TO -166113  37
229488  TO -172797  36
276334  TO -180042  35
347210  TO -187921  34
466987  TO -196522  33
490540  TO -205947  32
515251  TO -216121  31

INPUT YOUR CHOSEN INITIAL PRESTRESSED FORCE THEN THE CORRESPONDING DEPTH OF PRESTRESSED STEEL
? 376110.33.675
PERMISSIBLE LINEAR STRESSES

F.in.top= 402.4923
F.in.bot=-2700
F.top=-2700
F.bot= 929.5161

ACTUAL LINEAR STRESSES

F.in.top= 396.8533
F.in.bot=-2233.981
F.top=-325.4197
F.bot=-1831.865

ACTUAL STRESSES CALCULATED AT END SECTION

DO YOU WISH TO REENTER OTHER DIMENSIONS(Y/N)
? N
OK

A-3 COMPUTER PROGRAM EGNAWY14 FOR THE STRENGTH ANALYSIS AND DESIGN IN FLEXURE OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

This computer program in Q-BASIC for personal computers analyzes the sections already proportioned by the service load level requirements in flexure. It follows the step-by-step flowchart Figure 4.50 and the discussions and example solutions of Chapter 4.

The input data formats the section type, mainly, whether it is a T, I or rectangular section, the dimensions b, d, d_p, the maximum stresses f'_c, f_pur, f_pyr, f_pur, and reinforcement moduli E_s and E_p. The strain-compatibility solution gives the moment strength M_n for flanged sections where the neutral axis falls within or outside the flange for typical T-beams sections. It also verifies that the reinforcement, both mild and prestressed, is within the maximum allowable by the ACI-318 Code for both underreinforced and overreinforced sections.

For under-reinforced rectangular sections, it computes the flexural moment strength from

\[ M_n = A_p f_p \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_s \left( d - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_t f_t \left( \frac{a}{2} - d \right) \]

For under-reinforced flanged sections, it computes the flexural moment strength from
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\[ M_n = A_{pw}f_{ps} \left( d_p - \frac{a}{2} \right) + A_s f_s (d - d_p) + 0.85f'c (b - b_w)h_f \left( \frac{d_p - h_f}{2} \right) \]

where

\[ A_{pw}f_{ps} = A_{ps}f_{ps} - 0.85f'c (b - b_w)h_f \]

A strength reduction factor φ = 0.9 is used in the program. The computer run gives a typical output for the evaluation of the nominal moment strength of prestressed sections.

A-4 COMPUTER PROGRAM EGNAWY16 FOR THE SHEAR STRENGTH DESIGN
AND SHEAR REINFORCEMENT SELECTION IN PRESTRESSED
CONCRETE BEAMS

This is a computer program in Q-BASIC for personal computers and is intended to determine the shear strength and shear reinforcement required in prestressed concrete beams. It follows the step-by-step flowchart Figure 5.16 and the discussions of flexure shear \( V_d \) and web shear \( W_{cw} \) in Chapter 5 and the detailed example solutions and diagrams.

The input data compares the load data \( W_{pd}, W_{SD}, \) and \( W_t \) and the stresses \( f_c', f_{pw}, f_p, f_{ps}, \) effective prestressing force \( P_e \) and the section dimensions of the top flange, \( b_t \) and \( h_t, \) total depth \( h \) and the web width \( b_w.\)

It computes the ACI approximate shear strength:

\[ V_c = b_w d_p \left( 0.6 \lambda \sqrt{f_c'} + 700 \frac{V_{ed}}{M_u} \right) \]

and the other alternate more refined solution: flexure shear:

\[ V_c = 0.6 \lambda \sqrt{f_c'} b_w d_p + V_d + \frac{V_t M_{cr}}{M_{max}} - 1.7 \lambda \sqrt{f_c'} b_w d_p \]

and the web shear:

\[ V_{cw} = (3.5 \lambda \sqrt{f_c'} + 0.5 f_p) b_w d_p + V_p \]

where \( \lambda \) is a function of type of concrete used, namely, normalweight, sand-lightweight, or all-lightweight.

Based on choosing the controlling shear strength, the program proceeds to compute the required area of the web stirrups for shear, checking for the minimum area \( A_s \) as required by the ACI Code. Then it selects the required spacing of the stirrups based on the stirrup size being used in the design.

A-5 COMPUTER PROGRAM EGNAWY18 FOR THE DESIGN
OF CONCRETE BRACKETS AND CORBELS

This computer program in Q-BASIC for personal computers is intended to proportion brackets or corbels that are essential components in precast prestressed concrete prestressing systems. The step-by-step procedure given in the flowchart Figure 5.27 forms the basis of the program as well as the discussion and example calculations in Chapter 5.
The input data comprise the allowable stress values $f'_c, f'_t$, the vertical shear load $V_v$, the horizontal frictional force $N_{uf}$, and the section dimensions, namely, the depth $h$, the effective depth $d$, the moment arm $a$, and the width $b_w$ of the section.

By trial and adjustment the program computes areas of the main steel $A_p$ and the horizontal steel closed steel stirrups $A_h$. The program uses the ACI 318 Code requirements for determining the areas of the steel reinforcement, and assumes that the minimum horizontal frictional force $N_{uf}$ is 20 percent of the vertical shear $V_v$ acting on the corbel.

A-6 COMPUTER PROGRAM EGNAWY20 FOR MOMENT CURVATURE ANALYSIS OF BONDED PARTIALLY PRESTRESSED BEAMS

A computer program in Q-BASIC for personal computers is intended to evaluate the moment-curvature relationships in a bonded, prestressed concrete beam. It computes these values for three loading stages:

1. Linear Uncracked Stage
2. Linear Cracked Stage
3. Non-linear Cracked Stage

The user should use the “interactive format” response to a prompt in the program for both T and I beam sections.

Input data comprise section dimensions $b_1$ and $h_1$ for the top flange; $b_2$ and $h_2$ for the bottom flange; total depth $h$ and web width $b_w$. It also includes input of the effective prestressing force $P_p$ after losses, prestressing a steel area $A_{ps}$, prestressing steel depth $d_p$, mild steel area $A_p$, mild steel depth $d$, the yield strengths $f_{py}$ and $f_y$ and the ultimate prestressing strength $f_{pu}$.

The program internally generates the co-ordinates of the moment-curvature relationships using strain increments of $\varepsilon = 0.0005$ in./in. beyond zero strain, starting at a strain $\varepsilon_i = 0.001$ in./in. for the linear post-cracking stage through the nonlinear cracking stages up to strain of $\varepsilon_c = 0.003$ in./in. It is intended to evaluate the curvature of individual sections of prestressed concrete elements. The moment-curvature plot $(M - \phi)$ between the stages $\varepsilon_i = 0.001$ and $\varepsilon_c = 0.003$ in./in., if assumed a straight line, permits the user to interpolate intermediate $M - \phi$ values when necessary.

The program prompts input of data on the number of layers of prestressing steel reinforcement and the computer run checks the stress level in the concrete section at the extreme fibers at each loading and cracking stage.

A-7 COMPUTER PROGRAM EGNAWY22 FOR TIME DEPENDENT DEFLECTION EVALUATION OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAMS

This is a computer program in Q-BASIC for personal computers intended to evaluate the time-dependent deflection and camber in simply supported bonded prestressed concrete beams by the approximate time step method. It follows the steps shown in the flowchart Fig. 7.18 and requires the input of the value of the effective prestressing force $P_e$ and the prestress loss $\Delta P$ obtained from Program EGNAWY10 on time-dependent losses.
Input data comprise section properties $A, I, c, c_h$, self-weight $W_D$, superimposed dead load $W_D$ and live load $W_L$. Also, the tendon eccentricities $e_1$ and $e_2$ at midspan and support sections are input into the program.

The program prompts the user to input any time intervals for which deflection is to be computed starting from the prestress transfer load application, then throughout the time-history of the prestressed member. A table of final results is given in the output.

**Example A-7  Time Dependent Deflection Computation for Prestressed Concrete Beams**

Compute by the approximate incremental time-step method the long-term deflections of the beam in Example 7.9 if it were a single $T$ for the time intervals of 7, 30, 90, 365 and 1825 days (5 years) using the computer program EGNARY22. Assume a maximum shrinkage coefficient $\varepsilon_{th} = 0.0005$ in./in. and a maximum creep coefficient $C_u = 2.35$.

**Input**

- Beam span $L = 65$ ft.
- Beam section = $T$
- Flange width = 120 in.
- Flange depth $h_1 = 2.25$ in.
- Total depth $h = 48$ in.
- Web width $b_w = 8$ in.
- $f'_c = 5,000$ psi
- $f'_t = 3,750$ psi
- $W_{SD} = 100$ plf
- $W_L = 1,100$ plf
- Midspan tendon eccentricity $e_1 = 33.14$ in.
- Support tendon eccentricity $e_2 = 20.0$ in.
- $f_p = 189,000$ psi
- $f'_p = 154,900$ psi
- $E_p = 27,500,000$ psi
- $E_p = 29,000,000$ psi
- $A_p = 2.142$ in.
- $d_p = 45.95$ in.
- $A_t = 0$
- $d = 0$

- Prestress force after transfer = 331,967 lb.
- Time for casting to curing = 3 days
- Time for casting to prestressing = 4 days
- Time for casting to load application = 30 days
- Tendon profile = harped
- Distance from support to harped point = 32.5 ft.
- Curing process = steam
Output

************************************************************************
* PROGRAM TO CALCULATE TIME DEPENDANT DEFLECTION OF *
* * PRESTRESSED CONCRETE SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAMS *
************************************************************************

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE?

READ OF SECTION DIMENSION AND SPAN

THE BEAM'S SPAN IS (FEET) = 65

DATA OBTAINED FROM LOSSES PROGRAM

************************************************************************

PRESTRESSING FORCE AFTER TRANSFER (after elastic loss) IN LBS= 311967
TIME SCHEDULE FOR CONSTRUCTION

************************************************************************

TIME FROM SECTION CASTING TO END OF CURING (DAYS) = 3
TIME FROM SECTION CASTING TO PRESTRESSING (posttensioned) OR
   OR TRANSFER (pretensioned) (DAYS) = 4
TIME FROM SECTION CASTING TO APPLICATION OF SUPERIMPOSED DEAD
   LOAD AND LIVE LOAD (DAYS) = 30

SELECT THE PRESTRESSED CABLES LAYOUT

1-PARABOLIC CABLES
2-HARPEO CABLES
3-Straight CABLES

? 2
DISTANCE FROM SUPPORT TO HARPEO POINT (FT.) = 32.5

ENTER 1 FOR MOIST CURED CONCRETE AND 2 FOR STEAM-CURED CONCRETE ? 2

DO YOU WISH TO CALCULATE DEFLECTION AFTER ANOTHER TIME INTERVAL? N

************************************************************************

* TABLE OF FINAL RESULTS *
************************************************************************

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME (days)</th>
<th>DEFLECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1.160123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-.744374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-.9480114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>-1.081456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1825</td>
<td>-1.079121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OK
### Table B-1 Conversion to International System of Units (SI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To convert from</th>
<th>to</th>
<th>Multiply by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inch (in.)</td>
<td>millimeter (mm)</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inch (in.)</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot (ft)</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>0.3048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yard (yd)</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>0.9144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square foot (sq ft)</td>
<td>square meter (sq m)</td>
<td>0.09290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square inch (sq in.)</td>
<td>square millimeter (sq mm)</td>
<td>645.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square inch (sq in.)</td>
<td>square meter (sq m)</td>
<td>0.0006452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square yard (sq yd)</td>
<td>square meter (sq m)</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic inch (cu in.)</td>
<td>cubic meter (cu m)</td>
<td>0.00001639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic foot (cu ft)</td>
<td>cubic meter (cu m)</td>
<td>0.02832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic yard (cu yd)</td>
<td>cubic meter (cu m)</td>
<td>0.7646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallon (gal) Can. liquid*</td>
<td>liter</td>
<td>4.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallon (gal) Can. liquid*</td>
<td>cubic meter (cu m)</td>
<td>0.004546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To convert from</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>Multiply by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallon (gal) U.S. liquid*</td>
<td>liter</td>
<td>3.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallon (gal) U.S. liquid*</td>
<td>cubic meter (cu m)</td>
<td>0.003785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kip</td>
<td>kilogram (kgf)</td>
<td>453.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kip</td>
<td>newton (N)</td>
<td>4448.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound (lb)</td>
<td>kilogram (kgf)</td>
<td>0.4536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound (lb)</td>
<td>newton (N)</td>
<td>4.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressure or Stress</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kips/square inch (ksi)</td>
<td>megapascal (MPa)**</td>
<td>6.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/square foot (psf)</td>
<td>kilopascal (kPa)**</td>
<td>0.04788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/square inch (psi)</td>
<td>kilopascal (kPa)**</td>
<td>6.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/square inch (psi)</td>
<td>megapascal (MPa)**</td>
<td>0.006895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/square foot (psf)</td>
<td>kilogram/square meter (kgf/sq m)</td>
<td>4.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mass</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound (avdp)</td>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>0.4536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ton (short, 2000 lb)</td>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>907.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ton (short, 2000 lb)</td>
<td>tonne (t)</td>
<td>0.9072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grain</td>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>0.00006480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tonne (t)</td>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mass (weight) per Length</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kip/linear foot (klf)</td>
<td>kilogram/meter (kg/m)</td>
<td>0.001488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/linear foot (plf)</td>
<td>kilogram/meter (kg/m)</td>
<td>1.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/linear foot (plf)</td>
<td>newton/meter (N/m)</td>
<td>14.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mass per Volume (Density)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/cubic foot (pcf)</td>
<td>kilogram/cubic meter (kg/cu m)</td>
<td>16.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound/cubic yard (pcy)</td>
<td>kilogram/cubic meter (kg/cu m)</td>
<td>0.5933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bending Moment or Torque</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inch-pound (in.-lb)</td>
<td>newton-meter</td>
<td>0.1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot-pound (ft-lb)</td>
<td>newton-meter</td>
<td>1.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot-kip (ft-k)</td>
<td>newton-meter</td>
<td>1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree Fahrenheit (deg F)</td>
<td>degree Celsius (C)</td>
<td>( t_c = (t_F - 32)/1.8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree Fahrenheit (deg F)</td>
<td>degree Kelvin (K)</td>
<td>( t_k = (t_F + 459.7)/1.8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British thermal unit (Btu)</td>
<td>joule (j)</td>
<td>1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilowatt-hour (kwh)</td>
<td>joule (j)</td>
<td>3,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power</strong></td>
<td>watt (W)</td>
<td>745.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horsepower (hp) (550 ft lb/sec)</td>
<td>kilometer/hour</td>
<td>1.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Velocity</strong></td>
<td>meter/second (m/s)</td>
<td>.04470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section modulus (in.(^3))</td>
<td>mm(^3)</td>
<td>16.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia (in.(^4))</td>
<td>mm(^4)</td>
<td>416.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of heat transfer (Btu/ft(^2)/h/°F)</td>
<td>W/m(^2)/°C</td>
<td>5.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity (psi)</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>0.006895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity (Btu-in./ft(^2)/h/°F)</td>
<td>W/m(^2)/°C</td>
<td>0.1442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion (in./in./°F)</td>
<td>mm/mm/°C</td>
<td>1.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area/length (in.(^2)/ft)</td>
<td>mm(^2)/m</td>
<td>2116.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One U.S. gallon equals 0.8321 Canadian gallon.

**A pascal equals one newton/square meter.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupancy or Use</th>
<th>Live Load (psf)</th>
<th>Occupancy or Use</th>
<th>Live Load (psf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apartments (see Residential)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hotels (see Residential)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armories and drill rooms</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Libraries:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly halls and other places of assembly:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reading rooms</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed seats</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Stack rooms (books &amp; shelving at 65 pcf) but not less than</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movable seats</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Corridors, above first floor</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms (assembly)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Manufacturing:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balcony (exterior)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On one- and two-family residences only and not exceeding 100 sq ft</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling alleys, poolrooms, and similar recreational areas</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Marquees and canopies</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridors:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Office buildings:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First floor</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other floors, same as occupancy served except as indicated</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lobbies</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dance halls and ballrooms</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>File and computer rooms require heavier loads based upon anticipated occupancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dining rooms and restaurants</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Penal institutions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings (see Residential)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cell blocks</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire escapes</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Corridors</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On multi- or single-family residential buildings only</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Residential:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garages (passenger cars only)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Dwellings (one- and two-family)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For trucks and buses use AASHTO lane loads (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uninhabitable attics without storage</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandstands (see Stadium and arena bleachers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uninhabitable attics with storage</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnasiums, main floors and balconies</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Habitable attics and sleeping areas</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals:</td>
<td></td>
<td>All other areas</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating rooms, laboratories</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Hotels and multifamily houses:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private rooms</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Private rooms and corridors serving them</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wards</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Public rooms and corridors serving them</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridors, above first floor</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy or Use</td>
<td>Live Load (psf)</td>
<td>Concentrated Loads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformly Distributed Loads</td>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Load (lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevator machine room grating (on area of 4 sq in)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classrooms</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Finish light floor plate construction (on area of 1 sq in)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridors above first floor</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Garages</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks, vehicular driveways, and yards, subject to trucking (2)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Office floors</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stadiums and arena bleachers (3)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Scuttles, skylight ribs, and accessible ceilings</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stairs and exitways</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage warehouse:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stair treads (on area of 4 sq in at center of tread)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>(1) American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>(2) AASHTO lane loads should also be considered where appropriate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stores:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) For detailed recommendations, see Assembly Seating, Tents and Air Supported Structures, ANSI/NFPA 102-1978 [220.3].</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Floors in garages or portions of buildings used for storage of motor vehicles shall be designed for the uniformly distributed live loads shown or the following concentrated loads: (1) for passenger cars accommodating not more than nine passengers, 2000 pounds acting on an area of 20 in.² (2) mechanical parking structures without slab or deck, passenger cars only, 1500 pounds per wheel; (3) for trucks or buses, maximum axle load on an area of 20 in.²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First floor</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper floors</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale, all floors</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkways and elevated platforms (other than exitways)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B–3  Dead Weights of Floors, Ceilings, Roofs, and Walls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floorings</th>
<th>Weight (psf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight concrete topping, per inch of thickness</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand-lightweight (120pcf) concrete topping, per inch</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight (90–100pcf) concrete topping, per inch</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½ in. hardwood floor on sleepers clipped to concrete without fill</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¾ in. terrazzo floor finish directly on slab</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅝ in. terrazzo floor finish on 1 in. mortar bed</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 in. terrazzo finish on 2 in. concrete bed</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅝ in. ceramic or quarry tile on ½ in. mortar bed</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¾ in. ceramic or quarry tile on 1 in. mortar bed</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½ in. linoleum or asphalt tile directly on concrete</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅛ in. linoleum or asphalt tile on 1 in. mortar bed</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅝ in. mastic floor</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardwood flooring, ⅛ in. thick</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subflooring (soft wood), ⅛ in. thick</td>
<td>2⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphaltic concrete, ⅛ in. thick</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ceilings</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>½ in. gypsum board</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¾ in. gypsum board</td>
<td>2⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅝ in. plaster directly on concrete</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⅝ in. plaster on metal lath furring</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended ceilings</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustical tile</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustical tile on wood furring strips</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roofs</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballasted inverted membrane</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five-ply felt and gravel (or slag)</td>
<td>6⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-ply felt and gravel (or slag)</td>
<td>5⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five-ply felt composition roof, no gravel</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-ply felt composition roof, no gravel</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt strip shingles</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid insulation, per inch</td>
<td>⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum, per inch of thickness</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulating concrete, per inch</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walls</th>
<th>Unplastered</th>
<th>One side plastered</th>
<th>Both sides plastered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick wall</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 in. brick wall</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 in. brick wall</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. hollow normal weight concrete block</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 in. hollow normal weight concrete block</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 in. hollow normal weight concrete block</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 in. hollow normal weight concrete block</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walls</td>
<td>Unplastered</td>
<td>One side plastered</td>
<td>Both sides plastered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. hollow lightweight block or tile</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 in. hollow lightweight block or tile</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 in. hollow lightweight block or tile</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 in. hollow lightweight block or tile</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick 4 in. hollow normal weight block backing</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick 8 in. hollow normal weight block backing</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick 12 in. hollow normal weight block backing</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick 4 in. hollow lightweight block or tile backing</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick 8 in. hollow lightweight block or tile backing</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick 12 in. hollow lightweight block or tile backing</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. brick, steel or wood studs, ⅛ in. gypsum board</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows, glass, frame and sash</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 in. stone</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel or wood studs, lath, ⅛ in. plaster</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel or wood studs, ⅛ in. gypsum board each side</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel or wood studs, 2 layers ⅛ in. gypsum board each side</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table B-4  Area of Bars in a 1-Foot-wide Slab Strip

| Spacing, in. | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 | #7 | #8 | #9 | #10 | #11 | #14 | #18 | Spacing, in. |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|
| 4            | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.93 | 1.32 | 1.80 | 2.37 | 3.00 | 3.81 | 4.68 |       |       | 4            |
| 4\(\frac{1}{2}\) | 0.29 | 0.53 | 0.83 | 1.17 | 1.60 | 2.11 | 2.67 | 3.39 | 4.16 | 6.00 |       | 4\(\frac{1}{2}\) |
| 5            | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.74 | 1.06 | 1.44 | 1.90 | 2.40 | 3.05 | 3.74 | 5.40 | 9.60 | 5            |
| 5\(\frac{1}{4}\) | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 0.96 | 1.31 | 1.72 | 2.18 | 2.77 | 3.40 | 4.91 | 8.73 | 5\(\frac{1}{4}\) |
| 6            | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.62 | 0.88 | 1.20 | 1.58 | 2.00 | 2.54 | 3.12 | 4.50 | 8.00 | 6            |
| 6\(\frac{1}{2}\) | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.57 | 0.81 | 1.11 | 1.46 | 1.85 | 2.34 | 2.88 | 4.15 | 7.38 | 6\(\frac{1}{2}\) |
| 7            | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 1.03 | 1.35 | 1.71 | 2.18 | 2.67 | 3.86 | 6.86 | 7            |
| 7\(\frac{1}{4}\) | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.96 | 1.26 | 1.60 | 2.03 | 2.50 | 3.60 | 6.40 | 7\(\frac{1}{4}\) |
| 8            | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.90 | 1.19 | 1.50 | 1.91 | 2.34 | 3.38 | 6.00 | 8            |
| 8\(\frac{1}{2}\) | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 1.12 | 1.41 | 1.79 | 2.20 | 3.18 | 5.65 | 8\(\frac{1}{2}\) |
| 9            | 0.15 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 0.80 | 1.05 | 1.33 | 1.69 | 2.08 | 3.00 | 5.33 | 9            |
| 9\(\frac{1}{4}\) | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 1.26 | 1.60 | 1.97 | 2.84 | 5.05 | 9\(\frac{1}{4}\) |
| 10           | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 0.95 | 1.20 | 1.52 | 1.87 | 2.70 | 4.80 | 10           |
| 10\(\frac{1}{4}\) | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 1.14 | 1.45 | 1.78 | 2.57 | 4.57 | 10\(\frac{1}{4}\) |
| 11           | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 1.09 | 1.39 | 1.70 | 2.45 | 4.36 | 11           |
| 11\(\frac{1}{4}\) | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.63 | 0.82 | 1.04 | 1.33 | 1.63 | 2.35 | 4.17 | 11\(\frac{1}{4}\) |
| 12           | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.79 | 1.00 | 1.27 | 1.56 | 2.25 | 4.00 | 12           |
| 13           | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 0.92 | 1.17 | 1.44 | 2.08 | 3.69 | 13           |
| 14           | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.68 | 0.86 | 1.09 | 1.34 | 1.93 | 3.43 | 14           |
| 15           | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 1.02 | 1.25 | 1.80 | 3.20 | 15           |
| 16           | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.59 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 1.17 | 1.69 | 3.00 | 16           |
| 17           | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0.71 | 0.90 | 1.10 | 1.59 | 2.82 | 17           |
| 18           | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.53 | 0.67 | 0.85 | 1.04 | 1.50 | 2.67 | 18           |
### Table B-5 Properties and Design Strengths of Prestressing Strand and Wire

#### Seven-Wire Strand, $f_{pu} = 270$ ksi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Diameter, in.</th>
<th>3/8</th>
<th>7/16</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>9/16</th>
<th>0.600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area, sq in.</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, pf</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.7f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.75f_{pu}A_{mr}$, kips</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.8f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Seven-Wire Strand, $f_{pu} = 250$ ksi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Diameter, in.</th>
<th>1/4</th>
<th>5/16</th>
<th>3/8</th>
<th>7/16</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>0.600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area, sq in.</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, pf</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.7f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.8f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Three- and Four-Wire Strand, $f_{pu} = 250$ ksi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Diameter, in.</th>
<th>1/4</th>
<th>5/16</th>
<th>3/8</th>
<th>7/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of wires</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area, sq in.</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, pf</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.7f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.8f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Prestressing Wire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter, in.</th>
<th>0.105</th>
<th>0.120</th>
<th>0.135</th>
<th>0.148</th>
<th>0.162</th>
<th>0.177</th>
<th>0.192</th>
<th>0.196</th>
<th>0.250</th>
<th>0.276</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area, sq in.</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>0.0246</td>
<td>0.0289</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>0.0598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, pf</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ult. strength, $f_{pu}$, ksi</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.7f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>9.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.8f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>11.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{pu}A_{ps}$, kips</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>14.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table B-6  Properties and Design Strengths of Prestressing Bars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Diameter, in.</th>
<th>3/4</th>
<th>7/8</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1 1/8</th>
<th>1 1/4</th>
<th>1 3/8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area, sq. in.</strong></td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>1.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight, pf</strong></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.7 fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>100.9</td>
<td>124.5</td>
<td>150.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.8 fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>172.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>144.1</td>
<td>177.9</td>
<td>215.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Diameter, in.</th>
<th>3/4</th>
<th>7/8</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1 1/8</th>
<th>1 1/4</th>
<th>1 3/8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area, sq. in.</strong></td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>1.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight, pf</strong></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.7 fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>137.4</td>
<td>166.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.8 fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>127.2</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>190.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>196.3</td>
<td>237.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Deformed Prestressing Bars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Diameter, in.</th>
<th>5/8</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1 1/4</th>
<th>1 1/4</th>
<th>1 3/8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area, sq. in.</strong></td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight, pf</strong></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ult. strength, fg, ksi</strong></td>
<td>157</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160*</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160*</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.7 fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>165.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.8 fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>189.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fg, Ap, kips</strong></td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>237.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stress-strain characteristics** (all prestressing bars):

- For design purposes, following assumptions are satisfactory:
  - $E_s = 29,000$ ksi
  - $f_y = 0.95 f_{pu}$

*Verify availability before specifying*
### Table B–7  Moments in Beams with Fixed Ends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading</th>
<th>Moment at A</th>
<th>Moment at center</th>
<th>Moment at B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Diagram 1" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{P}{8}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{P}{8}$</td>
<td>$- \frac{P}{8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Diagram 2" /></td>
<td>$- P(a(1-a)^2)$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$- P(a^2(1-a))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Diagram 3" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{2P}{9}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{P}{9}$</td>
<td>$- \frac{2P}{9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Diagram 4" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{5P}{16}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{3P}{16}$</td>
<td>$- \frac{5P}{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Diagram 5" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{W}{12}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{W}{24}$</td>
<td>$- \frac{W}{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Diagram 6" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{W(1+2a-2a^2)}{12}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{W(1+2a+4a^2)}{24}$</td>
<td>$- \frac{W(1+2a-2a^2)}{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Diagram 7" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{W(3a-2a^2)}{12}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{Wa^2}{6}$</td>
<td>$- \frac{W(3a-2a^2)}{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Diagram 8" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{W(a(6-8a+3a^2))}{12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$- \frac{Wa^2(4-3a)}{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Diagram 9" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{5W}{48}$</td>
<td>$+ \frac{3W}{48}$</td>
<td>$- \frac{5W}{48}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Diagram 10" /></td>
<td>$- \frac{W}{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$- \frac{W}{15}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$W =$ Total load on beam
Table B–8  Camber (Deflection) and Rotation Coefficients for Prestress Force and Loads*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prestress Pattern</th>
<th>Equivalent Moment or Load</th>
<th>Equivalent Loading</th>
<th>Camber</th>
<th>End Rotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>$M = Pe$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$+\frac{Mh^2}{16,EI}$</td>
<td>$+\frac{Mh}{3,EI}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>$M = Pe$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$+\frac{Mh^2}{16,EI}$</td>
<td>$+\frac{Mh}{6,EI}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>$M = Pe$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$+\frac{Mh^2}{8,EI}$</td>
<td>$+\frac{Mh}{2,EI}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>$N = \frac{4,Pe}{l}$</td>
<td>$\frac{l}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{l}{2}$</td>
<td>$+\frac{Nh^2}{48,EI}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>$N = \frac{Pe'}{bl'}$</td>
<td>$\frac{b}{N}$</td>
<td>$\frac{b}{N}$</td>
<td>$+\frac{b(3 - 4b^2)Nh^2}{24,EI}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B–8  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Moment Diagram</th>
<th>E.I.</th>
<th></th>
<th>E.I.</th>
<th>E.I.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>$w = \frac{8Pe'}{l^2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5w^3$</td>
<td>$\frac{384}{384 E I}$</td>
<td>$\frac{w^2}{24 E I}$</td>
<td>$\frac{w^3}{24 E I}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>$w = \frac{9Pe'}{l^2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5w^3$</td>
<td>$\frac{768}{768 E I}$</td>
<td>$\frac{9w^3}{384 E I}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{7w^3}{384 E I}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>$w = \frac{8Pe'}{l^2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5w^3$</td>
<td>$\frac{768}{768 E I}$</td>
<td>$\frac{7w^3}{384 E I}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{9w^3}{384 E I}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>$w = \frac{4Pe'}{(0.5\cdot b)^2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{5}{b} \cdot \frac{b}{2} \cdot \left(3 - 2b^2\right) \cdot \frac{w^3}{48 E I}$</td>
<td>$\frac{(1-b)(1-2b)w^3}{24 E I}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{(1-b)(1-2b)w^3}{24 E I}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>$w = \frac{4Pe'}{(0.5\cdot b)^2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{5}{16} \cdot \frac{b}{4} \cdot \left(3 - 2b^2\right) \cdot \frac{w^3}{48 E I}$</td>
<td>$\frac{9}{8} - b \cdot \left(2 - b^2\right) \cdot \frac{w^3}{48 E I}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{7}{8} - b \cdot \left(2 - b^2\right) \cdot \frac{w^3}{48 E I}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>$w = \frac{4Pe'}{(0.5\cdot b)^2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{5}{16} \cdot \frac{b}{4} \cdot \left(3 - 2b^2\right) \cdot \frac{w^3}{48 E I}$</td>
<td>$\frac{7}{8} - b \cdot \left(2 - b^2\right) \cdot \frac{w^3}{48 E I}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{9}{8} - b \cdot \left(2 - b^2\right) \cdot \frac{w^3}{48 E I}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The tabulated values apply to the effects of prestressing. By adjusting the directional notation, they may also be used for the effects of loads.
For patterns 4–11, superimpose on 1, 2, or 3 for other C.G. locations.
### Table B-9  Presumptive Bearing Capacity (tons/ft²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Soil</th>
<th>Bearing Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Massive crystalline bedrock, such as granite, diorite, gneiss, and trap rock</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foliated rocks, such as schist or slate</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary rocks, such as hard shales, sandstones, limestones, and siltstones</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel and gravel–sand mixtures (GW and GP soils)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densely compacted</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium compacted</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose, not compacted</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sands and gravelly sands, well graded (SW soil)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densely compacted</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium compacted</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose, not compacted</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sands and gravelly sands, poorly graded (SP soil)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densely compacted</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium compacted</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose, not compacted</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silty gravels and gravel–sand–silt mixtures (GM soil)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densely compacted</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium compacted</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose, not compacted</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silty sand and silt-sand mixtures (SM soil)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayey gravels, gravel–sand–clay mixtures, clayey sands, sand–clay mixtures (GC and SC soils)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic silts, and fine sands; silty or clayey fine sands and clayey silts, with slight plasticity; inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity; gravelly clays; sandy clays; silty clays; lean clays (ML and CL soils)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays; micaceous or diatomaceous fine sand or silty soils, elastic silts (CH and MH soils)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth</td>
<td>Deformed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ I_x = \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_x} \right)^3 I_y + \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M_x} \right)^3 \right] I_{cr} \]

\[ f_x = f_{ck} - 7.5 \sqrt{f_c'} \quad \text{for normal weight concrete} \]

\[ f_x = f_{ck} - 6.4 \sqrt{f_c'} \quad \text{for sand-lightweight concrete} \]

**Figure B–1** Effective moment of inertia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Geometric section modulus, $Z_e$, in.$^3$</th>
<th>Shape factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![Rectangular Section]</td>
<td>$\frac{bh^2}{4}$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![I-Beam Section]</td>
<td>$bt (h - t) + \frac{w}{4} (h - 2t)^2$</td>
<td>1.12 (approx)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Circular Section]</td>
<td>$\frac{h^3}{6}$</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Circular Tube Section]</td>
<td>$\frac{h^3}{6} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{2t}{h} \right)^3 \right]$</td>
<td>$\frac{16}{3\pi} \left[ \frac{1 - \left( \frac{2t}{h} \right)^3}{1 - \left( \frac{2t}{h} \right)^2} \right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Square Section]</td>
<td>$\frac{bh^2}{4} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{2w}{b} \right) \left( \frac{2t}{h} \right)^2 \right]$</td>
<td>1.12 (approx) for thin walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Diamond Section]</td>
<td>$\frac{bh^2}{12}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure B-2** Geometric section moduli and shape factors
These curves can be approximated by the following equations:

\[ \epsilon_{ps} \leq 0.008: \quad f_{ps} = 28,000 \epsilon_{ps} \text{ (ksi)} \]

\[ \epsilon_{ps} > 0.008: \]

250 ksi strand: \[ f_{ps} = 248 - \frac{0.068}{\epsilon_{ps} - 0.008} < 0.98 f_{pu} \text{ (ksi)} \]

270 ksi strand: \[ f_{ps} = 268 - \frac{0.075}{\epsilon_{ps} - 0.0065} < 0.98 f_{pu} \text{ (ksi)} \]

Figure B-3 Typical stress-strain curve, 7-wire stress-relieved and low-relaxation prestressing strand
Example: For the T-beam shown, find the moment of inertia $I_z$:

\[ a_s = \frac{b}{b_w} = \frac{143}{15} = 9.53 \]

\[ \beta_s = \frac{h_f}{h} = \frac{8}{36} = 0.22 \]

Interpolating between the curves for $\beta_s = 0.2$ and 0.3, read $K_w = 1.15$

\[ I_z = K_w \frac{b_w h^3}{12} = 2.28 \times \frac{15(36)^3}{12} = 133,000 \text{ in.}^4 \]

**Figure B-4** Gross moment of inertia of T sections
Figure B–5 Stress-strain diagram for prestressing steel strands in comparison with mild steel bar reinforcement.
Appendix B  Unit Conversions, Design Information, Properties of Reinforcement

Plate Anchorage SD

Flat Anchorage FA

Combination Plate Anchorage SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tendon Size</th>
<th>Flat Anchor</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Pocket Former</th>
<th>Duct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tendon Size</td>
<td>3.0&quot; or 4.0&quot;</td>
<td>4.0&quot; or 5.0&quot;</td>
<td>4.0&quot; or 5.0&quot;</td>
<td>4.0&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.1255</td>
<td>13.1330</td>
<td>15.1445</td>
<td>15.1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>4.1.100</td>
<td>40.1100</td>
<td>6.12125</td>
<td>6.12125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.1225</td>
<td>2.1225</td>
<td>3.1313</td>
<td>3.1313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>12.1225</td>
<td>15.1445</td>
<td>18.1565</td>
<td>18.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>4.1.115</td>
<td>6.12125</td>
<td>8.1325</td>
<td>8.1325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>10.1275</td>
<td>13.1350</td>
<td>15.1475</td>
<td>15.1475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.12125</td>
<td>6.12125</td>
<td>8.1325</td>
<td>8.1325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.1275</td>
<td>7.1275</td>
<td>9.1345</td>
<td>9.1345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.1565</td>
<td>3.1565</td>
<td>5.1685</td>
<td>5.1685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID1</td>
<td>11.125</td>
<td>11.125</td>
<td>13.1375</td>
<td>13.1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID2</td>
<td>3.175</td>
<td>5.175</td>
<td>6.1300</td>
<td>6.1300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tendon Size</th>
<th>Combination Plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0&quot; or 4.0&quot;</td>
<td>4.0&quot; or 5.0&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.1445</td>
<td>15.1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.12125</td>
<td>6.12125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1225</td>
<td>2.1225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1225</td>
<td>12.1225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.115</td>
<td>4.1.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1275</td>
<td>10.1275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.12125</td>
<td>6.12125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1275</td>
<td>7.1275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1565</td>
<td>3.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.125</td>
<td>11.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.175</td>
<td>3.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All dimensions are nominal and are expressed in inch \ mm. Technical data subject to change.

Figure B-6  Dywidag flat anchorage for prestressing strands (Courtesy Dywidag Systems International).
### Figure B-7

Dwywidag multiple anchorage for prestressing strands (Courtesy Dwywidag Systems International)
SELECTED TYPICAL STANDARD
PRECAST DOUBLE TEES, INVERTED
TEES, HOLLOW CORE SECTIONS,
AND AASHTO BRIDGE SECTIONS
Appendix C  Selected Typical Standard Precast Double Tees, Inverted Tees, Hollow Core Sections

DOUBLE TEE
8"-0" x 24"
Normal Weight Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>strand Pattern Designation</th>
<th>Section Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Untopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A = 401 in.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I = 20,985 in.⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yₚ = 17.15 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yₑ = 6.83 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zₑ = 1,224 in.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zₑ = 3,063 in.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wt = 418 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/S = 1.41 in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Safe loads shown include dead load of 10 psf for untopped members and 15 psf for topped members. Remainder is live load. Long-time cambers include superimposed dead load but do not include live load.

Key:
173 — safe superimposed service load, psf
0.5 — estimated camber at erection, in.
0.7 — estimated long-time camber, in.

\[ f'_{c} = 5,000 \text{ psi} \]
\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \]

Table of safe superimposed service load (psf) and cambers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strand Pattern</th>
<th>( a_0 )</th>
<th>Span, ft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-S</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-S</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-S</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-S</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-D1</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-D1</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-D1</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-D1</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-D1</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-D1</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-D1</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>13.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148-D1</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>13.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table of safe superimposed service load (psf) and cambers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strand Pattern</th>
<th>( a_0 )</th>
<th>Span, ft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-S</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-S</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-S</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-D1</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-D1</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88-S</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88-D1</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88-D1</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>14.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88-D1</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-D1</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>14.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-D1</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>13.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strength based on strain compatibility; bottom tension limited to 12\(\sqrt{f'_{c}}\). Shaded values require release strengths higher than 3500 psi.

Figure C-1 8"-0" x 24" Double Tee (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 4.9)
### Table of safe superimposed service load (psf) and cambers

**No Topping**

| Strand Pattern | Span, ft. | 28 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 72 |
|----------------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 68-S 14.29     |          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 68-S 14.29     |          | 179 | 146 | 123 | 104 | 88 | 74 | 63 | 53 | 44 | 36 | 30 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 88-S 12.29     |          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 88-S 12.29     |          | 183 | 156 | 133 | 113 | 97 | 83 | 71 | 61 | 52 | 44 | 37 | 31 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 88-D1 12.29    |          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 88-D1 12.29    |          | 174 | 159 | 139 | 119 | 100 | 85 | 70 | 57 | 47 | 38 | 30 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 108-D1 10.29   |          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 128-D1 8.62    |          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 148-D1 7.43    |          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

**Strength based on strain compatibility; bottom tension limited to 12√ f'c.**

Shaded values require release strengths higher than 3500 psi.

**Figure C-2 10'-0" x 26" Double Tee (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 4.9)**
PRETOPPED DOUBLE TEE

12'-0" x 34"

Key

176 — Safe superimposed service load, psi
0.8 — Estimated camber at erection, in.
1.1 — Estimated long-time camber, in.

0.67 x 0.67

\[ f_c = 5,000 \text{ psi} \]

\[ f_{pu} = 270,000 \text{ psi} \]

Table of safe superimposed service load (psf) and cambers (in.)

No Topping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strand Pattern</th>
<th>( a_1, ) in.</th>
<th>( a_2, ) in.</th>
<th>Span, ft</th>
<th>No Topping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12B-D1</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12G-D1</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-D1</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18G-D1</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20G-D1</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22G-D1</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table of safe superimposed service load (psf) and cambers (in.)

No Topping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strand Pattern</th>
<th>( a_1, ) in.</th>
<th>( a_2, ) in.</th>
<th>Span, ft</th>
<th>No Topping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12B-D1</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12G-D1</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-D1</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18G-D1</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20G-D1</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22G-D1</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strength based on strain compatibility; bottom tension limited to 12\( \sqrt{f_c} \); see pages 2-2 to 2-6 for explanation.

Shaded values require release strengths higher than 3500 psi.

Figure C-3: Pretopped 12'-0" x 34" Double Tee (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 4.9)
INVERTED TEE BEAMS

Normal Weight Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30T60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key
6,428 — Safe superimposed service load, plf
0.4 — Estimated camber at erection, in.
0.2 — Estimated long-time camber, in.

1. Check local area for availability of other sizes.
2. Safe loads shown include 50% dead load and 50% live load. 800 psi top tension has been allowed, therefore additional top reinforcement is required.
3. Safe loads can be significantly increased by use of structural composite topping.

Table of safe superimposed service load (plf) and cambers

| Designation | No. Strand | e | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 | 48 | 50 |
|-------------|------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 30T20       | 14         | 6.65 | 8,428 | 6,736 | 5,895 | 4,533 | 3,752 | 3,204 | 2,730 | 2,342 | 2,029 | 1,751 | 1,523 | 1,333 | 1,167 | 1,024 |
| 30T24       | 17         | 7.67 | 9,736 | 7,942 | 6,578 | 5,516 | 4,673 | 3,994 | 3,437 | 2,975 | 2,592 | 2,289 | 1,993 | 1,735 | 1,500 | 1,370 | 1,212 | 1,073 |
| 30T28       | 20         | 9.06 | 9,087 | 7,643 | 6,497 | 5,573 | 4,616 | 3,819 | 3,219 | 2,689 | 2,313 | 2,013 | 1,743 | 1,519 | 1,324 | 1,161 | 1,024 | 0.96 |
| 30T32       | 23         | 10.50 | 8,647 | 7,436 | 6,445 | 5,629 | 4,935 | 4,352 | 3,865 | 3,426 | 3,085 | 2,722 | 2,448 | 2,201 |
| 30T36       | 24         | 12.32 | 9,492 | 8,243 | 7,207 | 6,340 | 5,605 | 4,978 | 4,439 | 3,971 | 3,563 | 3,205 | 2,892 |
| 30T40       | 30         | 12.92 | 9,077 | 7,994 | 7,077 | 6,295 | 5,621 | 5,037 | 4,528 | 4,061 | 3,641 | 3,287 | 2,982 |
| 30T44       | 30         | 14.73 | 9,659 | 8,584 | 7,829 | 7,082 | 6,385 | 5,712 | 5,149 | 4,685 | 4,264 | 3,884 | 3,541 |
| 30T48       | 33         | 16.17 | 9,222 | 8,282 | 7,431 | 6,705 | 6,068 | 5,506 | 4,985 | 4,514 | 4,084 | 3,687 |
| 30T52       | 36         | 17.62 | 9,836 | 8,858 | 8,004 | 7,255 | 6,594 | 5,977 | 5,441 | 4,920 | 4,422 | 3,945 |
| 30T56       | 39         | 19.06 | 9,407 | 8,538 | 7,770 | 7,081 | 6,431 | 5,832 | 5,291 | 4,790 | 4,320 | 3,875 |
| 30T60       | 42         | 20.49 | 9,917 | 9,038 | 8,241 | 7,501 | 6,821 | 6,186 | 5,582 | 5,007 | 4,468 | 3,954 |

Figure C-4 Inverted Tee Beam Sections (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 4.9)
### HOLLOW-CORE SLABS

#### Section Properties — normal weight concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade name: Dy-Core&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licensing Organization: Dy-Core Systems, Inc., Vancouver, British Columbia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Dy-Core

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Untopped</th>
<th>With 2&quot; topping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>width x depth</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 6&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 8&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 10&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 12&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 15&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All sections not available from all producers. Check availability with local manufacturers.

#### Section Properties — normal weight concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade name: Dynaspan&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Manufacturers: Dynamold Corporation, Salina, Kansas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Dynaspan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Untopped</th>
<th>With 2&quot; topping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>width x depth</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 4&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 6&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 8&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'-0&quot; x 10&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'-0&quot; x 6&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'-0&quot; x 8&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'-0&quot; x 10&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'-0&quot; x 12&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All sections not available from all producers. Check availability with local manufacturers.

---

**Figure C–5** Hollow Core Slab Sections (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 4.9)
### AASHTO I-Beams

**Dimensions (inches)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
<th>D5</th>
<th>D6</th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>B4</th>
<th>B5</th>
<th>B6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Area(^2) in.(^2)</th>
<th>(y_{\text{bottom}}) in.</th>
<th>Inertia(^4) in.(^4)</th>
<th>Weight kip/ft</th>
<th>Maximum Span, ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>22,750</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>50,980</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>125,390</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>260,730</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>31.96</td>
<td>521,180</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>733,320</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on simple span, HS-25 loading and \(f'_c = 7,000\) psi.

Figure C-6 (a) AASHTO/PCI Standard Bridge Sections (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 12.11)
AASHTO I-Beams

Type I
28°

Type II
36°

Type III
2" (TYP)
45°

Type IV
54°

Type V
63°

Type VI
72°

Figure C-6 (b)  Possible Strand Arrangement for Sections in Figure C-6 (a)
AASHTO-PCI Bulb-Tees

Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>H in.</th>
<th>H_w in.</th>
<th>Area in.²</th>
<th>Inertia in.⁴</th>
<th>y_{bottom} in.</th>
<th>Weight kip/ft</th>
<th>Maximum Span, ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT-54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>268,077</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT-63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>392,638</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT-72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>545,894</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on simple span, HS-25 loading and f_c’ = 7,000 psi.

Figure C-7 (a) AASHTO/PCI Bulb Tees (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 12.11)
Appendix C   Selected Typical Standard Precast Double Tees, Inverted Tees, Hollow Core Sections

AASHTO-PCI Bulb-Tees

Figure C-7 (b)  Possible Strand Arrangement for Sections in Figure C-7 (a)
### Deck Bulb-Tees

![Diagram of Deck Bulb-Tees](image)

#### Dimensions and Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H in.</th>
<th>H_w in.</th>
<th>W in.</th>
<th>Area in.²</th>
<th>Inertia in.⁴</th>
<th>y_{bottom} in.</th>
<th>Weight kip/ft</th>
<th>Maximum Span* ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>101,540</td>
<td>21.12</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>116,071</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>126,353</td>
<td>24.37</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>294,350</td>
<td>31.71</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>335,679</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>365,827</td>
<td>36.63</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>490,755</td>
<td>38.55</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>559,367</td>
<td>41.95</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>610,435</td>
<td>44.46</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on simple span, HS-25 loading and f'_c = 7,000 psi.

**Figure C-8 (a)** AASHTO/PCI Shallow Bridge Deck Bulb Tees (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 12.11)
AASHTO Box Beams

Typical Keyway Details

Typical Longitudinal Section

Dimensions (inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BI-36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BII-36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BII-48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIII-36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIII-48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIV-36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIV-48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>(y_{	ext{max}})</th>
<th>Inertia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{in.}^2)</td>
<td>(\text{in.})</td>
<td>(\text{in.}^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-36</td>
<td>560.5</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>50,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-48</td>
<td>692.5</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>65,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BII-36</td>
<td>620.5</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>85,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BII-48</td>
<td>752.5</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>110,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIII-36</td>
<td>680.5</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>131,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIII-48</td>
<td>812.5</td>
<td>19.29</td>
<td>168,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIV-36</td>
<td>710.5</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>158,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIV-48</td>
<td>842.5</td>
<td>20.78</td>
<td>203,088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\begin{align*}
\text{Weight} & = 0.584 \text{kip/ft} \\
\text{Max. Span} & = 92 \text{ ft} \\
\end{align*}

*Based on simple span, HS-25 loading and \(f_y = 7,000 \text{ psi}\).

Figure C-9 (a) AASHTO/PCI Bridge Box Girders (Courtesy PCI, Ref. 12.11)
AASHTO Box Beams

Type BI-48

Type BI-36

Type BII-48

Type BII-36

Type BIII-48

Type BIII-36

Type BIV-48

Type BIV-36

Figure C-9 (b) Possible Strand Arrangement for Sections in Figure C-9 (a)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>design, concrete bridges, 726</td>
<td>constant eccentricity, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRFD specifications, 738, 739, 740</td>
<td>continuous, 325, 342, 389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sections, 116–119</td>
<td>variable eccentricity, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard specifications, 728</td>
<td>weak beam-strong column concept, 826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truck loads, 728</td>
<td>Bearing stresses, 618, 622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abeles, P.W., 6, 28, 72, 220, 321, 400, 697, 725</td>
<td>Biaxial bending, 515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration, 811</td>
<td>Billington, D.P., 697, 724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maps, 812, 813</td>
<td>Blair, H., 692, 725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI, 71, 79, 130, 161, 185, 187, 200, 397, 399, 430, 437, 541, 543, 688, 725, 728, 743, 747, 803</td>
<td>Blair, K., 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI-ASCE, 74, 80, 82, 104, 228</td>
<td>Breen, J.E., 144, 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrinkage of, 48</td>
<td>AASHTO sections, 920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowable deflections, 437, 438</td>
<td>cable-stayed, 3, 7, 11, 490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowable stresses</td>
<td>segmental, 3, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concrete, 59, 60</td>
<td>Brondum-Nielsen, T., 725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>steel, 59, 60</td>
<td>Burdet, O., 144, 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis, flexural, 107</td>
<td>Burns, N.H., 28, 220, 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage zone design, 141, 145</td>
<td>Bursting stresses, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSI, 182, 220</td>
<td>Cantilever factor, 661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSI/ASCE, 689</td>
<td>CEB, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur, P.D., 400</td>
<td>CEB-FIP, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCE, 726, 727, 747, 803</td>
<td>Center-of-pressure line (C-line), 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM, 70, 71</td>
<td>Chakrabarti, P., 557, 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM Specification, 50</td>
<td>Chan, T.C., 643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aswad, A., 848, 882</td>
<td>Chen, B., 39, 41, 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aswad, G.S., 848, 867, 882</td>
<td>Chen, K.C., 219, 235, 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badie, S.S., 803</td>
<td>Chiang, J.Y., 219, 463, 481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baishya, M.C., 803</td>
<td>Choek, G.S., 881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, A.L.L., 181, 220, 392, 400</td>
<td>Circular pre stressing, 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balaguru, P.N., 71, 220</td>
<td>Circular tanks, 644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balancing effects, 15</td>
<td>Cleeland, N.M., 881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bardhan-Roy, B.K., 28, 70, 72, 220, 321, 400, 699, 725</td>
<td>C-line, 12, 21, 331, 336, 346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars</td>
<td>Clough, D.P., 643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prestressing, 53, 54</td>
<td>Clough, R.W., 862, 881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reinforcing, 50, 51</td>
<td>Cohn, M.Z., 104, 392, 400, 463, 481, 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base restraint, 656</td>
<td>Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic concepts of prestressing, 7</td>
<td>behavior, 485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bazant, 481</td>
<td>load contour method, 516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modified, 526</td>
<td>nonslender, 492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Δ effect, 507</td>
<td>reciprocal load method for biaxial bending, 524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Columns (cont.)
  slender, 499, 509
  strength of, 491
Combined slide and pin, 663
Committee 425
Compatibility, 198, 298
Composite beams
  elastic flexural stresses in, 158, 162, 242
  section properties of, 115–119
  shear transfer in, 242
Composite members, 617
Composite sections, 118, 152, 165, 242
Compression-controlled state, 198, 743
Computer programs in Q-Basic, 885
Concordant tendon, 338
Concrete, 31
  compressive strength, 32, 36, 39
  creep properties, 43
  modulus of rupture, 406
  shear strength, 35
  stress-strain diagram, 36
  stress-strain properties of, 451
  tensile strength, 33
Confining reinforcement, 829
  transverse, 831
Connections
  dapped-end beam, 624
  details of, 635
  tolerances for, 618, 619
Continuous beams, 325
Corbels, 263, 631
Corley, W.G., 392, 400
Cornell, C.A., 182, 220
Coupling beams, 808, 834, 836
Crack control, 463, 692
Cracking
  beam behavior before cracking, 404, 407
  beams, 411, 423, 463, 464
  inclined, 228
  longitudinal, 140
  tension members, 531
Crack width
  calculation of, 692
Crack widths
  calculation of, 464, 465
  tolerable, 469
Creasy, L.R., 647, 661, 678, 724 (Ref. 11.2)
Creep
  coefficient, 46
  concrete, 43
  in long-term deflections, 430, 431, 438
  losses, 80
Crom, J.S. Sr., 644
Cross, H., 614
Curing, 47
Curvature
  beams, 407, 413, 424, 436
  creep, 434
  instantaneous, 403, 410
Danesi, R., 392, 400
Decompression, 110, 111
Deflections
  allowable, 437, 438
  of flat plates, 594
  incremental, 413
  time-step method for, 432
  of two-way slabs and plates, 597
Deformation
  of concrete, 33
Derecho, A.T., 881
Design aids, 726
Design information, 899
Diagonal shear cracking, 228
Diaphragms, 808, 823, 834
Dikshit, O.P., 614
Dilger, W.H., 104, 480
Dill, R.E., 5
Djazmati, C., 848, 882
Dohm, C.W., 28
Doebrin, C.W., 5
Double-T beams, 116, 117
Drift, 823, 824
Dual systems, 849, 874
Ductile frames, 840, 849
Ducts, 66
Dywidag ductile connection assembly (DDC), 65, 844, 870
Earthquake ground motion, 806, 808
Eccentricity
  limits of, 122
  variation of, 115
Economics of prestresses concrete, 4
Effective prestress, 73, 93, 97, 100
Elastic deformation, 75
Elastic modulus
  of concrete, 36
  of steel, 56
Elastic shortening losses, 75
Ellingwood, B., 182, 220
Empty tank technique, 655
End-block reinforcement, 173
Englekirk, R.E., 837, 838, 839, 844, 870, 875, 880
  (Ref. 13.21, 13.22)
Equivalent frame method
  for deflection, 594, 595
  for flexural analysis, 543, 547
Equivalent lateral force method in seismic design, 819
Equivalent load method, 16, 22, 331, 332, 335, 336
Ezelidin, A., 220
Failure
  shear compression, 231
Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 881
Federal Highway Administration, 220
FIP, 74
First-order second-moment (FOSM) method, 182
Fixity at wall foot, 679, 720
Fixity of dome support, 696
Flange width, effective, 161
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flat dome, 696</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat-plate slabs, 576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural strength</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of beams, 178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural stresses, 59, 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowable in concrete, 59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowable in steel, 59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexure-shear cracking, 225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form factor, 661</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frames, indeterminate, 363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freely sliding, 643, 646, 648, 678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freudenthal, A.M., 71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freysinnet, E., 6, 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frictional losses, 85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furlong, R.W., 392, 400, 643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadebuku, B.K., 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadebuku, C., 144, 145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galambos, T.V., 182, 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas load, 646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gergely, P., 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerwick, B.C. Jr., 28, 220, 345, 400, 536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gesund, H., 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghali, A., 104, 480, 724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghosh, S.K., 841, 881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodkind, H., 219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gouwens, A.J., 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grosso, J., 392, 400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grouting tendons, 64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson, D.P., 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyon, Y., 6, 28, 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemabon, R., 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemispherical dome, 693, 696</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hewett, W.H., S., 644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-rise buildings, 837, 870, 874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinges, plastic, 385, 389, 392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-rise buildings, 844, 862, 870, 874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical development of prestressing, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman, E.S., 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoop stress, 657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal base shear, 819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal interface shear, 750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu, T.T.C., 285, 288, 295, 302, 321, 746, 803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu, C.T.T., 525, 526, 536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang, P.T., 220, 463, 464, 481</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hung, T.Y., 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beams, 325, 347, 385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frames, 363, 385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence coefficients, 387, 389, 653, 654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial prestress, 10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Structural Engineers, 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Building Code (IBC2000), 397, 400, 735, 809, 810, 815, 818, 819, 823, 826, 839, 881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itaya, R., 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack, hydraulic, 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson, P.H., 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johansen, K.W., 600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern of the cross-section, 130, 134, 135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kundalpur, S.T., 803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunnath, S.K., 843, 881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakhwara, T.R., 536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ledges, 631</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, J.Y., 803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonhardt, F., 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lew, H.S., 841, 881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift slabs, 538, 540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit analysis, 385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin, T.Y., 6, 28, 104, 220, 400, 536, 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear elastic anchorage zone analysis, 144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear transformation, 338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid load, 645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llovet, D., 839, 879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load-and-resistance-factor-design (LRFD) method, 182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load balancing, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for beams, 331, 342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for slabs, 551, 561</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load-balancing method for beams, 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load combinations, 901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load contour method of biaxial bending, 516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load factors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI, 185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anchorage seating, 88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creep, 80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elastic shortening, 76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>friction, 85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relaxation, 78, 80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrinkage, 83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of prestress, 73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRFD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO design, concrete bridges, 726</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lund, J., 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnel, G., 6, 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maher, M.H., 39, 41, 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansfield, E.H., 606, 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcie, G.A., 841, 882</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall, W.T., 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, L.D., 431, 481</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mast, R.F., 743, 755, 803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattock, A.H., 143, 218, 235, 320, 392, 400, 643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGee, W.D., 320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGregor, J.G., 182, 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta, P.K., 71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane coefficients, 662–675, 661, 664–677</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikhailev, V., 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindess, S., 71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirza, S.A., 643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified load contour method for biaxial bending, 526</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment distribution, 335, 336, 556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carryover factor, 580, 581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment magnification factor for columns, 504</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia, effective, 411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment redistribution, 342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Morgan, N., 614
Moriadith, F.L., 536
Multipliers for deflection, 430

Naaman, A.E., 28, 220, 743, 755, 758, 760, 803
Naja, W.M., 808, 840, 881
Nakaki, S.D., 840, 881 (Ref. 13.18)
Neville, A.M., 71
Nilson, A.H., 220, 400, 435, 480, 614
Nonprestressed steel, 50
in beams, 50
in columns, 50
in slabs, 51, 52
Norris, H.C., 881

Occupy, seismic, 821
One-way slabs, 566
Overturning, 825

Park, R., 400
Partial prestressing, 179
Pauley, J., 400
Pauley, T., 835, 836, 838, 839, 870, 878
PCI, 74, 83, 104, 117, 120, 130, 220, 245, 259, 303, 320, 321, 400, 430, 480, 536, 617, 620, 635, 643, 645, 688, 725, 731, 763, 768, 785, 803
connection details, 635–642
deflection multipliers, 438, 452
Period of vibration, 809
Pessiki, S., 844, 849, 852, 882 (Refs. 13.31–13.33)
Pinned wall foot, 655, 679, 681
Plastic hinges, 385, 389, 392
Plasticity equilibrium torsion, 293
Plates
carryover factors, 580, 581
fixed-end moments, 556
torsional stiffness, 548
Poisson’s ratio for concrete, 652, 655, 659
Popovics, S., 70
Portland Cement Association, 71, 662, 724
Post-tensioning, 62
Post-Tensioning Institute, 71, 104, 144, 219, 536, 613, 643, 688, 725, 803
Potyondy, G.J., 62, 72, 202, 219, 392, 400, 463, 464, 480
Precast prestressed frames in high seismicity zones, 848
Precast tanks, 688, 689
Preload, 647, 649, 699
Pressure, center of, 13
Pressure grouting, 64
Preston, R.L., 614, 692, 725
Pretensioning, 61
Priestley, M.J.N., 837, 838, 840, 872, 882
Primary moments, 328
Principal stresses in beams, 232
Probable shears and moments, 824
Profile of tendons, 129, 132, 133
Rabbat, B.G., 287, 321
Radial shear, 646, 656
Ramakrishnan, V., 400
Reciprocal load method for biaxial bending, 524
Redistribution of elastic moments, 342
Reinforcement, properties of, 899
Reinforcement index, 198, 200
Relative stiffness, 542, 548
Relaxation losses, 78, 80
of steel, 78
Restraint wall foot, 646
Reynolds, C.E., 553, 554, 555, 614
Rice, P.F., 614
Ring force, 658, 660
Roberts, C., 144, 220
Roll, F., 71
Roof, 692
Ross, A.D., 71
Rotation, 386
Safety, 726
structural, 181
Salek, F., 392, 400
Sanders, D., 144, 220
Sauer, J.A., 71
Sawyer, H.A., 392
Schneider, R.R., 881
Scordelis, A.C., 614
Secondary moments, 328
Section modulus, 108
Seismic design, 806
Seismic forces, 735
Semisliding, 717
Serviceability, 402, 404, 437, 463
Shahk, A.F., 431, 435, 480
Shear, 223
Shear design by ACI code, 228, 235, 240, 246
Shear friction theory, 264, 266
Shear-moment transfer, 567
Shear reinforcement in beams, 234, 266
in slabs, 566, 569
Shear wall, 808, 834, 844
design, 862
Shells, 644, 648, 693
Shrinkage of concrete, 48
losses, 83
SI conversion tables, 899
Siess, C.P., 234, 320
Sign convention, 107, 664
Slabs
cracking, 663
deflection of two-way, 571
flat-plate, 576
types of, 538, 539
Slender columns
ACI design method for, 509
Smulski, E., 400
Soongwong, K., 235, 320
Sozen, M.A., 220, 234, 320
624.183 Pressed cc: 2 funds
N 329p mental approach - 6th ed.